## Kent, Judy

From:

Gordo, Victor

Sent:

Thursday, August 26, 1999 9:14 AM

To:

Kent, Judy

Subject:

FW: Rose Bowl

Judy, let me know if you need anything else Victor

Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 1999 7:13 PM

To: vgordo@ci.pasadena.ca.us

Subject: RE: Rose Bowl

Victor, Please forward the following message to Judy Kent.

To Pasadena City Clerk

From Councilmember Bill Crowfoot

re CUP #3587

Madam City Clerk,

I would be grateful if you would place the referenced CUP on the City Council Agenda for a possible call-up. The Rose Bowl Management has informed me that the time frames imposed by the action taken below may have serious consequences for Rose Bowl business relationships that the Council ought to examine.

Bill Crowfoot



## PLANNING AND PERMITTING DEPARTMENT

August 23, 1999

Rose Bowl Operating Company 1001 Rose Bowl Drive Pasadena, CA 91103 Attn: Darryl Dunn

RE: Conditional Use Permit # 3587 1001 Rose Bowl Drive

Dear Mr. Dunn:

Your application for a Conditional Use Permit at 1001 Rose Bowl Drive, Zone Open Space, was considered by the Board of Zoning Appeals on August 18, 1999. This was an application requesting the following:

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - (Six Portable Trailers): The applicant and appellant, Rose Bowl Operating Company, is requesting to maintain six portable trailers for primarily office use surrounding the Rose Bowl Stadium. The specific use of these trailers are as follows: a) four trailers for the Los Angeles Galaxy's office use, b) one trailer for a first aid center, and c) one trailer for police headquarters.

After careful consideration of this application, and with full knowledge of the property and vicinity, the Board of Zoning Appeals made the findings as shown on Attachment A to this letter.

Based upon the findings, the Board of Zoning Appeals decided to sustain the decision for approval of the Zoning Hearing Officer and maintain the conditions of approval as approved by the Zoning Hearing Officer.

You are hereby notified that the decision of the Board of Zoning Appeals is not subject to further appeal. This decision becomes effective on the eleventh day from the date of the decision. The effective date for this case is **August 31**, 1999. However, prior to the effective date, a member of the City Council may stay the decision and request that it be called-up to the City Council for review.

## Appeal of Conditional Use Permit # 3587 Page 2

Projects, which are denied, are statutorily exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act. Please note that the time within which judicial review of this action must be sought is governed by Section 1094.6 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.

Board of Zoning Appeals, by

DENVER E. MILLER Zoning Administrator

DEM:dp:jd

xc:

Planning Commission (9), City Council, City Clerk, Building Division, Public Works, Power Division, Water Division, Hearing Officer, Zoning Enforcement, File (2)