Sabha, Tamer

From: Megan Avalos <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2026 6:02 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

As a fellow Pasadena resident, I ask that you and the Pasadena City Council not renew the city's contract with Flock and that you cut ties with the corporation. They have become a tool and symbol to sow fear and distrust in our city and across the nation with high risks for security breaches and abuse. As a Pasadena resident, I feel less safe with Flock cameras in my neighborhood.

Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.
There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy". Compliance does not ensure security, especially when those laws and policies are not written with this specific technology in mind.

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - there are other tools that carry far less risk and cost.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Council member, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

I am calling on you to end all ties with Flock now!

Megan Avalos

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Daniel Nomura <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2026 6:42 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Daniel Nomura

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Emily C <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2026 8:54 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Emily C

~

, 91754

Sabha, Tamer

From: Kenneth Burgess II <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 3:09 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Expel Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I'm calling on Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

-Kenneth L. Burgess II

Kenneth Burgess II

Pasadena, California 91105

Sabha, Tamer

From: Sarah Hayden <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 6:31 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Sarah Hayden

Pasadena , California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Olivia Willow <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 8:17 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena NOW

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

Hi there - as a South Pasadena resident, I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock. These cameras are a dangerous tool, especially towards all minority groups and children.

As a queer resident who will soon be having children, having mass surveillance on streets that I frequent feels completely unsafe. These cameras will discourage me from supporting the economy at local businesses in the area. Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Olivia Willow

South Pasadena, California 91030

Sabha, Tamer

From: David Law <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 12:10 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

David Law

Sierra Madre, California 91024

Sabha, Tamer

From: Christine Campbell <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 1:59 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Sincerely,

Christine Campbell

Christine Campbell

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Mollie Little <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 2:07 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Mollie Little

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Claire Perez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 2:27 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Claire Perez

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Brad Williams <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 3:35 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Brad Williams

Redlands, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Gabriel Pontón <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 4:22 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

As someone educated BY THE PUSD, I can say that this is shameful and an egregious violation of our rights to privacy. You must end all ties with Flock and remove ALL ALPR systems from Pasadena. Anything less is unacceptable.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC.

In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Gabriel Pontón

Altadena, California 91001

Sabha, Tamer

From: Andrew Bussell <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 5:04 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Remove All Flock Camera From Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Andrew Bussell

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 6:17 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

joel.yoshonis

Sabha, Tamer

From: Andrew Bakhit <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 6:28 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I am a Pasadena resident of the past 11 years and I love Pasadena for its sensible city values. Flock cameras do not align with this city's values. These surveillance state cameras are not making us residents safer.

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Pasadena resident,
Andrew Bakhit

Andrew Bakhit

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Catherine Andersen <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2026 6:44 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Catherine Andersen

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Leilani Rodriguez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 2:49 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Leilani Rodriguez

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Peter Mooney <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 6:28 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Peter Mooney

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Margaret Bontrager <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 6:57 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Margaret Bontrager

Pasadena, California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Andi Cooper <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 8:24 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Andi Cooper

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Ashley Spillers <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 9:07 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: NO Flock in Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I strongly urge Pasadena City Council to consider the will of the people, and our safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock. We do NOT WANT IT.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. Not to mention, surveilling us without our consent or approval. WE DONT WANT IT. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech. We also SIMPLY DO NOT WANT TO BE SURVEILLED.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance conflicts with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates. And PLENTY of evidence linking it to misuse.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC.

In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Ashley Spillers

Los Angeles, California 90042

Sabha, Tamer

From: Lena Kwong <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 12:56 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Lena Kwong

Pasadena, California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Eric Alcon <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 1:03 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Eric Alcon

Pasadena, California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Kim Roos <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 1:06 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Kim Roos

Los Angeles, California 90032

Sabha, Tamer

From: Malia Beckstrand <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 1:39 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Malia Beckstrand

Glendale, California 91205

Sabha, Tamer

From: Lauren Hartman <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 5:21 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

I will say personally that the upside of this violation of the public's right to live without harm and surveillance is that it has brought our attention to the way that city councils and local government can conspire with corporations and the federal government to reduce the quality of life in our neighborhoods. We are paying attention finally.

Lauren Hartman

--

Highland Park, California 90042

Sabha, Tamer

From: <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 5:27 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

ash.riveire(

,

Sabha, Tamer

From: Thanh Su <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 5:32 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

My name is Thanh Su. I live in Pasadena for 30+ years. I believe in safe community and we need the Pasadena PD to keep us safe. However, using Flock cameras is crossing the line. I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC.

In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Respectfully,

T. Su

Thanh Su

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Lisa Marchbanks <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2026 6:40 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

ALL ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September, or any other ALPR contracts you may currently have in place.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Lisa Marchbanks

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: SINGING COYOTE MESA <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, August 22, 2026 8:38 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

SINGING COYOTE MESA

Long Beach, California 90815

Sabha, Tamer

From: Aleister Price <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, August 22, 2026 10:07 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Aleister Price

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jaime Govea <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, August 22, 2026 10:24 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Jaime Govea

Pasadena, California 911104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jesi Le rae <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, August 23, 2026 1:46 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Jesi Le rae

, 9114

Sabha, Tamer

From: Asher Smith <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2026 8:39 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Asher Smith

Los Angeles, California 90041

Sabha, Tamer

From: Porter Botterud <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2026 10:55 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: I Want To Love My City - Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

Pasadena, the city of roses, is where I've lived my whole life, and I want it to be the best it can be. That includes not being a surveillance state where cameras track the movements of myself and my fellow residents. I understand the need to keep the peace, but this isn't the way to do it, particularly in an era where our trust towards law enforcement is at an all-time low.

Not long ago I watched a cop shoot another cop in a bout of "roughhousing." Considering the recent cases of officers using Flock to track partners and coworkers, I simply don't trust the Pasadena Police Department with the ability to track any Pasadena resident.

It is well studied and established that the most potent form of crime prevention is community support, education, and opportunity. The money spent here could be put towards issues like housing, employment, and transit - things that will actively improve the quality of life for the people you hold responsibility for.

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

Porter Botterud

.

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Lindsay Ljungkull <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2026 1:20 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Lindsay Ljungkull

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Kathleen Bell <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2026 7:17 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Kathleen Bell

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Mark Nay <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2026 8:03 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Mark Nay

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Katia Kehyeyan <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2026 9:34 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Katia Kehyeyan

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Lisa Vellequette <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2026 11:46 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Lisa Vellequette

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: J Grant <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2026 12:25 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock OUT of Pasadena!

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

You do not have the residents and visitors' consent and democratic formal permission to use these cameras.

It's not keeping us safe; it's a way to get our data and track and target individuals and groups.

I am a citizen and pay my taxes every year. I am also law-abiding. You do NOT have my permission.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC.

In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

J Grant

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: abs rynki <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2026 7:23 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

abs rynki

farragut , Tennessee 37394

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jasmine Semerenko <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2026 7:54 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

As somebody who attends college in Pasadena, I feel as if flock cameras are an invasion of my privacy.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC.

In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Jasmine Semerenko

Los Angeles, California 90063

Sabha, Tamer

From: Angela Villapudua <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2026 10:20 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Angela Villapudua

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Emily Stough <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 28, 2026 5:22 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena!

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals, including you and me. Flock touts the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety... but in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and many people exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation.

The technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment.

Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent that automated license plate readers are problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals.

Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Despite overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, please choose your constituents. Choose your privacy. Choose my privacy. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Thank you,
Emily

Emily Stough

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Noah Bullock <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2026 4:25 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

To those who seek re-election,

My name is Noah Bullock, and I'm a Pasadena native. I was born at Huntington hospital, and at times have lived in Pasadena, Altadena, Arcadia, and Glendale. I support efforts to end automatic license plate readers, and actively proselytize to anyone who will listen, about the dangers of allowing the government and private companies to begin the process of turning our city into an over surveilled shell of it's former self. My family and close friend groups echo this sentiment, and will refuse to vote for any public servant who does not make their intentions clear; not to renew the contract, and to not further engage in any contract talks with competing ALPR services.

The following is a further message from fellow community advocates.

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics,

researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Sabha, Tamer

From: Kayla Reeves <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, August 29, 2026 5:30 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Kayla Reeves

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Kristina Denstitt <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2026 9:05 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Kristina Denstitt

Pasadena, California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Herve BALESTRIERI <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, September 1, 2026 6:42 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Herve BALESTRIERI

Nanterre, Île-de-France 92420

Sabha, Tamer

From: Susana Nunez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2026 3:03 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Susana Nunez

Pasadena, California 91107