Sabha, Tamer

From: Spencer Williams <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 6, 2026 10:57 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.
5. Provide financial compensation to those who were tracked by Flock cameras.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Spencer Williams

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Robert Chacon <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2026 9:00 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Robert Chacon

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Sarah Moore <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, August 8, 2026 9:46 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.
in
Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Sarah Moore

Los Angeles, California 90026

Sabha, Tamer

From:
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 12:36 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

bashley101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Valerie Gomez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 1:48 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Valerie Gomez

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Sunny Amer <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 5:20 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Sunny Amer

Sierra Madre, California 91025

Sabha, Tamer

From: Rose. Vandersaw <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 8:34 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Rose. Vandersaw

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jessica Craven <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2026 10:44 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

I live in Northeast Los Angeles and often shop and dine in Pasadena. Even used to work there --in Old Town. But now that I know the city is using Flock cameras I avoid it.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC.

In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Jessica Craven

, 90065

Sabha, Tamer

From: gini chilton <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2026 2:56 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

gini chilton

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Anthony Casanova <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2026 7:15 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Anthony Casanova

Altadena, California 91001

Sabha, Tamer

From: Rich Hullin <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2026 3:14 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

Please, END all ties with Flock now!

Rich Hullin

Pasadena, California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Angelita Martinez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2026 8:46 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Angelita Martinez

Altadena, California 91001-5420

Sabha, Tamer

From: Laura Benack <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2026 10:05 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Laura Benack

Altadena, California 91001

Sabha, Tamer

From: Ghazal Gulati <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2026 7:21 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Ghazal Gulati

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: David Gorman <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2026 9:27 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: GET FLOCK OUT OF PASADENA

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

David Gorman

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Diana Siegel <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2026 10:46 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Diana Siegel

South Pasadena, California 91030

Sabha, Tamer

From: Chris Dee <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2026 10:53 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

I am a Pasadena music educator, and resident, and I am disgusted that our city is willing to use these devices. They of course help the Police Department occasionally, but the amount of data showing that these cameras are just used for surveillance of peaceful citizens immediately overwrites the good they do for the Police.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Chris Dee

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Matt Rayburn <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2026 12:29 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country,

the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Matt Rayburn

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jascha Wanger <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2026 1:13 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Jascha Wanger

Pasadena, California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Darren Barnes <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2026 10:27 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Darren Barnes

Pasadena , California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Sheryl Kaplan <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2026 4:39 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Sheryl Kaplan

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Daniel Keyes <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2026 8:25 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: In Opposition to Flock

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I am writing as a nearby Highland Park resident who visits, dines, and shops in Pasadena almost daily. While I am not a Pasadena voter, my daily life and commerce are tied directly to your city. I am deeply concerned about the expanded deployment of Flock Safety Automated License Plate Reader (ALPR) cameras across Pasadena and I urge the City Council to end its contract with Flock Safety.

The core issue with Flock Safety is not simply the capture of a license plate number; it is the violation of the mosaic theory of privacy. In constitutional law, the mosaic theory recognizes that while individual data points in public space may seem innocuous, aggregating thousands of timestamps, vehicle details, and locations over time creates a granular picture of an individual's life. Collecting this level of location data without individualized suspicion fundamentally erodes the reasonable expectation of privacy that protects free, open communities.

This concern is supported by widespread public opposition. Recent polling and civil liberties research show that a substantial majority of the public strongly rejects warrantless automated vehicle tracking and location mapping. Across the nation, public resistance to mass AI-powered surveillance networks is growing, with over 100 municipalities canceling their Flock contracts due to mounting privacy, misidentification, and data-sharing concerns. Across California (including our neighboring community of South Pasadena, which recently voted to decommission its Flock network over privacy violations), residents and patrons are demanding accountability.

Pasadena's commercial and cultural vibrancy depends on being an inviting place for local residents and regional visitors alike. Subjecting people who patronize local Pasadena

businesses to non-stop tracking creates a surveillance dragnet that runs counter to the city's values.

I strongly urge the Council to protect civil liberties, heed the clear public consensus against broad surveillance, and terminate Pasadena's contract with Flock Safety.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Daniel Keyes

Los Angeles, California 90042

Sabha, Tamer

From: Sara McGah
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2026 12:49 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Cc: Craig Wilson; Lorynne Young
Subject: Oppose Surveillance Cameras - from All Saints Church - Pasadena
Attachments: Oppose Surveillance Cameras - from All Saints 20260817_123729.pdf

Some people who received this message don't often get email from . Learn why this is important

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

See attached from 111 All Saints parishioners:

Thank you for your attention.

Pax,

Sara

ALL SAINTS CHURCH

August 16, 2026

Mayor and City Council
Pasadena, CA
Correspondence@cityofpasadena.net

RE: Oppose Survellance Cameras in Pasadena

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you,
Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

All Saints Episcopal Church, 132 N Euclid Ave, Pasadena, CA 91101
(626) 796-1172 www.allsaints-pas.org

ALL SAINTS CHURCH

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
Ann Zeiss	[redacted]	91104
Karin Water	[redacted]	91107
DANIEL RASCOE	[redacted]	91109
PAT SMITH	[redacted]	91106
Connie Schofield	[redacted]	91001
Elliot Levine	[redacted]	92506
Alexandra Agar	[redacted]	91030
Mary Bucci Bush	[redacted]	91103
Andrea Clarke	[redacted]	91214
Margot Webb-Foltz	[redacted]	91001

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
Richard Redman	[redacted]	91104
JAN TATTAM	[redacted]	92677
Martin P. Hessel	[redacted]	91107
Marjorie Fester	[redacted]	91214
Lynn Fairbanks	[redacted]	91105
Christina Walton	[redacted]	91030
CHRIS RUNCO	[redacted]	91504
Marsha Dawe	[redacted]	91001
Teresa Anderson-Dvoracek	[redacted]	91103
Alicia Brossy de Dios	[redacted]	91754

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
David F. Stecker	[redacted]	91104
Phoebe OZUNA	[redacted]	91105
ANGIE TORRES	[redacted]	91101
BILL BURBANK	[redacted]	90064
Margaret A. Power	[redacted]	91101
Mei Norton	[redacted]	91106
PATRICE DRAKE	[redacted]	91001
Alistair Elliott	[redacted]	90046
Penny Hawthorne	[redacted]	90042
KARA MAJURE	[redacted]	91042

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
VINCENT RETIA	[redacted]	91107
Leandra Woods	[redacted]	91024
Craig Wilson	[redacted]	90660
Debra Vickroy	[redacted]	91104
Sally Roberts	[redacted]	91006
Diane Stokes	[redacted]	91105
Chris Yatz	[redacted]	91105
Craig Johnson	[redacted]	91105
ELIZABETH R SUPPLE	[redacted]	91104
Ryan Wentz	[redacted]	90027

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
Shannon Wiggins	[redacted]	91105
Tom Wiggins	[redacted]	91105
John T Armstrong	[redacted]	91107
TOM MANNERLY	[redacted]	91107
Pamela Hessel	[redacted]	91107
Elisabeth MacCurdy	[redacted]	91024
Angela Orchid	[redacted]	91214
Tom Brady	[redacted]	91105
Susan Hoover	[redacted]	91104
Hannah Earnshaw	[redacted]	91101

ALL SAINTS CHURCH

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
SARA McGRATH	[redacted]	91104
Kenneth Rochrs	[redacted]	91104
NORMA BOWLES	[redacted]	90039
Charlee Haig	[redacted]	91030
Karen Camp	[redacted]	91001
Lynndi Scott	[redacted]	91107
Lorynne Young	[redacted]	91101
(Mrs) M Bathalis	[redacted]	91106
Elizabeth Lashley-Haynes	[redacted]	91214
Eric Brossard Dios	[redacted]	91754

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
Craig Wilson	[redacted]	90660
KARLA Wilson	[redacted]	91016
MARISA PEDDOUX	[redacted]	91101
JOHN EVERETT	[redacted]	91101
CANDACE ELLIOTT	[redacted]	90039
VIRGINIA TANZMANN	[redacted]	91103
Lindsey Bozzelli	[redacted]	91011
Shirley Rauter	[redacted]	91001
JUDITH PELTON	[redacted]	91620
Margaret Settle	[redacted]	

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
Amy Roy Jackson	[redacted]	91107
Marcel Truong-Chu	[redacted]	91001
Frances J. Poltrom	[redacted]	91801
Kaia Duke	[redacted]	91101
Scott Sayre	[redacted]	90047
Ted Von der Ahe	[redacted]	91105
Cheryl Duboucheron	[redacted]	91103
Summer Barry	[redacted]	91104
Sara J. Doyle	[redacted]	91405

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
Yvette Del Corazon	[redacted]	91024
John Hyde	[redacted]	91104
Grace Young	[redacted]	91754
Allan Spring	[redacted]	91101
Jenny Berman	[redacted]	91107
Talin Iskander	[redacted]	91042
Kimberly Douglas	[redacted]	91106
DAVID HUNT	[redacted]	90601

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
KAREN MAGUIRE	[redacted]	91101
Elaine Burn	[redacted]	91350
Nicholas Wilfong	[redacted]	91103
duVerne Gainey	[redacted]	90019
JANET Cowell	[redacted]	91104
Kathleen Flanagan	[redacted]	91024

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
Blake Dayman	[redacted]	91107
Reynold Blight	[redacted]	91205
Zac Commins	[redacted]	91104
Greg Demirchyan	[redacted]	91105
Brandon Kwong	[redacted]	91754

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
Toni Boucher	[redacted]	91184
Peter Maloy	[redacted]	91105
Rosemary Hyde	[redacted]	91104
Barbara Washington	[redacted]	91107
Alice Maupin	[redacted]	91202

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
Sarah Sforth	[redacted]	90042
Diana Vega	[redacted]	91105
Lynda L Simmons	[redacted]	91101
Wendy Furman-Adams	[redacted]	90601
MARK CHASE	[redacted]	91740

Oppose Surveillance Cameras in Pasadena

August 16, 2026

Dear Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As parishioners at All Saints Episcopal Church, we write to urge the Council not to renew its contract with Flock Safety for fixed automated license plate reader (ALPR) cameras when it comes up for renewal in September.

We share the City's concern for the safety of this community. As people of faith, we understand that concern as a call to protect and love our neighbors. Residents have raised serious concerns about the number and distribution of Flock cameras in Pasadena, and about whether ALPR data collected here has been or could be shared with out-of-state or federal agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

These concerns are not hypothetical. Last year, a Texas sheriff's office used Flock's national search tool to track a woman across state lines after she had an abortion. Other jurisdictions' own audits have found law enforcement searching Flock networks explicitly for immigration enforcement purposes. In at least one state, federal Border Patrol agents were found to have accessed local ALPR data from agencies that had never agreed to share it in the first place. Public records requests have revealed searches of Flock data tied to political protests and demonstrations, raising serious concerns about the chilling effect this kind of surveillance can have on residents' willingness to exercise their right to free speech and assembly. Reports have further documented numerous cases nationwide in which ALPR information has been used to track current partners, former partners, and private individuals with no connection to any investigation. These incidents reflect a system with too few safeguards against misuse.

More broadly, we are concerned about what it means to normalize an unmanned system of mass surveillance that tracks the movements of every driver, day after day, regardless of relevance to any criminal act or investigation. This concern is especially urgent now, as the federal government and many states are increasingly violating the privacy and rights of individuals. Local infrastructure that makes it easier to track people's movements only heightens that risk. That kind of routine, indiscriminate surveillance is difficult to reconcile with the trust between residents and their government that a healthy community depends on.

Therefore, we ask that the Council not renew the Flock contract in September and instead direct those resources toward community-based safety investments. We stand ready to partner with the City in this work.

Thank you, Parishioners and Staff of All Saints Church, Pasadena

Name	Address	Zip Code
Joan E Depew	[redacted]	91104
Brian Schaller	[redacted]	91214
Linda Langford	[redacted]	92870
Janis Reid	[redacted]	91105

Sabha, Tamer

From: Chris Lucca <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2026 4:04 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Chris Lucca

Altadena, California 91001

Sabha, Tamer

From: Travis Farver <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2026 8:32 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Travis Farver

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: John Callas <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 7:54 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

John Callas

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Ann Bailey <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 10:01 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Ann Bailey

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Joyce Wedseltoft <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 11:15 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Joyce Wedseltoft

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Sheryl Kaplan <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 1:17 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Sheryl Kaplan

Pasadena, California 91104-2405

Sabha, Tamer

From: Ellie Rahal <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 1:20 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Ellie Rahal

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Emma Sargeant <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 1:43 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Emma Sargeant

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Anna Pruyn <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 5:20 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Anna Pruyn

Altadena, California 91001

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jacob McKinney <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 5:33 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Jacob McKinney

Altadena, California

Sabha, Tamer

From: Aarav Arikara <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 8:24 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Aarav Arikara

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Marc Ma <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 11:10 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge the Pasadena City Council to put public safety, civil liberties, and public accountability before mass surveillance—and end Pasadena's relationship with Flock Safety.

Flock's automated license plate reader cameras do more than help identify vehicles connected to crimes. They create searchable records of where ordinary people travel, including people who are not suspected of any wrongdoing. That kind of system can be used to track immigrants and their families, communities of color, people seeking sensitive medical care, protesters, journalists, and anyone exercising their constitutional rights—well beyond Pasadena's borders.

Organizations like the ACLU and Electronic Frontier Foundation have repeatedly raised concerns about the privacy and constitutional risks of large ALPR networks. Before accepting broad claims that these cameras improve public safety, the City should require real evidence—not isolated anecdotes—that collecting and storing residents' movements reduces crime enough to justify this level of surveillance.

Pasadena's own experience shows these concerns are not theoretical.

NBC reported that Pasadena's Flock data was accessed by numerous law-enforcement agencies, both inside and outside California, including federal agencies. More troubling, Pasadena's database was reportedly searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino on the same day protesters gathered outside a San Bernardino facility.

That should concern every member of this Council.

Residents have already raised questions about nationwide data sharing, retention practices, and who can access, search, and distribute information about where they travel. These are

not minor technical issues. They show what happens when a city builds a broad surveillance system first and tries to create adequate limits afterward.

The Pasadena Police Department has said that internal audits found its Flock system complies with state law and department policy. But compliance with an internal policy is not the same as public accountability. And even if something is legal, that does not mean it is right—or that Pasadena should continue doing it.

The real question is whether Pasadena should operate a system that records the movements of thousands of innocent people and makes that information searchable by agencies far outside our city.

Police call Flock a useful tool. But the fact that mass surveillance is useful is exactly why it deserves serious scrutiny. Across the country, law-enforcement databases have been misused by officers to look up former partners, romantic interests, coworkers, and other people for personal reasons. Technology should not be accepted simply because it makes surveillance easier.

Pasadena should not wait for the next misuse, unauthorized search, disclosure, or civil-rights controversy before taking action.

I urge the City Council to:

1. Disable and remove Pasadena's Flock cameras.
2. Let the current Flock contract expire in September and do not renew it.
3. Reject any replacement system that creates the same kind of indiscriminate license-plate surveillance network.
4. Invest instead in community-based safety measures that do not require tracking the daily movements of innocent residents.

Pasadena has a choice: protect the people who live here, or expand a surveillance network capable of tracking them.

Choose your constituents.

Restore trust. Protect civil liberties. End Pasadena's relationship with Flock.

Sources

Sabha, Tamer

From: Nina Muccia <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2026 11:30 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Nina Muccia

Glendale, California 91205

Sabha, Tamer

From: Daniel Nunes <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2026 7:33 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone, as it weakens the protections afforded by the 4th amendment against unreasonable searches and seizures by the government.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections, especially when considering the application of AI to the trove of data they are collecting. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Daniel Nunes

Pasadena, California 91106

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Lorraine Triolo <
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2026 11:55 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Public Comment – Proposed PlateRanger ALPR System

Some people who received this message don't often get email from Learn why this is important

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Dear Mayor and Members of the City Council and Chief of Police:

I appreciate that the City Council and SPPD have responded to concerns about the previous Flock ALPR contract and have taken steps to strengthen the City's ALPR policy. I also appreciate the effort to establish clearer rules concerning prohibited uses, training, audits, data sharing, and compliance with state law.

However, I remain concerned about replacing one ALPR system with another without first establishing that this level of automated surveillance is necessary, effective, and appropriately limited.

The fact that PlateRanger offers stronger administrative controls and privacy features than the previous system is a positive development, but privacy and security protections should not be treated as a substitute for demonstrating necessity. The central question should be: what public-safety benefit does the City receive from maintaining 14 ALPR cameras, and is that benefit sufficient to justify the collection and retention of information about the movements of people who are not suspected of committing a crime?

Before approving the new contract, I respectfully ask the City Council to address several questions:

What measurable results have the City's existing ALPR cameras produced?

How many investigations, arrests, recoveries, or prosecutions can be specifically attributed to ALPR information, and how many of those involved a confirmed match rather than merely generating an investigative lead?

How long will ALPR data be retained under the proposed PlateRanger configuration?

What categories of information are retained, and can the City commit to the shortest retention period reasonably necessary for legitimate investigations?

Who can search the database, and what justification must an officer provide before conducting a search?

Are all searches logged and subject to meaningful review?

What exactly will the monthly audits examine, who will conduct them, and will the results—including violations or misuse—be reported to the City Council or made available to the public?

When ALPR information is shared with another California law-enforcement agency, what prevents that agency from further sharing the information with another entity?

Will data-sharing agreements require equivalent privacy protections, retention limits, auditing, and restrictions on use?

What safeguards are in place to address false or inaccurate plate reads?

Most importantly, will the policy explicitly prohibit treating an ALPR match by itself as sufficient grounds for a traffic stop, search, arrest, or other enforcement action?

What independent oversight exists beyond SPPD's internal policies and audits?

Given the sensitivity of location data, residents should not have to rely entirely on the department to police its own access.

What is the complete cost of the program over the proposed contract term, including installation, subscriptions, renewals, maintenance, camera replacement, and potential future expansion?
I also encourage the Council to consider a sunset provision rather than assuming that the initial authorization should automatically lead to continued use. A one-year authorization followed by a public evaluation would allow the City to determine whether the system is actually delivering measurable public-safety benefits while giving residents an opportunity to review how the safeguards have worked in practice.
I am not asking the City to ignore legitimate investigative tools. I am asking the City to make sure that any surveillance technology it deploys is narrowly tailored, demonstrably effective, transparent, and subject to meaningful accountability.

If the City can demonstrate that PlateRanger provides substantial public-safety benefits, while imposing strict limits on collection, retention, access, sharing, and misuse, that would provide a much stronger basis for public confidence.

At a minimum, I believe the Council should require those questions to be answered publicly before approving the replacement system.

Thank-you,
Lorraine Triolo

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Jeffrey Burke
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2026 11:56 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Public Comment – Proposed PlateRanger ALPR System

Some people who received this message don't often get email fro Learn why this is important

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Dear Mayor and Members of the Pasadena City Council and Chief of Police:

I think the key question is whether we can get the benefits of ALPR without creating the same privacy issues as Flock.

Could we use a less invasive ALPR setup—one that's alert-only for stolen/wanted vehicles, doesn't store plates long-term, doesn't track people's movements, and doesn't share data with other agencies or ICE? If not, why not?

Sabha, Tamer

From: David Abramovitch <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2026 11:51 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Please get Flock out of Pasadena

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

Hello, I am a Pasadena resident and care deeply about the safety and health of the community.

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock, and end all use of flock surveillance in Pasadena.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing

ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

David Abramovitch

David Abramovitch

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Stuart Michener <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2026 12:16 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Stuart Michener

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Robyn Paul <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2026 3:52 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Robyn Paul

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Grace Rakow <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2026 5:42 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Grace Rakow

Pasadena, California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Juan Limon <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2026 5:44 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Juan Limon

Pasadena, California 91101