Sabha, Tamer

From: Elizabeth Mcgrath <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2026 8:52 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years. Despite this, Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, it is useful in diverting resources that would otherwise go towards community oriented public safety solutions that don't infringe on our civil liberties.

Pasadena should join dozens of cities across the country who have ended their contracts with Flock, removed cameras and rejected any ALPR replacements such as Los Altos Hills, Mountain View, Santa Clara County, Santa Cruz, and others.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights. End all ties with Flock now.

Elizabeth Mcgrath

Altadena, California 91001

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jason-Dean Aldea <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2026 1:37 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Jason-Dean Aldea

South Pasadena, California 91030

Sabha, Tamer

From: Miguel Gutierrez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2026 10:08 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Miguel Gutierrez

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: David Wake <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2026 5:07 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

David Wake

Garden Grove, California 92841

Sabha, Tamer

From: William Fragoso <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2026 2:33 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

William Fragoso

Sherman Oaks, California 91423

Sabha, Tamer

From: Alec Stobaugh <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2026 11:48 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Alec Stobaugh

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Ricky Ma <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2026 12:24 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Ricky Ma

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Robyn Paul <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2026 6:37 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Robyn Paul

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jason-Dean Aldea <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2026 1:37 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Jason-Dean Aldea

South Pasadena, California 91030

Sabha, Tamer

From: Miguel Gutierrez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2026 10:08 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Miguel Gutierrez

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: David Wake <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2026 5:07 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

David Wake

Garden Grove, California 92841

Sabha, Tamer

From: William Fragoso <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2026 2:33 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

William Fragoso

Sherman Oaks, California 91423

Sabha, Tamer

From: Alec Stobaugh <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2026 11:48 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Alec Stobaugh

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Ricky Ma <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2026 12:24 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Ricky Ma

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Ryan Torres <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2026 12:12 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Ryan Torres

El Monte, California 91732

Sabha, Tamer

From: Alexander Arita <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2026 7:22 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

As a resident of District 3, it is frustrating that I can't go to Villa Park without getting tagged by a flock camera. No one opted-in to surveillance, this is not what your residents want. Justin Jones, I am happy you see some of the issues with Flock but am bothered with the fact that you are looking for an alternative company (safety should not be privatized for profit). The council has clearly lost the trust of residents by allowing PPD to share our data to NCRIC Fusion Center. How ironic that a flock camera popped up at the location ICE interfered with someone at Villa Park. I hope you listen to our concerns and rely on alternatives like community policing instead. Spend our money differently, flock out, and no ALPRs.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Alexander Arita

Pasadena, California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Lisa Marchbanks <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2026 7:05 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Lisa Marchbanks

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: kcmcbride24
Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2026 10:43 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

kcmcbride24

Sabha, Tamer

From: Aleena A <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2026 2:21 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Aleena A

Glendale, California 91207

Sabha, Tamer

From: juan martinez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2026 11:21 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

This is a violation of our privacy, and if you want to say it's for our safety why do we need to be tracked? How do we know what flock stores isn't to create a database of each individual? Is stalking and profiling the new safety?

juan martinez

el monte, California 91733

Sabha, Tamer

From: CRAIG LAFOREST <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, July 24, 2026 5:35 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

CRAIG LAFOREST

Woolloomooloo, New South Wales 2011

Sabha, Tamer

From: Elizabeth Johnston <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, July 24, 2026 10:25 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Elizabeth Johnston

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jessica Meehan <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, July 25, 2026 7:05 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Jessica Meehan

Pasadena, California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Kendrick Wang <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2026 6:05 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Kendrick Wang

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Yoselin Lopez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2026 2:52 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Yoselin Lopez

Los Angeles, California 90032

Sabha, Tamer

From: greenrogers12
Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2026 6:27 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

greenrogers12'

'

Sabha, Tamer

From: Max Gluck <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, July 27, 2026 7:18 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Max Gluck

San Marino, California 91108

Sabha, Tamer

From: Evelyn Hollon <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, July 27, 2026 12:06 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Evelyn Hollon

Pasadena, California 91107

Sabha, Tamer

From: Wendy Li <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, July 27, 2026 12:38 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Wendy Li

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Ray Sanchez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, July 27, 2026 1:15 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Ray Sanchez

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Steve Broussard <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, July 27, 2026 1:49 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Steve Broussard

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Max Shapiro <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2026 7:50 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: No Flock in Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I attend school in Pasadena and should not have to give up my privacy to do so. I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC.

In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Max Shapiro

Los Angeles, California 90057

Sabha, Tamer

From: Satchel Friedman <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2026 2:22 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

The usage of flock cameras by Pasadena is abhorrent. We deserve better than a surveillance state on our streets. End the flock relationship and remove the cameras now.

Satchel Friedman

Altadena, California 91001

Sabha, Tamer

From: Rebecca Aranda <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 11:05 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Rebecca Aranda

South Pasadena, California 91030

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jared Sharp <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 1:40 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

Get this garbage surveillance state junk out of Pasadena. They don't make us safer but they do lower my homes value. If they aren't banned we will pull our funding for ToR and any donations we or our businesses make in Pasadena.

Jared Sharp

Pasadena, California 91103

Sabha, Tamer

From: Michael Dieffenbach <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 5:58 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Michael Dieffenbach

Pasadena, California 91104-2550

Sabha, Tamer

From: Stacey King <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2026 12:15 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Stacey King

Torrance, California 90503

Sabha, Tamer

From: Alexandra Strong <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 30, 2026 6:59 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Alexandra Strong

Alhambra, California 91801

Sabha, Tamer

From: kai schaffer <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2026 10:52 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

kai schaffer

Los Angeles, California 90039

Sabha, Tamer

From: Caitlyn Neal Steinkruger <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2026 1:01 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena!!!!!!

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Caitlyn Neal Steinkruger

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Simon Kangiser <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, August 1, 2026 10:46 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Simon Kangiser

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Donna Chaney <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, August 1, 2026 11:22 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Donna Chaney

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Eric Jensen <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, August 1, 2026 1:59 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

I am a longtime Pasadena resident. I don't want my city to be complicit in the collection of data that can be used by ICE and other federal agencies to violate my fundamental rights and the rights of my neighbors.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Eric Jensen

Pasadena, California 91104

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jessica Meehan <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, August 2, 2026 9:55 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Jessica Meehan

Pasadena , California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Shea Sage <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, August 3, 2026 3:49 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Shea Sage

Altadena, California 91001

Sabha, Tamer

From: Evan Oliver <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, August 3, 2026 11:02 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Evan Oliver

Baldwin Park, California 91706

Sabha, Tamer

From: Angelina Ricardo <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, August 3, 2026 8:59 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Angelina Ricardo

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Julianne Lee <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 10:03 AM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Julianne Lee
..

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Kevin Yen <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 12:53 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Kevin Yen

Pasadena, California 91101

Sabha, Tamer

From: Sarah Simon <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 9:10 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

Dear Council Member,

I have been a resident of Pasadena for 6 years. I initially moved here for graduate school but I stayed because I like the town. I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights.

End all ties with Flock now!

Sarah Simon

Pasadena, California 91106

Sabha, Tamer

From: Jon Gutierrez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 9:51 PM
To: Sabha, Tamer
Subject: Flock out of Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button (PAB). For more information about the PAB view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

M T Sabha,

I urge Pasadena City Council to put safety over surveillance and cut ties with Flock.

Flock ALPR cameras are being used for indiscriminate surveillance, tracking and targeting of countless individuals. While Flock, law enforcement and city government tout the benefits of automated license plate readers for public safety, in reality it endangers everyone - in particular immigrants and their families, people of color, people seeking sensitive healthcare, and the many exercising civil liberties like free speech.

Flock's indiscriminate surveillance is in conflict with constitutional protections and numerous civil liberties groups such as the ACLU and the Electronic Frontier Foundation arguing that the technology infringes on Americans' right to privacy under the Fourth Amendment. Despite repeated attempts from Flock to reform their system, it is apparent ALPR is problematic, insecure and can have devastating consequences for innocent individuals. Academics, researchers and experts overwhelmingly agree that there's a lack of evidence linking flock to crime reduction rates.

There is overwhelming evidence of abuse and misuse of ALPR systems across the country - and Pasadena's ALPR systems are no exception. Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have expressed privacy concerns over the years.

Reporting by NBC shows Pasadena Flock Camera data accessed by numerous law enforcement agencies across California and out-of-state including the FBI, ICE and NCRIC. In one instance, Pasadena's database was searched for "ICE Protest" in San Bernardino...the same day a rally was held outside a San Bernardino facility.

This is a repeated pattern of lack of oversight and adequate guardrails with PPD's ALPR. In years prior, Pasadena was notified that it was sharing ALPR information across the country, the city lacked ALPR data retention restrictions, and many residents have repeatedly expressed privacy concerns.

Despite this overwhelming evidence to the contrary, PPD continues to claim their internal audits found Flock ALPR "complies with state law and department policy".

Pasadena Police says Flock is a "useful tool" - yes, indeed, there's dozens of recent cases documented nationwide where police used Flock and other ALPR tools to illegally track ex-partners, current romantic interests, and coworkers.

I demand the Pasadena City Council:

1. Disable and remove all Flock cameras in Pasadena.
2. Commit to cancelling the Flock contract in September.
3. Do not replace Flock with another ALPR vendor.
4. Commit to funding community-based safety initiatives.

Pasadena, choose your constituents. Restore trust, restore accountability and uphold our constitutional rights. Commit yourselves to the citizens you are representing.

End all ties with Flock now!

Jon Gutierrez

South Pasadena, California 91030