

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: David Baer <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 6:38 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

My name is David Baer and I work for Villa Esperanza as a Skills Instructor and I attend Pasadena Mennonite Church. I am planning on moving to Pasadena in the very near future. I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

David Baer

San Fernando, California 91340

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Nathan Freemyer <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 6:40 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am a long time Pasadena Resident and small business owner, writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena lacks affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Thank you for listening to your constituents,

Nathan

Nathan Freemyer

Pasadena, California 91104

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Kimberly Medendorp <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 7:05 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

As a home owner and tax payer in Pasadena, I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Kimberly Medendorp

Pasadena , California 91101

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: P.A. Brown <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 7:21 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Pasadena has a sad history of past redlining and measures to segregate low income and people of color. Today's Pasadena can continue to correct that legacy of discrimination.

P.A. Brown

Pasadena, California 91101

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: denise <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 7:42 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Lena ALSarraf <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 7:46 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Lena ALSarraf

Pasadena , California 91107

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Elizabeth Sadlon <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 8:32 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am a 25-year member of Neighborhood Unitarian Universalist Church in Pasadena and a long-time consultant to social justice nonprofits, including affordable housing developers.

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Elizabeth Sadlon

☺

Glendale, California 91208

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Rachel Ryan <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 8:56 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am a homeowner and parent in Pasadena. I am an active member of Throop UU Church. I drive past dozens of unhoused people on North Lake every day as I leave my home. I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena. All people.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Thank you,
Rachel Ryan

Rachel Ryan

--

Pasadena, California 91104

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Kari Vargas <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 9:07 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

As a 32 year resident of Pasadena, I have watched it become more and more unaffordable. This proposal takes a small step in fixing that problem.

Kari Vargas

↳

Pasadena, California 91104

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Joanne Long <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 9:10 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Joanne Long

-
Pasadena, California 91107

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Silvia Hivner <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 9:10 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Silvia Hivner

Pasadena, California 91104

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Una Jost <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 9:31 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am a longtime Pasadena resident, PUSD parent, and constituent of Council member Gene Masuda in District 4.

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

Approval of funding for such affordable housing development would be consistent with the California State PTA's position statement on "Fair Housing" which states:

"California State PTA believes:

All families need to have access to safe and affordable housing;

There is necessity for enactment and enforcement of laws to end discrimination in the marketing, sale and/or rental of housing;

Encouragement should be given to the formation of broadly based community action groups to promote citizen understanding of housing issues and involvement in advocating programs that will meet the housing needs in their communities; and

Encouragement should be given to the development of rental and individually owned homes for low- and moderate-income families and that such housing should not be isolated within local communities.

"PTAs should encourage city councils, county boards of supervisors, and city and county housing authorities to

Adopt and implement balanced community policies that stipulate a mix of housing types as well as provisions for the development of housing for low- and moderate-income families;

Develop and implement programs utilizing all available funding sources to provide rental and

05/18/2026
Item 12

individually owned single- and multi-family housing for low- and moderate-income families." (<https://toolkit.capta.org/advocacy/position-statements/fair-housing>)

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Thank you for your support of PUSD students and families.

Sincerely,

~ Una Lee Jost, Pasadena resident in District 4

Una Jost

Pasadena, California 91107

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Anne Nolty <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 9:34 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Anne Nolty

PASADENA, California 91103-3436

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Meghan Urisko <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2026 10:22 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Meghan Urisko

Pasadena, California 91104

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Anne Tipton <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 6:44 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am a current resident of Pasadena and my extended family has lived in Pasadena for 28 years. I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Anne Tipton

Pasadena, California 91101

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Glory Bautista <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 7:04 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

As someone who lives in East Pasadena, I often drive by the Hastings Ranch area and see people asking for money. The need is high everywhere. But I'd love to see affordable housing created there especially.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Thank you for your time

Glory

Glory Bautista

Pasadena, California 91104

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Lynn Miyamoto <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 7:37 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Lynn Miyamoto

La Crescenta-Montrose, California 91214-3019

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Tina Williams <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 7:53 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable

Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Tina Williams

Pasadena, California 91104

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Emily Schnitger <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 8:13 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Emily Schnitger

Pasadena , California 91101

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Susan Tipton <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 9:11 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

My son and family are rebuilding after the fire on Navarro and adding an ADU for me. Finish date is early fall.

S. Tipton

Susan Tipton

Altadena, California 91001

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Jessica Hyatt <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 10:19 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am a community member who volunteers and attends church in Pasadena, and I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

This affordable housing would provide significant relief to low income Pasadena residents, and those still recovering from the lasting impacts of the Eaton fire.

Jessica Hyatt

Monterey Park, California 91754

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Holly Hight <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 11:34 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Holly Hight

Pasadena , California 91107

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Mary Anne Berry <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 1:00 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. I have lived in Pasadena for 27 years and have watched the cost of housing rise until it is well outside the range of most growing families. As a result, the school district is failing and in general this is becoming a community for the young and elder elite. If we wish to continue to be a family-friendly city, we must support affordable housing in every zip code.

I live in the 91104 zip code, and even in this area, affordable housing is disappearing quickly. East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena. We should intentionally plan our city in a way that brings people together. Getting to know each other as neighbors is probably the only way we will ever model a diverse and united community, which is, I believe, the unique asset of Pasadena.

This measure is carefully planned and vetted. I see no reason to reject it.

Sincerely,
Mary Anne Berry

Pasadena, CA 91104

Mary Anne Berry

Pasadena, California 91104-2858

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Michelle Brenhaug <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 5:38 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Michelle Brenhaug

San Gabriel, California 91776

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Cathy Fabre <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 7:46 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Cathy Fabre

Pasadena, California 91101

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Bonnie Blustein <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2026 11:11 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

As a homeowner in Mr. Masuda's district in east Pasadena, I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

I believe that economic (and other) diversity strengthens our neighborhoods. It encourages a broader cross-section of retail businesses which become available to all.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community and contradicts my deeply felt values as a Unitarian Universalist churchgoer in Pasadena.

We need for the people who work in Pasadena to be able to afford to live here.

The state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Please approve and facilitate the affordable housing project at 600 North Rosemead.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bonnie Ellen Blustein

4111 N. Rosemead Blvd.
Pasadena CA 91104

Bonnie Blustein

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Angela Strong <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2026 11:49 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Angela Strong

Angela Strong

Pasadena, California 91106-2007

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Jean Burns <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2026 12:22 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Jean Burns

Pasadena, California 91105

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Areta Crowell <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2026 2:26 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

Our city has a great opportunity to add desperately needed affordable housing . You are all aware of that fact.

I am writing to ask you to approve the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. This attractive development would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing. It will be an enhancement to the community.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Sincerely,

Areta Crowell

District 7 homeowner

Areta Crowell

, 91106

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Nathan Freemyer <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2026 4:04 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am a small business owner and long time Pasadena resident writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Thanks for listening to your constituents,

Nathan

Nathan Freemyer

PASADENA, California 91104

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Leonard Tang <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2026 5:31 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Support for Affordable Housing at 600 N Rosemead

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

As a resident and pastor in Pasadena, I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Thank you,
Leonard Tang, 3080 Lombardy Rd., Pasadena

Leonard Tang

Pasadena, California 91107

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Sumith Unni <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, May 17, 2026 6:18 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Yes to 133 Affordable Homes at 600 N Rosemead in East Pasadena

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Council Member,

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am writing to support the approval of the funding for the affordable housing development at 600 North Rosemead Blvd. which would bring 133 affordable homes to an area of the city that has had very little affordable housing.

East Pasadena has almost no affordable housing. Most of the city's affordable units are concentrated in the western part of the city. This imbalance reflects a broader history of racial and economic segregation in Pasadena and across the United States. Segregation by income and race has weakened our sense of community. We should plan our city in a way that brings people together and builds a stronger, more united Pasadena.

In addition, the state's Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing policy requires the city to take meaningful steps to address segregation and promote inclusive communities. Rejecting affordable housing in an area that has had almost none could very likely be seen by the courts as inconsistent with that obligation.

Sumith Unni

Pasadena, California 91104

05/18/2026
Item 12

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Bert Newton
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2026 12:07 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Item 12, TEFRA hearing; Yes to funding for affordable housing proposal for 600 N. Rosemead Blvd.

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Dear Mayor and City Council,

I am writing in support of funding for the proposed 133 units of affordable housing at 600 N. Rosemead Blvd.

That part of the city has had almost no affordable housing, and this is the first multifamily affordable housing in that part of the city. Given the history of housing segregation in the U.S., including Pasadena, this is a significant and necessary step forward.

Its proposed placement is ideal, located on a major thoroughfare near transit stops.

Opponents claim that it is too big for its location, but they don't say why. It seems to be a subjective judgment that gets repeated over and over as fact. The only fact that matters is that it is the size allowed by law. And my subjective view is that, from the drawings that I have seen, it will be a great improvement over what is there now.

Another fear that I have heard from opponents is that there is not adequate parking and that they are afraid that residents will park in their neighborhood, Lower Hastings Ranch. There are 4 reasons that won't happen:

1. To park on the street, residents will need to get a parking permit from the city. A parking permit allows parking only within 500 feet of one's residence. The Lower Hastings Ranch neighborhood is more than 500 feet away.
2. It's too far and involves too much uphill to walk on a daily basis to get to one's car. There is only one entrance off of Rosemead Blvd into that neighborhood, and that is on Greenhill Rd., and that first block of Greenhill Rd has no parking and is a steep uphill incline into the neighborhood. A person would not only have to walk to Greenhill, but then walk up the steep Greenhill incline a full block to get into the neighborhood.
3. Many of the low income residents won't have cars.
4. There is plenty of parking available on Rosemead Blvd. for the few residents who have cars and don't get one of the 55 parking spaces provided.

The residents of Lower Hastings Ranch really have nothing to worry about. Their lives will not be impacted in any significant way.

We are desperately in need of affordable housing. We have not been able to lower the number of unhoused people in our city since 2016. Since that time both the Point-in-Time Homeless Count and the Annual Homeless Count have remained relatively flat, and many people are found to be experiencing first-time homelessness every year.

Building dense affordable housing on major thoroughfares near transit is a win-win for everyone. It lowers homelessness and creates a more walkable and bikeable city with more viable mass transit, reducing traffic, which results in cleaner air that we all breathe.

Please approve the funding for this very thoughtful and much needed proposal for 133 units of affordable housing at 600 N. Rosemead Blvd.

Respectfully,

05/18/2026
Item 12

--

Rev. Bert Newton

Making Housing and Community Happen

"Blessed are the poor, for they will inherit the land." Matthew 5:5 EWN Translation

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Rachel Darling
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2026 1:22 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Item 12 - 5/18/2026 Council Meeting - Please Approve Affordable Housing

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [redacted]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[!] CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Dear Mayor and City Council,

Please approve the affordable housing project at 600 North Rosemead. You have already received numerous comments for and against this project. I will refrain from repeating the dozens of facts about why affordable housing is necessary, how it positively impacts our communities and economies, and how many residents of that development may not have a car for financial reasons.

We are rapidly encroaching an era where the effects of late stage capitalism are showing us the truth of our economy and our systems. They are failing. When politicians choose the will of the wealthy few and ignore the many, they make our communities that much worse with each vote. If parking and crime and whatever excuses people can come up with are such a concern for the city, the council should ALSO be focusing on tackling those. Voting against an affordable housing development (or a Safe parking program for instance) does not help solve the issues this city is facing. With or without this development, the city is facing a homelessness crisis, an affordability crisis, etc. Please look at this community like an interconnected ecosystem of human beings and you may find that helping all people in a community helps the entire community.

Thank you,
Rachel Darling
District 6
91101

05/18/2026
Item 12