

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:27 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parent's Request for Care and Caution Near St. Philip the Apostle School

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parent's Request for Care and Caution Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent at St. Philip the Apostle School, and I am writing with a sincere and heartfelt request for care and caution regarding the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, which is so close to our school, church, and neighborhood. As parents, we entrust our children to the care of their teachers and community each day, hoping they are surrounded by a safe and nurturing environment. For this reason, I am worried about the potential impacts of construction activity next to a school, increased traffic on Green Street, and the introduction of an R&D facility with research uses that have not been clearly explained. These uncertainties weigh heavily when the safety and well-being of children are involved. I want to express my respect for the City's work and for Caltech's important role in Pasadena. At the same time, Catholic schools and parishes are places of learning, worship, and refuge. Developments near them should be approached with special care, humility, and transparency, particularly when potential health or safety concerns remain unanswered. I respectfully ask the City Council to pause and carefully discern whether this project, as proposed, truly serves the common good of this neighborhood. A thorough environmental and safety review, adherence to existing height and zoning limits, and thoughtful placement of R&D uses in appropriate commercial or industrial areas would help provide families with greater peace of mind. My hope is that the City will choose a path that reflects stewardship, protects our children, and honors the character of this faith-centered community. Thank you for your time, attention, and service to Pasadena.

Sincerely,  
Andrew Pittroff

Pasadena , CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:34 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as both a resident and a parishioner, and I believe that how we treat our sacred spaces reflects who we are as a city. To honor our church is to honor Pasadena itself. Our church is more than a structure. It is a historic landmark, a spiritual home, and a gathering place for generations of families. It is where we celebrate baptisms and weddings, mourn loved ones at funerals, and come each week seeking peace and reflection. It stands as part of the architectural and cultural fabric that gives Pasadena its identity. When large-scale development, such as the 1364 E. Green St. project, is proposed directly beside such a sacred and historic place, it raises serious concerns. Construction noise, truck traffic, vibration, and the sheer scale of adjacent buildings can disrupt worship and diminish the quiet dignity that a church requires. Sacred spaces deserve thoughtful protection, not simply technical compliance. Growth and innovation are important to our city's future. But they must be balanced with respect for our history, our neighborhoods, and the institutions that anchor our community life. Pasadena has long prided itself on careful planning and stewardship. Upholding that legacy means ensuring that development enhances — rather than overwhelms — the places that define us. I respectfully urge you to require a thorough review and an appropriate scale for any project near our church. By doing so, you will honor both a cherished house of worship and the values that have long guided Pasadena's development.

Sincerely,  
Phillip Mver

Phillipmyer@oneclickpolitics.com  
Altadena, CA 91001 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 10:36 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Parishioner Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Parishioner Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a Catholic parishioner concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred place of worship, sacrament, and service. Catholic Social Teaching reminds us that decisions affecting our city must serve the common good and protect human dignity (Gaudium et Spes). These values depend on a surrounding environment that is safe, accessible, and respectful of sensitive uses like churches. While I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation and institutions such as Caltech, this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale proposed. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height beyond what was envisioned for this street, raises serious concerns for parishioners—especially families, children, and elderly members of our community. I respectfully urge the City Council to require that this project comply with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergo a thorough environmental review. Doing so would reflect true stewardship, respect for faith communities, and a commitment to the common good. Please grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that honors safety, community, and the vital role our parish plays in Pasadena.

Sincerely,  
john bowler

Pasadena, CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:03 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Safeguarding Sacred Spaces- St. Philip the Apostle

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Safeguarding Sacred Spaces- St. Philip the Apostle

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a resident who believes that sacred spaces deserve special care and protection. Churches are not simply buildings — they are places of worship, reflection, community, and comfort during life's most important moments. They are where families gather for baptisms, weddings, funerals, and weekly prayer. They are anchors of stability in our neighborhoods. I am concerned about development proposals, such as the 1364 E. Green Street project, that place large, high-intensity projects directly beside active houses of worship. Construction noise, heavy truck traffic, vibration, and long-term operational impacts can disrupt services and diminish the peaceful environment these spaces require. Sacred spaces should not be treated like ordinary parcels of land. Growth is important, but it must be balanced with respect for community institutions. Pasadena has long taken pride in thoughtful planning that protects historic buildings and sensitive uses. That tradition should continue by ensuring projects adjacent to churches undergo the highest level of environmental scrutiny and are scaled appropriately to their surroundings. Safeguarding sacred spaces is not about resisting progress — it is about preserving the spiritual and cultural foundations that hold our community together. I respectfully urge the Council to prioritize the protection of our churches and ensure that development decisions reflect the care these institutions deserve.

Sincerely,  
Dora Olais

Pasadena, CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:04 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Ensure Safe and Contextual Development in Pasadena - 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Ensure Safe and Contextual Development in Pasadena - 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

We are residents who love our neighborhoods and care deeply about the future of our city. We support innovation and institutions like Caltech, but we also believe that progress should never come at the expense of the health and safety of our children, our families, and our community. Many of us live, worship, and send our children to school near the proposed R&D project site at 1364 E. Green St. We are deeply concerned that this project has been approved without clearly demonstrating that it can operate safely next to a school, a church, and homes. For those of us who live here every day, these are not abstract planning issues — they are real worries about air quality, traffic, noise, and the long-term well-being of the people we love. We respectfully ask the City Council to pause and reconsider. Reversing the Design Committee’s approval and requiring a full environmental analysis would show residents that our voices matter and that decisions affecting our health and safety are being made carefully and transparently. Our community is not opposed to compromise. We have indicated support for a reduced project of 51 feet in height, if and only if a thorough environmental review demonstrates that it can coexist safely with surrounding homes, a school, and a place of worship. This is a reasonable request grounded in care for our neighborhood, not opposition to progress. We are also asking the Council to look more closely at the broader policy changes made in November 2023 that opened residential-adjacent streets to tall R&D facilities. These changes have left many residents feeling blindsided and unheard. Concentrating taller R&D buildings along established commercial corridors like Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake would allow innovation to thrive without placing disproportionate burdens on residential streets such as Green. Finally, we believe the process that led to these sweeping code changes failed to fully consider how they could affect nearby families, students, and seniors. Decisions of this magnitude should not leave residents feeling anxious about new health, traffic, and safety risks in places that were once considered stable and protected. We urge you to act now — to slow down, listen to residents, and ensure that development in our city reflects both innovation and compassion. Please help us protect the character, safety, and livability of the neighborhoods we call home. Thank you for hearing us.

Sincerely,  
Suzanne Fulps

San Gabriel , CA 91775 Constituent

## Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:08 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Call for Safe and Transparent Planning (1364 E. Green Street)

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Call for Safe and Transparent Planning (1364 E. Green Street)

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a resident who supports thoughtful development, world-class innovation, and the important role institutions like Caltech play in our beautiful city. At the same time, we believe development must be safe, transparent, and compatible with the neighborhoods in which it is proposed. I am concerned that the proposed R&D project at 1364 E. Green Street has not been adequately demonstrated to be safe, given its location next to a grade school, an active church, and established residential neighborhoods. In particular, we believe the environmental review has not sufficiently addressed potential health, traffic, and quality-of-life impacts on these sensitive uses. I respectfully ask the City Council to reverse the Design Commission's approval and require a proper and comprehensive environmental analysis before the project proceeds. This step is essential to ensuring that decisions are based on sound information and that community impacts are fully understood and appropriately addressed. I also believe reasonable compromises are possible. Community representatives have indicated a willingness to support a project limited to 51 feet in height, provided it is accompanied by a satisfactory environmental review demonstrating that it can operate safely in this location. More broadly, we urge the City Council to revisit its November 2023 General Plan modification that allows R&D facilities citywide. R&D facilities with increased height allowances should be concentrated along established commercial corridors such as Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake—not on streets directly adjacent to residential neighborhoods, including Green Street. Finally, I am concerned that recent broad amendments to the R&D code were adopted without sufficient consideration of their potential impact on nearby schools, churches, homes, and families. If this can occur on Holliston, it could happen elsewhere. These changes risk introducing health, traffic, and other hazards into areas that were previously protected by longstanding zoning and planning standards. We ask the City Council to take action to ensure responsible development, protect neighborhood livability, and restore public confidence in the planning process.

Sincerely,  
Bridget Thompson

San Gabriel, CA 91775 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:28 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as both a resident and a parishioner, and I believe that how we treat our sacred spaces reflects who we are as a city. To honor our church is to honor Pasadena itself. Our church is more than a structure. It is a historic landmark, a spiritual home, and a gathering place for generations of families. It is where we celebrate baptisms and weddings, mourn loved ones at funerals, and come each week seeking peace and reflection. It stands as part of the architectural and cultural fabric that gives Pasadena its identity. When large-scale development, such as the 1364 E. Green St. project, is proposed directly beside such a sacred and historic place, it raises serious concerns. Construction noise, truck traffic, vibration, and the sheer scale of adjacent buildings can disrupt worship and diminish the quiet dignity that a church requires. Sacred spaces deserve thoughtful protection, not simply technical compliance. Growth and innovation are important to our city's future. But they must be balanced with respect for our history, our neighborhoods, and the institutions that anchor our community life. Pasadena has long prided itself on careful planning and stewardship. Upholding that legacy means ensuring that development enhances — rather than overwhelms — the places that define us. I respectfully urge you to require a thorough review and an appropriate scale for any project near our church. By doing so, you will honor both a cherished house of worship and the values that have long guided Pasadena's development.

Sincerely,  
Cord Dorsey

6105 Mission Street  
Pasadena, CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:36 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Respect Our Sacred Space- St. Philip the Apostle

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Respect Our Sacred Space- St. Philip the Apostle

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as both a Pasadena resident and a longtime parishioner of the historic church on Green Street. For many of us, this parish is a second home — a place of prayer, peace, and community. It is also a treasured Roland Coate landmark that has been part of Pasadena's character for generations. I am deeply concerned about the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street. Early-morning construction, heavy trucks, and vibration would disrupt Mass, funerals, and weddings, and could impact our historic church building. A project of this scale does not belong immediately beside a sacred space and an established neighborhood. This is not opposition to growth. It is a call for balance and respect. I urge the Council to require a full environmental review and ensure the project complies with the 51-foot height limit in the East Colorado Specific Plan. Please protect our church, our neighborhood, and the character that makes Pasadena special.

Sincerely,  
Ileana Herrera

Pasaden, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:42 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Parishioner Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Parishioner Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a Catholic parishioner concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred place of worship, sacrament, and service. Catholic Social Teaching reminds us that decisions affecting our city must serve the common good and protect human dignity (Gaudium et Spes). These values depend on a surrounding environment that is safe, accessible, and respectful of sensitive uses like churches. While I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation and institutions such as Caltech, this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale proposed. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height beyond what was envisioned for this street, raises serious concerns for parishioners—especially families, children, and elderly members of our community. I respectfully urge the City Council to require that this project comply with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergo a thorough environmental review. Doing so would reflect true stewardship, respect for faith communities, and a commitment to the common good. Please grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that honors safety, community, and the vital role our parish plays in Pasadena.

Sincerely,  
Mary Petersen-Peltz

Pasadena , CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:45 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Parishioner Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Parishioner Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a parishioner deeply concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its potential impact on our church community. I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation, economic growth, and research and development. At the same time, churches play a vital role in our city as places of worship, reflection, education, and service. These missions depend on safe, accessible, and appropriately scaled surroundings that respect sensitive uses and neighborhood character. The proposed project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the currently proposed scale. Proceeding without a full environmental review—and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street—raises serious concerns for parishioners, including traffic safety, noise, air quality, and overall compatibility with church activities. Requiring the project to return in a redesigned form that complies with the East Colorado Specific Plan's maximum height of approximately 51 feet and that undergoes a thorough environmental analysis would better align the development with the surrounding neighborhood and sensitive institutions. This approach would help preserve community trust while still supporting Pasadena's broader innovation goals. Approving the project as proposed would set a troubling precedent for placing intensified R&D uses adjacent to churches and other sensitive community institutions in areas not clearly intended for such development under Pasadena's planning framework. I respectfully urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to move forward only in a form that reflects both economic ambition and respect for our church community and shared values.

Sincerely,  
Michael GRACE

San Marino, CA 91108-1425 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 11:48 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** 1364 East Green Street - Changes Needed

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: 1364 East Green Street - Changes Needed

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I support Pasadena's efforts to attract research, innovation, and life sciences investment. However, I am asking you to grant the appeal of the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street and not allow it to proceed in its current form. Those economic goals depend on clear siting rules, appropriate transitions in scale, and public confidence in the planning process—particularly when projects are proposed near schools, churches, and established neighborhoods. In this case, the project advanced without a full environmental review and at a scale that exceeded what was envisioned for this location under the East Colorado Specific Plan. Granting the appeal would provide an appropriate opportunity to require a full review and refine the project's scale so it aligns with adopted plans before approvals vest. Allowing the approval to stand would set a precedent for expanded R&D development on similar neighborhood-serving streets, increasing the likelihood of future appeals and disputes. Granting the appeal would strengthen predictability and trust in Pasadena's land-use decisions. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Torres

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 12:21 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Respect Community Input for 1364 E Green St

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Respect Community Input for 1364 E Green St

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a former parent of the school and a current parish member. St. Philip's is near and dear to our hearts and we feel strongly the proposed build will only have negative affect on its future. St. Philips was a wonderful start to our 3 children's education. If I had to do it all over again I would absolutely enroll all 3 at St Philip the Apostle. However, with this proposed build, I would probably think twice about this decision. Please do not allow the 1364 E. Green Street project to proceed in its current form, and grant the appeal. I support development, innovation, and Pasadena's role as a center for research and life sciences. At the same time, the East Colorado Specific Plan was developed through extensive public engagement, with hundreds of residents participating in person and thousands more providing input online. That process was intended to meaningfully guide where and how growth should occur. This project moved forward without a full environmental review and at a scale that exceeds what was envisioned for this location. Setting aside the ECSP's guidance here, especially for a project next to a school, a church, and homes, risks undermining the community vision that informed the plan. Approving the project in its current form would set a precedent for enhanced R&D development in locations not clearly intended under adopted plans. Granting the appeal would allow the City to honor its planning process while refining the project to better align with community expectations. Please take the concerns of the parish and school members seriously and grant the appeal! This proposed project cannot move forward at this time.

Sincerely,  
Cortney Vanis

Altadena, CA 91001 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 12:25 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Parishioner Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Parishioner Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a parishioner deeply concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its potential impact on our church community. I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation, economic growth, and research and development. At the same time, churches play a vital role in our city as places of worship, reflection, education, and service. These missions depend on safe, accessible, and appropriately scaled surroundings that respect sensitive uses and neighborhood character. The proposed project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the currently proposed scale. Proceeding without a full environmental review—and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street—raises serious concerns for parishioners, including traffic safety, noise, air quality, and overall compatibility with church activities. Requiring the project to return in a redesigned form that complies with the East Colorado Specific Plan's maximum height of approximately 51 feet and that undergoes a thorough environmental analysis would better align the development with the surrounding neighborhood and sensitive institutions. This approach would help preserve community trust while still supporting Pasadena's broader innovation goals. Approving the project as proposed would set a troubling precedent for placing intensified R&D uses adjacent to churches and other sensitive community institutions in areas not clearly intended for such development under Pasadena's planning framework. I respectfully urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to move forward only in a form that reflects both economic ambition and respect for our church community and shared values.

Sincerely,  
Justin Malloy

Pasadena , CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 12:50 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Urgent: Child Safety Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Urgent: Child Safety Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent of a child at St. Philip the Apostle School, located just steps from the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, and I am writing with urgent concerns about the safety of our children and community if this project moves forward as proposed. This development would place major construction activity, increased traffic, and an R&D facility with undefined research uses directly next to a school and church. As a parent, I find it deeply troubling that children could be exposed to construction hazards, unsafe traffic conditions on Green Street, and unknown operational risks without a clear and reassuring environmental and safety analysis. While I support responsible development and value Caltech's role in Pasadena, projects adjacent to schools demand the highest level of caution and transparency. At this time, those standards have not been met. Allowing this project to proceed without a stronger review places children and families at unnecessary risk. I respectfully but firmly urge the City Council to pause this proposal, require a comprehensive safety and environmental review, uphold existing height limits, and ensure R&D uses are restricted to appropriate commercial or industrial corridors—not neighborhood streets next to schools. Please act now to protect our children and prevent irreversible impacts to our school and neighborhood.

Sincerely,  
Cherlene Jehue

Sierra Madre, CA 91024 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 12:53 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** 1364 East Green Street Needs a Closer Look

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: 1364 East Green Street Needs a Closer Look

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I'm writing as a community member to request that you grant the appeal for the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street. I support growth, innovation, and the retention of life sciences jobs in Pasadena. I don't see this as being against development. It's about making sure development fits its surroundings and is reviewed carefully, especially when it's next to a school, a church, and homes. From what I understand, this project moved forward without a full environmental review and at a larger scale than originally envisioned for this part of Green Street. Granting the appeal would give the City a chance to take a closer look and request a redesign at a more neighborhood-appropriate scale, consistent with the East Colorado Specific Plan (around 51 feet). Approving it as-is could affect other neighborhoods, too. Taking a step back here would help build trust and make future projects easier. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Lilian Pereira

San Dimas , CA 91773 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 1:29 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Immediate Action Required: Child Safety Risks at 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Immediate Action Required: Child Safety Risks at 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent of a child at St. Philip the Apostle School, located immediately adjacent to the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street. I am writing to state plainly that this project, as proposed, places children at risk and should not be allowed to proceed in its current form. This proposal would introduce large-scale construction, increased traffic on a narrow neighborhood street, and an R&D building with undefined research activities, all directly next to a school and a church. As a parent, I find it unacceptable that children could be exposed to construction hazards, unsafe traffic conditions, and unknown operational risks without a completed and credible environmental and safety analysis. Projects next to schools require a higher standard of care. That standard has not been met here. The lack of clarity about the nature of the research, combined with the project's scale and its location on a neighborhood street, represents a failure to adequately protect sensitive uses—especially children. I support responsible development and Caltech's presence in Pasadena. However, I do not support placing experimental or high-intensity R&D uses next to a school without clear limits, transparency, and proven safety. I am asking the City Council to stop this project, require a full and meaningful safety and environmental review, enforce existing height and zoning limits, and ensure R&D facilities are confined to appropriate commercial and industrial corridors—not residential streets with schools. This is not an abstract planning issue. It is a real and immediate safety concern for children. I urge you to act accordingly.

Sincerely,  
Angela Alo

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

## Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 1:39 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Growth That Respects Our Community- 1364 Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Growth That Respects Our Community- 1364 Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a resident who supports thoughtful development, world-class innovation, and the important role institutions like Caltech play in our beautiful city. I believe Pasadena can continue to grow and thrive. At the same time, growth must be safe, transparent, and compatible with the neighborhoods in which it is proposed. I am concerned that the proposed R&D project near Green Street has not been adequately demonstrated to be safe, given its location next to a grade school, an active church, and established residential neighborhoods. The environmental review does not appear to sufficiently address potential health, traffic, and quality-of-life impacts on these sensitive uses. Growth should never come at the expense of students, parishioners, families, and neighbors who rely on these spaces every day. I respectfully ask the City Council to reverse the Design Commission's approval and require a proper and comprehensive environmental analysis before the project moves forward. Decisions of this magnitude should be grounded in clear, thorough information so the community can have confidence that impacts are fully understood and responsibly addressed. I also believe reasonable compromises are possible. A project limited to 51 feet in height, supported by a satisfactory environmental review demonstrating it can operate safely in this location, would better reflect balanced growth. More broadly, I encourage the Council to revisit the November 2023 General Plan modification that allowed R&D facilities citywide. R&D facilities with increased height allowances should be concentrated along established commercial corridors such as Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake areas designed to accommodate larger-scale development—rather than on streets directly adjacent to residential neighborhoods. Pasadena's long history of careful planning is one of its strengths. I urge you to ensure that growth enhances our community, protects neighborhood livability, and maintains public trust in the planning process. Thank you for your service and your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Kelly Duncan

Pasadena, CA 91104 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 2:08 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parishioner and Parent's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parishioner and Parent's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a parishioner of St. Philip the Apostle Church, a parent of three St. Philip the Apostle School students, and a member of a family spanning three generations of parishioners and students. I am deeply concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and what it would mean for our faith community, our children, the priests who live only feet from the proposed building site, the Pasadena City College daycare center, and nearby residents. Our church and school are more than buildings. For decades they have been places of worship, reflection, healing, and service where families gather, children learn, seniors find companionship, and people seek peace. These purposes depend on a surrounding environment that is safe, accessible, and respectful of sensitive uses. I support Pasadena's vision for innovation and economic growth and appreciate the contributions of institutions such as Caltech. However, progress must be balanced with responsibility. Growth should not come at the expense of residents, especially children, the elderly, and the institutions that anchor community life. The proposed project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location, nor that it is appropriately scaled for a street shared with a church, school, daycare center, and homes. Approving the project without a full environmental review, and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this area, places parishioners, students, and our mission at risk. Traffic, noise, air quality, and safety are not abstract concerns. They directly affect how people attend worship, how children arrive at school, how elders navigate the neighborhood, and how our church serves the broader community. A redesigned project that respects the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergoes thorough environmental and safety review, including consideration of security planning appropriate for schools and places of worship, would demonstrate care for the people who live, worship, learn, and work here while still supporting innovation. Approving the project as proposed would send the message that churches, schools, and other sensitive institutions are secondary considerations in Pasadena's growth. I respectfully urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that reflects not only economic ambition, but also stewardship, balance, and respect for community well-being.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Bowler

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

## Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 2:14 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Protect Pasadena Neighborhoods – 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Protect Pasadena Neighborhoods – 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I attended a wedding last year! in Pasadena I love the peaceful location of the church. What a sad situation it will be, to have the church be the equivalent of a 5 story building (79 feet high) next to it! Please let Pasadena stay peaceful

Sincerely,  
petronella (Ella) Selders

La Canada, CA 91011 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

## Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 2:27 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Protecting Pasadena's Schools, Churches, and Planning Traditions- 1364 East Green

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Protecting Pasadena's Schools, Churches, and Planning Traditions- 1364 East Green

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a Pasadena resident who values our city's long tradition of thoughtful planning, strong neighborhoods, and respect for community institutions. Pasadena has always balanced innovation with care — protecting its schools, churches, and residential areas while allowing appropriate growth. I am writing because I believe that balance is at risk. I am deeply concerned about the proposed R&D project near Green Street, given its location next to a grade school, an active church, and established homes. Schools and churches are among the most sensitive and important places in our community. Students should be able to learn without unnecessary risk, and parishioners should be able to worship and gather in peace and safety. The current environmental review does not appear to fully address potential health, traffic, and quality-of-life impacts on these sensitive uses. Pasadena has long been known for careful zoning and planning standards that protect vulnerable institutions and preserve community character. Recent broad amendments that allow R&D facilities citywide, particularly those with increased height allowances, raise serious concerns when applied directly adjacent to schools and churches. Higher-intensity uses should be concentrated along established commercial corridors such as Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake — not placed immediately beside places of worship, education, and family life. I respectfully ask the City Council to reverse the Design Commission's approval and require a comprehensive environmental review before this project proceeds. Decisions affecting schools and churches deserve the highest level of scrutiny and transparency. Pasadena's tradition of responsible planning is one of its greatest strengths. I urge you to uphold that tradition by ensuring that growth never compromises the safety of our students, the sanctity of our churches, or the trust residents place in their city government. Thank you for your service and your careful consideration.

Sincerely,  
CARLOS BRUNER

TEMPLE CITY, CA 91780 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 2:32 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Concerns about the project at 1364 E Green St

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Concerns about the project at 1364 E Green St

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I respectfully ask that you grant the appeal of the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street, rather than allowing it to proceed in its current form. I support responsible development and innovation in Pasadena. However, this project's scale and location—adjacent to a school, a church, and a residential neighborhood—were advanced without sufficient environmental and safety review. In addition, the 2023 R&D zoning amendments did not clearly resolve how expanded R&D allowances should apply on neighborhood-serving streets near sensitive uses. Allowing this approval to stand would set a precedent for similar projects on comparable streets before these policy questions are fully addressed, increasing the likelihood of future appeals and disputes. Granting the appeal would provide an appropriate opportunity to require additional environmental analysis and to refine the project so it can proceed in a form consistent with adopted plans. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Paula Trujillo

North Hollywood, CA 91605 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

## Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:12 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a parishioner who loves this church and is deeply concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and what it could mean for our faith community. Our church is more than a building. It is a place of worship, reflection, healing, and service. It is where families gather, children learn, seniors find community, and people seek peace. These sacred purposes depend on a surrounding environment that is safe, accessible, and respectful of sensitive uses. I support Pasadena's vision for innovation and economic growth, and I value the contributions of institutions like Caltech. But growth should never come at the expense of the places that anchor our community. The proposed project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location, nor that it is appropriately scaled for a street shared with a church. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height beyond what was envisioned for this area, puts parishioners and our mission at risk. Traffic, noise, air quality, and safety are not abstract concerns for us. They affect how people arrive for worship, how children and elders move through the neighborhood, and how our church serves the broader community. A redesigned project that respects the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergoes thorough environmental review would show care for both innovation and the people who live, worship, and serve here. Approving this project as proposed would send a painful message—that churches and other sensitive institutions can be treated as afterthoughts in Pasadena's growth. I urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that reflects not only economic ambition, but compassion, stewardship, and respect for our shared community values.

Sincerely,  
Mary Gilbaugh

San Marino, CA 91108 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

## Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 3:22 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Please Do Not Approve 1364 E Green St as Currently Proposed

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Please Do Not Approve 1364 E Green St as Currently Proposed

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I value Pasadena's commitment to innovation, research, and economic growth. At the same time, our city has invested significant effort in establishing clear plans to guide where that growth belongs and how it should relate to surrounding neighborhoods, schools, and community institutions. In this case, the project moved forward without a full environmental review and at a scale that goes beyond what was envisioned for this location under the East Colorado Specific Plan. Granting the appeal would provide an opportunity to take a closer look, require an appropriate review, and consider a smaller, neighborhood-scale approach consistent with the adopted guidelines. Allowing the approval to stand would set a precedent that extends beyond this site. Granting the appeal would demonstrate that Pasadena's planning framework—and the public input behind it—continues to matter as the city grows. Thank you.  
p.s. We are one of the many Altadena families who lost our home of 33 years in the Eaton Fire. While we know things must change in our community, we encourage a more thoughtful size-appropriate building for this location. Our daughter is a proud St. Philip graduate who works in research on the East coast. We support R&D, but it needs to be done with consideration to the neighborhood.

Sincerely,  
Colleen Welsh

Altadena, CA, CA 91001-2157 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 4:02 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Respect Community Input for 1364 E Green St

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Respect Community Input for 1364 E Green St

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

Please do not allow the 1364 E. Green Street project to proceed in its current form, and grant the appeal. I support development, innovation, and Pasadena's role as a center for research and life sciences. At the same time, the East Colorado Specific Plan was developed through extensive public engagement, with hundreds of residents participating in person and thousands more providing input online. That process was intended to meaningfully guide where and how growth should occur. This project moved forward without a full environmental review and at a scale that exceeds what was envisioned for this location. Setting aside the ECSP's guidance here, especially for a project next to a school, a church, and homes, risks undermining the community vision that informed the plan. Approving the project in its current form would set a precedent for enhanced R&D development in locations not clearly intended under adopted plans. Granting the appeal would allow the City to honor its planning process while refining the project to better align with community expectations.

Sincerely,  
alyson pernecky

pasadena, CA 91103 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 4:39 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** 1364 East Green Street - Changes Needed

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: 1364 East Green Street - Changes Needed

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I support Pasadena's efforts to attract research, innovation, and life sciences investment. However, I am asking you to grant the appeal of the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street and not allow it to proceed in its current form. Those economic goals depend on clear siting rules, appropriate transitions in scale, and public confidence in the planning process—particularly when projects are proposed near schools, churches, and established neighborhoods. In this case, the project advanced without a full environmental review and at a scale that exceeded what was envisioned for this location under the East Colorado Specific Plan. Granting the appeal would provide an appropriate opportunity to require a full review and refine the project's scale so it aligns with adopted plans before approvals vest. Allowing the approval to stand would set a precedent for expanded R&D development on similar neighborhood-serving streets, increasing the likelihood of future appeals and disputes. Granting the appeal would strengthen predictability and trust in Pasadena's land-use decisions. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Enriqueta Avila

Los Angeles Ca, CA 90065 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:04 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Preserving What Makes Pasadena Pasadena- 1364 East Green Street Opposition

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Preserving What Makes Pasadena Pasadena- 1364 East Green Street Opposition

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a resident who cares deeply about our city and the qualities that make Pasadena unique. Our tree-lined streets, historic neighborhoods, human-scale buildings, and thoughtful planning traditions are not accidental — they are the result of decades of careful stewardship. Growth is part of any thriving city, and innovation has long been part of Pasadena’s identity. But growth must respect the character, scale, and livability of our neighborhoods. Increasingly, new developments feel oversized and out of proportion to their surroundings. When buildings loom over adjacent homes or dramatically alter the rhythm of a street, it chips away at the very character that draws people here. Preserving what makes Pasadena special does not mean rejecting change. It means ensuring that change enhances our community rather than overwhelms it. It means respecting established neighborhoods, protecting transitions between residential and commercial areas, and maintaining the human scale that defines our city. Just as important, it means restoring public confidence in the planning process. Residents need to know that long-standing standards matter, that community input is taken seriously, and that decisions are guided by clear principles — not simply by what can be built to the maximum limit. Pasadena’s strength has always been its balance: innovation alongside tradition, progress alongside preservation. I urge you to uphold that balance and ensure future development protects the character, charm, and livability that truly make Pasadena, Pasadena.

Sincerely,  
Harlan Thompson

η  
Pasadena, CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:06 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Preserve Pasadena's Scale and Soul- Reevaluate 1364 East Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Preserve Pasadena's Scale and Soul- Reevaluate 1364 East Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing not just as a resident, but as someone who truly loves this city. Pasadena is not simply where I live — it is home. It is the tree-lined streets I walk each evening, the historic homes that tell quiet stories of the past, the neighborhood churches, the front porches where neighbors greet one another, and the deep sense of belonging that comes from living in a place shaped carefully and thoughtfully over generations. The project at 1364 East Green Street threatens this ideal by encroaching on an established neighborhood and school. Like so many others, I chose Pasadena for its human scale, architectural beauty, and long-standing commitment to balanced, intentional planning. I chose it because it feels different. Grounded. Beautiful. Livable. There is a harmony here — between growth and preservation, between progress and respect for history — that makes this city special. Lately, however, I worry that we are losing that balance. New developments are growing larger and denser, often towering over neighboring homes and altering the very rhythm and feel of our streets. When buildings overwhelm their surroundings, we lose more than sightlines or skyline views — we lose a piece of our community's character. We lose the feeling that our neighborhoods were designed with people, not just numbers, in mind. This is not about resisting change or opposing growth. Pasadena has always evolved. But it has evolved with care. With intention. With respect for the neighborhoods that give this city its soul. When projects appear driven primarily by maximum height and density rather than compatibility and context, many residents feel unheard. We feel disconnected from decisions that profoundly shape the places we cherish. And that erosion of trust may be the most troubling loss of all. Preserving what makes Pasadena, Pasadena means protecting the scale, charm, and sense of place that cannot be recreated once it is gone. It means ensuring that growth enhances our community rather than overshadows it. And it means restoring confidence that our planning process reflects the values of thoughtful stewardship that built this city in the first place. I urge you to protect the character of our neighborhoods and to honor the legacy of care, balance, and respect that has defined Pasadena for generations. Pasadena is worth preserving — not just for today, but for those who will one day choose it as home, just as we did.

Sincerely,  
Michael Proctor

La Crescenta-Montrose, CA 91214-3016 Constituent

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:11 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as both a resident and a parishioner, and I believe that how we treat our sacred spaces reflects who we are as a city. To honor our church is to honor Pasadena itself. Our church is more than a structure. It is a historic landmark, a spiritual home, and a gathering place for generations of families. It is where we celebrate baptisms and weddings, mourn loved ones at funerals, and come each week seeking peace and reflection. It stands as part of the architectural and cultural fabric that gives Pasadena its identity. When large-scale development, such as the 1364 E. Green St. project, is proposed directly beside such a sacred and historic place, it raises serious concerns. Construction noise, truck traffic, vibration, and the sheer scale of adjacent buildings can disrupt worship and diminish the quiet dignity that a church requires. Sacred spaces deserve thoughtful protection, not simply technical compliance. Growth and innovation are important to our city's future. But they must be balanced with respect for our history, our neighborhoods, and the institutions that anchor our community life. Pasadena has long prided itself on careful planning and stewardship. Upholding that legacy means ensuring that development enhances — rather than overwhelms — the places that define us. I respectfully urge you to require a thorough review and an appropriate scale for any project near our church. By doing so, you will honor both a cherished house of worship and the values that have long guided Pasadena's development.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Koes

-----  
Pasadena, CA 91105 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:32 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Parishioner Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Parishioner Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a parishioner deeply concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its potential impact on our church community. I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation, economic growth, and research and development. At the same time, churches play a vital role in our city as places of worship, reflection, education, and service. These missions depend on safe, accessible, and appropriately scaled surroundings that respect sensitive uses and neighborhood character. The proposed project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the currently proposed scale. Proceeding without a full environmental review—and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street—raises serious concerns for parishioners, including traffic safety, noise, air quality, and overall compatibility with church activities. Requiring the project to return in a redesigned form that complies with the East Colorado Specific Plan's maximum height of approximately 51 feet and that undergoes a thorough environmental analysis would better align the development with the surrounding neighborhood and sensitive institutions. This approach would help preserve community trust while still supporting Pasadena's broader innovation goals. Approving the project as proposed would set a troubling precedent for placing intensified R&D uses adjacent to churches and other sensitive community institutions in areas not clearly intended for such development under Pasadena's planning framework. I respectfully urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to move forward only in a form that reflects both economic ambition and respect for our church community and shared values.

Sincerely,  
Brad Young

Pasadena, CA 91105 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

## Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 5:59 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Please Restore Confidence in Pasadena's Planning Process - 1364 E. Green St.

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Please Restore Confidence in Pasadena's Planning Process - 1364 E. Green St.

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a longtime Pasadena resident who cares deeply about our city and its future. I understand that growth is inevitable and that innovation and housing are important. However, I am increasingly concerned about the scale and density of recent developments and what they mean for our community's character. Many of the new buildings being approved seem simply too large for the neighborhoods where they are being placed. They overwhelm surrounding homes, change the scale of our streets, and leave residents wondering whether long-standing planning principles still guide decision-making. When projects appear out of proportion to their surroundings, it erodes public trust. Pasadena has long been known for thoughtful planning, human-scale neighborhoods, and careful transitions between commercial and residential areas. That tradition is one of the reasons many of us chose to live here. When dense, oversized developments are approved without clear justification or meaningful responsiveness to community concerns, it creates the perception that established standards are being set aside. This is not about opposing growth outright. It is about ensuring that growth is balanced, contextual, and consistent with our city's character. Development should enhance neighborhoods — not overshadow them. I respectfully urge the City Council to take steps to restore public confidence in the planning process. That means ensuring transparency, carefully evaluating scale and compatibility, and demonstrating that community input genuinely influences outcomes. Residents need to feel that planning decisions are guided by clear principles, not simply by what can be maximized on a parcel. Pasadena's strength has always been its ability to evolve while preserving what makes it special. I hope you will reaffirm that commitment and ensure that future development reflects the values and scale that define our city. Thank you for your time and service.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Hambleton

San Marino, CA 91108 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:00 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Growth That Respects Our Community- 1364 Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Growth That Respects Our Community- 1364 Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a resident who supports thoughtful development, world-class innovation, and the important role institutions like Caltech play in our beautiful city. I believe Pasadena can continue to grow and thrive. At the same time, growth must be safe, transparent, and compatible with the neighborhoods in which it is proposed. I am concerned that the proposed R&D project near Green Street has not been adequately demonstrated to be safe, given its location next to a grade school, an active church, and established residential neighborhoods. The environmental review does not appear to sufficiently address potential health, traffic, and quality-of-life impacts on these sensitive uses. Growth should never come at the expense of students, parishioners, families, and neighbors who rely on these spaces every day. I respectfully ask the City Council to reverse the Design Commission's approval and require a proper and comprehensive environmental analysis before the project moves forward. Decisions of this magnitude should be grounded in clear, thorough information so the community can have confidence that impacts are fully understood and responsibly addressed. I also believe reasonable compromises are possible. A project limited to 51 feet in height, supported by a satisfactory environmental review demonstrating it can operate safely in this location, would better reflect balanced growth. More broadly, I encourage the Council to revisit the November 2023 General Plan modification that allowed R&D facilities citywide. R&D facilities with increased height allowances should be concentrated along established commercial corridors such as Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake areas designed to accommodate larger-scale development—rather than on streets directly adjacent to residential neighborhoods. Pasadena's long history of careful planning is one of its strengths. I urge you to ensure that growth enhances our community, protects neighborhood livability, and maintains public trust in the planning process. Thank you for your service and your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Georgina Maechling

Pasadena, CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:02 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Call for Safe and Transparent Planning (1364 E. Green Street)

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Call for Safe and Transparent Planning (1364 E. Green Street)

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a resident who supports thoughtful development, world-class innovation, and the important role institutions like Caltech play in our beautiful city. At the same time, we believe development must be safe, transparent, and compatible with the neighborhoods in which it is proposed. I am concerned that the proposed R&D project at 1364 E. Green Street has not been adequately demonstrated to be safe, given its location next to a grade school, an active church, and established residential neighborhoods. In particular, we believe the environmental review has not sufficiently addressed potential health, traffic, and quality-of-life impacts on these sensitive uses. I respectfully ask the City Council to reverse the Design Commission's approval and require a proper and comprehensive environmental analysis before the project proceeds. This step is essential to ensuring that decisions are based on sound information and that community impacts are fully understood and appropriately addressed. I also believe reasonable compromises are possible. Community representatives have indicated a willingness to support a project limited to 51 feet in height, provided it is accompanied by a satisfactory environmental review demonstrating that it can operate safely in this location. More broadly, we urge the City Council to revisit its November 2023 General Plan modification that allows R&D facilities citywide. R&D facilities with increased height allowances should be concentrated along established commercial corridors such as Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake—not on streets directly adjacent to residential neighborhoods, including Green Street. Finally, I am concerned that recent broad amendments to the R&D code were adopted without sufficient consideration of their potential impact on nearby schools, churches, homes, and families. If this can occur on Holliston, it could happen elsewhere. These changes risk introducing health, traffic, and other hazards into areas that were previously protected by longstanding zoning and planning standards. We ask the City Council to take action to ensure responsible development, protect neighborhood livability, and restore public confidence in the planning process.

Sincerely,  
James Anawalt

↳ Pasadena , CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:08 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Concerns about the project at 1364 E Green St

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Concerns about the project at 1364 E Green St

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I respectfully ask that you grant the appeal of the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street, rather than allowing it to proceed in its current form. I support responsible development and innovation in Pasadena. However, this project's scale and location—adjacent to a school, a church, and a residential neighborhood—were advanced without sufficient environmental and safety review. In addition, the 2023 R&D zoning amendments did not clearly resolve how expanded R&D allowances should apply on neighborhood-serving streets near sensitive uses. Allowing this approval to stand would set a precedent for similar projects on comparable streets before these policy questions are fully addressed, increasing the likelihood of future appeals and disputes. Granting the appeal would provide an appropriate opportunity to require additional environmental analysis and to refine the project so it can proceed in a form consistent with adopted plans. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Caroline Botsford

Pasadena, CA 91105 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:11 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Protect Pasadena Neighborhoods – 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Protect Pasadena Neighborhoods – 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I support responsible development and innovation in Pasadena, but projects must respect our neighborhoods, schools, and churches. The proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street does not meet these standards. While I value Caltech's contributions, this project has not been shown to be safe or aligned with our community vision. Pasadena residents deserve developments that: Follow the height limits: maximum 51 feet, as set in the Specific Plan. Ensure safety: Through thorough environmental review, protect residents and sensitive uses. Respect land-use priorities: R&D projects belong in commercial and industrial corridors, not on small streets like Holliston, Catalina, and Green. Recent broad code amendments have opened the door to developments that risk traffic, health, and safety in our neighborhoods. Pasadena's growth should strengthen—not threaten—our community. I urge the City Council to take a stand for Pasadena residents, enforce responsible standards, and ensure that 1364 E. Green Street proceeds only if it truly protects neighborhoods, schools, and churches. Our city's character, safety, and quality of life are at stake. Please ensure this project reflects Pasadena's vision and values.

Sincerely,  
Oceanna Jones

Pasadena, CA 91105 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:17 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Please Do Not Approve 1364 E Green St as Currently Proposed

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Please Do Not Approve 1364 E Green St as Currently Proposed

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I value Pasadena's commitment to innovation, research, and economic growth. At the same time, our city has invested significant effort in establishing clear plans to guide where that growth belongs and how it should relate to surrounding neighborhoods, schools, and community institutions. In this case, the project moved forward without a full environmental review and at a scale that goes beyond what was envisioned for this location under the East Colorado Specific Plan. Granting the appeal would provide an opportunity to take a closer look, require an appropriate review, and consider a smaller, neighborhood-scale approach consistent with the adopted guidelines. Allowing the approval to stand would set a precedent that extends beyond this site. Granting the appeal would demonstrate that Pasadena's planning framework—and the public input behind it—continues to matter as the city grows. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Kelly Dunn

Pasadena, CA 91105 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:20 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Preserve Pasadena's Scale and Soul- Reevaluate 1364 East Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Preserve Pasadena's Scale and Soul- Reevaluate 1364 East Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing not just as a resident, but as someone who truly loves this city. Pasadena is not simply where I live — it is home. It is the tree-lined streets I walk each evening, the historic homes that tell quiet stories of the past, the neighborhood churches, the front porches where neighbors greet one another, and the deep sense of belonging that comes from living in a place shaped carefully and thoughtfully over generations. The project at 1364 East Green Street threatens this ideal by encroaching on an established neighborhood and school. Like so many others, I chose Pasadena for its human scale, architectural beauty, and long-standing commitment to balanced, intentional planning. I chose it because it feels different. Grounded. Beautiful. Livable. There is a harmony here — between growth and preservation, between progress and respect for history — that makes this city special. Lately, however, I worry that we are losing that balance. New developments are growing larger and denser, often towering over neighboring homes and altering the very rhythm and feel of our streets. When buildings overwhelm their surroundings, we lose more than sightlines or skyline views — we lose a piece of our community's character. We lose the feeling that our neighborhoods were designed with people, not just numbers, in mind. This is not about resisting change or opposing growth. Pasadena has always evolved. But it has evolved with care. With intention. With respect for the neighborhoods that give this city its soul. When projects appear driven primarily by maximum height and density rather than compatibility and context, many residents feel unheard. We feel disconnected from decisions that profoundly shape the places we cherish. And that erosion of trust may be the most troubling loss of all. Preserving what makes Pasadena, Pasadena means protecting the scale, charm, and sense of place that cannot be recreated once it is gone. It means ensuring that growth enhances our community rather than overshadows it. And it means restoring confidence that our planning process reflects the values of thoughtful stewardship that built this city in the first place. I urge you to protect the character of our neighborhoods and to honor the legacy of care, balance, and respect that has defined Pasadena for generations. Pasadena is worth preserving — not just for today, but for those who will one day choose it as home, just as we did.

Sincerely,  
Kailey Lyddon

Pasadena , CA 91107 Constituent

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:22 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** 1364 East Green Street - Changes Needed

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: 1364 East Green Street - Changes Needed

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I support Pasadena's efforts to attract research, innovation, and life sciences investment. However, I am asking you to grant the appeal of the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street and not allow it to proceed in its current form. Those economic goals depend on clear siting rules, appropriate transitions in scale, and public confidence in the planning process—particularly when projects are proposed near schools, churches, and established neighborhoods. In this case, the project advanced without a full environmental review and at a scale that exceeded what was envisioned for this location under the East Colorado Specific Plan. Granting the appeal would provide an appropriate opportunity to require a full review and refine the project's scale so it aligns with adopted plans before approvals vest. Allowing the approval to stand would set a precedent for expanded R&D development on similar neighborhood-serving streets, increasing the likelihood of future appeals and disputes. Granting the appeal would strengthen predictability and trust in Pasadena's land-use decisions. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Jack Lyddon

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:45 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** 1364 East Green Street Needs a Closer Look

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: 1364 East Green Street Needs a Closer Look

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

City of Pasadena, I'm writing as a community member to request that you grant the appeal for the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street. I support growth, innovation, and the retention of life sciences jobs in Pasadena. I don't see this as being against development. It's about making sure development fits its surroundings and is reviewed carefully, especially when it's next to a school, a church, and homes. From what I understand, this project moved forward without a full environmental review and at a larger scale than originally envisioned for this part of Green Street. Granting the appeal would give the City a chance to take a closer look and request a redesign at a more neighborhood-appropriate scale, consistent with the East Colorado Specific Plan (around 51 feet). Approving it as-is could affect other neighborhoods, too. Taking a step back here would help build trust and make future projects easier. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Anneke Greco

r. Pasadena, CA 91103-2776 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:47 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Responsible Growth: Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street Proposal

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Responsible Growth: Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street Proposal

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

We are residents who support thoughtful development, innovation, and the important role institutions like Caltech play in our city. At the same time, we believe development must be safe, transparent, and compatible with the neighborhoods in which it is proposed. We are concerned that the current R&D project has not been adequately shown to be safe given its location next to a school, a church, and established residential neighborhoods. In particular, we believe the environmental review has not sufficiently addressed potential health, traffic, and quality-of-life impacts on these sensitive uses. We respectfully ask the City Council to reverse the Design Committee's approval and require a proper and comprehensive environmental analysis before this project proceeds. This step is essential to ensuring that decisions are based on sound information and that community impacts are fully understood. We also want to note that reasonable compromises are possible. Community representatives have indicated a willingness to support a project limited to 51 feet in height, provided it is accompanied by a satisfactory environmental review that demonstrates it can operate safely in this location. More broadly, we urge the Council to revisit its November 2023 modification of the General Plan that allowed R&D facilities citywide. We believe that R&D facilities with increased height allowances should be concentrated along established commercial corridors such as Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake, rather than on streets directly adjacent to residential neighborhoods, including Green Street. Finally, we are concerned that recent broad amendments to the R&D code were adopted without sufficient consideration of how they could impact nearby schools, churches, and homes. These changes risk introducing health, traffic, and other hazards into areas that were previously protected by zoning and planning standards. We ask the Council to take action to ensure responsible development, protect neighborhood livability, and restore public confidence in the planning process. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,  
Cory Nasoff

Pasadena, CA 91105 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:47 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street Development Proposal

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street Development Proposal

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

As a resident of Pasadena, I am writing to express my support for responsible development and innovation in our city, while emphasizing the importance of projects that are contextual and protect sensitive uses. The proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street raises significant concerns regarding its compatibility with our neighborhoods, schools, and churches. While I support Caltech and its contributions to our community, this project has not been shown to meet safety standards or to align with the Pasadena community's priorities as outlined in our adopted plans. I respectfully request that the City Council consider the following: Adherence to Maximum Height Limits: The project should comply with the Specific Plan's maximum height of 51 feet. This ensures developments remain compatible with the surrounding neighborhood context. Comprehensive Environmental Review: Any approval should be contingent upon a thorough environmental analysis demonstrating that operations will not pose risks to residents or sensitive uses. Responsible Land Use: Recent amendments to the municipal code have allowed projects that may introduce health, traffic, and safety hazards to nearby neighborhoods. I encourage the Council to revisit its November 2023 modification to the R&D Land Use regulations, which should limit developments to commercial and industrial corridors (e.g., Fair Oaks, Raymond, Arroyo Parkway, Lake Avenue, and Colorado Blvd) rather than to minor streets such as Holliston, Catalina, and Green. Pasadena's growth should be safe, contextually appropriate, and enhance the community. I urge the City Council to ensure that the 1364 E. Green Street project proceeds only if it meets these standards and reflects our city's vision and values. Thank you for your attention to these concerns and your continued service to our community.

Sincerely,  
Gillian Sutton

Pasadena, CA 91104 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:04 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street Development

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street Development

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I support innovation and development in Pasadena, but projects must respect our neighborhoods, schools, and churches. The proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street does not meet these standards. While I support Caltech and its contributions, this project has not demonstrated that it is safe or compatible with our community vision. I urge the Council to require: Compliance with height limits: Maximum 51 feet as outlined in the Specific Plan. Full environmental review: To ensure operations do not endanger residents or sensitive uses. Responsible land use: R&D projects should be limited to commercial and industrial corridors, such as Fair Oaks, Raymond, Arroyo Parkway, Lake Avenue, and Colorado Blvd—not to minor streets such as Holliston, Catalina, and Green. Recent broad code amendments have allowed developments that create traffic, health, and safety risks for neighbors. Pasadena deserves growth that is safe, contextual, and enhances the community. The 1364 E. Green Street project should proceed only if it aligns with these principles. I urge the Council to protect Pasadena's neighborhoods and ensure responsible, community-focused development.

Sincerely,  
Jackie Gilfillan

 San Gabriel, CA 91775 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:06 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Protect Pasadena Neighborhoods – 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Protect Pasadena Neighborhoods – 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I support responsible development and innovation in Pasadena, but projects must respect our neighborhoods, schools, and churches. The proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street does not meet these standards. While I value Caltech's contributions, this project has not been shown to be safe or aligned with our community vision. Pasadena residents deserve developments that: Follow the height limits: maximum 51 feet, as set in the Specific Plan. Ensure safety: Through thorough environmental review, protect residents and sensitive uses. Respect land-use priorities: R&D projects belong in commercial and industrial corridors, not on small streets like Holliston, Catalina, and Green. Recent broad code amendments have opened the door to developments that risk traffic, health, and safety in our neighborhoods. Pasadena's growth should strengthen—not threaten—our community. I urge the City Council to take a stand for Pasadena residents, enforce responsible standards, and ensure that 1364 E. Green Street proceeds only if it truly protects neighborhoods, schools, and churches. Our city's character, safety, and quality of life are at stake. Please ensure this project reflects Pasadena's vision and values.

Sincerely,  
Charles Gilfillan

Pasadena, CA 91105 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:06 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Protect Pasadena Neighborhoods – 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Protect Pasadena Neighborhoods – 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I support responsible development and innovation in Pasadena, but projects must respect our neighborhoods, schools, and churches. The proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street does not meet these standards. While I value Caltech's contributions, this project has not been shown to be safe or aligned with our community vision. Pasadena residents deserve developments that: Follow the height limits: maximum 51 feet, as set in the Specific Plan. Ensure safety: Through thorough environmental review, protect residents and sensitive uses. Respect land-use priorities: R&D projects belong in commercial and industrial corridors, not on small streets like Holliston, Catalina, and Green. Recent broad code amendments have opened the door to developments that risk traffic, health, and safety in our neighborhoods. Pasadena's growth should strengthen—not threaten—our community. I urge the City Council to take a stand for Pasadena residents, enforce responsible standards, and ensure that 1364 E. Green Street proceeds only if it truly protects neighborhoods, schools, and churches. Our city's character, safety, and quality of life are at stake. Please ensure this project reflects Pasadena's vision and values.

Sincerely,  
Kristofer Jones

Pasadena, CA 91105 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:11 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Safeguarding Sacred Spaces- St. Philip the Apostle

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Safeguarding Sacred Spaces- St. Philip the Apostle

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a resident who believes that sacred spaces deserve special care and protection. Churches are not simply buildings — they are places of worship, reflection, community, and comfort during life’s most important moments. They are where families gather for baptisms, weddings, funerals, and weekly prayer. They are anchors of stability in our neighborhoods. I am concerned about development proposals, such as the 1364 E. Green Street project, that place large, high-intensity projects directly beside active houses of worship. Construction noise, heavy truck traffic, vibration, and long-term operational impacts can disrupt services and diminish the peaceful environment these spaces require. Sacred spaces should not be treated like ordinary parcels of land. Growth is important, but it must be balanced with respect for community institutions. Pasadena has long taken pride in thoughtful planning that protects historic buildings and sensitive uses. That tradition should continue by ensuring projects adjacent to churches undergo the highest level of environmental scrutiny and are scaled appropriately to their surroundings. Safeguarding sacred spaces is not about resisting progress — it is about preserving the spiritual and cultural foundations that hold our community together. I respectfully urge the Council to prioritize the protection of our churches and ensure that development decisions reflect the care these institutions deserve.

Sincerely,  
Fernando Barron

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

## Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:14 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Parent Safety Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Parent Safety Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent of a child at St. Philip the Apostle School, located near the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, and I am writing to express serious concerns about this project's proximity to a school, church, and residential neighborhood. As a parent, I am particularly worried about construction activity next to our children, increased and unsafe traffic on Green Street, and the placement of an R&D building with unknown research uses adjacent to a school. At this time, it has not been clearly demonstrated that this project would be safe or appropriate for such a sensitive location. I support responsible development and Caltech's contributions to Pasadena, but projects near schools must meet the highest standards for safety, scale, and transparency. I respectfully ask the City Council to require a stronger environmental and safety review, uphold existing height limits, and ensure R&D uses are located in appropriate commercial or industrial corridors—not on neighborhood streets next to schools. Please put the safety of children and families first and reconsider allowing this project to proceed in its current form.

Sincerely,  
Ana Shephard

Pasadena, CA 91104 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:46 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parishioner's Personal Concern About 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parishioner's Personal Concern About 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a longtime Catholic parishioner who attends Mass regularly and cares deeply about our church and its future. For many of us, this parish is the center of our spiritual lives. It is where I come each week to pray, find peace, and feel close to God. Our church, designed by Roland Coate, is a beautiful and historic place, and the quiet and reverence of the space mean so much to those of us who have worshipped here for many years. I support Pasadena's growth and understand the importance of innovation, but I worry that the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street would make it very difficult for parishioners like me. Construction often begins as early as 7:00 in the morning, and the noise, heavy trucks, and ground vibration could easily disrupt daily and weekend Masses, funerals, weddings, and times of prayer. Many parishioners are older and move more slowly, and increased traffic and noise would make attending Mass more stressful and less safe. I am also concerned that vibration could affect our historic church building, which was never meant to sit next to a large-scale project like this. Catholic teaching reminds us to care for one another and work for the common good (*Gaudium et Spes*). I do not feel that approving this project without a full environmental review reflects that care. I respectfully ask the City Council to require that this project follow the 51-foot height limit in the East Colorado Specific Plan and undergo a thorough environmental review that truly considers noise, vibration, and construction hours. Please allow this project to return in a form that respects our church, our worship, and the people who depend on it. Thank you for listening to my concerns and for protecting the communities that make Pasadena special.

Sincerely,  
Ann Sanders

Pasadena, CA 91104 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:46 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Parishioner Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Parishioner Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a parishioner deeply concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its potential impact on our church community. I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation, economic growth, and research and development. At the same time, churches play a vital role in our city as places of worship, reflection, education, and service. These missions depend on safe, accessible, and appropriately scaled surroundings that respect sensitive uses and neighborhood character. The proposed project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the currently proposed scale. Proceeding without a full environmental review—and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street—raises serious concerns for parishioners, including traffic safety, noise, air quality, and overall compatibility with church activities. Requiring the project to return in a redesigned form that complies with the East Colorado Specific Plan's maximum height of approximately 51 feet and that undergoes a thorough environmental analysis would better align the development with the surrounding neighborhood and sensitive institutions. This approach would help preserve community trust while still supporting Pasadena's broader innovation goals. Approving the project as proposed would set a troubling precedent for placing intensified R&D uses adjacent to churches and other sensitive community institutions in areas not clearly intended for such development under Pasadena's planning framework. I respectfully urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to move forward only in a form that reflects both economic ambition and respect for our church community and shared values.

Sincerely,  
Ezequiel Leon

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:46 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** 1364 E. Green Street Opposition - Protecting Our Historic Parish and Worship

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: 1364 E. Green Street Opposition - Protecting Our Historic Parish and Worship

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

Please read!!! This is so important!!! I am writing as a Catholic parishioner concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred and historic place of worship, designed by renowned architect Roland Coate, whose work is an important part of Pasadena's architectural heritage. This church is not only a place of prayer and sacrament, but a cultural and spiritual landmark that serves generations of families. Catholic Social Teaching calls us to uphold the common good and protect human dignity (*Gaudium et Spes*), values that depend on a surrounding environment that is safe, respectful, and peaceful. While I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation and institutions such as Caltech, this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale proposed. Construction associated with a project of this intensity—often beginning as early as 7:00 a.m. and continuing for many hours each day—raises serious concerns for our parish. Noise, heavy equipment, truck traffic, and ground vibration threaten to disrupt Masses, funerals, weddings, religious education, and times of prayer and reflection. Vibration is of particular concern given the church's historic design and materials. Prolonged construction activity could place stress on a structure never intended to be adjacent to large-scale R&D development, risking both physical damage and the loss of the quiet reverence essential to worship. Approving the project without a full environmental review, and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street, places an unfair burden on a historic church and its parishioners. I respectfully urge the City Council to require that this project comply with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergo a thorough environmental review that fully addresses construction noise, vibration, and hours of operation. Please grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that reflects stewardship, protects our historic parish, and respects the sacred nature of worship.

Sincerely,  
Julie Esch

Pasadena, CA 91101-4505 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:48 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Parishioner Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Parishioner Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a Catholic parishioner concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred place of worship, sacrament, and service. Catholic Social Teaching reminds us that decisions affecting our city must serve the common good and protect human dignity (Gaudium et Spes). These values depend on a surrounding environment that is safe, accessible, and respectful of sensitive uses like churches. While I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation and institutions such as Caltech, this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale proposed. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height beyond what was envisioned for this street, raises serious concerns for parishioners—especially families, children, and elderly members of our community. I respectfully urge the City Council to require that this project comply with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergo a thorough environmental review. Doing so would reflect true stewardship, respect for faith communities, and a commitment to the common good. Please grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that honors safety, community, and the vital role our parish plays in Pasadena.

Sincerely,  
Hector Alejandro

PASADENA, CA 91104 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:48 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parishioner's Concern About Our Church and 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parishioner's Concern About Our Church and 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I'm writing as a longtime parishioner who comes to Mass every week and cares deeply about our church. For many of us, this parish is a second home. It's where I come to pray, to find some quiet, and to feel at peace. Our church is also a beautiful and historic building designed by Roland Coate, and it means a great deal to those of us who have worshipped here for many years. I understand that Pasadena wants to grow and support new development, and I respect that. But I'm very worried about the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street and what it could mean for people like me. Construction often starts as early as 7:00 in the morning, and the noise, trucks, and ground shaking would make it hard to attend Mass, especially for older parishioners. It's difficult to pray when there's loud construction outside, and I worry about Masses, funerals, and weddings being interrupted. I'm also concerned that vibration could affect our historic church building. It was never meant to be next to a large project like this. As Catholics, we're taught to look out for one another and to do what's best for the common good. I don't feel that approving this project without a full environmental review does that. I'm simply asking the City Council to slow this down, require a proper environmental review, and ensure the project complies with the 51-foot height limit in the East Colorado Specific Plan. Please let this project come back in a form that respects our church, our worship, and the people who come here every week. Thank you for taking the time to listen to a parishioner who just wants to keep my cherished church peaceful and the spiritual place it was intended to be.

Sincerely,  
Marlen Alejandro

Pasadena, CA 91104 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:49 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Parishioner Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Parishioner Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a Catholic parishioner concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred place where we gather for worship, receive the sacraments, and serve others. These ministries depend on a surrounding environment that is safe, calm, and respectful of sensitive uses. As Catholics, we are called to uphold human dignity, care for our neighbors, and act as good stewards of our community. While I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation and institutions like Caltech, this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale proposed. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height beyond what was envisioned for this street, raises real concerns for parishioners—especially families, children, and elderly members of our community. I respectfully urge the City Council to require that this project comply with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergo a thorough environmental review. Doing so would better reflect both Pasadena's planning goals and respect for faith communities. Please grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that honors stewardship, safety, and the vital role our church plays in the neighborhood.

Sincerely,  
Edmund Esch

Pasadena , CA 91101 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

## Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 7:52 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Responsible Growth: Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street Proposal

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Responsible Growth: Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street Proposal

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

We are residents who support thoughtful development, innovation, and the important role institutions like Caltech play in our city. At the same time, we believe development must be safe, transparent, and compatible with the neighborhoods in which it is proposed. We are concerned that the current R&D project has not been adequately shown to be safe given its location next to a school, a church, and established residential neighborhoods. In particular, we believe the environmental review has not sufficiently addressed potential health, traffic, and quality-of-life impacts on these sensitive uses. We respectfully ask the City Council to reverse the Design Committee's approval and require a proper and comprehensive environmental analysis before this project proceeds. This step is essential to ensuring that decisions are based on sound information and that community impacts are fully understood. We also want to note that reasonable compromises are possible. Community representatives have indicated a willingness to support a project limited to 51 feet in height, provided it is accompanied by a satisfactory environmental review that demonstrates it can operate safely in this location. More broadly, we urge the Council to revisit its November 2023 modification of the General Plan that allowed R&D facilities citywide. We believe that R&D facilities with increased height allowances should be concentrated along established commercial corridors such as Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake, rather than on streets directly adjacent to residential neighborhoods, including Green Street. Finally, we are concerned that recent broad amendments to the R&D code were adopted without sufficient consideration of how they could impact nearby schools, churches, and homes. These changes risk introducing health, traffic, and other hazards into areas that were previously protected by zoning and planning standards. We ask the Council to take action to ensure responsible development, protect neighborhood livability, and restore public confidence in the planning process. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,  
Katie Nasoff

I Pasadena, CA 91105 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:58 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Concerns about the project at 1364 E Green St

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Concerns about the project at 1364 E Green St

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I respectfully ask that you grant the appeal of the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street, rather than allowing it to proceed in its current form. I support responsible development and innovation in Pasadena. However, this project's scale and location—adjacent to a school, a church, and a residential neighborhood—were advanced without sufficient environmental and safety review. In addition, the 2023 R&D zoning amendments did not clearly resolve how expanded R&D allowances should apply on neighborhood-serving streets near sensitive uses. Allowing this approval to stand would set a precedent for similar projects on comparable streets before these policy questions are fully addressed, increasing the likelihood of future appeals and disputes. Granting the appeal would provide an appropriate opportunity to require additional environmental analysis and to refine the project so it can proceed in a form consistent with adopted plans. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Estela Ramos Garcia

San Gabriel, CA 91776 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 9:06 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Prayerful Request for Care Near St. Philip the Apostle School

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Prayerful Request for Care Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent at St. Philip the Apostle School, writing with a humble and prayerful request regarding the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, near our school, church, and neighborhood. As parents, we entrust our children to God's care each day, hoping they are learning in a safe and nurturing environment. For this reason, I am concerned about construction activity near the school, increased traffic on Green Street, and the presence of an R&D facility whose research uses have not been clearly explained. These uncertainties are difficult for families when children's safety is involved. I am grateful for your service to the City and respectful of Caltech's role in Pasadena. At the same time, schools and churches are sacred spaces that deserve special care. I respectfully ask the City Council to pause and thoughtfully discern whether this project, as proposed, serves the common good, and to require a thorough safety and environmental review. I pray that your decision will be guided by wisdom, compassion, and a deep sense of responsibility for the most vulnerable in our community. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,  
Paulina Berger

Glendale, CA 91202 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 9:11 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Ensure Safe and Contextual Development in Pasadena - 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Ensure Safe and Contextual Development in Pasadena - 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

We are residents who love our neighborhoods and care deeply about the future of our city. We support innovation and institutions like Caltech, but we also believe that progress should never come at the expense of the health and safety of our children, our families, and our community. Many of us live, worship, and send our children to school near the proposed R&D project site at 1364 E. Green St. We are deeply concerned that this project has been approved without clearly demonstrating that it can operate safely next to a school, a church, and homes. For those of us who live here every day, these are not abstract planning issues — they are real worries about air quality, traffic, noise, and the long-term well-being of the people we love. We respectfully ask the City Council to pause and reconsider. Reversing the Design Committee's approval and requiring a full environmental analysis would show residents that our voices matter and that decisions affecting our health and safety are being made carefully and transparently. Our community is not opposed to compromise. We have indicated support for a reduced project of 51 feet in height, if and only if a thorough environmental review demonstrates that it can coexist safely with surrounding homes, a school, and a place of worship. This is a reasonable request grounded in care for our neighborhood, not opposition to progress. We are also asking the Council to look more closely at the broader policy changes made in November 2023 that opened residential-adjacent streets to tall R&D facilities. These changes have left many residents feeling blindsided and unheard. Concentrating taller R&D buildings along established commercial corridors like Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake would allow innovation to thrive without placing disproportionate burdens on residential streets such as Green. Finally, we believe the process that led to these sweeping code changes failed to fully consider how they could affect nearby families, students, and seniors. Decisions of this magnitude should not leave residents feeling anxious about new health, traffic, and safety risks in places that were once considered stable and protected. We urge you to act now — to slow down, listen to residents, and ensure that development in our city reflects both innovation and compassion. Please help us protect the character, safety, and livability of the neighborhoods we call home. Thank you for hearing us.

Sincerely,  
Paige Seidel

Pasadena, CA 91107-1267 Constituent

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 9:26 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a parishioner who loves this church and is deeply concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and what it could mean for our faith community. Our church is more than a building. It is a place of worship, reflection, healing, and service. It is where families gather, children learn, seniors find community, and people seek peace. These sacred purposes depend on a surrounding environment that is safe, accessible, and respectful of sensitive uses. I support Pasadena's vision for innovation and economic growth, and I value the contributions of institutions like Caltech. But growth should never come at the expense of the places that anchor our community. The proposed project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location, nor that it is appropriately scaled for a street shared with a church. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height beyond what was envisioned for this area, puts parishioners and our mission at risk. Traffic, noise, air quality, and safety are not abstract concerns for us. They affect how people arrive for worship, how children and elders move through the neighborhood, and how our church serves the broader community. A redesigned project that respects the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergoes thorough environmental review would show care for both innovation and the people who live, worship, and serve here. Approving this project as proposed would send a painful message—that churches and other sensitive institutions can be treated as afterthoughts in Pasadena's growth. I urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that reflects not only economic ambition, but compassion, stewardship, and respect for our shared community values.

Sincerely,  
Elena Gabella

1364 E. Green Street  
Pasadena, CA 91103 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 9:34 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Protect What Anchors Our Community- St. Philip the Apostle

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Protect What Anchors Our Community- St. Philip the Apostle

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a St. Philip the Apostle parishioner who believes we must protect the places that anchor our community. Our churches, schools, and long-established neighborhoods are not just structures on a map — they are the foundations of daily life in Pasadena. They provide stability, belonging, and continuity across generations. When large-scale development such as that at 1364 E. Green Street is proposed immediately beside these anchors, it raises serious concerns. Sacred spaces and neighborhood institutions depend on peace, safety, and compatibility with their surroundings. Construction impacts, increased traffic, noise, and projects that are out of scale can disrupt more than routines — they can erode the sense of place that holds a community together. Pasadena has long been admired for thoughtful planning that balances growth with preservation. That balance is essential. Growth should strengthen the institutions that define us, not overshadow or strain them. I respectfully urge you to ensure that projects near churches, schools, and established neighborhoods undergo thorough review and are appropriately scaled to their settings. Protecting what anchors our community is not opposition to progress — it is a commitment to responsible stewardship. Please safeguard the places that give Pasadena its identity and heart.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Furlong



Pasadena , CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 3:24 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Serious Safety Concerns Regarding Development Near St. Philip the Apostle School

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Serious Safety Concerns Regarding Development Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

How is it possible that a large construction project and proposed R&D facility at 1364 E. Green Street could move forward directly adjacent to an active elementary school and church without clear and public assurances that children's safety has been fully protected? I am a godfather of a child at St. Philip the Apostle School, writing to express serious concern about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street. The prospect of prolonged construction next to the school, increased and unsafe traffic on Green Street, and an R&D building with unclear research uses raises fundamental questions about oversight, planning, and public safety. Citizens rely on the City to act with heightened care when projects affect schools and places of worship. It is troubling that a development of this scale and intensity appears to have progressed so far, given its proximity to children and a residential neighborhood. At a minimum, families deserve transparency about what activities will take place on this site and how risks will be mitigated. I respect the City Council's service and acknowledge Caltech's importance to Pasadena. However, respect for institutions must never outweigh the responsibility to protect children. I urge the Council to pause this project, require a thorough and meaningful safety and environmental review, and reconsider whether this location is appropriate for high-intensity R&D use. I ask that your decisions reflect sound judgment, accountability, and a clear commitment to the well-being of families who depend on you to safeguard their community. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,  
Kirk Pastre

I San Marino, CA 91108 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 6:11 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** 1364 Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: 1364 Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am concerned that the proposed R&D project at 1364 Green Street has not been adequately demonstrated to be appropriate given its location next to a grade school, an active church, and established residential neighborhoods. The environmental review does not appear to sufficiently address potential health, traffic, and quality-of-life impacts on these sensitive uses. Growth should never come at the expense of students, parishioners, families, and neighbors. I respectfully ask the City Council to reverse the Design Commission's approval and require a proper and comprehensive environmental analysis before the project moves forward. Decisions of this magnitude should be grounded in clear, thorough information so the community can have confidence that impacts are fully understood and responsibly addressed. Please limit the height to 51 feet for any building that occupies this space. I encourage the Council to reconsider the November 2023 General Plan modification that allows R&D facilities citywide. R&D facilities with increased height allowances should be concentrated along established commercial corridors such as Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake Ave - areas designed to accommodate larger-scale development—rather than on streets directly adjacent to residential neighborhoods. Pasadena's long history of careful planning is one of its strengths. I urge you to ensure that growth enhances our community, protects neighborhood livability, and maintains public trust in the planning process. Thank you for your service and your consideration.

Sincerely,  
John Hedrick

Pasadena, CA 91101 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 7:00 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Catholic Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Catholic Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I write as a Catholic parishioner with deep concern for the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its potential impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred place. It is where we gather to worship God, receive the sacraments, educate our children in faith, care for the poor, and offer refuge to those seeking peace and healing. The Church's mission depends not only on what happens inside our walls, but also on the surrounding environment that is safe, calm, and respectful of this sacred purpose. The Catholic tradition teaches us to value human dignity, stewardship of creation, and care for the most vulnerable among us. For these reasons, I am troubled that this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale currently proposed. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street, risks disrupting the life of our parish and undermining the sense of safety and reverence that parishioners depend upon. Traffic congestion, noise, air quality, and safety concerns are not theoretical. They affect families arriving for Mass, children attending religious education, elderly parishioners with limited mobility, and those who come to our church seeking prayer, counseling, or quiet refuge. A redesigned project that complies with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergoes a thorough environmental review would better reflect the values of care, balance, and responsibility that Pasadena claims to uphold. Approving this project as proposed would set a troubling precedent—suggesting that churches and other sensitive institutions may be asked to bear the burdens of growth without adequate protection or consideration. I respectfully urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that honors both economic progress and the spiritual and communal life of our city. Pasadena can grow in a way that respects faith communities, protects human dignity, and reflects true stewardship. I ask that you choose that path.

Sincerely,  
Lois Hankins

Pasadena, CA 91104 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 6:02 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Preserving What Makes Pasadena Pasadena- 1364 East Green Street Opposition

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Preserving What Makes Pasadena Pasadena- 1364 East Green Street Opposition

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a resident who cares deeply about our city and the qualities that make Pasadena unique. Our tree-lined streets, historic neighborhoods, human-scale buildings, and thoughtful planning traditions are not accidental — they are the result of decades of careful stewardship. Growth is part of any thriving city, and innovation has long been part of Pasadena's identity. But growth must respect the character, scale, and livability of our neighborhoods. Increasingly, new developments feel oversized and out of proportion to their surroundings. When buildings loom over adjacent homes or dramatically alter the rhythm of a street, it chips away at the very character that draws people here. Preserving what makes Pasadena special does not mean rejecting change. It means ensuring that change enhances our community rather than overwhelms it. It means respecting established neighborhoods, protecting transitions between residential and commercial areas, and maintaining the human scale that defines our city. Just as important, it means restoring public confidence in the planning process. Residents need to know that long-standing standards matter, that community input is taken seriously, and that decisions are guided by clear principles — not simply by what can be built to the maximum limit. Pasadena's strength has always been its balance: innovation alongside tradition, progress alongside preservation. I urge you to uphold that balance and ensure future development protects the character, charm, and livability that truly make Pasadena, Pasadena.

Sincerely,  
Carroll H. Anawalt

Pasadena, CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 8:03 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Urgent: Child Safety Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Urgent: Child Safety Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent of a child at St. Philip the Apostle School, located just steps from the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, and I am writing with urgent concerns about the safety of our children and community if this project moves forward as proposed. This development would place major construction activity, increased traffic, and an R&D facility with undefined research uses directly next to a school and church. As a parent, I find it deeply troubling that children could be exposed to construction hazards, unsafe traffic conditions on Green Street, and unknown operational risks without a clear and reassuring environmental and safety analysis. While I support responsible development and value Caltech's role in Pasadena, projects adjacent to schools demand the highest level of caution and transparency. At this time, those standards have not been met. Allowing this project to proceed without a stronger review places children and families at unnecessary risk. I respectfully but firmly urge the City Council to pause this proposal, require a comprehensive safety and environmental review, uphold existing height limits, and ensure R&D uses are restricted to appropriate commercial or industrial corridors—not neighborhood streets next to schools. Please act now to protect our children and prevent irreversible impacts to our school and neighborhood.

Sincerely,  
Ashlee Schauer

Sierra Madre, CA 91024 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 8:25 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a parishioner who loves this church and is deeply concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and what it could mean for our faith community. Our church is more than a building. It is a place of worship, reflection, healing, and service. It is where families gather, children learn, seniors find community, and people seek peace. These sacred purposes depend on a surrounding environment that is safe, accessible, and respectful of sensitive uses. I support Pasadena's vision for innovation and economic growth, and I value the contributions of institutions like Caltech. But growth should never come at the expense of the places that anchor our community. The proposed project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location, nor that it is appropriately scaled for a street shared with a church. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height beyond what was envisioned for this area, puts parishioners and our mission at risk. Traffic, noise, air quality, and safety are not abstract concerns for us. They affect how people arrive for worship, how children and elders move through the neighborhood, and how our church serves the broader community. A redesigned project that respects the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergoes thorough environmental review would show care for both innovation and the people who live, worship, and serve here. Approving this project as proposed would send a painful message—that churches and other sensitive institutions can be treated as afterthoughts in Pasadena's growth. I urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that reflects not only economic ambition, but compassion, stewardship, and respect for our shared community values.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Andric

Pasadena, CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 8:48 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Concerns about the project at 1364 E Green St

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Concerns about the project at 1364 E Green St

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I respectfully ask that you grant the appeal of the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street, rather than allowing it to proceed in its current form. I support responsible development and innovation in Pasadena. However, this project's scale and location—adjacent to a school, a church, and a residential neighborhood—were advanced without sufficient environmental and safety review. In addition, the 2023 R&D zoning amendments did not clearly resolve how expanded R&D allowances should apply on neighborhood-serving streets near sensitive uses. Allowing this approval to stand would set a precedent for similar projects on comparable streets before these policy questions are fully addressed, increasing the likelihood of future appeals and disputes. Granting the appeal would provide an appropriate opportunity to require additional environmental analysis and to refine the project so it can proceed in a form consistent with adopted plans. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Jacqueline Tan

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 9:12 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** From a Lifelong Pasadena Resident: Protecting Pasadena Neighborhoods Amid New Development (1364 E. Green Street)

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: From a Lifelong Pasadena Resident: Protecting Pasadena Neighborhoods Amid New Development (1364 E. Green Street)

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a lifetime Pasadena resident with a deep commitment for my city through being a Rose princess and Girl Scout who supports responsible economic development, innovation, and the continued success of research institutions such as Caltech. However, I believe that discretionary land-use approvals must be consistent with adopted planning policies, supported by adequate environmental review, and compatible with surrounding uses, particularly where sensitive receptors are present. I have a personal connection to St. Philip church as it has been not only my home parish but also the church I recently got married in this past 2025. I hope to send my children to the school one day and am concerned that the R&D project at 1364 E. Green Street has not been sufficiently demonstrated to be appropriate for its location adjacent to a school, a church, and established residential neighborhoods. From a planning perspective, this raises significant land-use compatibility issues. The current environmental analysis appears insufficient to fully evaluate potential project impacts related to traffic generation, air quality, noise, operational intensity, and public health, particularly as they affect sensitive receptors. I respectfully request that the City Council reverse the Design Committee's approval and require a comprehensive environmental analysis consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Such analysis should include a detailed evaluation of site-specific impacts, feasible project alternatives, and enforceable mitigation measures, as well as an assessment of cumulative impacts in the surrounding area. Residents have expressed a willingness to support a revised project that limits building height to 51 feet, provided that a satisfactory environmental analysis demonstrates that the project would be compatible with adjacent residential uses and sensitive facilities. This represents a reasonable planning compromise that aligns the project's scale with the neighborhood context. More broadly, I urge the Council to revisit its November 2023 General Plan modification that permitted R&D facilities citywide. Allowing high-intensity R&D uses with increased height allowances on residentially adjacent streets represents a significant departure from long-standing land-use planning principles. I believe that R&D facilities with greater height and intensity should be directed to established commercial and transit-oriented corridors such as Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake, rather than locations immediately adjacent to residential neighborhoods, including Green Street. Finally, I am concerned that recent broad amendments to the R&D zoning code were adopted without sufficient consideration of their cumulative, area-wide, and site-specific impacts near sensitive land uses. These amendments have enabled discretionary approvals that may introduce traffic, health, and safety impacts into areas that were

previously buffered through zoning, height limits, and use restrictions. In our view, this reflects a process failure that warrants corrective action through policy refinement and clearer development standards. I respectfully ask the City Council to exercise its oversight authority to ensure that development approvals are policy-consistent, supported by adequate environmental review, and reflective of neighborhood context and community health considerations.

Sincerely,  
Sonia Marie

San Marino, CA 91108 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 9:24 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Protecting Our Historic Parish and Worship /1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Protecting Our Historic Parish and Worship /1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a Catholic parishioner concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred and historic place of worship, designed by renowned architect Roland Coate, whose work is an important part of Pasadena's architectural heritage. This church is not only a place of prayer and sacrament, but a cultural and spiritual landmark that serves generations of families. Catholic Social Teaching calls us to uphold the common good and protect human dignity (*Gaudium et Spes*), values that depend on a safe and respectful surrounding environment. While I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation and institutions such as Caltech, this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale proposed. Construction activity of this intensity raises serious concerns about noise, vibration, traffic, and access, including the potential for Masses, funerals, weddings, and other worship services to be disrupted. Approving the project without a full environmental review, and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street, places an unfair burden on a historic church and its parishioners. I respectfully urge the City Council to require that this project comply with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergo a thorough environmental review. Please grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that reflects stewardship, protects our historic parish, and respects the sacred nature of worship.

Sincerely,  
JOAN MURPHY DIMEN

PASADENA, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 9:54 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parishioner's Concern About Our Church and 1364 E. Green Street

[  ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parishioner's Concern About Our Church and 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I'm writing as a longtime parishioner who comes to Mass every week and cares deeply about our church. For many of us, this parish is a second home. It's where I come to pray, to find some quiet, and to feel at peace. Our church is also a beautiful and historic building designed by Roland Coate, and it means a great deal to those of us who have worshipped here for many years. I understand that Pasadena wants to grow and support new development, and I respect that. But I'm very worried about the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street and what it could mean for people like me. Construction often starts as early as 7:00 in the morning, and the noise, trucks, and ground shaking would make it hard to attend Mass, especially for older parishioners. It's difficult to pray when there's loud construction outside, and I worry about Masses, funerals, and weddings being interrupted. I'm also concerned that vibration could affect our historic church building. It was never meant to be next to a large project like this. As Catholics, we're taught to look out for one another and to do what's best for the common good. I don't feel that approving this project without a full environmental review does that. I'm simply asking the City Council to slow this down, require a proper environmental review, and ensure the project complies with the 51-foot height limit in the East Colorado Specific Plan. Please let this project come back in a form that respects our church, our worship, and the people who come here every week. Thank you for taking the time to listen to a parishioner who just wants to keep my cherished church peaceful and the spiritual place it was intended to be.

Sincerely,  
Marie Loop

LA, CA 90042 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 10:02 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Catholic Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Catholic Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I write as a Catholic parishioner with deep concern for the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its potential impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred place. It is where we gather to worship God, receive the sacraments, educate our children in faith, care for the poor, and offer refuge to those seeking peace and healing. The Church's mission depends not only on what happens inside our walls, but also on the surrounding environment that is safe, calm, and respectful of this sacred purpose. The Catholic tradition teaches us to value human dignity, stewardship of creation, and care for the most vulnerable among us. For these reasons, I am troubled that this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale currently proposed. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street, risks disrupting the life of our parish and undermining the sense of safety and reverence that parishioners depend upon. Traffic congestion, noise, air quality, and safety concerns are not theoretical. They affect families arriving for Mass, children attending religious education, elderly parishioners with limited mobility, and those who come to our church seeking prayer, counseling, or quiet refuge. A redesigned project that complies with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergoes a thorough environmental review would better reflect the values of care, balance, and responsibility that Pasadena claims to uphold. Approving this project as proposed would set a troubling precedent—suggesting that churches and other sensitive institutions may be asked to bear the burdens of growth without adequate protection or consideration. I respectfully urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that honors both economic progress and the spiritual and communal life of our city. Pasadena can grow in a way that respects faith communities, protects human dignity, and reflects true stewardship. I ask that you choose that path.

Sincerely,  
amy petrov

Pasadena , CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 10:06 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Catholic Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Catholic Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I write as a Catholic parishioner with deep concern for the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its potential impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred place. It is where we gather to worship God, receive the sacraments, educate our children in faith, care for the poor, and offer refuge to those seeking peace and healing. The Church's mission depends not only on what happens inside our walls, but also on the surrounding environment that is safe, calm, and respectful of this sacred purpose. The Catholic tradition teaches us to value human dignity, stewardship of creation, and care for the most vulnerable among us. For these reasons, I am troubled that this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale currently proposed. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street, risks disrupting the life of our parish and undermining the sense of safety and reverence that parishioners depend upon. Traffic congestion, noise, air quality, and safety concerns are not theoretical. They affect families arriving for Mass, children attending religious education, elderly parishioners with limited mobility, and those who come to our church seeking prayer, counseling, or quiet refuge. A redesigned project that complies with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergoes a thorough environmental review would better reflect the values of care, balance, and responsibility that Pasadena claims to uphold. Approving this project as proposed would set a troubling precedent—suggesting that churches and other sensitive institutions may be asked to bear the burdens of growth without adequate protection or consideration. I respectfully urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that honors both economic progress and the spiritual and communal life of our city. Pasadena can grow in a way that respects faith communities, protects human dignity, and reflects true stewardship. I ask that you choose that path.

Sincerely,  
Colleen Barboza

Pasadena, CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 10:28 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** 1364 E. Green Street Opposition - Protecting Our Historic Parish and Worship

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: 1364 E. Green Street Opposition - Protecting Our Historic Parish and Worship

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a Catholic parishioner concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred and historic place of worship, designed by renowned architect Roland Coate, whose work is an important part of Pasadena's architectural heritage. This church is not only a place of prayer and sacrament, but a cultural and spiritual landmark that serves generations of families. Catholic Social Teaching calls us to uphold the common good and protect human dignity (*Gaudium et Spes*), values that depend on a surrounding environment that is safe, respectful, and peaceful. While I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation and institutions such as Caltech, this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale proposed. Construction associated with a project of this intensity—often beginning as early as 7:00 a.m. and continuing for many hours each day—raises serious concerns for our parish. Noise, heavy equipment, truck traffic, and ground vibration threaten to disrupt Masses, funerals, weddings, religious education, and times of prayer and reflection. Vibration is of particular concern given the church's historic design and materials. Prolonged construction activity could place stress on a structure never intended to be adjacent to large-scale R&D development, risking both physical damage and the loss of the quiet reverence essential to worship. Approving the project without a full environmental review, and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street, places an unfair burden on a historic church and its parishioners. I respectfully urge the City Council to require that this project comply with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergo a thorough environmental review that fully addresses construction noise, vibration, and hours of operation. Please grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that reflects stewardship, protects our historic parish, and respects the sacred nature of worship.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Ko

San Gabriel, CA 91775 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 10:33 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Catholic Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Catholic Parishioner's Appeal Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I write as a Catholic parishioner with deep concern for the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its potential impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred place. It is where we gather to worship God, receive the sacraments, educate our children in faith, care for the poor, and offer refuge to those seeking peace and healing. The Church's mission depends not only on what happens inside our walls, but also on the surrounding environment that is safe, calm, and respectful of this sacred purpose. The Catholic tradition teaches us to value human dignity, stewardship of creation, and care for the most vulnerable among us. For these reasons, I am troubled that this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale currently proposed. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street, risks disrupting the life of our parish and undermining the sense of safety and reverence that parishioners depend upon. Traffic congestion, noise, air quality, and safety concerns are not theoretical. They affect families arriving for Mass, children attending religious education, elderly parishioners with limited mobility, and those who come to our church seeking prayer, counseling, or quiet refuge. A redesigned project that complies with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergoes a thorough environmental review would better reflect the values of care, balance, and responsibility that Pasadena claims to uphold. Approving this project as proposed would set a troubling precedent—suggesting that churches and other sensitive institutions may be asked to bear the burdens of growth without adequate protection or consideration. I respectfully urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that honors both economic progress and the spiritual and communal life of our city. Pasadena can grow in a way that respects faith communities, protects human dignity, and reflects true stewardship. I ask that you choose that path.

Sincerely,  
julie Kelinsky

r green valley, AZ 85614 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 10:44 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I have lived, worked, and/or shopped in Pasadena since 1994. My children went to school in Pasadena at Mayfield Junior, St. Philip, and Mayfield Senior High School. I am writing as a St. Philip parishioner and as someone who works or shops in St. Philip almost every day. Pasadena is a unique and beautiful city. I believe that how we treat our sacred spaces reflects who we are as a city. To honor our church is to honor Pasadena itself. Our church is more than a structure. It is a historic landmark, a spiritual home, and a gathering place for generations of families. It is where we celebrate baptisms and weddings, mourn loved ones at funerals, and come each week seeking peace and reflection. It stands as part of the architectural and cultural fabric that gives Pasadena its identity. When large-scale development, such as the 1364 E. Green St. project, is proposed directly beside such a sacred and historic place, it raises serious concerns. Construction noise, truck traffic, vibration, and the sheer scale of adjacent buildings can disrupt worship and diminish the quiet dignity that a church requires. Sacred spaces deserve thoughtful protection, not simply technical compliance. Growth and innovation are important to our city's future. But they must be balanced with respect for our history, our neighborhoods, and the institutions that anchor our community life. Pasadena has long prided itself on careful planning and stewardship. Upholding that legacy means ensuring that development enhances — rather than overwhelms — the places that define us. I respectfully urge you to require a thorough review and an appropriate scale for any project near our church. By doing so, you will honor both a cherished house of worship and the values that have long guided Pasadena's development.

Sincerely,  
Anne Garrett

South Pasadena, CA 91030 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 11:01 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Protect What Anchors Our Community- St. Philip the Apostle

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Protect What Anchors Our Community- St. Philip the Apostle

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a St. Philip the Apostle parishioner who believes we must protect the places that anchor our community. Our churches, schools, and long-established neighborhoods are not just structures on a map — they are the foundations of daily life in Pasadena. They provide stability, belonging, and continuity across generations. When large-scale development such as that at 1364 E. Green Street is proposed immediately beside these anchors, it raises serious concerns. Sacred spaces and neighborhood institutions depend on peace, safety, and compatibility with their surroundings. Construction impacts, increased traffic, noise, and projects that are out of scale can disrupt more than routines — they can erode the sense of place that holds a community together. Pasadena has long been admired for thoughtful planning that balances growth with preservation. That balance is essential. Growth should strengthen the institutions that define us, not overshadow or strain them. I respectfully urge you to ensure that projects near churches, schools, and established neighborhoods undergo thorough review and are appropriately scaled to their settings. Protecting what anchors our community is not opposition to progress — it is a commitment to responsible stewardship. Please safeguard the places that give Pasadena its identity and heart.

Sincerely,  
Josephine Legaspi

Pasadena , CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 11:44 AM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Parishioner Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Parishioner Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a parishioner deeply concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its potential impact on our church community. I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation, economic growth, and research and development. At the same time, churches play a vital role in our city as places of worship, reflection, education, and service. These missions depend on safe, accessible, and appropriately scaled surroundings that respect sensitive uses and neighborhood character. The proposed project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the currently proposed scale. Proceeding without a full environmental review—and at a height exceeding what was envisioned for this street—raises serious concerns for parishioners, including traffic safety, noise, air quality, and overall compatibility with church activities. Requiring the project to return in a redesigned form that complies with the East Colorado Specific Plan's maximum height of approximately 51 feet and that undergoes a thorough environmental analysis would better align the development with the surrounding neighborhood and sensitive institutions. This approach would help preserve community trust while still supporting Pasadena's broader innovation goals. Approving the project as proposed would set a troubling precedent for placing intensified R&D uses adjacent to churches and other sensitive community institutions in areas not clearly intended for such development under Pasadena's planning framework. I respectfully urge you to grant the appeal and allow this project to move forward only in a form that reflects both economic ambition and respect for our church community and shared values.

Sincerely,  
Bonnie Baratti

.....  
..... : Pasadena, CA 91106 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 12:24 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** 1364 East Green Street Needs a Closer Look

[ ! ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: 1364 East Green Street Needs a Closer Look

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I'm writing as a community member to request that you grant the appeal for the proposed project at 1364 E. Green Street. I support growth, innovation, and the retention of life sciences jobs in Pasadena. I don't see this as being against development. It's about making sure development fits its surroundings and is reviewed carefully, especially when it's next to a school, a church, and homes. From what I understand, this project moved forward without a full environmental review and at a larger scale than originally envisioned for this part of Green Street. Granting the appeal would give the City a chance to take a closer look and request a redesign at a more neighborhood-appropriate scale, consistent with the East Colorado Specific Plan (around 51 feet). Approving it as-is could affect other neighborhoods, too. Taking a step back here would help build trust and make future projects easier. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Hopper

1364 East Green Street

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

## McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 12:26 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Protect Pasadena's Neighborhoods: Caltech's Project at 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Protect Pasadena's Neighborhoods: Caltech's Project at 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a Pasadena resident who values our city's strong neighborhoods and supports thoughtful development. Innovation and growth are important, but they must not come at the expense of the residential communities that make Pasadena such a special place to live. I am concerned that the proposed R&D project at 1364 E. Green St. has not been adequately demonstrated to be safe, given its location next to a grade school, an active church, and long-established residential neighborhoods. The environmental review does not appear to sufficiently address potential health, traffic, and quality-of-life impacts on the surrounding homes and sensitive uses. Our neighborhoods should not bear uncertain risks from projects of this scale and intensity. I respectfully ask the City Council to reverse the Design Commission's approval and require a comprehensive environmental analysis before allowing this project to proceed. Protecting neighborhood livability requires careful, transparent decision-making grounded in complete and reliable information. Reasonable compromises are possible. A project limited to 51 feet in height, supported by a satisfactory environmental review demonstrating it can operate safely in this location, would be more consistent with the scale and character of the surrounding area. More broadly, I urge the Council to revisit the November 2023 General Plan modification that allowed R&D facilities citywide. Facilities with increased height allowances should be directed to established commercial corridors, such as Fair Oaks, Colorado, and Lake areas designed for higher-intensity development—rather than placed adjacent to residential streets. Pasadena has long been known for careful planning that protects neighborhood character while allowing appropriate growth. I ask that you uphold those principles now by ensuring that development strengthens, rather than undermines, residents' reliance on everyday life. Thank you for your attention and service to our community.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Berdan

Arcadia , CA 91007 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 1:48 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parent's Request for Care and Caution Near St. Philip the Apostle School

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parent's Request for Care and Caution Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent of three boys at St. Philip the Apostle School, and I am writing with a sincere and heartfelt request for care and caution regarding the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, which is so close to our school, church, and neighborhood. As parents, we entrust our children to the care of their teachers and community each day, hoping they are surrounded by a safe and nurturing environment. For this reason, I am worried about the potential impacts of construction activity next to a school, increased traffic on Green Street, and the introduction of an R&D facility with research uses that have not been clearly explained. These uncertainties weigh heavily when the safety and well-being of children are involved. There is a lack of transparency here and the last two design meetings seem more concerned about the trees than the children. If you cannot build this near a public school, why is another type of school acceptable to you? I want to express my respect for the City's work and for Caltech's important role in Pasadena. At the same time, Catholic schools and parishes are places of learning, worship, and refuge. For the past 100 year St. Philip the Apostle has served Pasadena and in particular Caltech. SPAS educates the children of faculty, staff and students while providing a place of worship for them. Specifically the Newman Center becomes a home away from home for many Caltech students serving a vital role in their well-being. SPAS has been a good neighbor to Caltech. Yet this development is not on the sprawling Caltech campus or even one of the empty areas on Colorado. It's on the corner of the school, parish hall and church meaning the community of SPAS will be denied that space in perpetuity in favor of a for-profit center. . I respectfully ask the City Council to pause and carefully discern whether this project, as proposed, truly serves the common good of this neighborhood. A thorough environmental and safety review, adherence to existing height and zoning limits, and thoughtful placement of R&D uses in appropriate commercial or industrial areas would help provide families with greater peace of mind. My hope is that the City will choose a path that reflects stewardship, protects our children, and honors the character of this faith-centered community. Thank you for your time, attention, and service to Pasadena.

Sincerely,  
Heidi Parker

Sierra Madre, CA 91024 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:00 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parent's Request for Care and Caution Near St. Philip the Apostle School

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parent's Request for Care and Caution Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent at St. Philip the Apostle School and am writing with sincere concern about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, so close to our school, church, and neighborhood. As parents, we trust that our children are learning in a safe environment. The potential impacts of construction next to a school, increased traffic on Green Street, and an R&D facility with research uses that have not been clearly explained raise serious safety and health questions. I respect the City's work and Caltech's role in Pasadena. However, schools and parishes are places of learning and refuge and deserve special care, transparency, and caution when nearby development may affect children. I respectfully ask the City Council to pause and carefully review this project, ensure thorough environmental and safety evaluation, and confirm adherence to zoning and height limits. Thoughtful placement of R&D uses in appropriate commercial or industrial areas would provide families greater peace of mind. Thank you for your service and consideration.

Sincerely,  
Ben Rubke

Pasadena, CA 91103 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

## Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:02 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Please Restore Confidence in Pasadena's Planning Process - 1364 E. Green St.

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Please Restore Confidence in Pasadena's Planning Process - 1364 E. Green St.

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a longtime Pasadena resident who cares deeply about our city and its future. I understand that growth is inevitable and that innovation and housing are important. However, I am increasingly concerned about the scale and density of recent developments and what they mean for our community's character. Many of the new buildings being approved seem simply too large for the neighborhoods where they are being placed. They overwhelm surrounding homes, change the scale of our streets, and leave residents wondering whether long-standing planning principles still guide decision-making. When projects appear out of proportion to their surroundings, it erodes public trust. Pasadena has long been known for thoughtful planning, human-scale neighborhoods, and careful transitions between commercial and residential areas. That tradition is one of the reasons many of us chose to live here. When dense, oversized developments are approved without clear justification or meaningful responsiveness to community concerns, it creates the perception that established standards are being set aside. This is not about opposing growth outright. It is about ensuring that growth is balanced, contextual, and consistent with our city's character. Development should enhance neighborhoods — not overshadow them. I respectfully urge the City Council to take steps to restore public confidence in the planning process. That means ensuring transparency, carefully evaluating scale and compatibility, and demonstrating that community input genuinely influences outcomes. Residents need to feel that planning decisions are guided by clear principles, not simply by what can be maximized on a parcel. Pasadena's strength has always been its ability to evolve while preserving what makes it special. I hope you will reaffirm that commitment and ensure that future development reflects the values and scale that define our city. Thank you for your time and service.

Sincerely,  
Lauren Reynolds

San Gabriel, CA 91775 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:11 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Serious Safety Concerns Regarding Development Near St. Philip the Apostle School

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Serious Safety Concerns Regarding Development Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

How is it possible that a large construction project and proposed R&D facility at 1364 E. Green Street could move forward directly adjacent to an active elementary school and church without clear and public assurances that children's safety has been fully protected? I am a parent of a child at St. Philip the Apostle School, writing to express serious concern about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street. The prospect of prolonged construction next to the school, increased and unsafe traffic on Green Street, and an R&D building with unclear research uses raises fundamental questions about oversight, planning, and public safety. Parents rely on the City to act with heightened care when projects affect schools and places of worship. It is troubling that a development of this scale and intensity appears to have progressed so far, given its proximity to children and a residential neighborhood. At a minimum, families deserve transparency about what activities will take place on this site and how risks will be mitigated. I respect the City Council's service and acknowledge Caltech's importance to Pasadena. However, respect for institutions must never outweigh the responsibility to protect children. I urge the Council to pause this project, require a thorough and meaningful safety and environmental review, and reconsider whether this location is appropriate for high-intensity R&D use. I ask that your decisions reflect sound judgment, accountability, and a clear commitment to the well-being of families who depend on you to safeguard their community. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,  
LETICIA QUINTANAR

Altadena , CA 91001 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:11 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Grant the Appeal – R&D Project at 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Grant the Appeal – R&D Project at 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

Why was a high-intensity R&D project allowed to advance directly adjacent to an active school without full environmental review, clear disclosure of research uses, or a design consistent with the City's own planning policies? I am a parent writing regarding the proposed R&D project at 1364 E. Green Street. I support Pasadena's goal of attracting life-sciences employers and retaining Caltech-connected innovation. However, development next to a school demands a higher standard of care, transparency, and scale than this project has demonstrated. This project proceeded without a comprehensive environmental review, despite its proximity to children and daily school operations. The East Colorado Specific Plan envisions a transition at this location, with a maximum height of approximately 51 feet. A smaller, neighborhood-scaled redesign consistent with that plan—combined with full environmental review—would allow traffic, safety, and operational impacts to be evaluated before construction, not after. Approving the project as proposed would set a concerning precedent by enabling intensified R&D near schools in areas not clearly intended for such development under the General Plan or the East Colorado Specific Plan. That path invites future appeals, disputes, and continued erosion of public trust in the City's planning process. I respectfully urge the City Council to grant the appeal and require that this project be returned in a form that supports economic growth without compromising children's safety or established planning standards. Thank you for your consideration and your responsibility to the families and educators who rely on careful, accountable decision-making.

Sincerely,  
Diana Ralph

San Gabriel, CA 91775 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:11 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Honor the Legacy of Pasadena- Oppose 1364 East Green

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Honor the Legacy of Pasadena- Oppose 1364 East Green

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing not just as a resident, but as someone who loves this city deeply. Pasadena is more than buildings and zoning maps — it is tree-lined streets, historic homes, neighborhood churches, front porches, and the feeling of belonging that comes from living in a place carefully and thoughtfully shaped over generations. Many of us chose Pasadena for its human scale, architectural character, and commitment to balanced planning. We chose it because it feels different — grounded, beautiful, and livable. Lately, however, I fear we are losing that balance. New developments are growing larger and denser, often towering over neighboring homes and changing the very feel of our streets. When buildings overwhelm their surroundings, something more than skyline is lost — community character is diminished. This is not about resisting change or opposing growth. Pasadena has always evolved. But it has done so with care. With intention. With respect for the neighborhoods that give this city its soul. When projects seem driven by maximum height and density rather than compatibility and context, residents feel unheard and disconnected from the decisions shaping our future. That erosion of trust may be the most troubling loss of all. Preserving what makes Pasadena, Pasadena means protecting the scale, charm, and sense of place that cannot be recreated once it is gone. It means ensuring that growth enhances our community rather than overshadows it. And it means restoring confidence that our planning process reflects the values that built this city in the first place. I urge you to protect the character of our neighborhoods and honor the legacy of thoughtful stewardship that has defined Pasadena for generations.

Sincerely,  
Brian Reynolds

San Gabriel , CA 91775 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:14 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Serious Safety Concerns Regarding Development Near St. Philip the Apostle School

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Serious Safety Concerns Regarding Development Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

How is it possible that a large construction project and proposed R&D facility at 1364 E. Green Street could move forward directly adjacent to an active elementary school and church without clear and public assurances that children's safety has been fully protected? I am a parent of a child at St. Philip the Apostle School, writing to express serious concern about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street. The prospect of prolonged construction next to the school, increased and unsafe traffic on Green Street, and an R&D building with unclear research uses raises fundamental questions about oversight, planning, and public safety. Parents rely on the City to act with heightened care when projects affect schools and places of worship. It is troubling that a development of this scale and intensity appears to have progressed so far, given its proximity to children and a residential neighborhood. At a minimum, families deserve transparency about what activities will take place on this site and how risks will be mitigated. I respect the City Council's service and acknowledge Caltech's importance to Pasadena. However, respect for institutions must never outweigh the responsibility to protect children. I urge the Council to pause this project, require a thorough and meaningful safety and environmental review, and reconsider whether this location is appropriate for high-intensity R&D use. I ask that your decisions reflect sound judgment, accountability, and a clear commitment to the well-being of families who depend on you to safeguard their community. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,  
Yolanda Quintero

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:18 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Grant the Appeal – R&D Project at 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Grant the Appeal – R&D Project at 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

Why was a high-intensity R&D project allowed to advance directly adjacent to an active school without full environmental review, clear disclosure of research uses, or a design consistent with the City's own planning policies? I am a parent writing regarding the proposed R&D project at 1364 E. Green Street. I support Pasadena's goal of attracting life-sciences employers and retaining Caltech-connected innovation. However, development next to a school demands a higher standard of care, transparency, and scale than this project has demonstrated. This project proceeded without a comprehensive environmental review, despite its proximity to children and daily school operations. The East Colorado Specific Plan envisions a transition at this location, with a maximum height of approximately 51 feet. A smaller, neighborhood-scaled redesign consistent with that plan—combined with full environmental review—would allow traffic, safety, and operational impacts to be evaluated before construction, not after. Approving the project as proposed would set a concerning precedent by enabling intensified R&D near schools in areas not clearly intended for such development under the General Plan or the East Colorado Specific Plan. That path invites future appeals, disputes, and continued erosion of public trust in the City's planning process. I respectfully urge the City Council to grant the appeal and require that this project be returned in a form that supports economic growth without compromising children's safety or established planning standards. Thank you for your consideration and your responsibility to the families and educators who rely on careful, accountable decision-making.

Sincerely,  
Cesar Melgar

Altadena, CA 91001 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:18 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Immediate Action Required: Child Safety Risks at 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Immediate Action Required: Child Safety Risks at 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a godparent of a child at St. Philip the Apostle School, located immediately adjacent to the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street. I am writing to state plainly that this project, as proposed, places children at risk and should not be allowed to proceed in its current form. This proposal would introduce large-scale construction, increased traffic on a narrow neighborhood street, and an R&D building with undefined research activities, all directly next to a school and a church. As a godparent, I find it unacceptable that children could be exposed to construction hazards, unsafe traffic conditions, and unknown operational risks without a completed and credible environmental and safety analysis. Projects next to schools require a higher standard of care. That standard has not been met here. The lack of clarity about the nature of the research, combined with the project's scale and its location on a neighborhood street, represents a failure to adequately protect sensitive uses—especially children. I support responsible development and Caltech's presence in Pasadena. However, I do not support placing experimental or high-intensity R&D uses next to a school without clear limits, transparency, and proven safety. I am asking the City Council to stop this project, require a full and meaningful safety and environmental review, enforce existing height and zoning limits, and ensure R&D facilities are confined to appropriate commercial and industrial corridors—not residential streets with schools. This is not an abstract planning issue. It is a real and immediate safety concern for children. I urge you to act accordingly.

Sincerely,  
Tamara Caldwell

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:19 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parent's Request for Care and Caution Near St. Philip the Apostle School

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parent's Request for Care and Caution Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent at St. Philip the Apostle School, and I am writing with a sincere and heartfelt request for care and caution regarding the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, which is so close to our school, church, and neighborhood. As parents, we entrust our children to the care of their teachers and community each day, hoping they are surrounded by a safe and nurturing environment. For this reason, I am worried about the potential impacts of construction activity next to a school, increased traffic on Green Street, and the introduction of an R&D facility with research uses that have not been clearly explained. These uncertainties weigh heavily when the safety and well-being of children are involved. I want to express my respect for the City's work and for Caltech's important role in Pasadena. At the same time, Catholic schools and parishes are places of learning, worship, and refuge. Developments near them should be approached with special care, humility, and transparency, particularly when potential health or safety concerns remain unanswered. I respectfully ask the City Council to pause and carefully discern whether this project, as proposed, truly serves the common good of this neighborhood. A thorough environmental and safety review, adherence to existing height and zoning limits, and thoughtful placement of R&D uses in appropriate commercial or industrial areas would help provide families with greater peace of mind. My hope is that the City will choose a path that reflects stewardship, protects our children, and honors the character of this faith-centered community. Thank you for your time, attention, and service to Pasadena.

Sincerely,  
Sabrina Santangelo

Pasadena , CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:19 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Prayerful Request for Care Near St. Philip the Apostle School

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Prayerful Request for Care Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent at St. Philip the Apostle School, and I am writing with a humble and prayerful request regarding the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, near our school, church, and neighborhood. As parents, we entrust our children to God's care and to the community around them each day, hoping they are learning in a safe and nurturing environment. For this reason, I am concerned about the potential impacts of construction activity near the school, increased traffic on Green Street, and the presence of an R&D facility whose research uses have not been clearly explained. These uncertainties are especially difficult when children's safety is involved. I am grateful for your service to the City and respectful of Caltech's role in Pasadena. At the same time, schools and churches are sacred spaces that deserve special care. Decisions affecting them should be approached with patience, transparency, and a commitment to protecting the most vulnerable. I respectfully ask the City Council to pause and prayerfully discern whether this project, as proposed, serves the common good. A thorough safety and environmental review, respect for existing height and zoning limits, and thoughtful placement of high-intensity R&D uses would help bring families greater peace of mind.

Sincerely,  
Diane Sharma

Alhambra, CA 91801 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:22 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Questioning City Priorities Regarding Development Next to St. Philip the Apostle School

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Questioning City Priorities Regarding Development Next to St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

Why is the City advancing a major construction and R&D project directly next to an active elementary school and church, and whose interests are being prioritized in allowing it to proceed this far? I am a parent of a child at St. Philip the Apostle School, writing out of deep concern regarding the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street. Placing prolonged construction, increased traffic, and an R&D facility with unclear research uses immediately adjacent to children raises serious questions about judgment, oversight, and public responsibility. Parents expect the City to exercise heightened caution when decisions affect schools and residential neighborhoods. Instead, this project appears to have moved forward with limited transparency and without clear, publicly demonstrated assurances that children's safety and daily well-being come first. It is reasonable to ask why this location was considered acceptable at all, and why safeguards were not firmly established before progress was made. I respect the role of the City Council and acknowledge Caltech's contributions to Pasadena. However, economic or institutional interests should never outweigh the obligation to protect children. I urge the Council to pause this project, require a comprehensive safety and environmental review, and seriously reconsider whether this site is appropriate for high-intensity development. The community is watching closely and expects decisions rooted in accountability, sound judgment, and a clear commitment to families—not expediency.

Sincerely,  
Janet Yaeger

Pasadena, CA 91101 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:25 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Parent's Request for Care and Caution Near St. Philip the Apostle School

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Parent's Request for Care and Caution Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent at St. Philip the Apostle School, and I am writing with a sincere and heartfelt request for care and caution regarding the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, which is so close to our school, church, and neighborhood. We entrust our children to the care of their teachers and community each day, hoping they are surrounded by a safe and nurturing environment. For this reason, I am worried about the potential impacts of construction activity next to a school, increased traffic on Green Street, and the introduction of an R&D facility with research uses that have not been clearly explained. These uncertainties weigh heavily when the safety and well-being of children are involved. I want to express my respect for the City's work and for Caltech's important role in Pasadena. At the same time, Catholic schools and parishes are places of learning, worship, and refuge. Developments near them should be approached with special care, humility, and transparency, particularly when potential health or safety concerns remain unanswered. I respectfully ask the City Council to pause and carefully discern whether this project, as proposed, truly serves the common good of this neighborhood. A thorough environmental and safety review, adherence to existing height and zoning limits, and thoughtful placement of R&D uses in appropriate commercial or industrial areas would help provide families with greater peace of mind. My hope is that the City will choose a path that reflects stewardship, protects our children, and honors the character of this faith-centered community. Thank you for your time, attention, and service to Pasadena.

Sincerely,  
Lauren Savarese

Pasadena, CA 91104 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:30 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Prayerful Request for Discernment and Care Near St. Philip the Apostle School

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Prayerful Request for Discernment and Care Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent at St. Philip the Apostle School, and I am writing to you with a humble and prayerful request regarding the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, which is so close to our school, church, and neighborhood. Each day, as parents, we entrust our children to the care of others and to God's protection, hoping they are learning and growing in a safe, peaceful, and nurturing environment. Because of this, I feel a deep concern about the possibility of extended construction near the school, increased traffic on Green Street, and the presence of an R&D facility whose research activities have not been clearly explained. These uncertainties are difficult for families when children's well-being is at stake. I want to express my gratitude for your service to the City and my respect for Caltech's contributions to Pasadena. At the same time, schools and churches are sacred spaces in our community—places where children learn, families gather, and faith is formed. Decisions that affect these spaces deserve special care, patience, and transparency. I respectfully ask the City Council to pause and prayerfully discern whether this project, as proposed, truly reflects our shared responsibility to protect the most vulnerable among us. A thorough environmental and safety review, respect for existing height and zoning limits, and thoughtful placement of high-intensity R&D uses in appropriate commercial or industrial areas would help reassure families and ensure they are heard. My hope is that the City's decision will be guided by wisdom, stewardship, and a commitment to the common good, especially for the children who depend on us to make careful choices on their behalf. Thank you for your time, your service, and your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Erika Luna

San Gabriel, CA 91775 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

Gao, Beilei

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:36 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Request to Grant Appeal – R&D Project at 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Request to Grant Appeal – R&D Project at 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

Why has a high-intensity R&D project been allowed to advance directly adjacent to an active school without full environmental review, clear disclosure of research uses, or a design that reflects the City's own planning standards? I am a St Philip the Apostle parent writing regarding the proposed R&D project at 1364 E. Green Street. I support Pasadena's efforts to attract life sciences employers and retain Caltech-connected innovation. However, when development is proposed next to a school, the City has a heightened responsibility to ensure projects proceed at an appropriate scale and with full transparency. This project moved forward without a comprehensive environmental review despite its immediate proximity to children and daily school operations. A redesign at a smaller, neighborhood-scaled height—consistent with the East Colorado Specific Plan, which envisions a maximum height of approximately 51 feet at this location—would better reflect the intended transition between commercial development and neighborhood-scale uses. A full environmental review is necessary to adequately assess traffic, safety, and operational impacts before construction begins, not after concerns have been raised. Approving this project in its current form would set a troubling precedent by enabling enhanced R&D development near schools in locations not clearly intended under the General Plan or the East Colorado Specific Plan. Doing so increases the likelihood of future appeals, disputes, and erosion of public trust in the City's planning process. I respectfully urge the City Council to grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that supports economic growth without compromising children's safety or established planning policies. Thank you for your careful consideration and your responsibility to the families and educators who depend on thoughtful, accountable decision-making.

Sincerely,  
Susan Ross

Altadena, CA 91001 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:40 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as both a resident and a parishioner, and I believe that how we treat our sacred spaces reflects who we are as a city. To honor our church is to honor Pasadena itself. Our church is more than a structure. It is a historic landmark, a spiritual home, and a gathering place for generations of families. It is where we celebrate baptisms and weddings, mourn loved ones at funerals, and come each week seeking peace and reflection. It stands as part of the architectural and cultural fabric that gives Pasadena its identity. When large-scale development, such as the 1364 E. Green St. project, is proposed directly beside such a sacred and historic place, it raises serious concerns. Construction noise, truck traffic, vibration, and the sheer scale of adjacent buildings can disrupt worship and diminish the quiet dignity that a church requires. Sacred spaces deserve thoughtful protection, not simply technical compliance. Growth and innovation are important to our city's future. But they must be balanced with respect for our history, our neighborhoods, and the institutions that anchor our community life. Pasadena has long prided itself on careful planning and stewardship. Upholding that legacy means ensuring that development enhances — rather than overwhelms — the places that define us. I respectfully urge you to require a thorough review and an appropriate scale for any project near our church. By doing so, you will honor both a cherished house of worship and the values that have long guided Pasadena's development.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Hankins

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:41 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Honor Our Church and Honor Our City- 1364 East Green St. Concerns

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as both a resident and a parishioner, and I believe that how we treat our sacred spaces reflects who we are as a city. To honor our church is to honor Pasadena itself. Our church is more than a structure. It is a historic landmark, a spiritual home, and a gathering place for generations of families. It is where we celebrate baptisms and weddings, mourn loved ones at funerals, and come each week seeking peace and reflection. It stands as part of the architectural and cultural fabric that gives Pasadena its identity. When large-scale development, such as the 1364 E. Green St. project, is proposed directly beside such a sacred and historic place, it raises serious concerns. Construction noise, truck traffic, vibration, and the sheer scale of adjacent buildings can disrupt worship and diminish the quiet dignity that a church requires. Sacred spaces deserve thoughtful protection, not simply technical compliance. Growth and innovation are important to our city's future. But they must be balanced with respect for our history, our neighborhoods, and the institutions that anchor our community life. Pasadena has long prided itself on careful planning and stewardship. Upholding that legacy means ensuring that development enhances — rather than overwhelms — the places that define us. I respectfully urge you to require a thorough review and an appropriate scale for any project near our church. By doing so, you will honor both a cherished house of worship and the values that have long guided Pasadena's development.

Sincerely,  
Micaela Ferguson

Pasadena, CA 91104 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:41 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Protect What Anchors Our Community- St. Philip the Apostle

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Protect What Anchors Our Community- St. Philip the Apostle

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a St. Philip the Apostle parishioner who believes we must protect the places that anchor our community. Our churches, schools, and long-established neighborhoods are not just structures on a map — they are the foundations of daily life in Pasadena. They provide stability, belonging, and continuity across generations. When large-scale development such as that at 1364 E. Green Street is proposed immediately beside these anchors, it raises serious concerns. Sacred spaces and neighborhood institutions depend on peace, safety, and compatibility with their surroundings. Construction impacts, increased traffic, noise, and projects that are out of scale can disrupt more than routines — they can erode the sense of place that holds a community together. Pasadena has long been admired for thoughtful planning that balances growth with preservation. That balance is essential. Growth should strengthen the institutions that define us, not overshadow or strain them. I respectfully urge you to ensure that projects near churches, schools, and established neighborhoods undergo thorough review and are appropriately scaled to their settings. Protecting what anchors our community is not opposition to progress — it is a commitment to responsible stewardship. Please safeguard the places that give Pasadena its identity and heart.

Sincerely,  
Justine von Wolfradt

San Gabriel, CA 91775 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:47 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Urgent: Child Safety Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Urgent: Child Safety Concerns Regarding 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a friend of a child at St. Philip the Apostle School, located just steps from the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, and I am writing with urgent concerns about the safety of our children and community if this project moves forward as proposed. This development would place major construction activity, increased traffic, and an R&D facility with undefined research uses directly next to a school and church. As a parent, I find it deeply troubling that children could be exposed to construction hazards, unsafe traffic conditions on Green Street, and unknown operational risks without a clear and reassuring environmental and safety analysis. While I support responsible development and value Caltech's role in Pasadena, projects adjacent to schools demand the highest level of caution and transparency. At this time, those standards have not been met. Allowing this project to proceed without a stronger review places children and families at unnecessary risk. I respectfully but firmly urge the City Council to pause this proposal, require a comprehensive safety and environmental review, uphold existing height limits, and ensure R&D uses are restricted to appropriate commercial or industrial corridors—not neighborhood streets next to schools. Please act now to protect our children and prevent irreversible impacts to our school and neighborhood.

Sincerely,  
Ricki Contreras

Pasadena , CA 91101 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:52 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Prayerful Request for Care Near St. Philip the Apostle School

**[ ⚠ ] CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Prayerful Request for Care Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent at St. Philip the Apostle School, and I am writing with a humble and prayerful request regarding the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, near our school, church, and neighborhood. As parents, we entrust our children to God's care and to the community around them each day, hoping they are learning in a safe and nurturing environment. For this reason, I am concerned about the potential impacts of construction activity near the school, increased traffic on Green Street, and the presence of an R&D facility whose research uses have not been clearly explained. These uncertainties are especially difficult when children's safety is involved. I am grateful for your service to the City and respectful of Caltech's role in Pasadena. At the same time, schools and churches are sacred spaces that deserve special care. Decisions affecting them should be approached with patience, transparency, and a commitment to protecting the most vulnerable. I respectfully ask the City Council to pause and prayerfully discern whether this project, as proposed, serves the common good. A thorough safety and environmental review, respect for existing height and zoning limits, and thoughtful placement of high-intensity R&D uses would help bring families greater peace of mind.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Sanchez

Pasadena, CA 91104 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:53 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Grant the Appeal – R&D Project at 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Grant the Appeal – R&D Project at 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

Why was a high-intensity R&D project allowed to advance directly adjacent to an active school without full environmental review, clear disclosure of research uses, or a design consistent with the City's own planning policies? I am a parent writing regarding the proposed R&D project at 1364 E. Green Street. I support Pasadena's goal of attracting life-sciences employers and retaining Caltech-connected innovation. However, development next to a school demands a higher standard of care, transparency, and scale than this project has demonstrated. This project proceeded without a comprehensive environmental review, despite its proximity to children and daily school operations. The East Colorado Specific Plan envisions a transition at this location, with a maximum height of approximately 51 feet. A smaller, neighborhood-scaled redesign consistent with that plan—combined with full environmental review—would allow traffic, safety, and operational impacts to be evaluated before construction, not after. Approving the project as proposed would set a concerning precedent by enabling intensified R&D near schools in areas not clearly intended for such development under the General Plan or the East Colorado Specific Plan. That path invites future appeals, disputes, and continued erosion of public trust in the City's planning process. I respectfully urge the City Council to grant the appeal and require that this project be returned in a form that supports economic growth without compromising children's safety or established planning standards. Thank you for your consideration and your responsibility to the families and educators who rely on careful, accountable decision-making.

Sincerely,  
Kaytee Griffith

Pasadena, CA 91104 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:53 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** A Prayerful Request for Care Near St. Philip the Apostle School

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: A Prayerful Request for Care Near St. Philip the Apostle School

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am a parent at St. Philip the Apostle School, and I am writing with a humble and prayerful request regarding the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street, near our school, church, and neighborhood. As parents, we entrust our children to God's care and to the community around them each day, hoping they are learning in a safe and nurturing environment. For this reason, I am concerned about the potential impacts of construction activity near the school, increased traffic on Green Street, and the presence of an R&D facility whose research uses have not been clearly explained. These uncertainties are especially difficult when children's safety is involved. I am grateful for your service to the City and respectful of Caltech's role in Pasadena. At the same time, schools and churches are sacred spaces that deserve special care. Decisions affecting them should be approached with patience, transparency, and a commitment to protecting the most vulnerable. I respectfully ask the City Council to pause and prayerfully discern whether this project, as proposed, serves the common good. A thorough safety and environmental review, respect for existing height and zoning limits, and thoughtful placement of high-intensity R&D uses would help bring families greater peace of mind.

Sincerely,  
Carlos Ramos

Pasadena, CA 91105 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)

**Gao, Beilei**

---

**From:** myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 19, 2026 2:53 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Parishioner Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street

[ ⚠ ] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Re: Parishioner Concerns About 1364 E. Green Street

Mr. Mark Jomsky,

I am writing as a Catholic parishioner concerned about the proposed development at 1364 E. Green Street and its impact on our church community. Our parish is a sacred place where we gather for worship, receive the sacraments, and serve others. These ministries depend on a surrounding environment that is safe, calm, and respectful of sensitive uses. As Catholics, we are called to uphold human dignity, care for our neighbors, and act as good stewards of our community. While I support Pasadena's commitment to innovation and institutions like Caltech, this project has not demonstrated that it can operate safely at this location or at the scale proposed. Approving it without a full environmental review, and at a height beyond what was envisioned for this street, raises real concerns for parishioners—especially families, children, and elderly members of our community. I respectfully urge the City Council to require that this project comply with the East Colorado Specific Plan's 51-foot height limit and undergo a thorough environmental review. Doing so would better reflect both Pasadena's planning goals and respect for faith communities. Please grant the appeal and allow this project to return in a form that honors stewardship, safety, and the vital role our church plays in the neighborhood.

Sincerely,  
Suzanna Ayala

Pasadena, CA 91107 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (tm) at [www.oneclickpolitics.com](http://www.oneclickpolitics.com). OneClickPolitics provides online communications tools for supporters of a cause, issue, organization or association to contact their elected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact [info@oneclickpolitics.com](mailto:info@oneclickpolitics.com)