

PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED _____, 2026**NEW ISSUE – FULL BOOK-ENTRY ONLY****Ratings:****Fitch:** “_____”**S&P:** “_____”

(See “RATINGS” herein)

In the opinion of Best Best & Krieger LLP, Los Angeles, California, Bond Counsel, subject to certain qualifications described herein, under existing statutes, regulations, rules and court decisions, and assuming certain representations and compliance with certain covenants and requirements described herein, the interest on the 2026A Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations, however, interest is taken into account in determining the annual adjusted financial statement income of certain corporations for the purpose of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, such interest is exempt from California personal income taxes. See “TAX MATTERS” herein.

[INSERT CITY LOGO]

\$ _____
CITY OF PASADENA, CALIFORNIA
ELECTRIC REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS,
2026A SERIES

Dated: Date of Delivery**Due: August 1, as shown on inside front cover**

This cover page contains certain information for general reference only. It is not intended to be a summary of the security or terms of this issue. Investors are advised to read the entire Official Statement to obtain information essential to the making of an informed investment decision. Capitalized terms used on this cover page not otherwise defined shall have the meanings set forth herein.

The City of Pasadena, California Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2026A Series (the “2026A Bonds”) are being issued for the purpose of (i) refunding all of the City’s outstanding Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2016A Series (the “2016A Bonds”), and (ii) paying the costs of issuance of the 2026A Bonds. See “PLAN OF FINANCE” herein.

The 2026A Bonds are being issued pursuant to an Electric Revenue Bond Fiscal Agent Agreement, dated as of August 1, 1998, by and between the City and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as successor fiscal agent (the “Fiscal Agent”), as amended and supplemented, including as amended and supplemented by a Twelfth Supplement to Electric Revenue Bond Fiscal Agent Agreement, dated as of _____ 1, 2026, by and between the City and the Fiscal Agent (collectively, the “Fiscal Agent Agreement”). The 2026A Bonds are being issued in fully registered form, and, when issued, will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York (“DTC”). DTC will act as securities depository of the 2026A Bonds. Beneficial ownership interests in the 2026A Bonds may be purchased in book-entry form only in denominations of \$5,000 principal amount or any integral multiple thereof. Interest on the 2026A Bonds will be payable semiannually on February 1 and August 1 of each year, commencing February 1, 2027. Payments of principal of, premium, if any, and interest on, the 2026A Bonds will be paid by the Fiscal Agent to DTC, which is obligated in turn to remit such principal, premium, if any, and interest to its participants for subsequent disbursement to the beneficial owners of the 2026A Bonds.

The 2026A Bonds are subject to optional and mandatory sinking fund redemption prior to maturity as described herein.

The 2026A Bonds are an obligation payable only from the Net Income of the Electric System in the Light and Power Fund of the City and certain other funds as provided in the Fiscal Agent Agreement. The 2026A Bonds are secured by a pledge of and lien upon Net Income of the Electric System on a parity with other obligations of the Electric System payable from Net Income of the Electric System and issued from time to time pursuant to the terms of the Fiscal Agent Agreement. Upon the issuance of the 2026A Bonds and refunding of the 2016A Bonds, in addition to the 2026A Bonds, the City will have \$ _____ principal amount of parity obligations outstanding payable from Net Income of the Electric System pursuant to the terms of the Fiscal Agent Agreement.

The general fund of the City is not liable for the payment of any 2026A Bonds, any premium thereon upon redemption prior to maturity or their interest, nor is the credit or taxing power of the City pledged for the payment of any 2026A Bonds, any premium thereon upon redemption prior to maturity or their interest. The Owner of any 2026A Bond shall not compel the exercise of the taxing power by the City or the forfeiture of any of its property. The principal of and interest on any 2026A Bonds and any premiums upon the redemption of any thereof prior to maturity are not a debt of the City nor a legal or equitable pledge, charge, lien or encumbrance upon any of its property or upon any of its income, receipts or revenues, except the Net Income and other funds, security or assets which are pledged to the payment of the 2026A Bonds, interest thereon and any premiums upon redemption pursuant to the Fiscal Agent Agreement.

The 2026A Bonds will be sold by competitive sale on or about _____, 2026 pursuant to the Notice Inviting Bids dated _____, 2026. The 2026A Bonds will be offered when, as and if issued, sold and received by the Initial Purchaser, subject to the approval of Best Best & Krieger LLP, Los Angeles, California, Bond Counsel, and certain other conditions. PFM Financial Advisors LLC, Los Angeles, California, is serving as Municipal Advisor to the City in connection with the issuance of the 2026A Bonds. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the City by Michele Beal Bagneris, City Attorney of the City, and by Best Best & Krieger LLP, Los Angeles, California, Disclosure Counsel. It is anticipated that the 2026A Bonds in definitive form will be available for delivery to DTC in New York, New York by Fast Automated Securities Transfer (FAST) on or about _____, 2026.

Dated: _____, 2026

\$ _____
CITY OF PASADENA, CALIFORNIA
ELECTRIC REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS,
2026A SERIES

MATURITY SCHEDULES

\$ _____ Serial 2026A Bonds

Maturity Date <u>(August 1)</u>	Principal <u>Amount</u>	Interest <u>Rate</u>	<u>Yield</u>	CUSIP No.[†] <u>()</u>
--	--	---------------------------------------	---------------------	---

\$ _____ % Term 2026A Bonds due August 1, 20__ - Yield ____ % CUSIP[†]: _____

\$ _____ % Term 2026A Bonds due August 1, 20__ - Yield ____ % CUSIP[†]: _____

[†] CUSIP is a registered trademark of The American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by CUSIP Global Services, managed by S&P Capital IQ Financial Services LLC on behalf of The American Bankers Association. CUSIP numbers have been assigned by an independent company not affiliated with the City, Pasadena Water and Power (“PWP”) or the Initial Purchaser and are included solely for the convenience of the holders of the 2026A Bonds. This data is not intended to create a database and does not serve in any way as a substitute for the CUSIP Services. None of the City, PWP or the Initial Purchaser shall be responsible for the selection or correctness of the CUSIP numbers set forth herein. The CUSIP number for a specific maturity is subject to being changed after the delivery of the 2026A Bonds as a result of various subsequent actions including, but not limited to, a refunding in whole or in part of such maturity or as a result of the procurement of secondary market portfolio insurance or other similar enhancement by investors that is applicable to all or a portion of the 2026A Bonds.

CITY OF PASADENA

CITY COUNCIL

Victor M. Gordo, Mayor
Jess Rivas, Vice-Mayor
Tyron Hampton, Council Member
Rick Cole, Council Member
Justin Jones, Council Member
Gene Masuda, Council Member
Steve Madison, Council Member
Jason Lyon, Council Member

CITY STAFF

Matthew Hawkesworth, *Interim City Manager*
Karin Schnaider, *Director of Finance*
Vicken Erganian, *Treasurer and Deputy Director of Finance*

CITY ATTORNEY

Michele Beal Bagneris

PASADENA WATER AND POWER STAFF

David Reyes, General Manager
Stacie Takeguchi, Chief Assistant General Manager and Assistant General Manager – Water
Varoojan Avedian, Assistant General Manager – Power Delivery
Lynne Chaimowitz, Assistant General Manager – Finance and Administration
Jennifer Guess Mayo, Assistant General Manager – External Affairs
Jeremy Marquette, Assistant General Manager – Customer Service and Technology
Kelly Nguyen, Assistant General Manager – Power Supply

SPECIAL SERVICES

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR

PFM Financial Advisors LLC
Los Angeles, California

BOND AND DISCLOSURE COUNSEL

Best Best & Krieger LLP
Los Angeles, California

FISCAL AGENT AND ESCROW AGENT

The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A.
Los Angeles, California

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP
Brea, California

VERIFICATION AGENT

[Precision Analytics Inc.
New York, New York]

No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized by the City to give any information or to make any representations, other than those contained herein, and if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by any of the foregoing. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of the 2026A Bonds in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful.

This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract with the purchasers of the 2026A Bonds. Statements contained in this Official Statement involving any estimates, forecasts or matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended solely as such and not as a representation of fact.

The information set forth herein has been furnished by the City and other sources which are believed to be reliable, but is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. The information and expressions of opinions herein are subject to change without notice and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the City or the Electric System since the date hereof.

IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFERING OF THE 2026A BONDS, THE INITIAL PURCHASER MAY OVER-ALLOT OR EFFECT TRANSACTIONS THAT MAY STABILIZE OR MAINTAIN THE MARKET PRICE OF SUCH 2026A BONDS AT A LEVEL ABOVE THAT WHICH MIGHT OTHERWISE PREVAIL IN THE OPEN MARKET. SUCH STABILIZING, IF COMMENCED, MAY BE DISCONTINUED AT ANY TIME. THE INITIAL PURCHASER IN CONNECTION WITH ANY REOFFERING MAY OFFER AND SELL THE 2026A BONDS TO CERTAIN DEALERS, INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS AND OTHERS AT PRICES LOWER THAN THE PUBLIC OFFERING PRICES STATED ON THE INSIDE COVER PAGE HEREOF AND SUCH PUBLIC OFFERING PRICES MAY BE CHANGED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE INITIAL PURCHASER.

This Official Statement, including any supplement or amendment hereto, is intended to be filed with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board through the Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) website. The City maintains a website. However, the information presented therein is not part of this Official Statement and should not be relied upon in making investment decisions with respect to the 2026A Bonds.

References to website addresses presented herein are for informational purposes only and may be in the form of a hyperlink solely for the reader's convenience. Unless specified otherwise, such websites and the information or links contained therein are not incorporated into, and are not part of, this Official Statement for purposes of, and as that term is defined in, Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS IN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT

Certain statements included or incorporated by reference in this Official Statement constitute "forward-looking statements." Such statements are generally identifiable by the terminology used such as "plan," "expect," "estimate," "budget" or other similar words. The achievement of certain results or other expectations contained in such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, performance or achievements described to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The City does not plan to issue any updates or revisions to those forward-looking statements if or when its expectations or events, conditions or circumstances on which such statements are based occur.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTION.....	1	Impact of Economic Conditions of the	
Purpose	1	Development in the District.....	33
Authority for Issuance	1	Customers, Energy Sales and Revenues	33
The City	1	Indebtedness and Joint Agency Obligations	36
Security and Sources of Payment for the		Reserve Policies.....	37
2026A Bonds.....	1	Funding of Capital Improvements	39
Parity Reserve Fund.....	2	Historical Operating Results and Debt Service	
Rate Covenant.....	2	Coverage	41
Other Matters.....	2	Condensed Balance Sheet.....	42
PLAN OF FINANCE.....	3	RATE REGULATION.....	42
General	Error! Bookmark not defined.	DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CALIFORNIA	
Funding of Capital Improvements ...	Error! Bookmark not defined.	ENERGY MARKETS.....	43
Refunding of 2013A Bonds	Error! Bookmark not defined.	State Legislation	43
ESTIMATED SOURCES AND USES OF		Future Regulation	50
FUNDS.....	4	Impact of Developments on the City	50
THE 2026A BONDS.....	4	OTHER FACTORS AFFECTING THE	
General	4	ELECTRIC UTILITY INDUSTRY	51
Redemption.....	4	Federal Policy on Cybersecurity.....	51
Debt Service Schedule.....	7	Federal Energy Legislation.....	51
SECURITY AND SOURCES OF PAYMENT		Federal Regulation of Transmission Access.....	52
FOR THE 2026A BONDS	7	Other Federal Legislation	53
General	7	Environmental Issues.....	53
Rate Covenant.....	8	Global Health Emergencies; COVID-19	
The Light and Power Fund	9	Pandemic	57
Parity Reserve Fund....	Error! Bookmark not defined.	Other Factors	58
Additional Bonds	10	CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS IN	
Limitations on Remedies	12	CALIFORNIA AFFECTING FEES	
PASADENA WATER AND POWER.....	12	AND CHARGES IMPOSED BY THE	
Organization	12	CITY	59
Management	13	Proposition 218 and Proposition 26.....	59
Employees	14	Future Initiatives.....	60
THE ELECTRIC SYSTEM OF PWP	16	RATINGS	61
General	16	TAX MATTERS	61
Energy Integrated Resource Plan.....	17	Federal Income Taxes.....	61
Insurance.....	17	LITIGATION.....	62
Power Supply Resources	17	AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....	62
City-Owned Generating Facilities	19	FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS.....	62
Joint Powers Agency Generation and Fuel		MUNICIPAL ADVISOR	63
Resources/Remote Ownership Interests	20	CERTAIN LEGAL MATTERS.....	63
Purchased Power.....	24	PURCHASE AND REOFFERING.....	63
Renewable Resources	25	CONTINUING DISCLOSURE	63
Solar Initiative and Photovoltaic Program	27	EXECUTION AND DELIVERY.....	65
Energy Efficiency Goals and Programs	27		
Future Power Supply Resources	27	APPENDIX A – THE CITY OF PASADENA	A-1
Fuel Supply.....	27	APPENDIX B – AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Transmission Resources	28	OF PASADENA WATER AND POWER	
Existing Transmission Resources	29	ENTERPRISE FUNDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR	
Future Transmission Resources	31	ENDED JUNE 30, 2025	B-1
Inter-Utility Sales Transactions	31	APPENDIX C – BOOK-ENTRY SYSTEM.....	C-1
Interconnections and Distribution Facilities	31	APPENDIX D – SUMMARY OF CERTAIN	
Electric Rates and Charges	32	PROVISIONS OF THE FISCAL AGENT	
Billing and Collection Procedures	32	AGREEMENT	D-1
		APPENDIX E – FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE	
		AGREEMENT	E-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

Page

APPENDIX F – PROPOSED FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL.....	F-1
---	-----

OFFICIAL STATEMENT

\$ _____ **CITY OF PASADENA, CALIFORNIA** **ELECTRIC REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS,** **2026A SERIES**

INTRODUCTION

This Introduction is subject in all respects to the more complete information contained elsewhere in this Official Statement and the offering of the City of Pasadena, California Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2026A Series to potential investors is made only by means of the entire Official Statement. Capitalized terms used in this Official Statement and not otherwise defined herein shall have the respective meanings assigned to them in the Fiscal Agent Agreement.

Purpose

The purpose of this Official Statement, which includes the cover page and Appendices hereto, is to set forth certain information in connection with the issuance and sale by the City of Pasadena, California (the “City”) of \$ _____ aggregate principal amount of its Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2026A Series (the “2026A Bonds”). The 2026A Bonds are being issued for the purpose of (i) refunding all of the City’s outstanding Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2016A Series (the “2016A Bonds”), and (ii) paying the costs of issuance of the 2026A Bonds. See “PLAN OF FINANCE.”

Authority for Issuance

The 2026A Bonds are authorized and will be issued pursuant to Article XIV of the Charter of the City, as amended (the “Charter”), an Ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City (the “City Council”) on September 19, 2016, and an Electric Revenue Bond Fiscal Agent Agreement, dated as of August 1, 1998, by and between the City and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (successor to BNY Western Trust Company), as fiscal agent (the “Fiscal Agent”), as amended and supplemented, including as amended and supplemented by a Twelfth Supplement to Electric Revenue Bond Fiscal Agent Agreement, dated as of _____ 1, 2026 (the “Twelfth Supplement”), by and between the City and the Fiscal Agent (collectively, the “Fiscal Agent Agreement”). All Electric Revenue Bonds issued pursuant to the Fiscal Agent Agreement are collectively referred to herein as the “Bonds.”

The City

The City is a charter city of the State of California (the “State”), comprising approximately 23 square miles, located in Los Angeles County (the “County”) in the northwestern portion of the San Gabriel Valley. See APPENDIX A – “THE CITY OF PASADENA” herein. The City owns and operates a municipal electric public utility (the “Electric System”), established by the Charter. The Electric System is managed and controlled by Pasadena Water and Power (“PWP”) and supplies electricity to virtually all of the electric customers within the City limits. For the fiscal year (“Fiscal Year”) ended June 30, 2025, the City estimates there were 67,781 customers of the Electric System, comprised of 59,114 residential customers, 8,650 commercial and industrial customers, 5 street lighting and traffic signals customers and 12 wholesale customers. The total quantity of energy generated and purchased was 1,070,528 megawatt hours (“MWh”), and the peak demand was 311 megawatts (“MW”).

Security and Sources of Payment for the 2026A Bonds

The 2026A Bonds are an obligation payable only from the Net Income of the Electric System in the Light and Power Fund of PWP (the “Light and Power Fund”). The 2026A Bonds are secured by a pledge of and lien upon Net Income of the Electric System on a parity with other obligations of the Electric System issued from time

to time pursuant to the terms of the Fiscal Agent Agreement payable from Net Income of the Electric System. Upon the issuance of the 2026A Bonds and the refunding of the 2016A Bonds, in addition to the 2026A Bonds, the City will have outstanding \$_____ principal amount of its Electric Revenue/Refunding Bonds, 2024A Series (the “Outstanding Bonds”). See “SECURITY AND SOURCES OF PAYMENT FOR THE 2026A BONDS – Additional Bonds.”

The 2026A Bonds are limited obligations of the City and are not secured by a legal or equitable pledge of, or charge or lien upon, any property of the City or any of its income or receipts, except the Net Income of the Electric System. Neither the full faith and credit nor the taxing power of the City is pledged to the payment of the principal of, premium, if any, or interest on the 2026A Bonds. No tax or other source of funds, other than the Net Income of the Electric System, is pledged to pay the principal of, premium, if any, or interest on the 2026A Bonds. Neither the payment of the principal of, nor the interest on, the 2026A Bonds constitutes a debt, liability or obligation of the City for which the City is obligated to levy or pledge any form of taxation or for which it has levied or pledged any form of taxation.

The general fund of the City (the “General Fund”) is not liable for the payment of any 2026A Bonds, any premium thereon upon redemption prior to maturity or their interest, nor is the credit or taxing power of the City pledged for the payment of any 2026A Bonds, any premium thereon upon redemption prior to maturity or their interest. No Owner of any 2026A Bond shall compel the exercise of the taxing power by the City or the forfeiture of any of its property. The principal of and interest on any 2026A Bonds and any premiums upon the redemption of any thereof prior to maturity are not a debt of the City nor a legal or equitable pledge, charge, lien or encumbrance upon any of its property or upon any of its income, receipts or revenues, except the Net Income and other funds, security or assets which are pledged to the payment of the 2026A Bonds, interest thereon and any premiums upon redemption pursuant to the Fiscal Agent Agreement.

No Debt Service Reserve Fund

The City is not funding a debt service reserve fund for the 2026A Bonds.

Rate Covenant

The City has covenanted in the Fiscal Agent Agreement to fix the rates for services furnished by the Electric System so as to provide Gross Revenues at least sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the principal of and interest on the Bonds, any Parity Obligations and all other obligations and indebtedness payable from the Light and Power Fund or from any fund derived therefrom, and also the necessary Maintenance and Operating Expenses, so that the Net Income of the Electric System will be at least equal to 1.10 times the amount necessary to pay principal and interest as the same become due on all Outstanding Bonds and Parity Obligations. See “SECURITY AND SOURCES OF PAYMENT FOR THE 2026A BONDS – Rate Covenant.”

Other Matters

This Official Statement speaks only as of its date, and the information and expressions of opinions contained herein are subject to change without notice, and neither delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the City or the Electric System since the date hereof. This Official Statement, including any supplement or amendment hereto, is intended to be filed with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“MSRB”) through the Electronic Municipal Market Access (“EMMA”) website. Forward looking statements in this Official Statement are subject to risks and uncertainties, including particularly those relating to competition and electric industry restructuring, and the economy of the City’s service area.

This Official Statement includes summaries of the terms of the 2026A Bonds, the Fiscal Agent Agreement, the Continuing Disclosure Agreement and certain contracts and other arrangements for the supply of capacity and energy. The summaries of and references to all documents, statutes, reports and other instruments

referred to herein do not purport to be complete, comprehensive or definitive, and each such summary and reference is qualified in its entirety by reference to each document, statute, report or instrument. Copies of the Fiscal Agent Agreement and the Continuing Disclosure Agreement are available for inspection at the offices of the City, and will be available upon request and payment of duplication costs from the Fiscal Agent. Additional information regarding this Official Statement may be obtained by contacting the Fiscal Agent or the City. The City's address and telephone number for such purpose are as follows: City of Pasadena, 100 North Garfield Avenue, 3rd Floor, Pasadena, California 91101-1726, (626) 744-4350, Attention: Director of Finance.

PLAN OF FINANCE

Pursuant to an Escrow Agreement dated as of _____ 1, 2026 (the "Escrow Agreement"), by and between the City and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as escrow agent (the "Escrow Agent"), a portion of the proceeds of the 2026A Bonds will be deposited into an escrow fund and held as cash or applied to the purchase of certain federal securities ("Escrow Securities"), the principal of and interest on which, together with the cash held in the escrow fund, will be sufficient to redeem the 2016A Bonds on _____, 2026 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, together with accrued and unpaid interest thereon.

On the date of delivery of the 2026A Bonds, the City will receive a report from [Precision Analytics Inc.], verifying the adequacy of (i) the mathematical computation concerning the adequacy of the cash deposited and held in the escrow fund for the 2016A Bonds together with the maturing principal amounts of and interest earned on the Escrow Securities to redeem the 2016A Bonds on _____, 2026 at a redemption price of the 2016A Bonds (*i.e.* 100% of the principal amount thereof) together with accrued and unpaid interest to such redemption date and (ii) the mathematical computations of the yield on the 2026A Bonds and the yield on the Escrow Securities purchased with portion of the proceeds of the sale of the 2026A Bonds and any other available funds of the City.

The report of such independent arbitrage consultants will include the statement that the scope of their engagement was limited to verifying the mathematical accuracy of the computations contained in such schedules provided to them and that they have no obligation to update their report because of events occurring or data or information coming to their attention subsequent to the date of their report.

Upon such deposit, the 2016A Bonds will no longer be deemed to be outstanding under the Fiscal Agent Agreement, and all obligations of the City with respect to the 2016A Bonds shall cease and terminate, except for the obligation of the City to cause the amounts due on the 2016A Bonds to be paid from funds on deposit in the escrow fund.

ESTIMATED SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS

The estimated sources and uses of funds in connection with the 2026A Bonds are as follows:

Sources:

Principal Amount of 2026A Bonds

Plus Original Issue Premium

Prior Reserve Fund Release

Total Sources

Uses:

Escrow Fund

Deposit to Costs of Issuance Account ⁽¹⁾

Initial Purchaser's Discount

Total Uses

⁽¹⁾ Includes fees of Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel, the Fiscal Agent, Municipal Advisor, rating agencies, printing costs and other miscellaneous expenses.

THE 2026A BONDS

General

The 2026A Bonds will be dated their date of delivery and will bear interest from that date at the rates per annum and will mature on August 1 in the years set forth on the inside cover page of this Official Statement. Interest on the 2026A Bonds will be payable semiannually on February 1 and August 1, commencing February 1, 2027, and will be calculated on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months. The 2026A Bonds are being issued in fully registered form, and when issued, will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC"). So long as Cede & Co. is the registered owner of the 2026A Bonds, references herein to the owners or registered owners shall mean Cede & Co., and not the beneficial owners of the 2026A Bonds. See APPENDIX C – "BOOK-ENTRY SYSTEM" herein.

Redemption

Optional Redemption. The 2026A Bonds maturing prior to August 1, 20__ are not subject to call and redemption prior to maturity. The 2026A Bonds maturing on or after August 1, 2035 are subject to call and redemption prior to maturity, at the option of the City, as a whole or in part, on August 1, 20__ or on any date thereafter, in any order of maturity and by lot within a single maturity, from funds derived by the City from any legal source, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount of the 2026A Bonds called for redemption, together with interest accrued thereon to the date of redemption, without premium.

Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption. The 2026A Bonds maturing on August 1, 20__ shall be subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption in part at par, and by lot, from mandatory sinking account payments set

aside in the Parity Obligation Payment Fund for such purpose, on August 1 of the years and in the amounts set forth below:

Term 2026A Bonds Due August 1, 20__

<u>Year</u>	<u>Principal Amount</u>
-------------	-------------------------

† _____
Maturity.

The 2026A Bonds maturing on August 1, 20__ shall be subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption in part at par, and by lot, from mandatory sinking account payments set aside in the Parity Obligation Payment Fund for such purpose, on August 1 of the years and in the amounts set forth below:

Term 2026A Bonds Due August 1, 20__

<u>Year</u>	<u>Principal Amount</u>
-------------	-------------------------

† _____
Final Maturity.

Upon any purchase or optional redemption of the 2026A Bonds designated to be term bonds, an amount equal to the aggregate principal amount of 2026A Bonds so purchased or redeemed shall be credited towards a part or all of any one or more yearly mandatory sinking account payments required by the Fiscal Agent Agreement, as directed in writing by a certificate of the Director of Finance. The portion of any such mandatory sinking account payments remaining after the deduction of any such amounts credited toward the same (or the original amount of any such mandatory sinking account payments if no such amounts shall have been credited toward the same) shall constitute the unsatisfied balance of such mandatory sinking account payments for the purpose of the calculation of principal payments due on any future principal payment date. In such event, the City shall provide the Fiscal Agent with a revised sinking fund payment schedule.

Notice of Redemption. Notice of redemption shall be given by the Fiscal Agent, not less than thirty (30) nor more than sixty (60) days prior to the redemption date, (i) by mail to each Owner and the Securities Depositories and (ii) electronically to one or more of the EMMA database designated by the MSRB at www.emma.msrb.org, or, in accordance with then current guidelines of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), such other addresses and/or such other services providing information with respect to called bonds as the City may designate (“Information Services”). Notice of redemption to the Securities Depositories shall be given by telecopy, certified, registered or overnight mail or by such other method as may be requested by the Securities Depositories. Each notice of redemption shall state the date of such notice, the date of issue of the 2026A Bonds to which such notice relates, the redemption date, the Redemption Price, the place or places of redemption (including the name and appropriate address or addresses of the Fiscal Agent), the CUSIP number (if any) of the maturity or maturities, and, if less than all of any such maturity, the distinctive certificate numbers of the 2026A Bonds of such maturity to be redeemed and, in the case of 2026A Bonds to be redeemed in part only, the respective portions of the principal amount thereof to be redeemed. Each such notice shall also state that on said date there will become due and payable on each of said 2026A Bonds the Redemption Price thereof or of said specified portion of the principal amount thereof in the case of a 2026A Bond to be redeemed in part only, together with interest accrued thereon to the date fixed for redemption, and that from and after such redemption date interest

thereon shall cease to accrue, and shall require that such 2026A Bonds be then surrendered at the address or addresses of the Fiscal Agent specified in the redemption notice. Neither the City nor the Fiscal Agent shall have any responsibility for any defect in the CUSIP number that appears on any 2026A Bond or in any redemption notice with respect thereto, and any such redemption notice may contain a statement to the effect that CUSIP numbers have been assigned by an independent service for convenience of reference and that neither the City nor the Fiscal Agent shall be liable for any inaccuracy in such numbers.

In the event of an optional redemption of 2026A Bonds, if the City shall not have deposited or otherwise made available to the Fiscal Agent the money required for the payment of the redemption price of the 2026A Bonds to be redeemed at the time of the mailing of notice of redemption, such notice of redemption shall state that the redemption is expressly conditioned upon the timely deposit of sufficient funds therefor with the Fiscal Agent.

Failure by the Fiscal Agent to give notice to any one or more of the Information Services or Securities Depositories or failure of any Owner to receive notice or any defect in any such notice shall not affect the sufficiency of the proceedings for redemption.

Effect of Redemption. When notice of redemption has been given, and when the amount necessary for the redemption of the 2026A Bonds called for redemption (principal and premium) is set aside for that purpose, the 2026A Bonds designated for redemption shall become due and payable on the redemption date, and upon presentation and surrender of said 2026A Bonds, at the place specified in the notice of redemption, such 2026A Bonds shall be redeemed and paid at said redemption price, and no interest shall accrue on such 2026A Bonds called for redemption after the redemption date.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

Debt Service Schedule

The table below sets forth the annualized debt service payments on the 2026A Bonds.

Fiscal Year Ending <u>(June 30)</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
--	-------------------------	------------------------	---------------------

Total

SECURITY AND SOURCES OF PAYMENT FOR THE 2026A BONDS

General

The Bonds are an obligation payable only from the Net Income of the Electric System in the Light and Power Fund. The 2026A Bonds are secured by a pledge of and lien upon Net Income of the Electric System on a parity with other obligations of the Electric System payable from Net Income of the Electric System and issued from time to time pursuant to the Fiscal Agent Agreement, including the Outstanding Bonds. See “– Additional Bonds” below.

“Net Income” is defined in the Fiscal Agent Agreement as Gross Revenues less Maintenance and Operating Expenses. “Gross Revenues” means all revenues (as defined in Section 54315 of the Government Code of California, which include all charges received for and all other income and receipts derived by PWP from the operation of the Electric System or arising from the Electric System) received by PWP from the services, facilities, energy and distribution of electric energy by PWP, including (i) income from investments, and (ii) for the purposes of determining compliance with the rate covenant in the Fiscal Agent Agreement only, the amounts on deposit in the Stranded Investment Reserve or in any other unrestricted funds of the Electric System designated by the City Council by resolution (or by approval of a budget of the Light and Power Fund providing for such transfer) and available for the purpose of paying Maintenance and Operating Expenses and/or debt service on the Bonds and/or any Parity Obligations, but excepting therefrom (a) all reimbursement charges and deposits to secure service and (b) any charges collected by any person to amortize or otherwise relating to the payment of the uneconomic portion of costs associated with assets and obligations (“stranded costs”) of the Electric System or of any joint powers agency in which the City participates which the City has dedicated to the payment of obligations other than the Bonds or any Parity Obligations then outstanding, the payments of which obligations will be applied to or pledged to or otherwise set aside for the reduction or retirement of outstanding obligations of the City or any joint powers agency in which the City participates relating to such “stranded costs” of the City or of any such joint powers agency to the extent such “stranded costs” are attributable to, or the responsibility of, the City.

“Maintenance and Operating Expenses” is defined in the Fiscal Agent Agreement to mean the amount required to pay the reasonable expenses of management, repair and other costs, of the nature of costs which have historically and customarily been accounted for as such, necessary to operate, maintain and preserve the Electric System in good repair and working order, including but not limited to, the cost of supply and transmission of electric energy under long-term contracts or otherwise and the expenses of conducting the Electric System, but excluding depreciation. “Maintenance and Operating Expenses” includes all amounts required to be paid by the City under contract with a joint powers agency for purchase of capacity, energy, transmission capability or any other commodities or services in connection with the foregoing, which contract requires payments by the City to be made thereunder to be treated as Maintenance and Operating Expenses.

Certain of the City’s obligations to joint powers agencies, including obligations with respect to bonds issued by such joint powers agencies, are payable by the City from the Light and Power Fund, prior to the Bonds and all Parity Obligations, as Maintenance and Operating Expenses. See TABLE 7 – “OUTSTANDING DEBT OF JOINT POWERS AGENCIES” herein.

The General Fund of the City is not liable for the payment of any Bonds, any premium thereon upon redemption prior to maturity or their interest, nor is the credit or taxing power of the City pledged for the payment of any Bonds, any premium thereon upon redemption prior to maturity or their interest. The Owner of any Bond shall not compel the exercise of the taxing power by the City or the forfeiture of any of its property. The principal of and interest on any Bonds and any premiums upon the redemption of any thereof prior to maturity are not a debt of the City nor a legal or equitable pledge, charge, lien or encumbrance upon any of its property or upon any of its income, receipts or revenues, except the Net Income and other funds, security or assets which are pledged to the payment of the Bonds, interest thereon and any premiums upon redemption pursuant to the Fiscal Agent Agreement.

Rate Covenant

The City has covenanted in the Fiscal Agent Agreement to fix the rates for services furnished by the Electric System so as to provide Gross Revenues at least sufficient to pay, as the same become due, the principal of and interest on the Bonds, including the Outstanding Bonds and Parity Obligations and all other obligations and indebtedness payable from the Light and Power Fund (including the payment of any amounts owing to any provider of any surety bond, insurance policy or letter of credit with respect to the Bonds or any Parity Obligations, which amounts are payable from the Light and Power Fund) or from any fund derived therefrom, and also the necessary Maintenance and Operating Expenses, and shall be so fixed that the Net Income of the Electric System will be at least equal to 1.10 times the amount necessary to pay principal and interest (including mandatory sinking

account redemption payments) as the same become due on all Bonds, including the Outstanding Bonds and Parity Obligations.

The Light and Power Fund

The Charter establishes the Light and Power Fund and permits the establishment by ordinance of such funds as the City Council may deem necessary to facilitate the issuance and sale of the bonds or for the protection or security of the owners of the bonds.

Under the provisions of the Charter, all moneys and property received by the City in payment for electrical energy and for any service rendered in connection therewith, or from the sale, lease and other disposition of any property acquired with funds or property of the Electric System must be deposited in the Light and Power Fund. The Charter further provides that disbursement may be made directly from the Light and Power Fund for the following purposes:

- (a) the necessary or proper expenses of conducting the Electric System, the operation and maintenance of its works, plants and distributing systems; the acquisition and improvement of facilities; and the publishing of reports;
- (b) the payment of interest and principal on bonds issued for the purposes of the Electric System;
- (c) the formation of surplus or reserves for the future needs of the Electric System and for unforeseen emergencies; and
- (d) the repayment of advances made from other funds of the City.

In November 2020, voters in the City passed Measure P, which amended the Charter by confirming the General Fund Transfer (“GFT”) from the Light and Power Fund, limiting the maximum GFT, and simplifying the restrictions on the transfer. The maximum GFT was reduced from 16 percent to 12 percent of the electric utility’s gross income. As certified April 2024, voters in the City passed Measure R, updating the accounting method used to calculate the GFT in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. Section 1408 was amended to remove the net income requirement with the following language remaining: “Notwithstanding anything herein contained, if the City Council at the time of or before the adoption of the budget shall determine that the transfer of such amount from the Light and Power Fund would be detrimental to the proper functioning and administration of the power utility during the budget year under consideration, the City Council may so find by resolution, and, in such event, no transfer of such amount shall be made within that fiscal year. If the City Council shall determine that the transfer of an amount less than twelve percent (12%) from the Light and Power Fund would not be detrimental to the proper functioning and administration of the power utility during the budget year under consideration, the City Council may so declare by resolution, and shall transfer a smaller amount.”

The following table sets out the transfers from the Light and Power Fund to the City’s General Fund for the Fiscal Years 2020-21 through 2024-25.

TABLE 1
TRANSFERS TO THE GENERAL FUND
(Dollar Amounts in Thousands)

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Transfer Amount</u>	<u>% of Prior Fiscal Year Gross Income</u>
2020-21	\$18,000	9.73
2021-22	18,000	10.03
2022-23	18,000	9.82
2023-24	18,000	8.72
2024-25	28,132	12.00

Source: Finance and Administration Business Unit of PWP

In addition to the transfers authorized pursuant to Section 1408, the Charter provides that whenever the City Council determines that the surplus or reserve in the Light and Power Fund is in excess of reasonable future needs of the power utility, such excess may be appropriated for other municipal purposes, but only by ordinance approved by a two-thirds vote of the electors.

The Charter also provides that any surplus or reserves in the Light and Power Fund may be temporarily used for other municipal purposes if there are insufficient funds in the City Treasury to pay the current expenses of the general government of the City before the collection of taxes levied in any Fiscal Year. Should moneys from said fund be used pending the receipt of taxes, the amount so used shall be repaid not later than February 15 of the same Fiscal Year. However, the City has yet to exercise its rights under the above Charter provisions.

Additional Bonds

The Fiscal Agent Agreement provides that (except for bonds issued under Article XIV of the Charter, or otherwise, to refund Bonds or Parity Obligations, payable from the Light and Power Fund issued under Article XIV of the Charter which may be issued at any time without meeting the test set forth below) no additional indebtedness of the City payable out of the Light and Power Fund on a parity with the Bonds and any Parity Obligations (collectively referred to in the Fiscal Agent Agreement as “parity indebtedness”) shall be created or incurred unless:

(1) The Net Income during any twelve (12) consecutive calendar months out of the immediately preceding eighteen (18) calendar month period, plus, at the option of the City, any or all of the items designated in paragraphs (a) and (b) below, shall have amounted to at least equal to one hundred ten percent (110%) of the aggregate of the (i) amount of interest to accrue and (ii) payments of principal required to be made in that one of the Fiscal Years ending thereafter in which such aggregate will be the greatest on all Bonds and such Parity Obligations to be Outstanding immediately subsequent to the incurring of such additional parity indebtedness, as certified by a Certificate of the City; or

(2) The projected Net Income during the first complete Fiscal Year following issuance of such parity indebtedness when the improvements to the Electric System financed with the proceeds of the parity indebtedness shall be in operation, plus, at the option of the City, any or all of the items designated in paragraphs (a) and (b) below, shall have amounted to at least one hundred ten percent (110%) of the aggregate of the (i) amount of interest to accrue and (ii) payments of principal required to be made in that one of the Fiscal Years ending thereafter in which such aggregate will be the greatest on all Bonds and such Parity Obligations to be Outstanding immediately subsequent to the incurring of such additional parity indebtedness, as certified by a Certificate of the City.

The items any or all of which may be added to such Net Income for the purpose of meeting either of the requirements set forth in clauses (1) or (2) above are the following:

(a) An allowance for any increase in Net Income (including, without limitation, a reduction in Maintenance and Operating Expenses) which may arise from any additions to and extensions and improvements of the Electric System to be made or acquired with the proceeds of such additional parity indebtedness or with the proceeds of bonds previously issued, and also for Net Income from any such additions, extensions or improvements which have been made or acquired with moneys from any source but which, during all or any part of such Fiscal Year or such twelve consecutive calendar month period out of the immediately preceding eighteen calendar month period, were not in service, all in an amount equal to the estimated additional average annual Net Income (or estimated average annual reduction in Maintenance and Operating Expenses) to be derived from such additions, extensions or improvements for the first thirty-six month period in which each addition, extension or improvement is respectively to be in operation, all as shown by the Certificate of the City.

(b) An allowance for earnings arising from any increase in the charges made for the use of the Electric System which has become effective prior to the incurring of such additional parity indebtedness but which, during all or any part of such Fiscal Year or such twelve consecutive calendar month period out of the immediately preceding eighteen calendar month period, was not in effect, in an amount equal to the amount by which the Net Income would have been increased if such increase in charges had been in effect during the whole of such Fiscal Year or such twelve consecutive calendar month period out of the immediately preceding eighteen (18) calendar month period, as shown by the Certificate of the City.

Nothing in the Fiscal Agent Agreement limits the ability of the City to issue or incur obligations which are junior or subordinate to the payment of the principal, premium, interest and reserve fund requirements for the Bonds and all Parity Obligations and which subordinated obligations are payable as to principal, premium, interest and reserve fund requirements, if any, only out of Net Income after the prior payment of all amounts then due and required to be paid or set aside under the Fiscal Agent Agreement from Net Income for principal, premium, interest and reserve fund requirements for the Bonds and all Parity Obligations, as the same become due and payable and at the times and in the manner as required in the Fiscal Agent Agreement or any documents providing for the issuance or incurrence of Parity Obligations. The City currently does not have any subordinate obligations outstanding.

All moneys held in the funds and accounts established pursuant to the Fiscal Agent Agreement will be invested solely in Investment Securities, which include:

(i) any permissible investments of funds of the City as stated in its current investment policy and to the extent then permitted by law;

(ii) a repurchase agreement with a state or nationally chartered bank or trust company or a national banking association or government bond dealer reporting to, trading with, and recognized as a primary dealer by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) The agreement is secured by any direct obligations of the United States of America (including obligations issued or held in book-entry form on the books of the United States Department of the Treasury) and obligations, the payment and principal of and interest on which are directly or indirectly guaranteed by the United States of America;

(2) The underlying securities are required by the repurchase agreement to be held by a bank, trust company, or primary dealer having a combined capital and surplus of at least one hundred million dollars and which is independent of the issuer of the repurchase agreement; and

(3) The underlying securities are maintained at a market value, as determined on a market-to-market basis calculated at least weekly, of not less than 104% of the amount so invested; and

(iii) an investment agreement or guaranteed investment contract with, or guaranteed by, a financial institution the long-term unsecured obligations of which are rated in the top two rating categories by Moody's and Standard & Poor's at the time of initial investment.

Limitations on Remedies

The ability of the City to comply with its covenants under the Fiscal Agent Agreement and to generate Net Income of the Electric System sufficient to pay principal of and interest on the 2026A Bonds may be adversely affected by actions and events outside of the control of the City. Furthermore, any remedies available to the owners of the 2026A Bonds upon the occurrence of an event of default are in many respects dependent upon judicial actions which are often subject to discretion and delay and could prove both expensive and time consuming to obtain. The rights of the Owners of the 2026A Bonds are subject to the limitations on legal remedies against cities and other public agencies in the State. Additionally, enforceability of the rights and remedies of the Owners of the 2026A Bonds, and the obligations incurred by the City, may become subject to the following: the federal Bankruptcy Code and applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or similar laws relating to or affecting the enforcement of creditor's rights generally, now or hereafter in effect; equity principles which may limit the specific enforcement under State law of certain remedies; the exercise by the United States of America of the powers delegated to it by the Constitution and the reasonable and necessary exercise, in certain exceptional situations, of the police powers inherent in the sovereignty of the State and its governmental bodies in the interest of serving a significant and legitimate public purpose. Bankruptcy proceedings, or the exercise of powers by the federal or State government, if initiated, could subject the Owners of the 2026A Bonds to judicial discretion and interpretation of their rights in bankruptcy or otherwise, and consequently may entail risks of delay, limitation or modification of their rights.

PASADENA WATER AND POWER

Organization

The City is a charter city of the State. Under the provisions of the California Constitution and Article XIV of the Charter, the City owns and operates both water and electric public utilities for the benefit of its residential and business community. PWP is under the management and control of the City Manager, subject to the powers and duties vested in the City Council, and is supervised by the General Manager who is responsible for design, construction, maintenance and operation of the water and electric utilities. PWP is responsible for the Electric System and the City's water system (the "Water System"). The 2026A Bonds are not secured by or payable from revenues of the Water System.

Within the Electric System and the Water System divisions, PWP is organized into six separate business units. This structure allows for a higher level of accountability as well as the creation of individual cost centers and profit centers. This information is used for tracking costs and supplying detailed information in rate design decisions. These business units are briefly described as follows:

General Manager's Office – This unit is responsible for strategic planning and long-term resources.

Finance and Administration Business Unit – This unit develops and executes PWP's overall financial strategy and ensures its financial integrity. This unit is responsible for the financial resources of PWP and for providing relevant information to the operating units for decision making purposes. This unit plans and oversees the financial aspects, administrative support functions and all cross-functional operations and systems for PWP. The responsibilities of this unit include the operating budget, capital budget and financing, financial analysis and planning, financial management, administration, risk management, and materials management.

Power Supply Business Unit – This unit is responsible for effectively managing PWP's energy portfolio, including strategic long-term resource power generation, long-term power contracts, short-term electric energy and ancillary services transactions and natural gas procurement to provide competitively-priced energy to PWP's

electric customers. This unit is also responsible for energy scheduling to ensure reliable delivery of electricity and the operation of the City's generating units.

Power Delivery Business Unit – This unit is responsible for the design, construction, operation and maintenance of the local power distribution system to provide the safe and reliable delivery of electricity, load dispatch operations and is responsible for implementing the Power Delivery Master Plan. See – “THE ELECTRIC SYSTEM OF PWP - Funding of Capital Improvements” for a description of the Power Delivery Master Plan.

Customer Service and Technology Business Unit – This unit develops a range of solutions and tools aimed at enhancing customer support and satisfaction through the integration of technology. The responsibilities of this unit include billing, call center, meter reading and customer care services, information systems and technology management.

External Affairs Business Unit – This unit is responsible for PWP's marketing communication, customer programs, state and federal legislative/regulatory advocacy, news media relations, key account customer engagement, social media, web development, special events, and in-classroom water and energy educational programs.

Water Delivery Business Unit – This unit is responsible for the procurement, production and delivery of water as well as the planning, design and construction of the local water distribution system. This unit also operates and maintains the local water supply resources and distribution system.

Management

The following are biographical summaries of PWP's senior management for the Electric System:

DAVID REYES, General Manager. David Reyes joined the City in 2012 as the Planning and Community Development Department's Zoning Administrator. In 2013, he was promoted to Deputy Director and in 2016 served as the Department Director. In 2023, Mr. Reyes was appointed Assistant City Manager and was appointed to lead PWP in early 2024. Mr. Reyes has more than 20 years of experience in the public sector. Prior to joining the City, he worked in a range of positions for the planning departments at the cities of Malibu, Santa Monica and Beverly Hills. He holds a bachelor's degree in urban studies and planning from California State University, Northridge.

STACIE TAKEGUCHI, Chief Assistant General Manager. Stacie Takeguchi has oversight of PWP's Water division and assists in matters across all business units. Ms. Takeguchi served on PWP's executive team since June 2022 and brings with her over 23 years of experience in the utility industry, including previously serving with the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, as well as with the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works. Ms. Takeguchi is a proven leader within the industry, managing cross-functional teams and proactively leading innovative and strategic initiatives to address the many complex issues facing utilities in the Southern California Region. Ms. Takeguchi holds a bachelor's degree from Tulane University and is a licensed engineer in the State of California.

LYNNE CHAIMOWITZ, Assistant General Manager – Finance and Administration. Lynne Chaimowitz was most recently with the Mojave Water Agency where she served as the Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”). Prior to becoming CFO, Ms. Chaimowitz served as the Budget and Finance Supervisor for the City of Ann Arbor, Public Services where she managed a comprehensive rate study and provided leadership in financial modeling, financing reporting and capital planning. Additionally, she is a Certified Public Finance Officer and has dedicated her professional career to organizational financial excellence with extensive experience in water utilities. She earned an Environmental Program undergraduate degree from the University of Michigan, and a graduate degree in Accounting from Eastern Michigan University.

KELLY NGUYEN, Assistant General Manager - Power Supply. Kelly Nguyen joined PWP in 2019 and has 26 years of industry experience, previously serving as the General Manager of Vernon Public Utilities.

Prior to that, she worked at Southern California Public Power Authority (“SCPPA”), Anaheim Public Utilities and the California Power Exchange. She has served in various capacities with a number of committees and associations including Board Member and Vice President of SCPPA, member of Western Systems Power Pool (“WSPP”) Executive Committee, Western Electricity Coordinating Council Committees, California Utilities Emergency Association Alternate Board, California Municipal Utilities Association Board, and American Public Power Association.

Ms. Nguyen holds a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration from California State University, Los Angeles and has specialized training in energy system procedures, protocols and utility compliance policies through the Western Electric Coordinating Council, North America Energy Reliability Corporation, and training in market operations and Cap & Trade through California Independent System Operator (“CAISO”).

VAROOJAN AVEDIAN, Assistant General Manager - Power Delivery. Varoojan Avedian assumed the role of Power Engineering Manager at PWP in 2016 and was promoted to Assistant General Manager for the Power Delivery Division. He previously held the position of Interim Assistant General Manager for the Power Delivery. With nearly two decades of experience in the public sector, Mr. Avedian served in various capacities at the City of Glendale Water & Power before joining PWP. Mr. Avedian holds a Master’s of Science degree in electrical engineering from California State University-Los Angeles and is a State registered professional electrical engineer.

JEREMY MARQUETTE, Assistant General Manager – Customer Service and Technology. Jeremy Marquette joined PWP in April 2019 and led the department’s Information/Automation Technology group through many major projects, including the April 2022 deployment of a new Customer Information/Utility Billing System. He has more than 20 years of experience in the utility industry and was recently promoted to Assistant General Manager of Customer Service and Technology at PWP after serving over 10 months in an acting capacity as Assistant General Manager for the Finance, Administration, and Customer Service Business Unit. Prior to joining PWP, Mr. Marquette worked for Long Beach Utilities, serving in various capacities in customer service, finance and information technology.

JENNIFER GUESS MAYO, Assistant General Manager – External Affairs. Ms. Guess Mayo joined PWP in May 2014 and has over 22 years of experience in the energy, water and sustainability sector. Prior to her tenure with PWP, Ms. Guess Mayo worked for the Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District and in the private sector. She obtained her undergraduate degree in communication, with a public relations emphasis from California State Polytechnic University, Pomona and holds a master’s degree in public administration from California State University, Northridge.

Employees

For Fiscal Year 2025-26, 442 City employees were assigned to PWP, including 297 full-time equivalent employees for the Electric System. The Electric System employees represent approximately 18% of the full-time City employees. Most Electric System employees are represented either by the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, the International Union of Operating Engineers, the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, the Laborers’ International Union of North America or the Pasadena Management Association in all matters pertaining to wages, benefits and working conditions. The current agreements with these unions and/or associations, which are in the form of either a contract or a memorandum of understanding, will expire through 2027. Contracts expired in June 2026 are in the process of being renewed. The City has no history of work interruption by employees maintaining the Electric System. See APPENDIX A – “THE CITY OF PASADENA – Employee Relations.”

The Electric System’s permanent employees are all covered by the California Public Employees Retirement System (“CalPERS”) with respect to pension benefits. CalPERS is an agent multiple-employer plan public employee retirement system which acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public employers within the State of California. The plan provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-

of-living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries. CalPERS issues a separate publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplemental information of participating public entities within the State of California. Copies of the CalPERS annual financial report may be obtained from the CalPERS Executive Office, Lincoln Plaza Complex, 400 Q Street, Sacramento, California 95811, or at www.calpers.ca.gov.

CalPERS requires employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contribution for the City's Miscellaneous Plan ("Plan") (which include all Electric System employees) is determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The City is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.

In accordance with GASB 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions*, the City's net pension liability for the Plan is measured as the total pension liability, less the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability of the Plan is measured as of June 30, 2024, using an annual actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2023, rolled forward to June 30, 2024 using standard update procedures. The actuarial methods and assumptions used to determine the total pension liability include entry age actuarial cost method in accordance with the requirements of GASB 68, an inflation rate of 2.30%, salary increase by entry age and service, an investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses) of 6.80%, and a mortality rate table derived using CalPERS' membership data for all funds. The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 6.90%. All other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2024 valuation were based on the *2021 CalPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuarial Assumptions*. For more details on the 2021 experience study report, please refer to the CalPERS website.

Under GASB 68, gains and losses related to changes in total pension liability and fiduciary net position are recognized in pension expense systematically over time. The first amortized amounts are recognized in pension expense for the year the gain or loss occurs. The remaining amounts are categorized as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions and are to be recognized in future pension expense. The difference between projected and actual earnings on the Plan's investments uses a 5-year straight-line amortization, while all other amounts use straight-line amortization over the expected average remaining service lifetime ("EARSLS") of all members that are provided with benefits (active, inactive, and retired) as of the beginning of the measurement period. The EARSLS for the measurement period ending June 30, 2024 is 3.3 years for the Plan, which was obtained by dividing the total service years of 15,152 (the sum of remaining service lifetimes of the active employees) by 4,631 (the total number of participants: active, inactive, and retired). Inactive employees and retirees have remaining service lifetimes equal to 0.

As of the start of the measurement period July 1, 2023, the net pension liability of the City's Miscellaneous Plan is \$347,563,245, of which \$65,296,566 pertains to the Light and Power Fund. For the measurement period ending June 30, 2024, the City recorded a net change of \$20,528,890, resulting in an ending net pension liability balance of \$327,034,355, of which \$61,712,000 represents the share of Light and Power Fund. The balance for ending period June 30, 2025 deferred outflows and deferred inflows of the resources related to the Plan at June 30, 2024 are \$83,562,364 and \$1,447,852, respectively, of which \$14,528,890 and \$251,736 pertains to the Light and Power Fund.

The Electric System's contributions represent a *pro rata* share of the City's contribution, including the employees' contribution that is paid by the Light and Power Fund, which is based on CalPERS' actuarial determination as of July 1 of the current Fiscal Year. CalPERS does not provide data to participating organizations in such a manner so as to facilitate separate disclosure for the Light and Power Fund's share of the actuarial computed pension benefit obligation, the plan's net assets available for benefit obligation and the plan's net assets

available for benefits. The Electric System employees' contribution represents approximately 17.39% of the Plan's contribution.

Other than the pension benefits from the applicable retirement system, the City does not provide medical or other post-retirement benefits to its employees beyond the option to continue receiving health insurance benefits at the City's monthly rates, paid by the retired employees.

The City of Pasadena provides a direct subsidy to retirees of the City who are members of CalPERS or the Pasadena Fire and Police Pension System. Benefit provisions are established and amended through negotiations between the City and the respective unions. Two levels of subsidies, full minimum required contribution or partial, are provided to eligible retirees electing to continue medical insurance from CalPERS under the Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act ("PEMHCA").

The City's current contribution requirements have been established at the individual retiree levels of \$158 or \$157 per month depending on bargaining unit membership and policy enacted by CalPERS pursuant to State Law. These minimum requirements are established by CalPERS and adjusted annually. The City has historically funded these post-retirement health care benefits on a "pay-as-you-go" basis. For the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2025, the City's payments totaled \$3,647,690 for actual benefits provided. The Electric System is allocated its portion of the payments. As of June 30, 2025, the City's unfunded actuarial accrued OPEB liability for the citywide post-retirement healthcare benefits (including the portion allocable to Electric System employees) was approximately \$72,597,103, of which approximately \$8,596,064 or 11.84% represent the share of Light and Power Fund.

See APPENDIX A – "THE CITY OF PASADENA – Employee Relations" and " – Post-Retirement Medical Benefits." Further information regarding the City's participation in CalPERS and OPEB may also be found in the City's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report.

THE ELECTRIC SYSTEM OF PWP

General

The Electric System of PWP began generating its own electric energy and distributing power in 1906. Electric service was previously supplied by Edison Electric Company, predecessor to Southern California Edison Company ("SCE"). PWP has continued to expand its electric distribution system to meet the demands of its residential, commercial, industrial and public sector customers. The Electric System provides service to virtually all of the electric customers within the limits of the City. The service area is approximately 23 square miles, with a current estimated population of 141,100.

The Electric System includes generation, transmission and distribution facilities. The City also purchases power and transmission service mainly from Intermountain Power Agency ("IPA") and SCPPA. The Electric System's current resource mix includes local simple and combined cycle gas turbines (Glenarm Power Plant), small hydroelectric (Azusa Hydroelectric Plant) and long-term purchase contracts (remote generation) from a variety of sources including hydroelectric, coal and nuclear generating units and a variety of renewable energy resources including wind, solar, geothermal and biogas projects. A portion of the Electric System's energy supply is purchased when it is more economical, on the wholesale hourly, daily and month-ahead spot markets. See "– Purchased Power – Bilateral (Spot Market) Energy Purchases."

Legislation affecting the electric utility industry is routinely introduced or enacted by the federal government and the California Legislature. In recent years, the enacted bills primarily regulate greenhouse gas emissions and provide for greater investment in energy-efficiency and environmentally friendly generation alternatives through more stringent renewable resource portfolio standards. PWP's generation and transmission operating and long-term plans are developed and executed in accordance with existing law and in response to

pending legislation. See “DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS” and “OTHER FACTORS AFFECTING THE ELECTRIC UTILITY INDUSTRY.”

Energy Integrated Resource Plan

Senate Bill (“SB”) 350 (2015) requires that publicly owned utilities (“POU”) prepare and submit to the California Energy Commission (“CEC”) an Integrated Resource Plan (“IRP”) at least once every five years. An IRP is a power system planning document that describes how a utility plans to meet its energy and capacity resource needs, policy goals, physical and operational constraints, and other utility priorities. It is a strategic plan that provides overall direction for future policies, programs, and resource procurement decision, and is developed through a robust stakeholder and outreach effort to ensure that long-term planning is aligned with community priorities. In addition to the mandated IRPs, which PWP has published since 2009, voluntary updates are also developed, as needed, in order to incorporate relevant changes in laws, regulations, market conditions, and community preferences. Each IRP provides a foundation for, and informs, subsequent IRPs.

Assembly Bill (“AB”) 32 (2006), the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (“GWSA”), initiated almost two decades of increasingly ambitious laws and regulations aimed at reducing statewide greenhouse gas (“GHG”) emissions. California’s 2022 Scoping Plan for Carbon Neutrality, which incorporates AB 1279 (2022), sets a goal to reduce overall emissions by 85% compared to 1990 levels as soon as possible, but no later than 2045. The electricity sector contributes to this goal mainly through the Renewables Portfolio Standard (“RPS”) Program, which requires that renewable and zero-carbon resources meet 100% of retail sales of electricity to end users by 2045, as mandated by SB 100 (2018). In 2022, SB 1020 added interim targets after 2030 to drive faster progress toward achieving the 2045 target.

PWP’s 2018 IRP, one of the first SB 100-compliant IRPs in California, incorporates the City Council’s decision to exclude fossil fuel energy resources as considerations for any new long-term contracts or acquisitions. Accordingly, the decision was also made to terminate PWP’s interest in the Intermountain Power Project (“IPP”) coal contract, effective in 2027, and will is expected to contribute to PWP’s decarbonization efforts.

In December 2023, the City Council approved the 2023 IRP. The 2023 IRP incorporates City Resolution No. 9977, adopted in January 2023, and sets a goal to source 100% of the City’s electricity from zero-carbon sources by 2030, while optimizing for affordability, rate equity, stability, and reliability of electricity. The 2023 IRP also incorporates formal waypoints in 2026 and 2028 in order to evaluate emerging technologies that might help achieve goals for incorporation in the 2028 IRP. To support the IRP and translate Resolution No. 9977 into actionable implementation steps, the City Council further directed the development of an Optimized Strategic Plan (OSP) that was developed during 2025. On December 15, 2025, the City Council unanimously approved the OSP, which is currently being implemented. This includes expanding local solar opportunities, developing community-owned clean energy resources, and modernizing infrastructure to support a resilient and sustainable power system.

Insurance

The insurable property and facilities of the Electric System are covered under the City’s general insurance policies. Additional liability coverage for the City’s generation facilities is provided by a separate Power Plant Boiler and Machinery Policy. The City does not carry earthquake insurance on the property and facilities of the Electric System. For additional information on the City’s insurance, see APPENDIX A – “THE CITY OF PASADENA – Insurance.”

Power Supply Resources

The Electric System’s resource mix includes local steam and gas turbines, a hydroelectric plant and long-term purchase contracts from a variety of sources including hydroelectric, gas-fired, coal and nuclear generating units and a variety of renewable energy resources including wind, solar, geothermal and biogas projects. In recent

years, PWP has developed its resource mix in response to regional power shortages, energy price volatility, and environmental regulations, including stricter emissions control requirements adopted by the South Coast Air Quality Management District and local policy goals. The Electric System increased its power production for several consecutive years primarily as a result of increased energy sales to the CAISO when called upon to meet regional demand.

The following table sets forth the total power generated and purchased during the five Fiscal Years shown.

TABLE 2
TOTAL POWER GENERATED AND PURCHASED (MWh)

		Fiscal Year Ended June 30				
	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2025</u>	<u>2026</u>	
Generated	25,619	51,269	28,904	144,330	37,562	
Purchased	993,051	1,053,335	1,025,617	926,198	1,100,475	
Total Supply	1,018,670	1,104,604	1,054,521	1,070,528	1,042,313	
Wholesale Sales	(145)	(151)	(222)	(36)	(0)	
Net System Load	1,018,525	1,104,453	1,054,299	1,070,492	1,042,313	
System Peak Demand (MW)	258	319	287	331	283	

Source: Finance and Administration Business Unit of PWP.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

The following table sets forth information concerning the City's power supply resources and the energy supplied by each resource during the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2026.

TABLE 3
POWER SUPPLY RESOURCES

<u>Source</u>	<u>Actual Energy (GWh)</u>	<u>Percent of Total Energy</u>
<i>Pasadena Owned Generating Facilities:</i>		
Combustion Turbines (Glenarm Power Plant)	37.56	3.6%
<i>Joint Power Agency/Remote Ownership Interests:</i>		
Intermountain Power Project (IPP)	271.87	26.09%
Palo Verde Nuclear Gen. Station (SCPPA)	79.59	7.64%
Magnolia Power Project (SCPPA)	77.59	7.44%
<i>Renewable Resources</i>	306.22	29.38%
<i>Purchased Power⁽¹⁾</i>		
Market	234.65	22.51%
Hoover Project	34.83	3.34%
Total	1,042.31	100.00%
<i>Wholesale Sales</i>	0.00	0.00%
Net System Load	1,042.31	100%

⁽¹⁾ Entitlements, firm allocations and contract amounts.

Source: Finance and Administration Business Unit of PWP.

City-Owned Generating Facilities

PWP owns and operates the Glenarm Power Plant, which is located in the southwest corner of the City and includes five gas-fired combustion turbines and the Azusa Hydroelectric Plant, a small hydro unit that is interconnected to the SCE power distribution system.

Glenarm Power Plant

The Glenarm Power Plant units operating under a CAISO Participating Generator Agreement provide ancillary services and energy to the CAISO market. See "THE ELECTRIC SYSTEM OF PWP - Inter-Utility Sales Transactions – CAISO – Participating Generator Agreement" herein. When imports are limited due to tie-line outages, or when loads reach the import capacity limit of 290 MW, at least one unit is put online for reliability purposes. The average capacity factor for the Glenarm Power Plant has been less than 1% over the last five years. The value provided by these units is in their "optionality," which refers to the ability to quickly start and adjust operating levels to changing market and load conditions.

Gas Turbines ("GT") 1, 2, 3 and 4 are simple cycle natural gas-fired combustion turbines that operate as peaking units. GT 1 and 2, identical units built in 1975, have respective net rated CAISO output of 22.13 MW and 22.38 MW, respectively. GT 3 and 4 have net rated CAISO output of 44.83 MW and 42.42 MW, respectively. GT 5 is a one-on-one ("1x1") combined-cycle gas turbine that can operate in either combined-cycle or simple-cycle mode and serves as a peaking unit. The unit has a permitted gross capacity of 65.81 MW.

Azusa Hydroelectric Plant

The Azusa Hydroelectric Plant is a 3 MW hydroelectric plant located at the mouth of the Azusa Canyon in the City of Azusa that consists of one unit interconnected to the SCE power distribution system. The energy is accumulated in a bank as it is generated and delivered to PWP in blocks up to 15 MW. Due to a lack of water resulting from persistent drought conditions and a sediment removal project by the Los Angeles County Flood Control at the upstream dam, the energy produced by the plant has not been significant in the last ten years.

Joint Powers Agency Generation and Fuel Resources/Remote Ownership Interests

General

The City procures electric power from the Intermountain Power Project (IPP). As of December 2025, the coal-fired generating units have been fully retired, and two new natural-gas-fired units are currently in commercial operation. The Intermountain Power Project is owned and operated by the Intermountain Power Agency (IPA), a political subdivision of the State of Utah. PWP now receives 54 MW from the new natural gas-fueled electricity generating units until the IPP contract expires on June 15, 2027, eliminating the single largest source of carbon emissions from PWP's power supply portfolio. In addition, the City and other public agencies in Southern California are members of SCPPA, a joint powers agency created for planning, financing, developing, acquiring, constructing, operating and maintaining electric generating and transmission projects for participation by some or all of its members. The City is a participant in the SCPPA portion of the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station ("PVNGS"), in the SCPPA Magnolia Power Project, in the SCPPA Milford Wind Corridor Phase I Project and in connection with its fuel supply, the SCPPA Prepaid Natural Gas Project and the SCPPA Natural Gas Project. In most cases, staff unrelated to the City's bargaining units provide operating, maintenance, engineering, energy management and administrative services for such projects. Labor and related costs are charged to the related joint powers agency or other public agency. The City is informed that labor agreements are in place with each respective bargaining group but cannot give any assurances as to future agreements or the status of negotiations. Each of these resources is briefly described below.

Intermountain Power Agency

Certain information under this subheading "Intermountain Power Agency" regarding the IPA, the IPP and its operations has been obtained from the IPA and sources that the City believes to be reliable, but the City takes no responsibility for the accuracy of such information obtained from sources other than the City.

The Intermountain Power Project (IPP) has replaced its former 1,800 MW coal-fired facility with a new 840 MW natural gas-fired combined-cycle power plant, consisting of two units equipped with Mitsubishi Hitachi M501JAC gas turbines, heat-recovery steam generators, and steam turbines. Construction began in 2020, and the new units entered commercial service in July 2025. The natural gas units are designed for future fuel flexibility, capable of operating on a blend of natural gas and up to 30% green hydrogen at startup, with a long-term plan to transition to 100% hydrogen by 2045. This modernization—known as IPP Renewed—also strengthens transmission infrastructure, supports reliability for participating utilities in Utah and California, and significantly reduces CO₂ emissions compared with the retired coal units. The project is owned by the Intermountain Power Agency and operated by the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power. (a) the Southern Transmission System (see "– Transmission Resources" below); (b) two 50-mile 345 kilovolt alternate current ("kV AC") transmission lines from the generation station to a switchyard in the vicinity of Mona, Utah and a 144-mile 230 kV AC transmission line from the generation station to a switchyard near Ely, Nevada (collectively, the "Northern Transmission System") (c) a microwave communications system There are 36 utilities (collectively, the "IPP Purchasers") that purchase the output of the IPP generating station, consisting of the City, and the California cities of Los Angeles, Anaheim, Burbank, Glendale and Riverside (the "IPP California Participants"), PacifiCorp (which merged with Scottish Power), as successor to the obligations of Utah Power & Light Company, 23 Utah municipal members of IPA and six rural electric cooperatives serving loads in the States of Utah, Arizona, Colorado, Nevada and Wyoming. Pursuant to a Construction Management and Operation Agreement between IPA and Los Angeles Department of Water and Power ("LADWP"), IPA appointed LADWP as project manager and operating agent responsible for, among other things, administering, operating and maintaining the IPP. The facilities of the IPP have been in commercial operation since May 1, 1987.

Transmission of the output from IPP to the City and the other IPP California Participants is provided by the Southern Transmission System. See “– Transmission Resources” below.

IPP has been financed entirely with debt issued by IPA, of which approximately \$232 million principal amount was outstanding as of June 30, 2023, with a final maturity date of June 30, 2027. Debt service, net of projected investment earnings, constitutes in excess of 50% of IPA’s total annual costs of owning, operating and maintaining IPP and is the major factor in IPP’s power and energy costs. As of Dec 31, 2025, PWP is responsible for approximately \$6.2 million principal amount or 5.51% of the IPA IPP outstanding debt. See TABLE 7 – “OUTSTANDING DEBT OF JOINT POWERS AGENCIES” herein for details of the City’s share of this debt.

Intermountain Generating Station upon termination of the IPP Contract. The current power purchase contracts with the IPA are in effect until June 15, 2027. In order to preserve the benefits of IPP, the IPA Board of Directors issued the Second Amendatory Power Sales Contract, which would supersede the current power sales contracts and allow the plant to replace the coal units with combined cycle natural gas units by 2025. The City Council authorized PWP to enter into a renewal contract with IPA for a reduced share (up to 40 MW) in the new gas-fired project, with the understanding that the City would have an option to terminate its participation in the gas-fired renewal project in 2019.

On November 29, 2018, the City officially exercised its option to withdraw from the renewal contract with IPA. As a result, the City’s entitlement under the IPP contract expires on June 15, 2027. Decommissioning costs associated with the generating units have not been determined at this time, and the City’s potential liability is unknown. The allocation of decommissioning costs to IPP Purchasers (including the City) may vary based on the commercial operation date of the renewal project, potential financing options for decommissioning costs as part of the renewal project and the amortization schedule for the decommissioning ***Southern California Public Power Authority (SCPPA)***

Certain of the information under this subheading “Southern California Public Power Authority” regarding SCPPA, the SCPPA projects in which the City participates and their operations has been obtained from SCPPA and sources that the City believes to be reliable, but the City takes no responsibility for the accuracy of such information obtained from sources other than the City.

SCPPA Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station Interest. The City has contracted with SCPPA for a 9.9 MW (4.4%) entitlement of 225 MW SCPPA PVNGS Interest. This resource provides the City with approximately 75-85 GWh of baseload energy annually. The City has entered into a power sales agreement with SCPPA which obligates the City to pay the cost of its share of capacity and energy on a “take-or-pay” basis. SCPPA has issued bonds for PVNGS of which the City paid off its share of principal balance due as of December 1, 2018. SCPPA has undertaken certain actions, including collections of amounts in excess of operating and maintenance expenses and current debt service on its bonds for PVNGS to reduce the cost of power from this project. See “– Indebtedness and Joint Agency Obligations” below and TABLE 7 – “OUTSTANDING DEBT OF JOINT POWERS AGENCIES.” The City, as well as the Cities of Azusa, Banning, Burbank, Colton, Glendale, Los Angeles, Riverside and Vernon and the Imperial Irrigation District are PVNGS project participants.

The “SCPPA PVNGS Interest” consists of a 5.91% ownership interest in the PVNGS, Units 1, 2 and 3, and certain associated facilities and contractual rights relating thereto, a 5.44% ownership interest in the Arizona Nuclear Power Project High Voltage Switchyard and contractual rights relating thereto and a 6.55% share of the rights to use certain portions of the Arizona Nuclear Power Project Valley Transmission System. PVNGS is located on an approximately 4,000-acre site about 50 miles west of Phoenix, Arizona. PVNGS consists of three nuclear electric generating units (numbered 1, 2 and 3), with a design electrical rating of 1,333 MWs (unit 1), 1,336 MWs (unit 2) and 1,334 MWs (unit 3) and a dependable capacity of 1,311 MWs (unit 1), 1,314 MWs (unit 2) and 1,312 MWs (unit 3). PVNGS’s combined design capacity is 4,003 MWs and its combined dependable capacity is 3,937 MWs. PVNGS

Units 1, 2 and 3 achieved firm operation in January 1986, September 1986 and December 1987, respectively. Each PVNGS generating unit has been operating under 40-year Full-Power Operating Licenses granted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the “NRC”). In April 2011, the NRC approved PVNGS’s license renewal application, allowing the three units to extend operation for an additional 20 years until 2045, 2046 and 2047, respectively. Arizona Public Service Company is the operating agent for PVNGS. Transmission is accomplished through agreements with Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (“Salt River Project”), LADWP and SCE.

The owners of PVNGS have created external trusts in accordance with the PVNGS participation agreement and NRC requirements to fund the costs of decommissioning PVNGS. SCPPA’s direct share of costs is \$161.9 million, of which the City’s portion is \$7.1 million or 4.4%. Under the current funding plan, which was established based on the license expiration in 2047, the City estimates that its share of the decommissioning costs are fully funded. Such estimates assume 7% per annum in future investment returns and a 5% per annum cost escalation factor. No assurance or guarantee can be given that investment earnings will fully fund the City’s remaining decommissioning obligations at current estimated costs or that the decommissioning costs will not exceed current estimates.

The NRC has broad authority under federal law to impose licensing and safety-related requirements for the operation of nuclear generation facilities. Events at nuclear facilities of other operators or impacting the industry generally may lead the NRC to impose additional requirements and regulations on existing and new facilities. As a result of the March 2011 earthquake and tsunami that caused significant damage to the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant in Japan, various industry organizations are working to analyze information from the Japan incident and develop action plans for U.S. nuclear power plants. Additionally, the NRC is performing its own independent review of the events at Fukushima Daiichi, including a review of the agency’s processes and regulations in order to determine whether the agency should promulgate additional regulations and possibly make more fundamental changes to the NRC’s system of regulation.

On March 12, 2012, the NRC issued the first regulatory requirements for all 104 operating reactors located in the United States based on the task force evaluations. The NRC issued three orders that modify operating licenses by requiring the following safety enhancements: (1) mitigation strategies to respond to extreme natural events resulting in the loss of power at plants; (2) ensuring reliable hardened containment vents; and (3) enhancing spent fuel pool instrumentation. The orders require prompt implementation of the safety enhancements and to complete implementation within two refueling outages or by December 31, 2016, whichever comes first. The safety enhancements were completed within the required timeframe. On January 4, 2013, the NRC issued guidance to enable U.S. nuclear power plant operators to perform the seismic and flooding hazard assessments. The City does not yet know the extent to which the changes in the regulations, programs, and processes of the NRC as a result of the recommendations of the task force will affect PVNGS operations. The financial and/or operational impacts on PVNGS may be significant.

In the event of noncompliance with its requirements, the NRC has the authority to impose monetary civil penalties or a progressively increased inspection regime that could ultimately result in the shut-down of a unit, or both, depending upon the NRC’s assessment of the severity of the situation, until compliance is achieved.

Magnolia Power Project. The City is a participant in the Magnolia Power Project, a gas-fired generating facility with a nominally rated net capacity of 242 MW and auxiliary facilities located in Burbank, California. Through a contract with SCPPA, the City is entitled to a 6.4% (14.8 MW base capacity and up to about 19 MW peaking capacity) entitlement in the project through a long-term power purchase agreement with SCPPA. SCPPA has entered into power sales agreements with the City and the cities of Anaheim, Burbank, Cerritos, Colton, Glendale and Pasadena pursuant to which SCPPA has sold its entitlement to capacity and energy in the Magnolia Power Project to the applicable participants on a

“take-or-pay” basis. The Magnolia Power Project commenced commercial operation on September 22, 2005. SCPPA issued bonds to finance the construction of the Magnolia Power Project, of which \$187,770,000, aggregate principal amount was outstanding as of June 30th, 2026. PWP has entered into a power sales agreement with SCPPA for an approximate 6.4% participation share in the Magnolia Power Project and is therefore responsible for 6.4% of the costs of the Magnolia Power Project.

Milford Wind Corridor Phase I Project. In February 2009, the City entered into a 20-year power sales agreement with SCPPA for 2.5% (approximately 5 MW) of the output (including capacity, energy and associated environmental attributes) of Milford Wind Corridor Phase I Project (“Milford”), a 203.5 MW nameplate capacity wind farm comprised of 97 wind turbines located near Milford, Utah. The facility is owned by Milford Wind Corridor Phase I, LLC, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware. The facility went into commercial operation on November 16, 2009. Energy from the facility is delivered over an approximately 88-mile, 345 kV, transmission line extending from the wind generation site to the IPP Switchyard in Delta, Utah, an ownership interest in which transmission line, together with certain structures, facilities, equipment, fixtures, improvements and associated real and personal property interests and other rights and interests necessary for the ownership and operation of the generation facility and the sale of power therefrom, comprise a part of the Milford facility. The City is able to accept the delivered facility energy utilizing its capacity rights in the IPP Switchyard that are provided under agreements relating to the IPP. The facility energy is then delivered over the Southern Transmission System of IPP to the Adelanto or Marketplace terminal in California utilizing the City’s capacity rights in the Southern Transmission System and other transmission systems. See “– Transmission Resources – Existing Transmission Resources – Southern Transmission System” below. The facility energy delivered at Adelanto or Marketplace is then transmitted to the City under certain transmission arrangements between LADWP or the CAISO and the City and certain transmission arrangements between the City and SCE. The City has entered into a power sales agreement with SCPPA that obligates the City to pay its share of capacity and energy on a “take-or-pay” basis. As of June 30th, 2026, SCPPA had outstanding \$52,835,000 aggregate principal amount of bonds issued primarily for the purpose of prepaying for a guaranteed annual quantity of energy from the facility for approximately 20 years. When the IPP contract terminates in 2027, the City will no longer have rights on the STS to deliver power to the City from the Milford project. The City is currently working closely with LADWP to secure rights to the STS or potentially layoff the Milford power from 2027-2029 to a third party.

In addition to being a participant in the above-described SCPPA projects, the City has acquired certain of its other renewable resources through SCPPA. See also “Renewable Resources” below.

Prepaid Natural Gas Project. In 2007, SCPPA undertook the Prepaid Natural Gas Project, in which the City is a participant. The Prepaid Natural Gas Project provides, through gas sales agreements (“Gas Sales Agreements”) with the participants in the Prepaid Natural Gas Project, for a secure and long-term supply of natural gas. The original agreement provided the City with a supply of approximately 2,000 MMBtu daily or 730,000 MMBtu annually at a discounted price below spot market price (the SoCal Index) for a 30-year term. The projected discount of approximately 90 cents per MMBtu was expected to result in savings of approximately \$657,000 annually, or approximately \$19.7 million over the 30-year term.

On October 22, 2009, the Gas Sales Agreement with SCPPA was restructured to provide an acceleration of a portion of the long-term savings over the succeeding three years, reduce the remaining volumes of gas to be delivered and shorten the overall duration of the agreement. The restructured agreement provided additional savings of approximately \$2,700,000 to the participants through 2012 with the remainder to be realized over the new term of the transaction. Total expected savings from the project are not impacted by the restructuring. The restructured agreement will terminate in 2035 compared to the original termination year of 2038. The volumes of gas to be delivered are reduced from approximately 2,000 MMBtu to 1,340 MMBtu daily at a projected discount of approximately 98 cents per MMBtu. As

a result of this restructuring, approximately \$165,000,000 worth of outstanding aggregate principal bonds were retired. On September 19, 2013, SCPPA entered into a second restructuring of the Prepaid Natural Gas Project. Although the terms of certain agreements to which SCPPA is a party were amended as part of this restructuring, the terms of the City's Gas Sales Agreement were not amended. As part of the restructuring, SCPPA received a payment of approximately \$3,400,000 from the gas supplier, of which \$561,000 was remitted to the City. As of June 30, 2026, SCPPA had outstanding \$219,555,000 aggregate principal amount of bonds issued for the Prepaid Natural Gas Project. SCPPA will bill the City for actual quantities of natural gas delivered each month. PWP expects that these costs will be recovered through the energy charge component of the electric rates as they are incurred, just as costs for natural gas purchases are currently recovered.

Natural Gas Project. The Natural Gas Project includes SCPPA's leasehold interests in (i) certain natural gas resources, reserves, fields, wells and related facilities located near Pinedale, Wyoming and (ii) certain natural gas resources, reserves, fields, wells and related facilities in (or near) the Barnett Shale geological formation in Texas. The capital costs of the entitlement shares purchased by certain participants were financed through SCPPA by the issuance of project revenue bonds. The City and the City of Glendale contributed capital to SCPPA for the payment of their respective shares of the capital costs of the Natural Gas Project. SCPPA has sold the entire production capacity of its member-related leasehold interests, on a "take-or-pay" basis (with the City and the City of Glendale having no obligation to pay any debt service).

Purchased Power

In addition to City-owned resources and interests in the joint-venture generation projects described above, the City has long-term contractual arrangements for Electric System firm purchases, as well as enabling agreements, including WSPP membership, which allow short-term power transactions in markets throughout the Western United States, Canada and Mexico. Each of these resources is briefly described below.

Hoover Hydroelectric Project Interest. The City has two cost-based power purchase agreements with the United States Department of Energy Western Area Power Administration for a combined total of up to 20 MW capacity from the generating units at the hydroelectric power plant of the Boulder Canyon Project at Hoover Dam (the "Hoover Project"), located approximately 25 miles from Las Vegas on the Nevada/Arizona border. The previous Hoover Project contract expired in September of 2017 and was subsequently renewed until 2067. The City's capacity entitlement is comprised of an 11 MW renewal contract and a contract for 9 MW resulting from the uprating of the Hoover Project. The actual capacity available from the Hoover Project varies, depending on hydrologic conditions, maintenance scheduling and other outages. Under normal hydrologic conditions, the City receives approximately 60 GWh of annual energy deliveries. On December 20, 2011, President Obama signed the Hoover Power Allocation Act of 2011 providing for the distribution of power from the Hoover Project from 2017 through 2067. The Hoover Power Allocation Act of 2011 also mandated that each of then current power users give up 5% of its Hoover Project power resource so that an allotment is set aside for new participants in the Hoover Project region. In the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2026, the Hoover Project provided 34.8 GWh of energy to the City at an average cost for delivered power of \$26.00 per MWh. The City Council has authorized the signing of the post-2017 Energy Services Agreement to preserve the benefits of the Hoover Project through 2067.

Renewable Resource Purchases. The City has also entered into certain other power purchase agreements in furtherance of its adopted renewable resource portfolio standard. See "– Renewable Resources" below.

Bilateral (Spot Market) Energy Purchases. Approximately 6-15% of PWP's annual energy needs are met through economic purchases of spot market power through short-term bilateral transactions. These transactions, which range in duration from one hour to one year, are made pursuant to the WSPP, of which the City has been a member since 1995. The WSPP is governed by a master enabling agreement with over 175 member utilities and power marketers. PWP's risk policy allows short-term transactions of one year or less for capacity, energy or both at negotiated market prices under the WSPP Agreement. In addition, the WSPP recently

added a new schedule to the agreement to allow bilateral purchases of bundled or unbundled Renewable Energy Credits (“REC”).

Renewable Resources

In order to meet California’s Renewables Portfolio Standard, the City continues to procure additional renewable resources through SCPPA as well as through independent negotiations with renewable resource providers.

In September 2018, California enacted SB 100, California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program: emissions of greenhouse gases, which requires that 60% of retail electricity sales to end-use customers be met with renewable resources. The requirement increases to 100% renewable and zero-carbon resources by 2045. At the end of December 2022, PWP achieved 40% RPS, exceeding program requirements, and is forecasting to achieve 41.25% for calendar year 2023, which will be reported by July 1, 2024, in accordance with regulations.

City of Pasadena Resolution 9977 (adopted January 30, 2023) sets a goal to source 100% of the City’s electricity from carbon free resources by the end of 2030, which will likely further accelerate the acquisition of energy resources (e.g., solar, wind, and geothermal) that are both renewable and carbon-free. Also see “DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS – State Legislation.”

Besides those listed below, additional contracts with proposed renewable energy facilities are being negotiated to support the RPS in future years.

Milford Wind Corridor Phase I Project. As described above, the City is a participant in SCPPA’s Milford Wind Corridor Phase I Project, a 203.5 MW wind generating facility located in Millard County, Utah that began commercial operation in November 2009. The City executed a power sales agreement with SCPPA for an approximately 5 MW (2.5%) share of the project. The project will expire in November 2029 (unless earlier terminated).

Ameresco, LLC Chiquita Canyon Landfill Gas to Electricity Generation Project. In 2004, the City Council approved a 20-year power sales agreement with SCPPA for the purchase of 8.3 MW of landfill gas-generated electrical energy from the Ameresco, LLC, Chiquita Canyon Landfill Gas to Electricity Generation project (“Ameresco”) located in Valencia, California. The project began operation in 2010. Zero energy was produced in Fiscal Year 2025-2026 due to operational issues with the plant

Puente Hills Landfill Gas-to-Energy Project. On June 23, 2014, the City Council approved a 14-year power sales agreement with SCPPA for approximately 30% of the output from the Puente Hills Landfill Gas-to-Energy (“Puente Hills”) project, starting January 1, 2017. The Puente Hills project is an existing 43 MW project located near Whittier, California. The project produced approximately 42 GWh in Fiscal Year 2025-26.

In early 2024, the Ameresco and Puente Hills Landfill Gas projects both reported operational challenges. The future status of the projects continues to be monitored.

Windsor Reservoir Solar Project. On March 30, 2010, PWP issued a request for proposals (“RFP”) for a rooftop photovoltaic solar project to be installed on City facilities. Of the 24 responses received, PWP chose to proceed with a 0.564 MW project to be installed by Martifer Solar, Inc. atop the PWP Water Division’s Windsor Reservoir Solar project. Completed and operational on May 31, 2011, the project generated approximately 0.6 GWh of solar energy in Fiscal Year 202025-2026. Through internal agreements, the renewable energy generated is purchased by the Power Division through bill credits to the Water Division thereby reducing PWP’s customers’ water bills. These savings benefit PWP’s water customers through reduced operating costs of the Water Division.

Columbia II Solar Project. In December 2014, the City scheduled the first MWhs of energy from the Columbia II Solar project, owned and operated by Dominion Resources, Inc. The Columbia II Solar (“Columbia II”) project is a 15 MW solar plant located in Mojave, California. This project was procured through the 2013

SCPPA open RFP process. The City purchases 17.143% (approximately 2.6 MW) of the output from Columbia II through a power sales agreement with SCPPA dated September 19, 2013. The project generated approximately 7 GWh of energy in Fiscal Year 2025-2026.

Kingbird Solar Project. On September 9, 2013, the City Council approved a contract for 100% of the production from the 20 MW Kingbird Solar project (“Kingbird”) in Rosamond, California. The Kingbird project achieved commercial operations on April 30, 2016 and is operated by First Solar. The Kingbird project contract has a 20-year term which may be extended by the City in its sole discretion for an additional five contract years. The contract also includes an option for the City to purchase the project at various points during the term. The Kingbird project generated approximately 49 GWh of energy in Fiscal Year 2025-2026.

Summer Solar Project. The City executed a contract dated November 15, 2012 with SCPPA to purchase 32.5% of the output from the 20 MW Summer Solar (“Summer Solar”) project located near Lancaster, California. The contract was amended on March 10, 2014. The Summer Solar project achieved commercial operation on July 25, 2016. The project generated approximately 18 GWh of energy in Fiscal Year 2025-2026.

Antelope Big Sky Ranch Solar Project. The City executed a contract dated November 15, 2012, with SCPPA to purchase 32.5% of the output from the 20 MW Antelope Big Sky Ranch Solar project located near Lancaster, California. The contract was amended on March 10, 2014. Antelope Big Sky Ranch Solar project achieved commercial operation on August 19, 2016. The project generated approximately 15 GWh of energy in Fiscal Year 2025-2026.

Powerex Renewable Energy Contract. On April 9, 2018, the City Council approved an eleven-year contract with Canadian firm Powerex Corp. (“Powerex”) for the purchase of 70,000 MWh per year of Category 1 and 5,000 MWh of Category 2 in calendar year 2020, 15,000 of Category 2 in calendar year 2021 and 40,000 of Category 2 in calendar year 2022. The products will be supplied from Powerex’s existing fleet of California RPS-certified renewable resources in British Columbia, Canada and the State of Washington.

Coso Geothermal Project. The City executed a 20-year contract January 11, 2021, with SCPPA to purchase 20 MW from the 270 MW Coso Geothermal (“Coso”) project located near China Lake, California. Coso is projected to achieve commercial operation on January 1, 2027. The project should generate approximately 95 GWh of energy for PWP annually over the first 10 years of the contract, and approximately 211 GWh of energy for PWP annually over the last 10 years of the contract,

Geysers Geothermal Project. The City executed a contract dated May 26, 2023, with SCPPA to purchase 25 MW from the existing 725 MW Geysers Geothermal project located near Sonoma, California. The project should generate approximately 195 GWh of energy for PWP annually over the 15-year life of the contract.

Bonanza Solar and Storage Project. The City executed a contract dated February 26, 2024, with SCPPA to purchase 105 MW of solar photovoltaic generation and 55 MW of battery storage from the 300MW Bonanza Solar and Storage (“Bonanza”) project located in Clark County, Nevada. The Bonanza project is projected to achieve commercial operation on December 31, 2027. The project should generate approximately 303 GWh of energy for PWP annually over the 20-year life of the contract.

Grace Orchard III Solar and Storage Project. The City executed a contract dated September 14, 2024, with SCPPA to purchase 50MW of solar photovoltaic generation from the 170MW Grace Orchard III (“Grace”) solar facility located near Blythe, California. The project is expected to achieve commercial operation on June 1, 2028. The project should generate 144.5 GWh of energy for PWP annually over the 20-year life of the contract.

Calwind Wind Resource II Wind Project. The City executed a contract dated August 6, 2024, with CalWind Resources, Inc to purchase 20MW of wind generation from the electric generating facility located in Kern County, California. The project received commercial operation on July 29, 2025. The project generated approximately 54 GWh of energy in Fiscal Year 2025-2026.

Solar Initiative and Photovoltaic Program

In recent years, PWP has seen an increase in generating facilities installations. Since 2008, approximately 3,360 City customers have installed generating facilities, such as solar photovoltaic equipment, on their properties to help offset and manage their electrical demand on PWP's system. Over 18 years, PWP has reviewed and approved over 32.5 MW of solar photovoltaic technology ("PV") capacity, roughly 9.8% of PWP's all-time peak electric demand of 331MW in 2024. With the revamp of PowerClerk 2.0, PWP can streamline the solar application process and is currently reviewing/approving 396 solar applications a year. With the increase in solar applications last year, PWP is looking to revise its Small Generation Agreement requirements which would reduce processing time and administrative burden, further simplifying the solar application process for its residents. PWP expects a steady increase in solar PV capacity over the next couple of years.

Energy Efficiency Goals and Programs

The City's energy efficiency programs are designed to meet energy efficiency goals adopted by the City Council, while serving a broad cross-section of PWP's customer groups. These achievements support the City's sustainability goals and policies.

PWP achieved 84% of its energy efficiency goals in Fiscal Year 2024-25, while helping PWP customers save 8,491 MWh of energy and reducing peak demand by 0.20 MW. In total, more than 2,100 PWP customers received an energy efficiency rebate, electrification rebate, or direct installation service last year as a result of PWP's energy efficiency and electrification programs. Additionally, approximately 49,000 residential customers received quarterly Home Energy Reports containing personalized information on their home's energy usage data, trends, and comparisons, as well as helpful savings tips and leads to PWP efficiency programs and rebates.

Future Power Supply Resources

The City continues to procure additional renewable resources through SCPPA and directly with renewable resources providers to meet its projected RPS requirements. The City will continue to analyze a variety of renewable resources to meet the SB 100 compliance requirements. The City's goal is to have a diverse resource portfolio to meet its energy and compliance requirements, while mitigating environmental impacts at reasonable rates.

Since the adoption of the goal to source 100% of the City's electricity from carbon free resources by the end of 2030, PWP has added a significant mix of additional clean resources to its expanding energy portfolio, executing new PPAs for more than 200 MW of new carbon-free energy and 50 MW of battery storage. Also underway is a project to construct a 25 MW Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) at the Glenarm Power Plant. PWP is developing its first 25MW, 4-hour utility-scale BESS, which will be located at the Glenarm Power Plant facility. The BESS will store excess carbon-free energy and deliver it during evening peak hours to support grid reliability.

As progress continues toward 2030, PWP continues to remain actively engaged with utility partners and developers in pursuit of scalable clean-energy solutions, including wind, solar, geothermal, and storage. In March 2026, PWP issued a RFP for minimum 10-year utility-scale clean resources to support policy targets as well as accelerating California's RPS compliance requirements. Using a transparent competitive selection process encourages innovative responses that allow evaluation of a broad range of technologies and project locations that meet PWP's technical, environmental, and financial requirements. Ultimately, this effort will help identify practical and strategic utility-scale carbon-free projects that represent the best fit for Pasadena at the least cost.

Fuel Supply

PWP's local generating units are primarily fueled by natural gas, with average daily consumption of approximately 3,300 MMBtu during summer months. During peak months, gas requirements in excess of firm deliveries and long-term supply contracts are purchased at the Southern California Gas Company Citygate. The Southern California Gas Company ("SoCal Gas") provides intra-state delivery of PWP's natural gas supplies.

As noted above, the City is a participant in SCPPA's prepaid natural gas project which provides approximately 1,400 MMBtu of natural gas daily.

In January 2019, PG&E filed documents in a U.S. court seeking Chapter 11 reorganization. PWP currently holds a transportation service contract with PG&E for the option to transport up to 4,000 MMBtu of natural gas daily from Malin, Oregon to the southern terminus of the PG&E system. Although PWP pays a monthly reservation fee for the transportation contract, there is no activity that directly impacts PWP. From time to time, PWP has designated the capacity provided by the contract to other counterparties to recognize revenue to offset the reservation fee. PWP does not currently have any transactions directly with PG&E and does not expect to be adversely impacted by the bankruptcy filing.

In addition, the City is a participant in SCPPA's Natural Gas Project, consisting of leasehold interests in natural gas fields located in Wyoming and Texas. These supplies are expected to account for an average of approximately 300 MMBtu/day. The City is currently selling the gas produced by its interests in the SCPPA Natural Gas Project rather than utilizing it in energy production at the Magnolia Power Project plant or its local generation. Such sale is rescindable at any time. See "– Joint Powers Agency Generation and Fuel Resources/Remote Ownership Interests – Southern California Public Power Authority – Natural Gas Project."

In October 2015, a leak was detected at So Cal Gas's Aliso Canyon storage facility. The California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") ordered that the Aliso Canyon inventory levels be reduced to 15 billion cubic feet and ordered SoCal Gas to stop injections into the facility and only allowed further withdrawals of natural gas from the facility under emergency situations. In November 2017, the CPUC issued the Aliso Canyon Withdrawal Protocol (the "Protocol") that allows for the limited use of Aliso Canyon. The Protocol set forth minimum storage inventories, permits withdrawals when it is necessary to maintain reliability, to respond to risk to electric system reliability, and/or to avoid or limit curtailments to customers.

Aliso Canyon historically has been used by SoCal Gas as a tool to balance supply and demand and not being able to use it for normal business has caused operational challenges for SoCal Gas's daily operation. As a result of the Aliso Canyon gas storage situation, there is a possibility of disruptions to the normal natural gas supply for power plants in Southern California, including PWP's local Glenarm Power Plant. Effective June 1, 2016, the SoCal Gas implemented strict limitations and high penalties regarding scheduling and use of natural gas within its distribution system. PWP purchases/sells natural gas in the wholesale market and schedules deliveries to its power plants through the SoCal Gas pipelines. PWP must now closely manage its natural gas supply daily and make adjustments to natural gas deliveries several times during the day as needed. Natural gas fired generation makes up approximately 10% of PWP's resource portfolio, however it performs a critical function of ensuring PWP's distribution system reliability, health and safety when peak energy demands exceed PWP's ability to deliver enough energy (from sources outside PWP's distribution system) to meet the demand. PWP utilizes its internal power plant to produce energy to make up for this shortfall in order to avoid rolling blackouts. The full impacts are not certain; however, the impacts on PWP's natural gas supply are expected to be infrequent.

Transmission Resources

General

In January 2005, the City became a Participating Transmission Owner ("PTO") in the CAISO and placed certain transmission facilities and entitlements to transmission service on certain facilities under the CAISO's operational control. Pursuant to the CAISO Tariff and applicable Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") precedent, FERC approved a Base Transmission Revenue Requirement ("Base TRR") and a

Transmission Revenue Balancing Account Adjustment (“TRBAA”) for the City to recover the costs of these facilities and entitlements.

The City has been filing annual updates to its TRBAA with FERC since becoming a PTO. The TRBAA is the mechanism by which transmission revenue credits associated with transmission service from the CAISO are flowed through to transmission customers. The TRBAA amount is used as an offset to the Base TRR of a PTO. The TRBAA does not change the Base TRR nor does it flow through transmission cost increases to PTOs. Any change to the Base TRR requires that a petition must be filed with FERC.

In February 2019, the City filed a petition with FERC to revise its Base TRR to recover the cost increases the City has been experiencing since FERC approved its initial Base TRR. In April 2019, FERC approved the City’s petition and increased the City’s Base TRR by approximately \$0.6 million, effective March 1, 2019. Additionally, in March 2025, the City submitted its latest petition to FERC seeking a revision of its Base TRR, resulting in a \$2.1 million reduction in transmission costs, effective October 1, 2025.

Existing Transmission Resources

Transmission resources are an integral component of the City’s plan to provide economical and reliable electric service to its customers. The City currently has several firm capacity transmission agreements to deliver over 200 MW of remote generation to the T.M. Goodrich Receiving Station in the City, and to provide access to major hubs of the western wholesale power market. The transmission network allows the City to obtain low-cost energy supplies when available. Depending on the generation source, the energy is transmitted through a combination of the transmission resources listed in the following table.

**TABLE 4
FIRM TRANSMISSION SERVICE AGREEMENTS**

<u>Transmission Line path</u>	<u>Owner/Party</u>	<u>Capacity⁽²⁾</u>
Sylmar-T.M. Goodrich	SCE/CAISO ⁽¹⁾	336 MW
Pacific-Northwest DC Intertie	City	72 MW
Northern Transmission System	IPA/Utah	
Mona Substation		92 MW
IPP-Gonder		16 MW
Southern Transmission System	SCPPA	141 MW
Adelanto/Victorville-Sylmar	LADWP	136 MW
Mead-Phoenix	SCPPA	
Westwing-Mead		49 MW
Mead Substation		25 MW
Mead-Marketplace		84 MW
Mead-Adelanto	SCPPA	75 MW
Hoover		
McCullough-Victorville	City	25 MW
Adelanto-Victorville	LADWP	26 MW
Adelanto-Sylmar	LADWP	26 MW
Victorville-Sylmar	LADWP	26 MW
Hoover-Sylmar	LADWP	26 MW
Mead-McCullough	LADWP	26 MW

⁽¹⁾ The CAISO became the control area operator and scheduling agent for this line commencing with CAISO operations.

⁽²⁾ Transmission lines with different import/export ratings.

Source: Power Supply Business Unit of PWP.

Southern California Edison Company. The City has a transmission contract with SCE for rights to firm transfer capacity from LADWP's Sylmar Substation to the T. M. Goodrich Receiving Station in the City through SCE, as well as an agreement with SCE for interconnection of the T.M. Goodrich Receiving Station to the SCE system.

Pacific Northwest DC Intertie. Spanning 850 miles from Celilo in northern Oregon to Sylmar, California, the Pacific Northwest DC Intertie ("PDCI") is a double-pole, ± 500 kV transmission line. The PDCI conveys energy to the City from the Bonneville Power Administration and other Pacific Northwest utilities. PWP is entitled to 72 MW (2.32%) of the total 3,100 MW capacity of the southern portion (south of the point where the line crosses the Nevada-Oregon Border of the PDCI).

Northern Transmission System. The Northern Transmission System consists of two 50-mile long 345 kV AC transmission lines which connect the IPP to the Mona Substation in Utah and the Gonder Substation in Nevada. The City currently has entitlements of 92 MW of capacity from IPP to Mona and 16 MW of capacity from IPP to Gonder on these transmission lines as a result of the IPP Excess Sales Contract with the Utah Participants. IPA allocates 2.4735% of its outstanding debt to the Northern Transmission System. As of June 30, 2026, this allocation was approximately \$0.5 million. The City's maximum share of this obligation is 7.6%.

Southern Transmission System. The Southern Transmission System is a double-pole, ± 500 kV DC transmission line spanning 488 miles from IPP in central Utah to the Adelanto Substation in Southern California, together with an AC/DC converter station at each end. It is operated and maintained by the LADWP under contract with IPA. In connection with its entitlement to the IPP, the City acquired a contractual entitlement to 141 MW (5.9%) of the total 2,400 MW capacity of the STS. To facilitate financing of the STS, the City assigned its contractual transmission rights to SCPPA, and now receives its STS transmission through a service contract with SCPPA. As the result of an upgrade to the STS, which was completed in December 2010, the capacity of the STS was increased from the previous 1,920 MW to 2,400 MW. The term of the City's contractual transmission right extends until the expiration of the IPP contract in June of 2027. The terms of the SCPPA transmission service contract also extend to June 2027, or until all SCPPA bonds issued to finance the STS are paid or defeased if later. The City's transmission service contract with SCPPA obligates the City to pay the cost of its share of the transfer capability on a "take-or pay" basis. As of June 30, 2026, SCPPA had outstanding \$103,408,088 principal amount of bonds relating to the STS.

Adelanto-Sylmar Transmission Line. The City has a contract with LADWP for 136 MW of transmission capacity from the STS at either Adelanto or Victorville through the LADWP system to the CAISO at Sylmar.

Mead-Phoenix Transmission Project. The Mead-Phoenix Transmission Project consists of a 256-mile, 500 kV AC transmission line, which was placed into commercial operation on April 15, 1996, extending between a southern terminus at the existing Westwing Substation (in the vicinity of Phoenix, Arizona) and a northern terminus at Marketplace Substation, a substation located approximately 17 miles southwest of Boulder City, Nevada. The line is looped through the 500-kV switchyard constructed in the existing Mead Substation in southern Nevada with a transfer capability of 1,923 MW (as a result of certain upgrades completed in 2009). By connecting to Marketplace Substation, the Mead-Phoenix Transmission Project interconnects with the Mead-Adelanto Transmission Project (as described below) and with the existing McCullough Substation. The Mead-Phoenix Transmission Project is comprised of three project components. SCPPA executed an ownership agreement providing it with an 18.3077% member-related ownership share in the Westwing-Mead project component, a 17.7563% member-related ownership share in the Mead Substation project component, and a 22.4082% member-related ownership share in the Mead-Marketplace project component. Other owners of the line are Arizona Public Service Company, M-S-R Public Power Agency, Salt River Project and Startrans IO, L.L.C. The City entered into a transmission service contract with SCPPA which provides to the City an entitlement to 49 MW on the Westwing – Mead component, 25 MW on the Mead Substation component, and 84 MW on the Mead to Marketplace component of the Mead-Phoenix Transmission Project and obligates the City to pay its share (13.8%) of the transfer capability on a "take-or-pay" basis. The term of this contract extends for the life of the facility, or until all SCPPA bonds issued to finance the project are defeased if later. As of June 30,

2020, SCPPA had paid in full principal amount of its bonds issued to finance the interest of SCPPA members in the project.

Mead-Adelanto Transmission Project. This arterial line consists of a 202-mile, 500 kV AC transmission line extending between a southwest terminus at the existing Adelanto Substation in Southern California and a northeast terminus at Marketplace Substation, located approximately 17 miles southwest of Boulder City, Nevada. By connecting to Marketplace Substation, the line interconnects with the Mead-Phoenix Transmission Project and the existing McCullough Substation in southern Nevada. The line has a transfer capability of 1,291 MW. SCPPA has executed an ownership agreement providing it with a total of a 67.9167% member-related ownership share in the project. The other owners of the line are M-S-R Public Power Agency and Startrans IO, L.L.C. The commercial operation date for the project was April 15, 1996, which coincided with the completion of the Mead-Phoenix Transmission Project. The City has entered into a transmission system contract with SCPPA which provides to the City an entitlement to 75 MW of transfer capability on the Mead-Adelanto Transmission Project and obligates the City to pay its share (8.6%) of the transfer capability on a “take-or-pay” basis. The term of this contract extends for the life of the facility, or until all SCPPA bonds issued to finance the project are defeased if later. As of June 30, 2020, SCPPA had paid in full principal amount of its bonds issued to finance the interest of SCPPA members in the project.

Hoover Transmission Projects. The City contracts with LADWP for 26 MW of transmission services from the Hoover Switchyard to the Sylmar Substation.

McCullough-Victorville Transmission Line. The City acquired a 26 MW equity entitlement from LADWP in the 180-mile, 500 kV AC McCullough-Victorville No. 2 Transmission Line. Originally utilized to import the City’s PVNGS power, this line provides a parallel path to the Mead-Adelanto transmission line into the critical Mead Substation.

Victorville-Sylmar. The City contracts with LADWP for 26 MW of firm transmission service from the Victorville Substation to the Sylmar Substation as a continuation of the McCullough-Victorville Line.

Future Transmission Resources

As a PTO CAISO member, PWP has rights to transmission resources throughout the west to deliver contractual and spot market supplies into the City through the CAISO grid through the Sylmar interconnection with LADWP, about 10 miles from the City, to PWP’s CAISO interconnection point at PWP’s TM Goodrich Substation.

Inter-Utility Sales Transactions

General. In addition to making market purchases when economical, PWP also sells excess electric and gas commodity and transmission capacity when the City does not need it. The City has entered into a number of long-term capacity sales, and energy schedulers and dispatchers also respond to opportunities to market excess power when conditions warrant. The additional net revenues from these transactions help keep electricity rates down by offsetting fixed energy costs.

CAISO – Participating Generator Agreement. Under the CASIO Participating Generator Agreement, the City sells capacity and energy from its local generation resources at the Glenarm Power Plant into the CAISO’s ancillary service markets on a day-ahead and hour-ahead basis. Due to the short-term nature of the market, these ancillary service capacity and energy revenues are extremely volatile and difficult to predict; however, it is estimated that they will range from approximately \$3 million to \$10 million in future years.

Interconnections and Distribution Facilities

PWP owns facilities for the distribution of electric power within the City limits (approximately 23 square miles). These facilities include approximately 97 miles of 34 kV subtransmission circuits, 432 miles of 17 kV

distribution circuits, 230 miles of 4 kV distribution circuits, 3 receiving stations and 11 distribution stations. For Fiscal Year 2025-26, the City’s system experienced approximately 29.8 minutes of outage time per customer served. PWP’s benchmark for this metric is currently 35 minutes. Distribution infrastructure investments contributed to this improved measure of performance.

Electric Rates and Charges

The City is obligated by its Charter and by its rate ordinance to establish rates and collect charges in an amount sufficient to meet its expenses of operation and maintenance and debt service requirements (with specific requirements as to priority and coverage). See “SECURITY AND SOURCES OF PAYMENT FOR THE 2026A BONDS – Rate Covenant.” Electric rates are subject to approval by the City Council. Electric rates are not subject to regulation by the CPUC or by any other state agency. See, however, “RATE REGULATION.”

PWP’s electric rate structure is unbundled into distribution, customer, energy, transmission and Public Benefit Charge (“PBC”). The PWP rate structure is cost-based and does not provide for cross subsidies among customer classes. The PWP electric rates include variable components which are designed to recover from customers increased costs to the utility associated with energy and transmission. The City provides no free electric service. The following table sets forth average rates for each customer class as of June 30, 2022 through June 30, 2026.

TABLE 5
FIVE-YEAR HISTORY OF ELECTRIC RATES
Dollars Per Kilowatt Hour

<u>Customer Class</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Ended June 30</u>				
	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2025</u>	<u>2026</u>
Residential	\$0.2100	\$0.2188	\$0.2188	\$0.2547	\$0.2518
Small Commercial and Industrial	0.2008	0.2065	0.2065	0.2398	0.2382
Medium Commercial and Industrial	0.1844	0.1916	0.1916	0.2275	0.2256
Large Commercial and Industrial	0.1845	0.1802	0.1802	0.2289	0.2243
Street Lighting and Traffic Signals	0.1882	0.2015	0.2015	0.2311	0.2426

Note: Average rates above include Public Benefit Charge.

Source: Finance and Administration Business Unit of PWP.

Within PWP, “commercial and industrial” customers are principally educational and healthcare institutions and office buildings, as well as a wide range of businesses. These businesses include postal service, engineering, telecommunications, healthcare, property development, insurance, office products and packaging, and chemical products. No single commercial industrial customer currently accounts for more than 4% of total annual electrical sales revenue. The top 10 commercial and industrial customers typically represent approximately 17% of PWP’s annual electric sales revenue.

On March 23, 2026, City Council approve a three-phase rate adjustment schedule to increase revenue by 21% through the end of Fiscal Year 2027 to support future capital reinvestments as well as increasing costs driving up operational expenses. PWP will continue to evaluate revenue sufficiently annually with Council.

Billing and Collection Procedures

Billing and collection services for all electric services are provided by PWP and the City’s Finance Department. Most residential and certain commercial customers are billed bimonthly for electric and/or water service; most large commercial users are billed monthly for electric and water service. The City prepares a single bill for electric, water, refuse and sewer collection services. Payments received for the billed period are credited first to the oldest charges, then to current charges for each service in the order stated.

- Deposits

- Refuse
- Sewer
- Electric
- Water

All charges for water and electric service are due on the bill's due date and become delinquent 30 days after the bill is issued. To address late or missed payments, a 48-hour termination notice is generated approximately 75 days after the billing date. For residential water customers, California law (Senate Bill 998) allows up to 60 days past the due date before water service can be interrupted for non-payment. For commercial water customers and all electric customers, service shall be interrupted if the bill remains unpaid 15 days after a final notice. Service will be restored only after the total outstanding balance and all reconnection fees have been paid. After 60 days of non-payment, the account is written off by the PWP Collection Department and forwarded to the City Finance Department for further collection efforts.

Impact of Economic Conditions of the Development in the District

Certain events and factors which negatively affect the regional, State and national economies could have an adverse effect on the Electric System and its finances, such as the global market instability caused by the war in Ukraine and in the Middle East. Any adverse impact of the foregoing and other economic factors on the Electric System and its finances cannot be predicted. **Customers, Energy Sales and Revenues**

The average number of customers, energy sales and revenues derived from sales, by classification of service, during the past five Fiscal Years, are listed below.

TABLE 6
CUSTOMERS, ENERGY SALES AND REVENUES

Fiscal Year Ended June 30

	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2025</u>	<u>2026</u>
<i>Number of Customers</i>					
Residential	59,240	57,769	58,720	59,114	59,502
Small	7,667	7,609	7,643	7,675	7,708
Commercial & Industrial					
Medium	874	850	843	847	789
Commercial & Industrial					
Large	124	116	123	128	125
Commercial & Industrial					
Public Street & Highway	5	5	5	5	5
Lighting					
Wholesale	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>7</u>
Sales to Other Utilities					
Total	67,916	66,355	67,343	67,781	68,136
<i>Megawatt-hour Sales:</i>					
Residential	333,881	351,780	310,877	337,129	321,867
Small	135,566	135,447	138,425	140,793	136,482
Commercial & Industrial					
Medium	252,170	259,963	254,186	256,607	262,104
Commercial & Industrial					
Large	239,199	314,303	290,949	270,822	277,877
Commercial & Industrial					
Public Street and Highway	11,428	11,364	11,504	11,955	11,880
Lighting					
Other (Misc.)	<u>1,297</u>	<u>(5,445)</u>	<u>4,683</u>	<u>3,369</u>	<u>3,369</u>
Total Retail Energy Sales	973,541	1,067,412	1,007,444	1,020,676	1,020,676
Wholesale Sales to Other Utilities	<u>145</u>	<u>151</u>	<u>222</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>36</u>
Total Energy Sales	973,686	1,067,563	1,007,666	1,020,712	1,010,700
<i>Revenues from Sale of Energy:</i>					
Residential	\$ 70,130,803	\$76,964,112	\$80,586,715	\$85,872,185	81,046,243
Small	27,215,223	27,964,187	33,240,053	33,758,751	32,514,811
Commercial & Industrial					
Medium	46,499,423	49,816,955	59,201,982	58,033,909	59,138,798
Commercial & Industrial					
Large	44,128,473	56,650,986	65,581,534	61,926,474	62,428,832
Commercial & Industrial					

Wholesale Sales to Other Utilities	6,545,178	10,250,261	10,736,563	3,222,412	1,132,979
Public Street & Highway Lighting	2,150,565	2,289,269	2,755,524	2,762,568	2,881,598
Other ⁽¹⁾	<u>29,839,590</u>	<u>31,888,840</u>	<u>29,475,002</u>	<u>23,216,788</u>	<u>1,682,645</u>
Total Energy Revenue	\$226,509,255	\$255,824,610	\$281,577,373	\$268,793,087	\$240,825,905

⁽¹⁾ Other revenue includes PTO – Base TRR revenues, Cap and Trade revenues, unbilled revenue and miscellaneous governmental revenue.
Source: Finance and Administration Business Unit of PWP.

Indebtedness and Joint Agency Obligations

Upon the issuance of the 2026A Bonds and the refunding of the 2016A Bonds, in addition to the 2026A Bonds, the City will have outstanding \$ _____ aggregate principal amount of Bonds which are payable from the Light and Power Fund and secured by a pledge of the Net Income of the Electric System. See “SECURITY AND SOURCES OF PAYMENT FOR THE 2026A BONDS.”

As previously discussed, the City participates in the SCPPA joint powers agency. SCPPA provides for the financing and construction of electric generating and transmission projects for participation by some or all of its members. The City is a participant in the following SCPPA projects: PVNGS, Magnolia Power Project and Milford Wind Corridor Phase I Project, with respect to generation, is a participant in the Mead-Phoenix Transmission Project, the Mead-Adelanto Transmission Project and the Southern Transmission System, with respect to transmission, and is a participant in the Prepaid Natural Gas Project and the Natural Gas Project, with respect to fuel supply. To the extent the City participates in projects developed by SCPPA, the Electric System is obligated for its proportionate share of the cost of the particular project, including in most cases, the costs of debt service on indebtedness incurred by SCPPA to finance the costs of such projects. See TABLE 7 – “OUTSTANDING DEBT OF JOINT POWERS AGENCIES.”

In addition, the City has entered into certain power sales contracts with IPA and others for the delivery of electric power from IPP. The Electric System’s share of IPP power is equal to 6.0% of the generation output of IPP, IPA’s 1,800 MW coal-fueled generating station, located in central Utah. The contracts constitute an obligation of the Electric System to make payments solely from revenues from the Light and Power Fund. The power sales contracts also require the Electric System to pay certain minimum charges that are based on debt service requirements. However, the City’s entitlement under the IPP contract expires on June 15, 2027.

Obligations of the City under the agreements with IPA and SCPPA constitute Maintenance and Operating Expenses of the City payable prior to any of the payments required to be made on the Bonds. Agreements between the City and SCPPA (other than the agreement relating to SCPPA’s Natural Gas Prepaid bonds) and the City and IPA are on a “take-or-pay” basis, which requires payments to be made whether or not applicable projects are operating or operable, or whether the output from such projects is suspended, interfered with, reduced, curtailed or terminated in whole or in part. In addition, all of these agreements (other than the agreement relating to SCPPA’s Natural Gas Prepaid bonds and the agreement relating to SCPPA’s Natural Gas Project in which the City contributed its share of capital costs and did not participate in the related financing) contain “step-up” provisions obligating the City to pay a share of the obligations of a defaulting participant. Such payments represent the Electric System’s share of current and long-term obligations. Payment for these obligations will be made from operating revenues received during the year that payment is due. The City’s participation and share of debt service obligations (without giving effect to interest due on the obligations or any “step up” provisions) for each of the joint powers agency projects in which it participates are shown in the following table.

TABLE 7
OUTSTANDING DEBT OF JOINT POWERS AGENCIES
As of June 30, 2025

	<u>Outstanding Debt</u>	<u>City's Participation</u>⁽¹⁾	<u>City's Share of Outstanding Debt</u>⁽²⁾
<i>IPA</i>			
Intermountain Power Project ⁽³⁾	\$112,520,000	5.511%	\$6,200,977
<i>SCPPA</i>			
Southern Transmission System	89,480,000	5.883	5,264,108
Magnolia Power Project ⁽⁴⁾	199,240,000	6.400	12,751,360
Milford Wind Corridor Phase I Project	64,510,000	2.500	1,612,750
Natural Gas Prepaid ⁽⁵⁾	<u>234,360.00</u>	16.500	<u>38,669,400</u>
Total	\$700,110,000		\$64,498,595

- (1) City's participation percentages have been rounded in this table. Participation obligation is subject to increase upon default of another project participant (other than with respect to SCPPA's Natural Gas Prepaid bonds). The City has no obligation for debt service costs (and no "step-up" obligation) in connection with SCPPA's Natural Gas Project.
- (2) Principal only. Total amount is actual participation percentage of outstanding debt service amount.
- (3) Outstanding debt includes bonds and subordinated notes. City's participation percentage applied to outstanding bonds in the principal amount of \$112,520,000, which is reflected in the City's share of outstanding debt as of Dec 31, 2025.
- (4) Excludes bonds relating solely to City of Cerritos.
- (5) City payment obligation is with respect to actual quantity of natural gas delivered each month on a take-and-pay basis. Responsibility for bond repayment is non-recourse to the City.
- Sources: Finance and Administration Business Unit of PWP, SCPPA and IPA.

For the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2025, the City's payments of debt service on its joint powers agency obligations aggregated approximately \$3 million. A portion of the joint powers agency obligation debt service is variable rate debt. Unreimbursed draws under liquidity arrangements supporting joint powers agency variable rate debt obligations bear interest at rates well in excess of the current variable rate on such bonds. Moreover, in certain circumstances, the failure to reimburse draws on the liquidity agreements may result in the acceleration of scheduled payment of the principal of such variable rate joint powers agency obligations. In addition, swap agreements entered into by the joint powers agencies in connection with certain of such obligations are subject to early termination under certain circumstances, in which event the joint powers agency could owe substantial termination payments to the applicable swap provider (an allocable portion of such payments the project participants would be obligated for).

Reserve Policies

PWP has consistently maintained cash reserves exceeding 60 days of operating expenses under the reserve policies adopted in 2006 and has remained in full compliance. These reserves support unforeseen operational needs, approved capital projects, unspent Public Benefit Charge funds, and rising energy and transmission costs.

In 2025, PWP strengthened its financial framework by modernizing the reserve structure for the Light & Power Fund, replacing the former stranded investment reserve with a more flexible working capital reserve system aligned with industry standards. Current best practices encourage utilities to maintain reserves that ensure liquidity, stable cash flow, and protection against operational and capital risks. Through this updated structure, PWP reorganized its stranded investment funds into reserve categories that better address contemporary utility challenges—such as cost volatility, seasonal revenue changes, and operational stability—while supporting long-term financial planning. These working capital reserve targets help maintain consistent services and stable rates and provide protection against revenue shortfalls or unexpected expenses.

The following table presents the target reserves for working capital as of June 30, 2025, based on audited information, calculated in accordance with PWP’s working capital reserve policy.

TABLE 8
WORKING CAPITAL TARGET RESERVES
As of June 30, 2025

<u>Reserves⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>(\$ million)</u>
Operational	\$ 54.7
Debt Service	15.6
Capital Expenditures	161.0
General Fund Transfer	28.5
Energy Services Charge	21.6
Transmission Services Charge	6.5
Contingency	<u>94.9</u>
Total	\$382.8

⁽¹⁾ Based on audited information.

Source: Finance and Administration Business Unit of PWP.

Operational Reserve. Maintain a reserve of at least 60 days, with a target of 90 days of operating expenses to provide readily available cash for short-term operational needs and to manage cash flow fluctuations due to variability in sales.

Debt Service Reserve. Maintain a reserve equivalent to one year of debt service payments for outstanding bond or credit obligations to ensures timely fulfillment of debt service requirements, creditworthiness (and lowest-cost borrowing), and compliance with bond or financial covenants.

Capital Expenditures Reserve. Maintain a reserve equivalent to one year of budgeted capital expenditures to provide cash for capital investments, supporting infrastructure sustainability without impacting cash flow.

General Fund Transfer Reserve. Maintain an amount equivalent to one year of the budgeted General Fund Transfer, guaranteeing the ability to meet annual transfer obligations to the General Fund, promoting stable budgetary support.

Energy Services Charge Reserve. Maintain a reserve of at least 60 days, with a target of 90 days of projected power supply costs to mitigate financial impact of volatility in the energy market.

Transmission Services Charge Reserve. Maintain a reserve of at least 60 days, with a target of 90 days of transmission services cost to mitigate financial impact of volatility in the transmission services.

Contingency Reserve. Maintain a reserve of at least 60 days, with a target of 90 days of operating expenses and capital expenditures to provide a reserve for unforeseen expenses, including unplanned operational costs or emergency capital needs, ensuring level of service continuity.

Reserves may be used in situations where unanticipated financial needs arise, such as unexpected operating expenses, capital emergencies, or shortfalls in revenue. The decision to access reserves will be made by the City Council through the appropriations process and as identified through fiscal impacts within approved Council actions. The use of funds before the Working Capital target has been met shall first be used from contingency reserves, then reserves for market volatility (the energy services charge and transmission services charge reserves), and finally out of operating reserves. The debt service reserves shall be restricted from use for anything other than their legally stated purpose.

When Working Capital levels fall below target, a structured plan will be developed to return to the target. The replenishment timeline will consider:

1. Severity of the Shortfall: For significant shortfalls, replenishment efforts may span multiple fiscal years to avoid overburdening current operations.
2. Economic Conditions: During economic downturns, replenishment may be deferred until financial conditions improve.
3. Available Surplus and Revenue Growth: Excess operating surpluses, unexpected revenue, or growth in base revenues will be prioritized for reserve replenishment until target levels are restored.

A formal replenishment plan, including timelines and annual budget contributions, will be reviewed and updated annually. Replenishment contributions will typically begin within one year following a shortfall, with the goal to restore reserves to target levels within five years, adjusted as needed based on economic and operational conditions.

Funding of Capital Improvements

Five-year capital plans for the PWP Electric System are guided by the City’s Power Delivery Master Plan and Energy Integrated Resource Plan and are approved by the City Council. The Power Delivery Master Plan, adopted in June 2022, identifies long-term distribution system infrastructure needs and recommends improvements over a 20-year period. In December 2023, the City Council approved the Energy Integrated Resource Plan, establishing strategic objectives for PWP’s resource portfolio. The Council also adopted Resolution 9977 in January 2023, declaring a climate emergency and setting a target of 100% carbon-free electricity by 2030. In December 2025, the Council approved the Optimized Strategic Plan, providing a detailed roadmap for achieving that carbon-free goal while maintaining affordability, reliability, and rate equity. See also “THE ELECTRIC SYSTEM OF PWP – Energy Integrated Resource Plan.”

The City anticipates increased capital requirements over the next five fiscal years, driven by the Power Delivery Master Plan, the Energy Integrated Resource Plan, Resolution 9977, and the Optimized Strategic Plan, to aggregate approximately \$1.2 billion. These improvements are expected to be funded through revenues and the balance will be funded through the issuance of the future financings. PWP seeks to maintain a long-term funding ratio for capital investment of approximately 65-70% from long-term debt and 30-35% from pay-as-you-go funding made available through rates.

**TABLE 9
CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS
(In Thousands)**

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Capital Requirements</u>
2027	\$203,222
2028	271,259
2029	276,976
2030	257,479
2031	151,956
Total	\$ 1,160,892

Source: Finance and Administration Business Unit of PWP.

The City’s five-year capital plans prioritize upgrades that improve system infrastructure, capacity, and reliability while advancing the 2030 carbon-free electricity goal. Key projects include distribution upgrades, 4 kV-to-17 kV circuit conversions, cable replacements, undergrounding, subtransmission enhancements to

support new loads and maintain dependable service, and installation of local battery storage and solar generation. Components of the near-term capital plan include, but are not limited to, the following:

Distribution System Conversion to 17 kV - This project provides for the conversion of existing 4 kV distribution systems to 17 kV, allowing for more efficient operation with newer equipment. The conversion process provides the additional benefits of reduced equipment overloading, improved voltage regulations, reduced outages, and reduced energy losses on the distribution system. Lastly, due to increased system capacity, conversion to 17 kV systems will ensure adequate electrical service for new residential and commercial construction, renovation, and upgrades.

Energy Storage Systems - This project provides for local battery energy storage systems. The Energy Integrated Resource Plan identifies energy storage as a key resource to help PWP supply reliable, environmentally responsible power and meet resource adequacy requirements.

Advanced Metering Infrastructure (“AMI”) - This project provides meters, collectors, fiber optic backhaul, and enterprise software for remote collection of utility metering data via an AMI smart meter network. AMI is needed to collect interval metering data to assist PWP and customers in improving efficient electric and water use to promote conservation. The project will provide detection services, improved customer service with real-time data, support time-of-use power rate structures to encourage conservation and load-shifting and enable customers with solar panels, home batteries, or electric vehicles to make better decisions on how they manage their system.

Installation of Municipal-Owned Solar - This project provides for the installation of 20 MW of solar generation on city owned properties. The resulting energy and environmental benefits flow directly into PWP’s electric system, providing value to the entire community.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

Historical Operating Results and Debt Service Coverage

The following table shows the historical operating results and debt service coverage during the past five Fiscal Years on PWP's parity obligations payable from PWP's Light and Power Fund.

TABLE 10
HISTORICAL OPERATING RESULTS AND DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE
(Dollar Amounts in Thousands)

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30				
	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2025</u>
<i>Revenues:</i>					
Operating Revenues	\$180,022	\$182,934	\$208,105	\$239,115	\$233,704
PTO – Base TRR Revenues	14,867	15,296	16,157	15,333	15,711
Public Benefit Charge Revenues	6,633	6,795	7,331	6,934	7,103
Sales to Other Utilities	10,391	6,545	10,250	10,736	3,222
Other Operating Revenues	<u>10,353</u>	<u>14,939</u>	<u>13,982</u>	<u>9,459</u>	<u>9,053</u>
Total Operating Revenues	\$222,266	\$226,509	\$255,825	\$281,577	\$268,793
<i>Expenses:</i>					
Energy Costs – Fuel					
Retail	\$1,592	\$5,396	\$9,949	\$6,481	\$12,773
Wholesale	2,351	2,210	5,091	1,803	1,729
Purchased Power					
Retail	90,289	90,576	113,715	75,560	82,348
Wholesale and Others	2,038	1,858	1,934	1,983	6,628
Direct Operating Expenses	27,192	26,418	32,518	59,461	62,068
General, Administrative and Commercial	32,006	28,583	29,709	23,944	35,585
Interest Expense	8,293	7,699	7,278	6,948	9,444
Depreciation	<u>32,271</u>	<u>33,981</u>	<u>37,850</u>	<u>38,841</u>	<u>36,494</u>
Total Expenses	\$196,032	\$196,721	\$238,044	\$215,021	\$247,069
Earnings from Operations	\$26,234	\$29,788	\$17,781	\$66,556	\$21,724
Non-Operating Income	<u>9,387</u>	<u>(1,036)</u>	<u>12,263</u>	<u>30,411</u>	<u>44,073</u>
Net Income	\$35,621	\$28,752	\$30,044	\$96,967	\$65,797
<i>Cash Flow and Debt Service Calculation</i>					
Add Back Interest Expense	\$8,293	\$7,699	\$7,278	\$6,948	\$9,444
Add Back Depreciation	32,271	33,981	37,850	38,841	36,494
Add Back Amortization	<u>674</u>	<u>674</u>	<u>674</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Available for Debt Service	\$76,859	\$71,106	\$75,846	\$142,756	\$111,735
Debt Service	\$23,059	\$23,077	\$15,712	\$15,608	\$16,313
Debt Service Coverage	3.33x	3.08x	4.83x	9.15x	6.85x
Amount Available After Debt Service	\$53,800	\$48,029	\$60,134	\$127,148	\$95,422

Source: Finance and Administration Business Unit of PWP.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

Condensed Balance Sheet

The following Condensed Balance Sheet has been prepared by the City. The information for the Fiscal Years ended June 30, 2021 through June 30, 2025 has been prepared based upon audited financial statements.

TABLE 11
ELECTRIC UTILITY FUND
CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET
(Dollar Amounts in Thousands)

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30				
	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2025</u>
Current & Non-Current Assets	\$319,134	\$323,519	\$326,683	\$399,398	\$475,727
Restricted Assets	71,050	56,347	54,756	57,271	49,850
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	<u>530,113</u>	<u>536,499</u>	<u>537,612</u>	<u>539,406</u>	<u>564,657</u>
Total Assets	920,297	916,365	919,051	996,075	1,090,234
Deferred Outflow of Resources	<u>11,063</u>	<u>9,644</u>	<u>22,272</u>	<u>21,961</u>	<u>16,000</u>
Current Liabilities	35,224	35,818	31,678	36,629	43,133
Long-Term Liabilities	<u>275,148</u>	<u>237,851</u>	<u>262,479</u>	<u>256,269</u>	<u>295,777</u>
Total Liabilities	310,372	273,669	294,157	292,898	338,910
Deferred Inflow of Resources	<u>1,431</u>	<u>22,031</u>	<u>4,813</u>	<u>3,818</u>	<u>6,592</u>
Total Net Position	<u>\$619,557</u>	<u>\$630,309</u>	<u>\$642,353</u>	<u>\$721,320</u>	<u>\$760,732</u>

Source: Finance and Administration Business Unit of PWP.

RATE REGULATION

The City sets rates, fees and charges for electric service. The authority of the City to impose and collect rates and charges for electric power and energy sold and delivered is not subject to the general regulatory jurisdiction of the CPUC and presently neither the CPUC nor any other regulatory authority of the State of California nor the FERC approves such rates and charges. Although the retail rates of the City for electric service are not subject to approval by any federal agency, the City is subject to certain ratemaking provisions of Sections 211-213 of the Federal Power Act ("FPA"). It is possible that future legislative and/or regulatory changes could subject the rates and/or service area of the City to the jurisdiction of the CPUC or to other limitations or requirements.

FERC could potentially assert jurisdiction over rates of licensees of hydroelectric projects and customers of such licensees under Part I of the FPA, although it has not as a practical matter exercised or sought to exercise such jurisdiction to modify rates that would legitimately be charged.

Under Sections 211, 211A, 212 and 213 of the FPA, FERC has the authority, under certain circumstances and pursuant to certain procedures, to order any utility (municipal or otherwise) to provide transmission access to others at FERC-approved rates. In addition, the Energy Policy Act of 2005 expanded FERC's jurisdiction to require municipal utilities that sell more than eight million MWhs of energy per year to pay refunds under certain circumstances for sales into organized markets. To date, it is unclear when, if ever, the City would meet this threshold requirement.

The CEC is authorized to evaluate rate policies for electric energy as related to the goals of the Warren-Alquist State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Act and to make recommendations to the Governor, the Legislature and publicly owned electric utilities.

DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS

State Legislation

A number of bills affecting the electric utility industry have been introduced or enacted by the California Legislature in recent years. In general, these bills regulate greenhouse gas emissions and provide for greater investment in energy efficiency and environmentally friendly generation and storage alternatives, principally through more stringent renewable resource portfolio standard requirements. The following is a brief summary of certain of these bills that have been enacted.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions – Executive Orders. On June 1, 2005, then Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger signed Executive Order S-3-05, which placed an emphasis on efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by establishing statewide greenhouse gas reduction targets. The targets are: (i) a reduction to 2000 emissions levels by 2010; (ii) a reduction to 1990 levels by 2020; and (iii) a reduction to 80% below 1990 levels by 2050. The Executive Order also called for the California Environmental Protection Agency to lead a multi-agency effort to examine the impacts of climate change on California and develop strategies and mitigation plans to achieve the targets. On April 25, 2006, then Governor Schwarzenegger also signed Executive Order S-06-06 which directed the State of California to meet a 20% biomass utilization target within the renewable generation targets of 2010 and 2020 for the contribution to greenhouse gas emission reduction.

On April 29, 2015, then Governor Jerry Brown signed Executive Order B-30-15, which establishes a new interim statewide greenhouse gas emission reduction target to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by 2030. Executive Order B-30-15 indicates that the new interim target is aimed at ensuring that California meets the target established by Executive Order S-3-05 of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 80% below 1990 levels by 2050. Executive Order B-30-15 also directs the California Natural Resources Agency to update the State's climate adaptation strategy every three years and to ensure that its provisions are fully implemented. Among other requirements, Executive Order B-30-15 provides that the State's adaptation strategy must identify a lead agency or agencies that are responsible for adaptation efforts in at least the following sectors: water, energy, transportation, public health, agriculture, emergency services, forestry, biodiversity and habitat, and ocean and coastal resources. Executive Order B-30-15 required that the lead agencies for each sector outline the actions in their sector that will be taken as identified in the State's adaptation strategy and report back to the California Natural Resources Agency by June 2016.

The interim statewide greenhouse gas emission reduction target established by Executive Order B-30-15 was codified with the enactment of SB 32, which was signed by then Governor Brown on September 8, 2016 became effective as law on January 1, 2017. SB 32 requires the California Air Resources Board ("CARB"), the designated state agency charged with monitoring and regulating sources of emissions of greenhouse gases, to ensure that statewide greenhouse gas emissions are reduced to at least 40% below the 1990 level no later than December 31, 2030. Companion legislation, AB 197, also signed by then Governor Brown on September 8, 2016, increased legislative oversight of CARB. AB 197 added to the CARB board two members of the State Legislature as ex officio, nonvoting members and provides for the voting members to serve staggered six-year terms. Subject to specified requirements, an individual voting member may serve longer but only upon reappointment at the end of their six-year term. AB 197 further established a Joint Legislative Committee on Climate Change Policies, consisting of at least three members of the Senate and three members of the Assembly, to ascertain facts and make recommendations to the houses of the Legislature concerning the State's programs, policies and investments related to climate change. In addition, AB 197 requires that CARB, when adopting rules and regulations to achieve emissions reductions beyond the statewide greenhouse gas emissions limit, and to protect the state's most impacted and disadvantaged communities, to follow specified requirements, consider the social costs of the emissions of greenhouse gases, and prioritize emission reduction rules and regulations that achieve specified results.

On September 16, 2022, Governor Newsom signed AB 1279, which accelerates the “80% by 2050” GHG reduction of SB 32 to 85% by 2045. AB 1279 was among several laws identified in the 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality (issued November 16, 2022) to help achieve California’s new ambitious targets.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions – Global Warming Solutions Act. Then Governor Schwarzenegger signed AB 32, the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, which became effective as law on January 1, 2007. The GWSA prescribed a statewide cap on global warming pollution with a goal of returning to 1990 greenhouse gas emission levels by 2020. In addition, the GWSA established an annual mandatory reporting requirement for all investor-owned utilities (“IOUs”), local publicly-owned electric utilities and other load-serving entities (electric utilities providing energy to end-use customers) to inventory and report greenhouse gas emissions to CARB, required CARB to adopt regulations for significant greenhouse gas emission sources (allowing CARB to design a “cap-and-trade” system) and gave CARB the authority to enforce such regulations beginning in 2012.

On December 11, 2008, CARB adopted a “scoping plan” to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The scoping plan set out a mixed approach of market structures, regulation, fees and voluntary measures. The scoping plan included a cap-and-trade program. In August 2011, CARB revised the scoping plan in response to litigation. The revised scoping plan also included a cap-and-trade program. The scoping plan is required to be updated every five years. CARB issued the proposed first update to the scoping plan update on February 10, 2014, which was approved by CARB on May 22, 2014. The scoping plan update recommends that a plan to extend the cap-and-trade program beyond 2020 be developed by 2017. In addition, CARB approved a resolution at its October 25, 2013 board meeting that directed CARB’s executive officer to develop a plan for a post-2020 program, including a cost containment mechanism, before 2018. CARB has completed the 2030 Target Scoping Plan Update towards incorporating the 2030 interim emissions reduction target (40% below 1990 emissions levels by 2030). The 2030 Target Scoping Plan was adopted by CARB on December 14, 2017.

On October 20, 2011, CARB adopted a regulation implementing its cap-and-trade program. The California Office of Administrative Law (“OAL”) approved the regulation on December 13, 2011. The cap-and-trade regulation became effective on January 1, 2012. Emission compliance obligations under the regulation began on January 1, 2013. The cap-and-trade program covers sources accounting for 85% of California’s greenhouse gas emissions, the largest program of its type in the United States.

The cap-and-trade program was implemented in phases. The first phase of the program (January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2014) introduced a hard emissions cap covering emissions from electricity generators, electricity importers and large industrial sources emitting more than 25,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide-equivalent greenhouse gases (“CDE”) per year. In 2015, the program was expanded to cover emissions from transportation fuels, natural gas, propane and other fossil fuels. The cap will decline each year until the end of the program, which was extended from 2020 to 2030 pursuant to AB 398 signed into law in July 2017.

The cap-and-trade program includes the distribution of carbon allowances equal to the annual emissions cap. Each allowance is equal to one metric ton of CDE. As part of a transition process, initially, most of the allowances were distributed for free. Additional allowances are being auctioned quarterly (auctions began in November 2012). Utilities can acquire more allowances at these auctions or on the secondary market. IOUs are required to auction the allowances they received for free from CARB. This requirement also applies to POUs that sell electricity into the CAISO markets, other than sales of electricity from resources funded by municipal tax-exempt debt where the POU makes a matched purchase to serve its traditional retail customers. Utilities required to sell their allowances in the auctions are then required to purchase allowances to meet their compliance obligations, and use any remaining proceeds from the sale of their allocated allowances for the benefit of their ratepayers and to meet the goals of the GWSA. POUs that do not sell into the CAISO markets, and those that sell into the CAISO markets only electricity from resources funded by municipal tax-exempt debt, have three options (which are not mutually exclusive) once their allocated allowances are distributed to them. They can (i) place allowances in their compliance accounts to meet compliance obligations, (ii) place allowances in the compliance account of a joint powers agency or public power utility that generates power on their behalf, and/or (iii) auction the allowances and use the proceeds to benefit their ratepayers and meet the goals of the GWSA.

The cap-and-trade program also allows covered entities to use offset credits for compliance (not exceeding 8% of a covered entity's compliance obligation). Offsets can be generated by emission reduction projects in sectors that are not regulated under the cap-and-trade program. CARB has approved the following types of offset projects: urban forest projects, reforestation projects, destruction of ozone-depleting substances, livestock methane management projects, destruction of fugitive coal mine methane and rice cultivation practices. CARB will continue to consider additional and updated offset protocols, including international, sector-based offsets.

The California cap-and-trade program is linked to the equivalent program in Quebec, Canada. The link took effect on January 1, 2014, although the first joint auction was delayed until November 25, 2014 in order to resolve certain technical issues. California's program may be linked to additional Canadian provincial cap-and-trade programs, and possibly other U.S. state cap-and-trade programs, in later years as part of the Western Climate Initiative ("WCI"). The WCI is a regional effort consisting of California and four Canadian provinces (Quebec, British Columbia, Ontario and Manitoba), which have established a greenhouse gas reduction trading framework.

The California Chamber of Commerce initiated litigation alleging that CARB's sale of allowances in the cap-and-trade auctions was an unconstitutional tax, since the legislation authorizing cap-and-trade (AB 32) was not passed with a 2/3 supermajority vote of the California Legislature. In 2017, California's Supreme Court declined to review the Third Court of Appeals' decision in CARB's favor, essentially ending the legal challenge. This court decision paved the way for the extension of the program to align cap-and-trade with SB 32.

CARB adopted amended cap-and-trade regulation to extend the program beyond 2020 by establishing new emissions caps. Enabling future auction and allocation of allowances on behalf of rate-payers, and program linkage beyond 2020 with WCI participants. The 2016 rulemaking became effective October 1, 2017. CARB also adopted revised regulation in 2018 to address programmatic clarifications, and to address, allowance price caps, offset usage, Energy Imbalance Market related GHG emissions accounting, and Ontario's actions to revoke its participation in California cap-and-trade market. The regulatory amendments were adopted by CARB in December 2018.

In February 2023, CARB issued a market notice regarding further updates to the cap-and-trade regulations. Topics to be considered include banked allowances, evaluation of the program caps within the context of the 2022 Scoping Plan goals, conducting electricity sector and industrial sector leakage studies, updates to offset protocols, addressing the new Extended Day Ahead Market for electricity, protecting low income households from disproportionate impacts of energy prices, and carbon dioxide sequestration and removal projects developed under the SB 905 Carbon Capture, Removal, Utilization, Storage Program.

On April 9, 2024, CARB posted the Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment for the cap-and-trade regulation, which is an initial economic evaluation of potential changes to the cap-and-trade program. CARB anticipates releasing draft regulatory language for the cap-and-trade program for public comment in the coming months, which may be further informed through public workshops and reflect an updated economic analysis.

The City is unable to predict at this time the full impact of the cap-and-trade program over the long-term on the Electric System or on the electric utility industry generally or whether any additional changes to the adopted program will be made. Since the advent of the cap-and-trade program in 2012, regulations by CARB have provided the electric sector, including the City, with sufficient allocated greenhouse gas allowances or credits to cover existing operations in meeting retail load obligations. The City may bank allocated allowances in its compliance account to satisfy a portion of its ongoing compliance obligations. The City may also buy or sell allowances in the quarterly auctions or on the bi-lateral market to meet its additional compliance obligations. The City could be adversely affected in the future if CARB changes the allowance allocation methodology, or if the greenhouse gas emissions of its resource portfolio is in excess of the allowances administratively allocated to it and it is required to purchase compliance instruments on the market to cover its emissions.

AB 1207 (Irwin) extended the Cap-and-Invest program (formerly Cap-and-Trade) through 2045. It was signed into law on September 19, 2025 by Governor Gavin Newsom. In addition to the extension, a key change

is the redirection of emissions allowances from gas to electric utilities by 2031 intended to represent a climate credit that utilities must pass through to ratepayers. It is currently unknown how this will be quantified or implemented, although CARB is expected to begin the rulemaking process in mid-late 2026.

Low Carbon Fuel Standard (“LCFS”) – LCFS is one of a suite of programs designed to reduce GHG emissions enacted through AB 32, the 2006 Global Warming Solutions Act. LCFS applies to fuels used for transportation and works with the cap-and-trade program and with the advance car clean cars program to reduce transportation GHG emissions. LCFS requires a 10% reduction in the GHG emissions carbon intensity (“CI”) of all transportation fuel by 2020 and a 20% reduction by 2030. The LCFS standards are expressed in terms of the CI of gasoline and diesel fuel and their individual substitutes. The program is based on the standard that each fuel has “life cycle” greenhouse gas emissions that includes CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O. This life cycle calculation examines the GHG emissions related to the production, transportation, and the use of a given fuel.

LCFS sets an annual CI benchmark for gasoline, diesel, and the fuels that replace them, such as electricity. Each fuel’s annual CI scores are compared to a declining CI benchmark. Low carbon fuels below the benchmark generate credits. Fuels above the CI standard generate deficits.

LCFS specifically exempts a number of lower-carbon fuels, such as electricity and hydrogen, because they meet the 2020 carbon intensity targets. Providers of these fuels that choose not to participate in the LCFS program have no obligations for these fuels under LCFS. However, LCFS allows these fuel providers to “opt-in” to the program and generate LCFS credits that they can sell and trade in the California LCFS market. LCFS regulation provides guidelines on permissible uses of credit sale proceeds.

PWP opted in to the LCFS program in the winter of 2017 and uses credit revenue for a variety of activities, including construction of Electric Vehicle (“EV”) infrastructure, mandatory contribution to the Clean Fuel Reward program, which provides point-of-sale rebates to EV buyers, and EV-related outreach and supporting community-based programs. Credit sale proceeds have also been used to educate the public on EV usage, and to provide rebates for EV charging equipment of up to \$600 for residential customers and \$75,000 per site for commercial customers.

Electric utilities value the LCFS credit program because it encourages the sale of EVs, which provides a new electricity market. It also supports EV charging to balance uneven demand and variable wind and solar power supply.

Since January 2018, credit prices have ranged from as low as approximately \$60/MT to as high as \$193/MT. Prices in the market trading are based on the immediate needs of market participants. Since the credits do not expire, and with the extension of the LCFS program through 2030, LCFS entities, with a compliance obligation, can bank their credits for future compliance.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions – Emissions Performance Standard. SB 1368 became effective as law on January 1, 2007. It provided for an emission performance standard (“EPS”), restricting new investments in baseload fossil fuel electric generating resources that exceed the rate of greenhouse gas emissions for existing combined-cycle natural gas baseload generation. SB 1368 allows the CEC to establish a regulatory framework to enforce the EPS for POUs such as the City. The CPUC has a similar responsibility for the IOUs. The regulations promulgated by the CEC were approved by the OAL on October 16, 2007. The CEC regulations prohibit any investment in baseload generation that does not meet the EPS of 1,100 pounds of carbon dioxide (“CO₂”) per MWh of electricity produced, with limited exceptions for routine maintenance, requirements of pre-existing contractual commitments, or threat of significant financial harm.

The EPS standard is 1,100 pounds CO₂/MWh, meaning that utilities cannot enter into any new long term fossil fuel contracts higher than this EPS.

Energy Procurement and Efficiency Reporting. SB 1037 was signed by then Governor Schwarzenegger on September 29, 2005. It requires that each POU, including the City, prior to procuring new energy generation resources, first acquire all available energy efficiency, demand reduction, and renewable resources that are cost-effective, reliable and feasible. SB 1037 also requires each POU to report annually to its customers and to the CEC its investment in energy efficiency and demand reduction programs. The City has complied with such reporting requirements.

Further, AB 2021, signed by then Governor Schwarzenegger on September 29, 2006, requires that POUs establish, report, and explain the basis of the annual energy efficiency and demand reduction targets by June 1, 2007 and every three years thereafter for a ten-year horizon. A subsequent bill has changed the time interval for establishing annual targets to every four years. The City has complied with this reporting requirement under AB 2021. Future reporting requirements under AB 2021 include: (i) the identification of sources of funding for the investment in energy efficiency and demand reduction programs; (ii) the methodologies and input assumptions used to determine cost-effectiveness; and (iii) the results of an independent evaluation to measure and verify energy efficiency savings and demand reduction program impacts. The information obtained from the POUs is being used by the CEC to present the progress made by the POUs towards the State of California's goal of reducing electrical consumption by 10% within ten years and the greenhouse gas targets presented in Executive Order S-3-05. In addition, the CEC will provide recommendations for improvement to assist each POU in achieving cost-effective, reliable, and feasible savings in conjunction with the established targets for reduction. Then Governor Brown signed AB 802 into law on October 8, 2015 that allows savings to bring buildings up to code to count (rather than only "above code" savings to count) towards energy efficiency and demand reduction targets while setting new benchmarking requirements for building owners and usage disclosure requirements for California utilities.

SB 350, signed by then Governor Brown in October 2015, requires the CEC to establish a statewide goal of a cumulative doubling of statewide energy efficiency savings in electricity by retail customers by January 1, 2030. See "– Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015" below.

Renewables Portfolio Standard. SB X1-2, the "California Renewable Energy Resources Act," was signed into law by then Governor Brown on April 12, 2011. SBX1-2 codifies the RPS target for retail electricity sellers to serve 33% of their loads with eligible renewable energy resources by 2020 as provided in Executive Order S-14-08 (signed by then Governor Brown in November 2008). As enacted, SBX1-2 makes the requirements of the RPS program applicable to POUs (rather than just prescribing that POUs meet the intent of the legislation as under previous statutes). However, the governing boards of POUs are responsible for implementing the requirements, rather than the CPUC, as is the case for the IOUs. In addition, the CEC is given certain enforcement authority for POUs and CARB is given the authority to set penalties.

SBX1-2 requires each POU to adopt and implement a renewable energy resource procurement plan. As set out in more detail in the CEC's RPS enforcement regulation, noted below, the plan must require the utility to procure at least the following amounts of electricity products from eligible renewable energy resources, which may include RECs, as a proportion of total kilowatt hours sold to the utility's retail end-use customers: (i) over the 2011-2013 compliance period, an average of 20% of retail sales from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2013, inclusive; (ii) over the 2014-2016 compliance period, a total equal to 20% of 2014 retail sales, 20% of 2015 retail sales, and 25% of 2016 retail sales; and (iii) over the 2017-2020 compliance period, a total equal to 27% of 2017 retail sales, 29% of 2018 retail sales, 31% of 2019 retail sales, and 33% of 2020 retail sales.

SB 350 (2015), SB 100 (2018), and SB 1020 (2022) further increase and/or accelerate requirements and are discussed in greater detail below.

SBX1-2 provides exemption for any facility approved by the governing board of a POU prior to June 1, 2010 as satisfying renewable energy procurement obligations adopted under prior law if the facility is a "renewable electrical generation facility" as defined in the bill (subject to certain restrictions). Renewable electrical generation facilities include certain out-of-state renewable energy generation facilities if such facility:

(i) will not cause or contribute to any violation of a California environmental quality standard or requirement, (ii) participates in the accounting system to verify compliance with the RPS program requirements, and (iii) either (a) commenced initial commercial operation after January 1, 2005 or (b) either (x) the electricity generated by the facility is from incremental generation resulting from expansion or repowering of the facility or (y) the electricity generated by the facility was procured by a retail seller or POU as of January 1, 2010. The percentage of a retail electricity seller's RPS requirements that may be met with unbundled RECs from generating facilities outside California declines over time, beginning at 25% through 2013 and declining to a level of 10% in 2017 and beyond.

Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015. SB 350, the “Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015,” was signed into law by then Governor Brown on October 7, 2015. SB 350 establishes an RPS target of 50% by December 31, 2030 for the amount of electricity generated and sold to retail customers from eligible renewable energy resources for retail sellers and POUs, including interim targets of (i) 40% by the end of the 2021-2024 compliance period, (ii) 45% by the end of the 2025-2027 compliance period and (iii) 50% by the end of the 2028-2030 compliance period.

SB 350 requires each retail seller of electricity (including IOUs, most POUs above a certain size threshold, community choice aggregators and energy service providers) to provide a renewable energy procurement plan on an annual basis, and to file an IRP, and a schedule for periodic updates to the plan, for approval. This includes addressing how affected utilities plan to meet the 2030 interim emissions reductions goal set by CARB. IRPs for retail sellers other than POUs will be reviewed by the CPUC. For POUs, the governing body of the POU is responsible for adopting the IRP, subject to review by the CEC, which can recommend modifications to correct any shortcomings.

SB 350 specifies the factors that must be considered in proposed procurement plans and provides that the goals must be balanced by the need to have just and reasonable rates, to ensure system and local reliability, to preserve the resilience of the electric grid, and to enhance distribution system management. The bill specifically requires the CPUC to identify a “balanced portfolio of resources” to ensure “reliability” and “optimal integration” of renewables, and requires that utilities include in their procurement plans a “strategy for procuring best-fit and least cost resources” to meet the portfolio needs the CPUC identifies.

SB 350 further requires the CEC to establish annual targets for statewide energy efficiency savings and demand reduction that will achieve a cumulative doubling of statewide energy efficiency savings in electricity and natural gas final end uses of retail customers by January 1, 2030. The CPUC is required to establish energy efficiency targets for electrical and gas corporations consistent with this goal, and specifies programs that may be used to achieve the goal. POUs are required to establish annual targets for energy efficiency savings and demand reduction consistent with the goal and to report those targets to the CEC every four years for the next 10-year period. The bill provides guidance as to what measures qualify and requires an evaluation of feasibility and cost effectiveness in setting annual targets for those savings. SB 350 also requires the CEC to adopt a responsible contractor policy and establish consumer protection guidelines. The CEC is developing additional guidelines on the statewide energy efficiency goals.

SB 350 required the CAISO to prepare proposed governance modifications to facilitate the transformation of the CAISO into a regional organization but provides that such governance modifications will not take effect prior to completion of a specified process for review and study of the impacts of a regional market and the enactment by the California Legislature of future legislation implementing the proposed governance changes by 2019.

In July 2016, the CAISO released a revised set of proposed principles for regional governance of the CAISO, which were presented at a joint state agency workshop on July 26, 2016. Following further input from stakeholders, the second revised proposal “Principles for Governance of a Regional ISO” was published in October 2016. The following year, AB 813 (Holden) was introduced and would have authorized the transformation of the CAISO into a multistate regional transmission system. After a two-year legislative session,

the bill ultimately failed in August 2018. While stakeholder discussion has continued in the following years, no regional organization has yet been created.

The 100 Percent Clean Energy Act of 2018. SB 100, the “100 Percent Clean Energy Act of 2018”, was signed into law by then Governor Brown on September 10, 2018. SB 100 establishes a state policy that RPS-eligible and zero-carbon resources supply 100 percent of all retail sales in California by December 31, 2045. SB 100, establishes a 60% renewable energy procurement requirement of retail sales by December 31, 2030. SB 100 also accelerates the interim RPS targets established by SB 350 in 2015. New requirements are 44% by the end of the 2021–24 compliance period, 52% by the end of 2025–27, and 60% by the end of the 2028-30 compliance period.

SB 100 also restricts utilities from increasing carbon emissions out of state to achieve this state policy. Regulatory agencies such as CEC, ARB and CPUC are tasked with ensuring that the electric system is balanced and reliable, and that the policy does not cause unreasonable impacts to customer rates and bills. Regulatory agencies are also required to prepare a joint report to the state legislature in collaboration with California balancing authorities by January 1, 2021, and every four years thereafter. The report will include a review of policy implementation including costs, resources and barriers to achieving the 100 clean energy goal.

In September 2022, Governor Newsom signed into law SB 1020, which revised the policy of the State established by SB 100 to provide that eligible renewable energy resources and “zero-carbon resources” supply 90% of all retail sales of electricity to State end-use customers by December 31, 2035, 95% by December 31, 2040, 100% by December 31, 2045, and 100% of electricity procured to serve all state agencies by December 31, 2035.

Solar Power. On August 21, 2006, then Governor Schwarzenegger signed into law SB 1 (also known as the “California Solar Initiative”). This legislation requires POUs, including the City, to establish a program supporting the stated goal of the legislation to install 3,000 MW of photovoltaic energy in California. POUs are also required to establish eligibility criteria in collaboration with the CEC for the funding of solar energy systems receiving ratepayer-funded incentives. The legislation gives a POU the choice of selecting an incentive based on the installed capacity or based on the energy produced by the solar energy system, measured in kilowatt-hours. Incentives would be required to decrease at a minimum average rate of 7% per year. POUs also have to meet certain reporting requirements regarding the installed capacity, number of installed systems, number of applicants, amount of awarded incentives and the contribution toward the program’s goals. The City has established the “Pasadena Solar Initiative” in accordance with the requirements of SB 1. The Pasadena Solar Initiative provided rebates for solar installations from a 10-year period during 2008-2017. As of January 1, 2018, PWP no longer provides rebates for solar installations and stopped accepting new applications, primarily because the residential solar market in Pasadena has matured and is expected to be sustainable without further rebate programs from PWP. After many years of contributing towards market transformation, the Pasadena Solar Initiative is expected to reach 10.54 MW of total installed solar capacity.

Legislation Relating to Wildfires. In September 2016, then Governor Brown signed into law SB 1028, which requires each POU, including the City, each IOU and each electric cooperative in the State to construct, maintain, and operate its electrical lines and equipment in a manner that will minimize the risk of catastrophic wildfire posed by those electrical lines and equipment. Effective January 1, 2017, SB 1028 requires the governing board of each POU to make an initial determination of whether its overhead electric lines and equipment pose a significant risk of catastrophic wildfire based on historical fires and local conditions. POU governing boards must independently make this determination based on all relevant information, including the CPUC’s Fire Threat Map which was adopted by the CPUC in January 2018. PWP has identified the portions of its overhead electrical lines and equipment that are located within each of threat areas identified in CPUC’s Fire Threat Map. PWP has determined that its overhead electric lines and equipment do not pose a significant risk of catastrophic wildfire.

SB 901, which was signed into law in September 2018, is meant to further address response, mitigation, and prevention of wildfires. SB 901 requires, among other things, POUs, such as the City, to prepare before

January 1, 2020 and annually thereafter, a wildfire mitigation plan. SB 901 requires the City to contract with a qualified independent evaluator to review and assess the comprehensiveness of its plan. The report of the independent evaluator is to be made available to the public and presented at a public meeting of the POU's governing board. AB 1054 requires, among other things, each POU, such as the City, to submit, by July 1 of each year, its wildfire mitigation plan to the California Wildfire Safety Advisory Board for review and commission. The City intends to continue to update and file its Wildfire Mitigation Plan to the California Wildfire Safety Advisory Board by June of each year.

A number of wildfires occurred in California in the last several years. Under the doctrine of inverse condemnation (a legal concept that entitles property owners to just compensation if their property is damaged by a public use), California courts have imposed liability on utilities in legal actions brought by property holders for damages caused by such utilities' infrastructure. Thus, if the facilities of a utility, such as its electric distribution and transmission lines, are determined to be the substantial cause of a fire, and the doctrine of inverse condemnation applies, the utility could be liable for damages without having been found negligent. In August 2019, in its decision in the case of *City of Oroville v. Superior Court of Butte County*, No. S243247 (Cal. Aug. 15, 2019) involving damages related to sewage overflows from a city sewer system, the California Supreme Court issued a rare but narrow decision regarding inverse condemnation liability. The residential property owner in that case failed to install a mandatory sewer backflow device, allowing the court to conclude the absence of that device was the substantial cause of the damages to the residence. The property owner was unable to prove the property damage was the probable result or necessary effect of an inherent risk associated with the design, construction or maintenance of the relevant public improvements. SB 1028, SB 901 and AB 1054 do not address existing legal doctrine relating to utilities' liability for wildfires. How any future legislation addresses the State's inverse condemnation and "strict liability" issues for utilities in the context of wildfires in particular is not certain at this time but could be significant for the electric utility industry, including PWP.

Future Regulation

The electric industry is subject to continuing legislative, regulatory and administrative reform. States routinely consider changes to the way in which they regulate the electric industry. Historically, both further deregulation and forms of additional regulation have been proposed for the industry, which has been highly regulated throughout its history. While there is no current proposal to further deregulate the industry, there still are additional regulations or legislative mandates being proposed or considered for the industry such as higher reliance on renewable energy and tighter regulations for greenhouse gas emission reductions. The City is unable to predict at this time the impact any such proposals will have on the operations and finances of the Electric System or the electric utility industry generally.

Impact of Developments on the City

The effect of the developments in the California energy markets described above on the Electric System cannot be fully ascertained at this time. Also, volatility in energy prices in California may return due to a variety of factors that affect both the supply and demand for electric energy in the western United States. The Aliso Canyon storage issues, the SB 100 goal of a carbon neutral economy by 2045, coupled with the pending closure of once through cooling units, will impact the electric industry in the years to come. Mandates to force procurement (energy storage, wind, etc.) will also continue to impact the electric industry. Additional factors include, but are not limited to, the adequacy of generation resources to meet peak demands, the availability and cost of renewable energy, the impact of economy-wide greenhouse gas emission legislation and regulations, fuel costs and availability, weather effects on customer demand, transmission congestion, the strength of the economy in California and surrounding states and levels of hydroelectric generation within the region (including the Pacific Northwest). See "OTHER FACTORS AFFECTING THE ELECTRIC UTILITY INDUSTRY."

OTHER FACTORS AFFECTING THE ELECTRIC UTILITY INDUSTRY

Federal Policy on Cybersecurity

On February 13, 2013, then President Obama issued the Executive Order “Improving Critical Infrastructure Security” (“Executive Order”). Among other things, the Executive Order calls for improved information sharing and processing of security clearances for owners and operators of critical infrastructure. The Executive Order further requires the Secretary of Commerce to direct the National Institute of Standards and Technology (“NIST”) to lead the development of a framework (“Framework”) to reduce cyber risks to critical infrastructure. NIST released the first version of the voluntary Framework on February 12, 2014. NIST has indicated that it intends for the Framework to be a living document that will continue to be updated and improved as industry provides feedback on implementation. NIST posted the second draft of the proposed update in December 2017 and finalized the second version in April 2018.

The Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015 was signed into law on December 18, 2015 as part of the year-end Omnibus Appropriations Act. It creates an industry-supported, voluntary cybersecurity information sharing program that will encourage both public and private sector entities to share cyber-related threat information.

In September 2018, the White House released its “2018 National Cybersecurity Strategy,” which sought to update the nation’s cybersecurity strategy. FERC has also sought to expand reporting rules for incidents involving attempts to compromise operation of the electric grid and address supply chain cybersecurity risks.

On November 16, 2018, then President Trump signed into law the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency Act of 2018. This landmark legislation elevates the mission of the former National Protection and Programs Directorate within Department of Homeland Security and establishes the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (“CISA”). CISA builds the national capacity to defend against cyber-attacks and works with the federal government to provide cybersecurity tools, incident response services and assessment capabilities to safeguard the ‘.gov’ networks that support the essential operations of partner departments and agencies.

In March of 2023, the Biden administration adopted the “2023 National Cybersecurity Strategy.” The 2023 National Cybersecurity Strategy replaces but continues momentum on many of the priorities of the 2018 National Cybersecurity Strategy.

Federal Energy Legislation

Energy Policy Act of 1992. The Energy Policy Act of 1992 (the “EPAc 1992”) made fundamental changes in federal regulation of the electric utility industry, particularly in the area of transmission access under sections 211, 212, and 213 of the FPA, 16 U.S.C. Section 791(a) et seq. The purpose of these changes in part was to bring about increased competition among wholesale suppliers. As amended, sections 211, 212 and 213 authorized FERC to compel a transmission provider to provide transmission service upon application by an electricity supplier. FERC’s authority includes the authority to compel the enlargement of transmission capacity as necessary to provide the service. The service must be provided at rates, charges, terms and conditions that are set by FERC. Electric utilities that are owned by municipalities or other public agencies are “transmitting utilities” that may be subject to an order under sections 211, 212, and 213. EPAc 1992 prohibits FERC from requiring “retail wheeling” under which a retail customer that was located in one utility’s service area could obtain electricity from another source. An order by FERC to provide transmission might adversely affect the PWP’s system by, among other things, increasing PWP’s costs for owning and operating transmission facilities and/or by reducing the availability of PSP’s transmission resources for PWP’s own use.

Energy Policy Act of 2005. Under the federal Energy Policy Act of 2005 (“EPAc 2005”), FERC was given refund authority over POUs if they sell into short-term markets, like the CAISO markets, and sell eight

million MWhs or more of electric energy on an annual basis. In addition, FERC was given authority over the behavior of market participants. Under FERC's authority it can impose penalties on any seller for using a manipulative or deceptive device, including market manipulation, in connection with the purchase or sale of energy or of transmission service. The Commodity Futures Trading Commission also has jurisdiction to enforce certain types of market manipulation or deception claims under the Commodity Exchange Act.

EPAct 2005 authorized FERC to issue permits to construct or modify transmission facilities located in a national interest electric transmission corridor if FERC determines that the statutory conditions are met. EPAct 2005 also required the creation of an electric reliability organization ("ERO") to establish and enforce, under FERC supervision, mandatory reliability standards ("Reliability Standards") to increase system reliability and minimize blackouts. Failure to comply with such Reliability Standards exposes a utility to significant fines and penalties by the ERO.

NERC Reliability Standards. EPAct 2005 required FERC to certify an ERO to develop mandatory and enforceable Reliability Standards, subject to FERC review and approval. The Reliability Standards apply to users, owners and operators of the Bulk-Power System, as more specifically set forth in each Reliability Standard. On February 3, 2006, FERC issued Order 672, which certified the North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") as the ERO. Many Reliability Standards have since been approved by FERC. Such standards pertain not only to the planning, operations, and maintenance of Bulk-Power System facilities, but also to the cyber and physical security of certain critical facilities.

The ERO or the entities to which NERC has delegated enforcement authority through an agreement approved by FERC ("Regional Entities"), such as the Western Electricity Coordinating Council, may enforce the Reliability Standards, subject to FERC oversight, or FERC may independently enforce them. Potential monetary sanctions include fines of up to \$1 million per violation per day. FERC Order 693 further provided the ERO and Regional Entities with the discretion necessary to assess penalties for such violations, while also having discretion to calculate a penalty without collecting the penalty if circumstances warrant.

Federal Regulation of Transmission Access

EPAct 2005 authorizes FERC to compel "open access" to the transmission systems of certain utilities that are not generally regulated by FERC, including municipal utilities if the utility sells more than four million MWhs of electricity per year. Under open access, a transmission provider must allow all customers to use the system under standardized rates, terms and conditions of service.

FERC Order No. 888 requires the provision of open access transmission services on a nondiscriminatory basis by all "jurisdictional utilities" (which, by definition, does not include municipal entities like the City) by requiring all such utilities to file Open Access Transmission Tariffs ("OATTs"). Order No. 888 also requires "non-jurisdictional utilities" (which, by definition, does include the City) that purchase transmission services from a jurisdictional utility under an open access tariff and that owns or controls transmission facilities to provide open access service to the jurisdictional utility under terms that are comparable to the service that the non-jurisdictional utility provides itself. Section 211A of the EPAct 2005 authorizes, but does not require, FERC to order unregulated transmission utilities to provide transmission services. Specifically, FERC may require an unregulated transmitting utility to provide access to their transmission facilities (1) at rates that are comparable to those that the unregulated transmitting utility charges to itself; and (2) on terms and conditions (not relating to rates) that are comparable to those under which the unregulated transmitting utility provides transmission services to itself that are not unduly discriminatory or preferential.

On February 16, 2007, FERC issued Order 890, which concluded that reform of its pro forma OATT was necessary to reduce the potential for undue discrimination and provide clarity in the obligations of transmission providers and customers. Significantly, in Order 890 FERC stated that it will implement its authority under Section 211A with respect to unregulated transmitting utilities on a case-by-case basis and retain the current reciprocity provisions.

On July 21, 2011, FERC issued Order 1000, which among other things requires public utility (jurisdictional) transmission providers to participate in a regional transmission planning process that produces a regional transmission plan and that incorporates a regional and inter-regional cost allocation methodology. Further, FERC states that it has the authority to allocate costs to beneficiaries of transmission services, even in the absence of a contractual relationship between the owner of the transmission facilities and the beneficiary. Under EPCA 2005, FERC may not require municipal utilities to join regional transmission organizations, in which participating utilities allow an independent entity to oversee operation of the utilities' transmission facilities. FERC has stated, however, that FERC expects such utilities to participate in the regional processes for transmission planning and that FERC will pursue associated complaints against such utilities on a case-by-case basis.

At its April 2022 meeting, FERC issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (the "Notice") that would, if adopted, result in reforms to the planning of the nation's transmission system as well as the allocation of costs for new transmission projects. The Notice follows input FERC sought from interested parties on a variety of reforms aimed at expanding the nation's transmission grid to accommodate the surge of renewable generation expected in the next two decades to achieve aggressive decarbonization goals of the Biden administration and many states. The Notice addresses reforms to transmission planning and cost allocation.

Other Federal Legislation

Congress has considered and continues to consider in each legislative session numerous bills addressing domestic energy policies and various environmental matters, including bills relating to energy supplies and development (such as a federal energy efficiency standard and expedited permitting for natural gas drilling projects), global warming and water quality. Many of these bills, if enacted into law, could have a material impact on the Electric System and the electric utility industry generally. In light of the variety of issues affecting the utility sector, federal energy legislation in other areas such as reliability, transmission planning and cost allocation, operation of markets and environmental requirements is also possible. However, the City is unable to predict the outcome or potential impacts of any possible legislation on the Electric System at this time. (Similarly, the City faces unpredictability with impacts from future state legislation.)

Environmental Issues

General. Electric utilities are subject to continuing environmental regulation. Federal, State and local standards and procedures which regulate the environmental impact of electric utilities are subject to change. These changes may arise from continuing legislative, regulatory and judicial action regarding such standards and procedures. Consequently, there is no assurance that any City facility or project will remain subject to the laws and regulations currently in effect, will always be in compliance with future laws and regulations or will always be able to obtain all required operating permits. An inability to comply with environmental standards could result in additional capital expenditures, reduced operating levels or the shutdown of individual units not in compliance. In addition, increased environmental laws and regulations may create certain barriers to new facility development, may require modification of existing facilities and may result in additional costs for affected resources.

Greenhouse Gas Regulations Under the Clean Air Act. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") regulates GHG emissions under existing law by imposing monitoring and reporting requirements, and through its permitting programs. Like other air pollutants, GHGs are regulated under the Clean Air Act through the Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") Permit Program and the Title V Permit Program. A PSD permit is required before commencement of construction of a new major stationary source or major modification of an existing major stationary source, and the permit requires best available control technologies at the time of issuance to control emissions from the new or modified stationary source. Title V permits are operating permits for major sources that consolidate all Clean Air Act requirements (arising, for example, under the Acid Rain, New Source Performance Standards, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, and/or PSD programs) into a single document and are reviewed by the EPA, state agencies and the

public through an established public review process. GHGs from major natural gas-fired facilities are regulated under both permitting programs through performance standards, including for efficiency and emissions.

On October 23, 2015, the EPA, under the Obama administration, published the Clean Power Plan and final regulations for (1) carbon pollution standards for new, modified, and reconstructed power plants, and (2) carbon pollution emission guidelines for existing electricity utility generating units. The total national emissions reduction goal under the Clean Power Plan targeted an average of a 32 percent reduction from 2005 levels by 2030, with incremental interim goals for the years 2022 through 2029. The Clean Power Plan would have allowed states multiple options for measuring reductions and different established reduction goals depending upon the regulatory program set forth in the state plan. On July 8, 2019, the EPA issued final new regulations entitled the “Affordable Clean Energy Rule” to replace the Clean Power Plan. On January 19, 2021, upon a challenge by a number of environmental advocates, state and municipal attorneys, and a coalition, the D.C. Circuit vacated the Affordable Clean Energy Rule. Moreover, on October 29, 2021, the U.S. Supreme Court granted certiorari to hear challenges to EPA’s authority to regulate GHGs emissions from power plants. On June 30, 2022, the U.S. Supreme Court denied the EPA the authority to create emissions caps, stating that Congress must provide specific direction to the EPA, instead of a broad scope of power, for the agency to regulate GHGs emissions. On May 11, 2023, the EPA announced proposed new carbon pollution standards for coal and gas-fired power plants. The proposals would set limits for new gas-fired combustion turbines, existing coal, oil and gas-fired steam generating units, and certain existing gas-fired combustion turbines. The EPA simultaneously propose to repeal the Affordable Clean Energy Rule. On April 25, 2024, the EPA addressed both proposals: they issued final carbon pollution standards regulating new, modified, and reconstructed fossil fuel-fired power plants, as well as emission guidelines for existing plants, under section 111 of the Clean Air Act; and they formally repealed the Affordable Clean Energy Rule effective July 8, 2024. On June 11, 2025, the EPA proposed a rule to repeal the 2024 carbon pollution standards, arguing that GHG emissions from fossil fuel-fired plants to not “significantly contribute” to endangerment. This proposed rule is currently open for public comment. At this time, PWP is unable to predict what the final rules will contain or the impact they may have on the operations and finances of the Electric System.

Greenhouse Gas Regulations in California. In the meantime, the State of California has moved forward with its plans to reduce statewide GHG emissions. In 2016, the Legislature passed SB 32, which codified a GHG emissions reduction target of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030. Alongside SB 32, the Legislature passed companion legislation AB 197, which provided additional direction the California Air Resources Board (CARB) in developing the Scoping Plan that would lay out the path to achieve SB 32. California achieved SB 32 ahead of schedule and the Legislature, in response, passed AB 1279 in 2022 which set an updated GHG emissions reduction target of 85 percent below 1990 levels by 2045. CARB has since released a 2022 Scoping Plan that lays out a sector-by-sector roadmap, that includes electric utilities, for the state to achieve AB 1279. See “DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS – State Legislation – Greenhouse Gas Emissions – Global Warming Solutions Act.”

Air Quality – National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The Clean Air Act requires that the EPA establish National Ambient Air Quality Standards (“NAAQS”) for certain air pollutants. When a NAAQS has been established, each state must identify areas in its state that do not meet the EPA standard (known as “non-attainment areas”) and develop regulatory measures in its state implementation plan to reduce or control the emissions of that air pollutant in those non-attainment areas in order to meet the applicable standard and become an “attainment area.” The EPA periodically reviews the NAAQS for various air pollutants and has in recent years increased, or proposed to increase, the stringency of the NAAQS for certain air pollutants.

The EPA revised the NAAQS for particulate matter on December 14, 2012, the NAAQS for sulfur dioxide on June 22, 2010, and the NAAQS for nitrogen dioxide on February 9, 2010, and in each case made them more stringent. In 2014, the U.S. Supreme Court found in its review of *EPA v. EME Homer City Generation, LP* that the EPA has the authority to impose a Cross-State Air Pollution Rule to curb air pollution emitted in upwind states so as to facilitate downwind attainment of NAAQS. On December 18, 2014, the EPA issued a final rule making

initial area designations for the 2012 NAAQS for fine particulate matter (“PM2.5”), designating 14 areas in six states as non-attainment areas, which included the Los Angeles South Coast Air Basin, CA effective April 15, 2015. On October 1, 2015, the EPA lowered the ozone standard to 70 ppb effective December 28, 2015. Following the adoption of this new standard, the EPA published a final rule on June 4, 2018, designating areas under the 2015 ozone standard. This included the voluntary reclassification of five nonattainment areas in California, one of which was the Los Angeles South Coast Air Basin, to a higher classification category. These reclassifications delayed the attainment deadline for those zones to align with dates tied to the prior 2008 ozone NAAQS. California declined reclassification for the Sacramento Metro in order to further extend the area’s attainment deadline. Together, nonattainment and higher-classified ozone areas are subject to more stringent permitting requirements for new or modified emission sources along with enhanced State Implementation Plan control obligations. Although the EPA initiated reconsideration of the 70 ppb ozone standard in August 2023, no new ozone standard has been proposed or adopted yet as of today.

Between 2020 and 2023, the EPA made a string of announcements and proposed decisions that indicated reconsideration of the particulate matter NAAQS because available scientific evidence and technical information evidenced that the standards in place at the time may not be adequate to protect public health and welfare as required by the Clean Air Act. While some particulate matter is emitted constantly and directly from sources such as construction sites, unpaved roads, fields, smokestacks, or fires, most particles form in the atmosphere as a result of complex chemical reactions between pollutants such as sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides which are commonly emitted from power plants.

On February 7, 2024, the EPA strengthened the NAAQS for particulate matter to protect Americans from harmful and costly health impacts, such as heart attacks and premature death. The EPA set the level of the primary (health-based) annual PM2.5 standard at 9.0 micrograms per cubic meter to provide increased public health protection, consistent with the available health science. The EPA also revised the Air Quality Index to improve public communications about the risks from PM2.5 exposures and made changes to the monitoring network to enhance protection of air quality in communities overburdened by air pollution. This most recently revised PM2.5 standard was upheld by the D.C. Circuit in *Kentucky v. EPA* on June 26, 2026.

Today, the Los Angeles South Coast Air Basin in which PWP is located remains under nonattainment. The South Coast Air Quality Management District is responsible for regulating stationary sources of air pollution, including power plants, in the Basin.

Mercury and Air Toxics Standards. On December 16, 2011, the EPA signed a rule establishing new standards to reduce air pollution from coal- and oil-fired power plants under sections 111 (new source performance standards and 112 (toxics program) of the Clean Air Act. The final rule was published in the Federal Register on February 16, 2012. The EPA updated the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (“MATS”) emission limits on November 30, 2012 and again on March 28, 2013. Under section 111 of the Clean Air Act, MATS revised the standards that new and modified facilities, including coal- and oil-fired power plants, must meet for particulate matter, sulfur dioxide, and nitrogen oxide. Under section 112, MATS sets toxics standards limiting emissions of heavy metals, including mercury, arsenic, chromium, and nickel; and acid gases, including hydrochloric acid and hydrofluoric acid, from existing and new power plants larger than 25 MW that burn coal or oil. Power plants have up to four years to meet these standards. While many power plants already met some or all of these new standards, some plants would be required to install new equipment to meet the standards. On November 25, 2014, the U.S. Supreme Court agreed to review the MATS rule following the filing of petitions for writ of certiorari from 23 states and industry groups. On June 29, 2015, the U.S. Supreme Court issued its decision in the case, finding that the EPA interpreted the Clean Air Act improperly because it did not consider the costs of emissions reductions prior to crafting the MATS rules, and remanded the case back to the District of Columbia Circuit Court. On December 15, 2015, the District of Columbia Circuit Court determined to leave the MATS rule in place while it was being revised on remand as ordered by the U.S. Supreme Court. The EPA issued a final finding on April 14, 2016. On March 3, 2016, the U.S. Supreme Court denied an application filed by several states to stay the rule during the litigation. On December 27, 2018, the EPA proposed to revise the supplemental cost finding for the MATS rule, as well as the Clean Air Act’s required “risk and technology review.” After evaluating projected costs

to coal- and oil-fired power plants for complying with the MATS rule, and the benefits attributable to regulating hazardous air pollutant (“HAP”) emissions from such power plants (as directed by the Supreme Court, *Michigan v. EPA*), EPA proposed that it is not “appropriate and necessary” to regulate HAP emissions from power plants under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act. Under the EPA proposal, the emission standards and other requirements of the MATS rule (from 2012) would remain in place since the EPA did not propose to remove coal- and oil-fired power plants from the list of sources that are regulated under Section 112 of the Act. The City currently purchases power from coal-fired power stations that may be affected by the regulations, and in the event the MATS standards are ultimately upheld, the City may be exposed to increased costs. However, locally adopted policies and updates to PWP’s Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) since 2018 have planned for the removal of all coal sources from PWP’s power portfolio from 2028 onwards.

In April 2023, the EPA published its proposed rule entitled “National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Coal- and Oil-Fired Electric Utility Steam Generating Units Review of the Residual Risk and Technology Review.” The proposed rule established a lower mercury emissions standard for lignite coal, which does not apply to PWP’s only current coal source, the Intermountain Power Project, (IPP). The rule also proposes to reduce the emissions standards for filterable particulate matter (“fPM”) from 0.03 lb/MMBtu to 0.01 lb/MMBtu. In addition, it required the owners and operators of existing coal-fired plants to only use a continuous emissions monitoring system to demonstrate compliance with the new fPM standards. However, the EPA announced on February 20, 2026, that it had finalized the repeal of these 2024 amendments to MATS for coal- and oil-fired power plants, reverting the standard back to 0.030 lb/MMBtu. The IPP tests below the 0.030 lb/MMBtu MATS particulate matter limit.

Regulation of Coal Combustion Residuals. On June 21, 2010, the EPA proposed to regulate coal combustion residuals (“CCR”) such as ash. The EPA proposed to list these residuals as a special waste and regulate them as a hazardous waste. This would have required a federal or state permitting program to cover the storage, treatment, transport, disposal, and other activities related to residuals. The EPA also proposed an alternative regulation that would classify residuals as nonhazardous solid waste. Under the alternative regulation, plants could dispose of residuals in surface impoundments or landfills if they comply with national minimum standards. The disposal standards would address location, liner requirements, groundwater monitoring and other issues, but permits would not be required under the alternative regulation. The EPA solicited additional public comments on its proposed coal combustion residual regulation on October 12, 2011 and again on August 2, 2013. The EPA released its final CCR rule on December 19, 2014, adopting the industry-preferred alternative regulation classifying CCRs as nonhazardous solid waste. These requirements have been finalized under the solid waste provisions, subtitle D, of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and became effective in October 2015.

The CCR rule required existing impoundments for managing CCR to either cease accepting CCR materials as of the rule’s effective date, otherwise implement a variety of measures to ensure that such facilities would not result in release to the environment. One such requirement was that all such facilities be retrofitted with liners intended to prevent migration to groundwater of contaminants found in CCR. In addition, the rule required monitoring of groundwater to determine whether releases have occurred and to contain or clean up any such releases that are discovered.

The IPP utilizes impoundments (ponds and landfills) for the management of CCR that are subject to the CCR rule. The IPP has met all interim compliance requirements for the new CCR rule including setting up a public website and posting CCR operating records, developing new groundwater monitoring wells and sampling plans, sampling groundwater wells quarterly, and implementing a fugitive dust monitoring plan.

PWP believes that the IPP’s CCR management facilities may not meet the design criteria required for surface impoundments and that releases of certain contaminants have occurred from current unlined impoundments. PWP understands that the owner of the IPP, Intermountain Power Agency (IPA) has made notification that the IPP will cease operations of the coal-fired boilers and switch to another fuel source for generation by 2028.

PWP has estimated that the IPP's total cost of compliance with the final CCR rule will fall within the range of \$55 million to \$70 million over a time period commencing in 2019 and ending between approximately 2025 and 2028 (except for long-term monitoring and maintenance, which would last approximately 30 years after closure). Of this total cost, the Electric System would be responsible for a percentage equal to its total use of energy produced by the IPP.

In November 2019, the EPA proposed revisions (Part A) to the CCR rule. The proposed revisions focused on closure requirements for impoundments and landfills. IPA has stated that it is opting to comply with the alternative closure requirements as described in this CCR rule. The proposed revisions include additional requirements to get approval for the EPA or the state to close impoundments in accordance with alternate closure procedures. There was also a new requirement to prepare a plan to mitigate potential risks to human health and the environment from CCR surface impoundments. The Part A revisions were finalized and published in the Federal Register in August 2020. The IPP has submitted a request to the EPA demonstrating that they meet the alternate closure procedures as described in the regulations. IPP is awaiting EPA review and approval which was initially expected to be received by April 2021; however, as of April 2024, the EPA has not yet made a determination in IPP's demonstration submission.

In February 2020, the EPA proposed a federal CCR permit program. Up to this point, the CCR rule was self-implementing and enforced primarily through citizen suits which were decided in federal district courts. This permit program would not change the provisions of the regulations, but would authorize EPA to review, approve, issue and enforce the CCR regulations.

In March 2020, the EPA proposed more revisions (Part B) to the CCR rule including provisions to demonstrate equivalent alternate liners, using CCR for closing impoundments, and completion of closure by removal during post closure care period. The proposed revisions did not impact IPA's plan to follow alternate closure requirements.

Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards. On June 7, 2013, the EPA proposed to set technology-based effluent limitations guidelines and standards for metals and other pollutants in wastewater discharged from steam electric power plants. The proposal covered wastewater associated with several types of equipment and processes, including flue gas desulfurization, fly ash, bottom ash, flue gas mercury control and gasification of fuels. The EPA also considered best management practices for surface impoundments containing CCRs. The EPA proposed four preferred alternatives for regulating wastewater discharges. The stringency of controls, types of waste streams covered, and the costs vary between the four alternatives. On September 30, 2015, the EPA announced its final Steam Electric Effluent Limitation Guidelines to update the federal limits on toxic metals in discharge wastewater (the "Guidelines"). On October 13, 2020, the EPA revised the Guidelines for two waste streams: flue gas desulfurization ("FGD") wastewater and bottom ash transport water; revised the voluntary incentives program for FGD wastewater; added subcategories; and established new compliance dates.

The City currently purchases power from coal-fired power stations that may be affected by the regulations described above; compliance with such rules could therefore result in an increase in the cost of power that the City purchases from such units. However, as stated earlier, under the City's 2018 Power IRP, the City determined to remove coal from its power portfolio by June 2027, potentially as early as 2025.

Global Health Emergencies; COVID-19 Pandemic

A pandemic, epidemic or outbreak of an infectious disease can have significant adverse health and financial impacts on global and local economies. For example, beginning in 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic negatively affected economic activity throughout the world, including the United States and the State of California. The initial impacts of stay-at home orders globally was unprecedented with commerce, travel, asset values and financial markets experiencing disruptions worldwide. The COVID-19 pandemic impacted PWP in certain respects, however there was not a material adverse impact to PWP's operations or its ability to meet its financial obligations as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Certain employees of the PWP systems are

considered essential workers and were exempt from the “stay at home” and “safer at home” orders issued by the State, the County and the City, and therefore, PWP continued to fully provide services to its customers through the pandemic. The declarations of the COVID-19 pandemic as a public health emergency have been lifted. However future pandemics and other widespread public health emergencies can and do arise from time to time. No assurance can be given that the operations or finances of the PWP will not be negatively affected in the event that the pandemic and its consequences again become more severe or another national or localized outbreak of highly contagious or epidemic disease occurs in the future.

Other Factors

The electric utility industry in general has been, or in the future may be, affected by a number of other factors which could impact the financial condition and competitiveness of many electric utilities and the level of utilization of generating and transmission facilities. In addition to the factors discussed above, such factors include, among others, (a) effects of compliance with rapidly changing environmental, safety, licensing, regulatory and legislative requirements other than those described above (including those affecting nuclear power plants or potential new energy storage requirements), (b) changes resulting from conservation and demand-side management programs on the timing and use of electric energy, (c) effects on the integration and reliability of the power supply from the increased usage of renewables, (d) changes resulting from a national energy policy, (e) effects of competition from other electric utilities (including increased competition resulting from a movement to allow direct access or from mergers, acquisitions, and “strategic alliances” of competing electric and natural gas utilities and from competitors transmitting less expensive electricity from much greater distances over an interconnected system) and new methods of, and new facilities for, producing low-cost electricity, (f) the repeal of certain federal statutes that would have the effect of increasing the competitiveness of many IOUs, (g) increased competition from independent power producers and marketers, brokers and federal power marketing agencies, (h) “self-generation” or “distributed generation” (such as microturbines and fuel cells) by industrial and commercial customers and others, (i) issues relating to the ability to issue tax-exempt obligations, including severe restrictions on the ability to sell to nongovernmental entities electricity from generation projects and transmission service from transmission line projects financed with outstanding tax-exempt obligations, (j) effects of inflation on the operating and maintenance costs of an electric utility and its facilities, (k) changes from projected future load requirements, (l) increases in costs and uncertain availability of capital, (m) shifts in the availability and relative costs of different fuels (including the cost of natural gas and nuclear fuel), (n) financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, of fuel suppliers and/or renewable energy suppliers, (o) sudden and dramatic increases in the price of energy purchased on the open market that may occur in times of high peak demand in an area of the country experiencing such high peak demand, such as has occurred in California, (p) inadequate risk management procedures and practices with respect to, among other things, the purchase and sale of energy and transmission capacity, (q) other legislative changes, voter initiatives, referenda and statewide propositions, (r) effects of the changes in the economy, population and demand of customers in the PWP’s service area, (s) effects of possible manipulation of the electric markets, (t) natural disasters or other physical calamities, including, but not limited to, earthquakes and floods, (u) terrorist attacks and (v) changes to the climate, (w) outbreak of another infectious disease such as the COVID-19 pandemic impacting the global, national, or local economy or a utility’s service area, (x) natural disasters or other physical calamities, including but not limited to, earthquakes, floods, and wildfires, and potential liabilities of electric utilities in connection therewith, (y) adverse impacts to the market of insurance relating to wildfires and other calamities leading to higher cost or prohibitive or expensive coverage, or limited or unavailability of coverage for certain types of risk, and (z) legislation of court actions allowing City residents and/or businesses to purchase power from sources outside the PWP. Any of these factors (as well as other factors) could have an adverse effect on the financial condition of any given electric utility and likely will affect individual utilities in different ways.

The City is unable to predict what impact such factors will have on the business operations and financial condition of the Electric System, but the impact could be significant. This Official Statement includes a brief discussion of certain of these factors. This discussion does not purport to be comprehensive or definitive, and these matters are subject to change subsequent to the date hereof. Extensive information on the electric utility

industry is available from the legislative and regulatory bodies and other sources in the public domain, and potential purchasers of the 2026A Bonds should obtain and review such information.

Recent Eaton Fire. The Eaton Fire, which began on January 7, 2025, and spread rapidly through wind-driven conditions, burned more than 14,000 acres, destroyed approximately 9,400 structures, damaged over 1,000 structures, claimed 17 lives, and affected thousands of residents throughout the region, primarily in the unincorporated area of Altadena, located north of the City. The Eaton Fire has not had a material adverse impact on the operations or finances of the Electric System.

Within the boundaries of the City, the Eaton Fire destroyed 147 structures generally in the Upper Hastings Ranch, Victory-Rose, Dundee Heights and NATHA neighborhoods. The City had 117 single family homes and 12 multifamily units red-tagged/destroyed in the Eaton Fire.

City-owned infrastructure and other property damage caused by the Eaton Fire is estimated to be about \$52.5 million. To date, Eaton Fire-related City expenditures total \$4 million of general government support, power and water of \$2.7 million, and \$9.59 million in response-related contracts, including sand and infill replacement in park playgrounds, costs related to the windstorm green waste removal, and support services related to the debris removal on private property. As the first period of response by the City to the declared disaster was covered 100% by Federal Emergency Management Agency (“FEMA”), the City expects that many of the added expenditures will be fully reimbursed. However, reimbursement might occur in future fiscal years. The City has begun the FEMA reimbursement process along with submitting eligible costs under the City’s applicable insurance policies.

On March 5, 2025, the City filed a lawsuit against Southern California Edison (“SCE”) seeking compensation for extensive damages sustained to municipal property and infrastructure, including the City Police Department’s Advanced Officer Training Facility, the Pasadena Municipal Assistance, Solutions, and Hiring building and fleet, and critical water management infrastructure including storage tanks.

CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS IN CALIFORNIA AFFECTING FEES AND CHARGES IMPOSED BY THE CITY

The following is a discussion of certain limitations under provisions of the California Constitution that may affect the rates, fees and charges imposed by the City for the electric services it provides.

Proposition 218 and Proposition 26

Proposition 218, a State ballot initiative known as the “Right to Vote on Taxes Act,” was approved by the voters of the State of California on November 5, 1996. Proposition 218 added Articles XIIC and XIID to the State Constitution. Article XIIC imposes a majority voter approval requirement on local governments (including the City) with respect to taxes for general purposes, and a two-thirds voter approval requirement with respect to taxes for special purposes. Article XIID creates additional requirements for the imposition by most local governments of general taxes, special taxes, assessments and “property-related” fees and charges. Article XIID explicitly exempts fees for the provision of electric service from the provisions of such article.

Article XIIC expressly extends the people’s initiative power to the reduction or repeal of local taxes, assessments, and fees and charges imposed prior to its effective date (November 1996). The California Supreme Court held in *Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency v. Verjil*, 39 Cal.4th 205 (2006) that, under Article XIIC, local voters by initiative may reduce a public agency’s water rates and delivery charges, as those are property-related fees or charges within the meaning of Article XIID, and noted that the initiative power described in Article XIIC may extend to a broader category of fees and charges than the property-related fees and charges governed by Article XIID. Moreover, in the case of *Bock v. City Council of Lompoc*, 109 Cal.App.3d 52 (1980), the Court of Appeal determined that an electric rate ordinance was not subject to the same constitutional restrictions that are applied to the use of the initiative process for tax measures so as to render it an improper subject of the initiative

process. Thus, electric service charges (which are expressly exempted from the provisions of Article XIID) may be subject to the initiative provisions of Article XIIC, thereby subjecting such fees and charges to reduction by the electorate. The Authority believes that even if the electric rates of the City are subject to the initiative power, under Article XIIC or otherwise, the electorate of the City would be precluded from reducing its electric rates and charges in a manner materially and adversely affecting the payment of the 2026A Bonds by virtue of the “impairment of contracts clause” of the United States Constitution.

The California electorate approved Proposition 26 at the November 2, 2010 election, amending Article XIIC of the California Constitution. Proposition 26 was designed to supplement tax limitations California voters adopted when they approved Proposition 13 in 1978, and Proposition 218 in 1996. Proposition 26 applies by its terms to any levy, charge or exaction imposed, increased or extended by a local government on or after November 3, 2010. Proposition 26 deems any such levy, charge or fee to be a “tax”, requiring voter approval under Article XIIC unless it comes within one of the listed exceptions. Proposition 26 expressly excludes from its definition of a “tax,” among other things, a “charge imposed for a specific government service or product provided directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of providing the service or product.” Proposition 26 is applicable to the electric rates of governmental entities such as the City; therefore, newly adopted rates must conform to its requirements.

Proposition 26 is subject to interpretation by California courts, including the extent to which it is applicable to pre-existing electric rates and general fund transfers. A number of lawsuits have been filed against public agencies in California relating to electric utility fund transfers. In *Citizens for Fair REU Rates v. City of Redding* (filed on January 20, 2015 and modified on February 19, 2015), for example, the California Court of Appeal considered a ratepayer challenge to a “payment in lieu of taxes” (or “PILOT”) required by the City of Redding to be made by its electric utility as an annual budgetary transfer amount without voter approval. The city’s PILOT was designed to compensate the general fund for the costs of services that other city departments provide to the electric utility. The amount of the PILOT was equivalent to the ad valorem taxes the electric utility would have had to pay if the electric utility were privately owned. The suits alleged that the PILOT was passed through to the city’s electric utility customers as part of the rates and charges for electric service in excess of the reasonable costs to the city of providing electric service. The Court of Appeal determined that Proposition 26 has no retroactive effect as to local taxes that existed prior to November 3, 2010, but found that since the PILOT was subject to the City Council’s recurring discretion, the PILOT did not escape the purview of Proposition 26. The Court of Appeal concluded that the PILOT constituted a “tax” under Proposition 26 for which the city must secure voter approval unless the city proved that the amount collected was necessary to cover the reasonable costs to the city of providing electric service. On April 29, 2015, the California Supreme Court granted review of the decision of the Court of Appeal. The California Supreme Court rendered its decision on August 27, 2018, reversing the judgment of the Court of Appeal. The California Supreme Court determined that the budgetary transfer from the Redding electric utility to the city’s general fund, calculated by using the PILOT, itself is not the type of exaction that is subject to Article XIIC of the California Constitution. The court reasoned that it is only the Redding electric utility rate, not the PILOT, that is imposed on customers for electric service. The California Supreme Court concluded that because the total rate revenue of the electric utility was insufficient to cover the electric utility’s uncontested operating expenses (other than the PILOT) in the years at issue, the challenged rate did not exceed the reasonable costs of providing electric service, and therefore did not constitute a tax.

The City is unable to predict at this time how Propositions 218 and 26 will ultimately be interpreted by the courts in the context of the Electric System rates or what the ultimate impact of Propositions 218 or 26 will be.

Future Initiatives

Articles XIIC and XIID and the amendments effected thereto by Proposition 26 were adopted as measures that qualified for the ballot pursuant to California’s initiative process. From time to time, including presently, other initiatives have been, and could be, proposed, and if qualified for the ballot, could be adopted

affecting the City and/or the City's revenues or operations. Neither the nature and impact of these measures nor the likelihood of qualification for ballot or passage can be predicted by the City.

RATINGS

Fitch Ratings, Inc. and S&P Global Ratings have assigned their municipal bond ratings of “___” and “___,” respectively, to the 2026A Bonds. Such ratings reflect only the views of such organizations and any desired explanation of the significance of such ratings may be obtained from the rating agency furnishing the same, at the following addresses: Fitch Ratings, Inc., One State Street Plaza, New York, New York 10004; and S&P Global Ratings, 55 Water Street, New York, New York 10041. Generally, a rating agency bases its rating on the information and materials furnished to it and on investigations, studies and assumptions of its own. There is no assurance that any of such ratings will continue for any given period of time or that such ratings will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely by the applicable rating agency, if in the judgment of such rating agency circumstances so warrant. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of such ratings may have an adverse effect on the 2026A Bonds.

TAX MATTERS

Federal Income Taxes

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, based upon an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings and court decisions, and assuming, among other matters, compliance with certain covenants, interest on the 2026A Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code (the “Code”) and is exempt from State of California personal income taxes. Bond Counsel is of the further opinion that interest on the 2026A Bonds is not a specific preference item for purposes of federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations, however, interest is taken into account in determining the annual adjusted financial statement income of certain corporations for the purpose of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations.

The Code imposes various restrictions, conditions and requirements relating to the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of interest on obligations such as the 2026A Bonds. The City has covenanted to comply with certain restrictions designed to ensure that interest on the 2026A Bonds will not be included in federal gross income. Failure to comply with these covenants may result in interest on the 2026A Bonds being included in federal gross income, possibly from the date of original issuance of the 2026A Bonds. The opinion of Bond Counsel assumes compliance with these covenants. Bond Counsel has not undertaken to determine (or to inform any person) whether any actions taken (or not taken) or events occurring (or not occurring) after the date of issuance of the 2026A Bonds may adversely affect the value of, or the tax status of interest on, the 2026A Bonds.

Current and future legislative proposals, if enacted into law, clarification of the Code or court decisions may cause interest on the 2026A Bonds to be subject, directly or indirectly, to federal income taxation or to be subject to or exempted from state income taxation, or otherwise prevent Owners from realizing the full current benefit of the tax status of such interest. For example, legislative proposals are announced from time to time which generally would limit the exclusion from gross income of interest on obligations like the 2026A Bonds to some extent for taxpayers who are individuals and whose income is subject to higher marginal income tax rates. Other proposals have been made that could significantly reduce the benefit of, or otherwise affect, the exclusion from gross income of interest on obligations like the 2026A Bonds. The introduction or enactment of any such legislative proposals, clarification of the Code or court decisions may also affect, perhaps significantly, the market price for, or marketability of, the 2026A Bonds. Prospective purchasers of the 2026A Bonds should consult their own tax advisors regarding any pending or proposed federal or state tax legislation, regulations or litigation, and regarding the impact of future legislation, regulations or litigation, as to which Bond Counsel expresses no opinion.

Certain requirements and procedures contained or referred to in the Fiscal Agent Agreement, the tax certificate, and other relevant documents may be changed and certain actions (including, without limitation, defeasance of the 2026A Bonds) may be taken or omitted under the circumstances and subject to the terms and conditions set forth in such documents. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion as to the exclusion from gross income of interest on any 2026A Bond if any such change occurs or action is taken or omitted upon the advice or approval of counsel other than Best Best & Krieger LLP.

The Internal Revenue Services (“IRS”) has initiated an expanded program for the auditing of tax-exempt bond issues, including both random and targeted audits. It is possible that the 2026A Bonds will be selected for audit by the IRS. It is also possible that the market value of the 2026A Bonds might be affected as a result of such an audit of the 2026A Bonds (or by an audit of other similar bonds).

Although Bond Counsel is of the opinion that interest on the 2026A Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is exempt from State of California personal income taxes, the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the 2026A Bonds may otherwise affect an Owner’s federal or state tax liability. The nature and extent of these other tax consequences will depend upon the particular tax status of the Bond Owner or the Owner’s other items of income or deduction, and Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any such other tax consequences.

A copy of the proposed form of opinion of Bond Counsel is attached hereto as Appendix F.

LITIGATION

There is no litigation or action of any nature now pending against the City or, to the knowledge of its respective officers, threatened, seeking to restrain or enjoin the issuance, sale, execution or delivery of the 2026A Bonds or in any way contesting or affecting the validity of the 2026A Bonds or any proceedings of the City taken with respect to the issuance or sale thereof, or the pledge or application of any moneys or security provided for the payment of the 2026A Bonds or the use of proceeds thereof. There is no litigation pending, or to the knowledge of the City, threatened, questioning the existence of the City or the title of the officers of the City to their respective offices. There is no litigation pending, or to the knowledge of the City, threatened, which materially questions or affects the financial condition of the Electric System.

AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The audited financial statements of the City’s Water and Power Enterprise Funds, as of June 30, 2025 and for the year then ended, are included in Appendix B to this Official Statement. A complete copy of the City’s Annual Comprehensive Financial Report may be obtained from the City. There has been no material adverse change in the finances of the Electric System since June 30, 2025. The 2026A Bonds are revenue obligations of the City payable only from the Net Income of the Electric System in the Light and Power Fund and certain other funds as provided in the Fiscal Agent Agreement. The financial statements of the City’s Water and Power Enterprise Funds for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2025 have been audited by Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP, independent accountants (the “Auditor”) as stated in their report appearing in Appendix B. The Auditor has not updated its report or taken any action intended or likely to elicit information concerning the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the statements made in this Official Statement, and no opinion is expressed by the Auditor with respect to any event or transaction subsequent to their report dated December 23, 2025. The City has not requested, nor has the Auditor given, the Auditor’s consent to the inclusion in Appendix B of its report on such financial statements.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The statements contained in this Official Statement and in the Appendices hereto, and in any other information provided by PWP or the City, that are not purely historical, are forward-looking statements, including statements regarding PWP or the City’s expectations, hopes, intentions or strategies regarding the future.

Prospective investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this Official Statement are based on information available to PWP and the City on the date hereof, and PWP and the City assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. It is important to note that PWP's actual results could differ materially from those in such forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements herein are necessarily based on various assumptions and estimates and are inherently subject to various risks and uncertainties, including risks and uncertainties relating to the possible invalidity of the underlying assumptions and estimates and possible changes or developments in social, economic, business, industry, market, legal and regulatory circumstances and conditions and actions taken or omitted to be taken by third parties, including customers, suppliers and competitors, and legislative, judicial and other governmental authorities and officials. Assumptions related to the foregoing involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond the control of PWP and the City. Any of such assumptions could be inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements included in this Official Statement would prove to be accurate.

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR

The City has retained PFM Financial Advisors LLC, Los Angeles, California, as municipal advisor (the "Municipal Advisor") in connection with the issuance of the 2026A Bonds. The Municipal Advisor has not been engaged, nor has it undertaken, to audit, authenticate or otherwise verify the information set forth in this Official Statement, or any other related information available to the City, with respect to accuracy and completeness of disclosure of such information. The Municipal Advisor has reviewed this Official Statement, but makes no guaranty, warranty or other representation respecting accuracy and completeness of the information contained in this Official Statement. Certain fees of the Municipal Advisor are contingent on the issuance and delivery of the 2026A Bonds.

CERTAIN LEGAL MATTERS

The issuance of the 2026A Bonds is subject to the approving opinion of Best Best & Krieger LLP, Los Angeles, California, Bond Counsel. A complete copy of the proposed form of Bond Counsel opinion is contained in Appendix F. Bond Counsel will receive compensation from the City contingent upon the sale and delivery of the 2026A Bonds. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the City by Michele Beal Bagneris, City Attorney of the City, and by Best Best & Krieger LLP, Los Angeles, California, Disclosure Counsel.

PURCHASE AND REOFFERING

_____ (the "Initial Purchaser") purchased the 2026A Bonds from the City at a competitive sale at an aggregate purchase price of \$ _____ (representing the aggregate principal amount of the 2026A Bonds, plus original issue premium of \$ _____, and less an Initial Purchaser's discount of \$ _____). The public offering prices may be changed from time to time by the Initial Purchaser. The Initial Purchaser may offer and sell 2026A Bonds to certain dealers and others at prices lower than the offering prices shown on the inside cover page hereof.

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to a Continuing Disclosure Agreement to be entered into with Digital Assurance Certification, L.L.C. ("DAC") simultaneously with the issuance of the 2026A Bonds (the "Continuing Disclosure Agreement"), under which the City has designated DAC as Disclosure Dissemination Agent (the "Disclosure Dissemination Agent"), the City has covenanted for the benefit of the holders and beneficial owners of the 2026A Bonds to provide certain financial information and operating data relating to the City and the Electric System by not later than 210 days following the end of the City's Fiscal Year (which Fiscal Year presently ends on June 30) (the "Annual Report"), commencing with the report for Fiscal Year 2025-26, and to provide notices of the occurrence

of certain enumerated events. The Annual Report and the notices of specified events will be filed by the City with the MSRB through the MSRB's EMMA System. The specific nature of the information to be contained in the Annual Report and the notice of specified events is set forth in APPENDIX E – "FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT" herein. These covenants have been made in order to assist the Initial Purchaser in complying with SEC Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) (the "Rule"). In the last five years, the City has not failed in any material respect to comply with any previous undertaking to provide continuing disclosure reports and notices of enumerated events pursuant to the provisions of the Rule.

The City will reserve the right to amend the Continuing Disclosure Agreement, and to obtain the waiver of non-compliance with any provision of the Continuing Disclosure Agreement, if such amendment or waiver is supported by a written opinion of counsel expert in federal securities laws selected by the City to the effect that such amendment or waiver would not materially impair the interest of the holders of the 2026A Bond and would not, in and of itself, cause the Continuing Disclosure Agreement to violate the Rule if such amendment or waiver had been effective at the time of the primary offering of the 2026A Bonds, after taking into account any applicable amendments to or official interpretations of the Rule.

The Disclosure Dissemination Agent has only the duties specified in the Continuing Disclosure Agreement. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent's obligation to deliver the information at the times and with the contents described in the Continuing Disclosure Agreement is limited to the extent the City has provided that information to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent as required by the Continuing Disclosure Agreement. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent has no duty with respect to the content of any disclosures or notice made pursuant to the terms of the Continuing Disclosure Agreement or duty or obligation to review or verify any information in the Annual Report, Audited Financial Statements, notice of Notice Event or Voluntary Report (all as defined in the Continuing Disclosure Agreement), or any other information, disclosure or notices provided to it by the City, and the Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall not be deemed to be acting in any fiduciary capacity for the City, the holders of the 2026A Bonds or any other party. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent has no responsibility for any failure to report to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent a Notice Event or a duty to determine the materiality thereof, as to determine or liability for failing to determine whether the City has complied with the Continuing Disclosure Agreement, and the Disclosure Dissemination Agent may conclusively rely upon certification of the City at all times.

The City has adopted policies and procedures to assist the City in complying with disclosure undertakings. [The City intends to amend its policies and procedures in response to two new event notices that were added effective February 27, 2019 to the list of events for which notice is required by the Rule.]

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank.]

EXECUTION AND DELIVERY

The execution and delivery of this Official Statement have been duly authorized by the City.

CITY OF PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

By: _____
Deputy Director of Finance

APPENDIX A
THE CITY OF PASADENA

APPENDIX B

**AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF PASADENA WATER AND POWER ENTERPRISE
FUNDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025**

APPENDIX C

BOOK ENTRY SYSTEM

The description that follows of the procedures and recordkeeping with respect to beneficial ownership interests in the 2026A Bonds, payment of principal of and interest on the 2026A Bonds to Participants or Beneficial Owners, confirmation and transfer of beneficial ownership interests in the 2026A Bonds, and other 2026A Bonds-related transactions by and between DTC, Participants and Beneficial Owners, is based on information furnished by DTC which the City believes to be reliable, but the City takes no responsibility for the completeness or accuracy thereof.

The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), New York, New York, will act as securities depository for the 2026A Bonds (the “2026A Bonds”). The 2026A Bonds will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC’s partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered security bond will be issued for each maturity of the 2026A Bonds, each in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world’s largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a “banking organization” within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a “clearing corporation” within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a “clearing agency” registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC’s participants (“Direct Participants”) deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants’ accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly (“Indirect Participants”). DTC has a Standard & Poor’s rating of AA+. The DTC Rules applicable to DTC’s Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com. The information on such website is not incorporated herein by reference.

Purchases of the 2026A Bonds under the DTC book-entry system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the 2026A Bonds on DTC’s records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each 2026A Bond (“Beneficial Owner”) is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants’ records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the 2026A Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the 2026A Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the 2026A Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all 2026A Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC’s partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of 2026A Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge

of the actual Beneficial Owners of the 2026A Bonds. DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such 2026A Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Beneficial Owners of the 2026A Bonds may wish to take certain steps to augment the transmission to them of notices of significant events with respect to the 2026A Bonds, such as redemptions, defaults and proposed amendments to the Fiscal Agent Agreement. For example, Beneficial Owners of 2026A Bonds may wish to ascertain that the nominee holding the 2026A Bonds for their benefit has agreed to obtain and transmit notices to Beneficial Owners. In the alternative, Beneficial Owners may wish to provide their names and addresses to the Bond Registrar and request that copies of notices be provided directly to them.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the 2026A Bonds of a maturity are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such maturity to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor such other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to 2026A Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the City as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts 2026A Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal, redemption price and interest payments on the 2026A Bonds will be made to Cede & Co. or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the City or the Fiscal Agent, on each payment date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Direct and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC, the Fiscal Agent or the City, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of principal, redemption price and interest to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the City or the Fiscal Agent, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to Beneficial Owners is the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as security depository with respect to the 2026A Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the City or the Fiscal Agent. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, the 2026A Bonds are required to be printed and delivered.

The City may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, definitive 2026A Bonds will be printed and delivered.

APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE FISCAL AGENT AGREEMENT

APPENDIX E

FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

This Continuing Disclosure Agreement (the “Disclosure Agreement”), dated as of _____ 1, 2026, is executed and delivered by the City of Pasadena (the “Issuer”) and Digital Assurance Certification, L.L.C., as exclusive Disclosure Dissemination Agent (the “Disclosure Dissemination Agent” or “DAC”) for the benefit of the Holders (hereinafter defined) of the Bonds (hereinafter defined) in order to provide certain continuing disclosure with respect to the Bonds in accordance with Rule 15c2-12 of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as the same may be amended from time to time (the “Rule”).

The services provided under this Disclosure Agreement solely relate to the execution of instructions received from the Issuer through use of the DAC system and do not constitute “advice” within the meaning of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Act”). DAC will not provide any advice or recommendation to the Issuer or anyone on the Issuer’s behalf regarding the “issuance of municipal securities” or any “municipal financial product” as defined in the Act and nothing in this Disclosure Agreement shall be interpreted to the contrary.

SECTION 1. Definitions. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Disclosure Agreement shall have the meaning assigned in the Rule or, to the extent not in conflict with the Rule, in the Official Statement (hereinafter defined). The capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

“Annual Filing Date” means the date, set in Sections 2(a) and 2(f), by which the Annual Report is to be filed with the MSRB.

“Annual Financial Information” means annual financial information as such term is used in paragraph (b)(5)(i) of the Rule and specified in Section 3(a) of this Disclosure Agreement.

“Annual Report” means an Annual Report described in and consistent with Section 3 of this Disclosure Agreement.

“Audited Financial Statements” means the financial statements (if any) of the Issuer for the prior fiscal year, certified by an independent auditor as prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or otherwise, as such term is used in paragraph (b)(5)(i) of the Rule and specified in Section 3(a)(i) of this Disclosure Agreement.

“Bonds” means the bonds as listed on the attached Exhibit A, with the 9-digit CUSIP numbers relating thereto.

“Certification” means a written certification of compliance signed by the Disclosure Representative stating that the Annual Report, Audited Financial Statements, Notice Event notice, Failure to File Event notice, Voluntary Event Disclosure or Voluntary Financial Disclosure delivered to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent is the Annual Report, Audited Financial Statements, Notice Event notice, Failure to File Event notice, Voluntary Event Disclosure or Voluntary Financial Disclosure required to be submitted to the MSRB under this Disclosure Agreement. A Certification shall accompany each such document submitted to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent by the Issuer and include the full name of the Bonds and the 9-digit CUSIP numbers for all Bonds to which the document applies.

“Disclosure Dissemination Agent” means Digital Assurance Certification, L.L.C., acting in its capacity as Disclosure Dissemination Agent hereunder, or any successor Disclosure Dissemination Agent designated in writing by the Issuer pursuant to Section 9 hereof.

“Disclosure Representative” means the Director of Finance of the Issuer or his or her designee, or such other person as the Issuer shall designate in writing to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent from time to time as the person responsible for providing Information to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent.

“Failure to File Event” means the Issuer’s failure to file an Annual Report on or before the Annual Filing Date.

“Fiscal Agent” means The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as successor fiscal agent under that Electric Revenue Bond Fiscal Agent Agreement, dated as of August 1, 1998, by and between the Issuer and the Fiscal Agent, as amended and supplemented, including as amended and supplemented by the Twelfth Supplement to Electric Revenue Bond Fiscal Agent Agreement, dated as of ____ 1, 2026, by and between the Issuer and the Fiscal Agent, relating to Bonds.

“Force Majeure Event” means: (i) acts of God, war, or terrorist action; (ii) failure or shut-down of the Electronic Municipal Market Access system maintained by the MSRB; or (iii) to the extent beyond the Disclosure Dissemination Agent’s reasonable control, interruptions in telecommunications or utilities services, failure, malfunction or error of any telecommunications, computer or other electrical, mechanical or technological application, service or system, computer virus, interruptions in Internet service or telephone service (including due to a virus, electrical delivery problem or similar occurrence) that affect Internet users generally, or in the local area in which the Disclosure Dissemination Agent or the MSRB is located, or acts of any government, regulatory or any other competent authority the effect of which is to prohibit the Disclosure Dissemination Agent from performance of its obligations under this Disclosure Agreement.

“Holder” means any person (a) having the power, directly or indirectly, to vote or consent with respect to, or to dispose of ownership of, any Bonds (including persons holding Bonds through nominees, depositories or other intermediaries) or (b) treated as the owner of any Bonds for federal income tax purposes.

“Information” means, collectively, the Annual Reports, the Audited Financial Statements (if any), the Notice Event notices, the Failure to File Event notices, the Voluntary Event Disclosures and the Voluntary Financial Disclosures.

“MSRB” means the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board established pursuant to Section 15B(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or any other entity designated or authorized by the Securities and Exchange Commission to receive reports pursuant to the Rule. Until otherwise designated by the MSRB or the Securities and Exchange Commission, filings with the MSRB are to be made through the Electronic Municipal Marketplace Access (EMMA) website of the MSRB, currently located at <http://emma.msrb.org>.

“Notice Event” means any of the events enumerated in paragraph (b)(5)(i)(C) of the Rule and listed in Section 4(a) of this Disclosure Agreement.

“Obligated Person” means any person, including the Issuer, who is either generally or through an enterprise, fund, or account of such person committed by contract or other arrangement to support payment of all, or part of the obligations on the Bonds (other than providers of municipal bond

insurance, letters of credit, or other liquidity facilities). With respect to the Bonds, only the Issuer constitutes the Obligated Person.

“Official Statement” means that Official Statement dated _____, 2026 prepared by the Issuer in connection with the Bonds, as listed on Exhibit A.

“Voluntary Event Disclosure” means information of the category specified in any of subsections (e)(vi)(1) through (e)(vi)(11) of Section 2 of this Disclosure Agreement that is accompanied by a Certification of the Disclosure Representative containing the information prescribed by Section 7(a) of this Disclosure Agreement.

“Voluntary Financial Disclosure” means information of the category specified in any of subsections (e)(vii)(1) through (e)(vii)(9) of Section 2 of this Disclosure Agreement that is accompanied by a Certification of the Disclosure Representative containing the information prescribed by Section 7(b) of this Disclosure Agreement.

SECTION 2. Provision of Annual Reports and Other Reports.

(a) The Issuer shall provide, annually, an electronic copy of the Annual Report and Certification to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent, together with a copy for the Fiscal Agent, not later than 30 days prior to the Annual Filing Date. Promptly upon receipt of an electronic copy of the Annual Report and the Certification, the Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall provide an Annual Report to the MSRB not later than 210 days after the end of the Issuer’s Fiscal Year (presently June 30), commencing with the report for Fiscal Year 2025-26. Such date and each anniversary thereof is the Annual Filing Date. The Annual Report must be submitted in electronic format, accompanied by such identifying information as is prescribed by the MSRB. The Annual Report may be submitted as a single document or as separate documents comprising a package, and may cross-reference other information as provided in Section 3 of this Disclosure Agreement.

(b) If on the fifteenth (15th) day prior to the Annual Filing Date, the Disclosure Dissemination Agent has not received a copy of the Annual Report and Certification, the Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall contact the Disclosure Representative by telephone and in writing (which may be by e-mail) to remind the Issuer of its undertaking to provide the Annual Report pursuant to Section 2(a). Upon such reminder, the Disclosure Representative shall either (i) provide the Disclosure Dissemination Agent with an electronic copy of the Annual Report and the Certification) no later than two (2) business days prior to the Annual Filing Date, or (ii) instruct the Disclosure Dissemination Agent in writing that the Issuer will not be able to file the Annual Report within the time required under this Disclosure Agreement, state the date by which the Annual Report for such year will be provided and instruct the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to immediately provide a notice to the MSRB in substantially the form attached as Exhibit B.

(c) If the Disclosure Dissemination Agent has not received an Annual Report and Certification by 6:00 p.m. Eastern time on Annual Filing Date (or, if such Annual Filing Date falls on a Saturday, Sunday or holiday, then the first business day thereafter) for the Annual Report, a Failure to File Event shall have occurred and the Issuer irrevocably directs the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to immediately send a notice to the MSRB in substantially the form attached as Exhibit B.

(d) If Audited Financial Statements of the Issuer are prepared but not available prior to the Annual Filing Date, the Issuer shall, when the Audited Financial Statements are available, provide in a timely manner an electronic copy to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent, accompanied by a Certification, together with a copy for the Fiscal Agent, for filing with the MSRB.

- (e) The Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall:
- (i) verify the filing specifications of the MSRB each year prior to the Annual Filing Date;
 - (ii) upon receipt, promptly file each Annual Report received under Sections 2(a) and 2(b) with the MSRB;
 - (iii) upon receipt, promptly file each Audited Financial Statement received under Section 2(d) with the MSRB;
 - (iv) upon receipt, promptly file the text of each Notice Event received under Sections 4(a) and 4(b)(ii) with the MSRB, identifying the Notice Event as instructed by the Issuer pursuant to Section 4(a) or 4(b)(ii) (being any of the categories set forth below) when filing pursuant to Section 4(c) of this Disclosure Agreement:
 - 1. “Principal and interest payment delinquencies;”
 - 2. “Non-Payment related defaults, if material;”
 - 3. “Unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;”
 - 4. “Unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;”
 - 5. “Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;”
 - 6. “Adverse tax opinions, IRS notices or events affecting the tax status of the security;”
 - 7. “Modifications to rights of securities holders, if material;”
 - 8. “Bond calls, if material;”
 - 9. “Defeasances;”
 - 10. “Release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the securities, if material;”
 - 11. “Rating changes;”
 - 12. “Tender offers;”
 - 13. “Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the obligated person;”
 - 14. “Merger, consolidation, or acquisition of the obligated person, if material;” and
 - 15. “Appointment of a successor or additional trustee, or the change of name of a trustee, if material;”

- (v) upon receipt (or irrevocable direction pursuant to Section 2(c) of this Disclosure Agreement, as applicable), promptly file a completed copy of Exhibit B to this Disclosure Agreement with the MSRB, identifying the filing as “Failure to provide annual financial information as required” when filing pursuant to Section 2(b)(ii) or Section 2(c) of this Disclosure Agreement;
- (vi) upon receipt, promptly file the text of each Voluntary Event Disclosure received under Section 7(a) with the MSRB, identifying the Voluntary Event Disclosure as instructed by the Issuer pursuant to Section 7(a) (being any of the categories set forth below) when filing pursuant to Section 7(a) of this Disclosure Agreement:
 - 1. “amendment to continuing disclosure undertaking;”
 - 2. “change in obligated person;”
 - 3. “notice to investors pursuant to bond documents;”
 - 4. “certain communications from the Internal Revenue Service;”
 - 5. “secondary market purchases;”
 - 6. “bid for auction rate or other securities;”
 - 7. “capital or other financing plan;”
 - 8. “litigation/enforcement action;”
 - 9. “change of tender agent, remarketing agent, or other on-going party;”
 - 10. “derivative or other similar transaction;” and
 - 11. “other event-based disclosures;”
- (vii) upon receipt, promptly file the text of each Voluntary Financial Disclosure received under Section 7(b) with the MSRB, identifying the Voluntary Financial Disclosure as instructed by the Issuer pursuant to Section 7(b) (being any of the categories set forth below) when filing pursuant to Section 7(b) of this Disclosure Agreement:
 - 1. “quarterly/monthly financial information;”
 - 2. “change in fiscal year/timing of annual disclosure;”
 - 3. “change in accounting standard;”
 - 4. “interim/additional financial information/operating data;”
 - 5. “budget;”
 - 6. “investment/debt/financial policy;”

7. “information provided to rating agency, credit/liquidity provider or other third party;”
 8. “consultant reports;” and
 9. “other financial/operating data.”
- (viii) provide the Issuer evidence of the filings of each of the above when made, which shall be by means of the DAC system, for so long as DAC is the Disclosure Dissemination Agent under this Disclosure Agreement.

(f) The Issuer may adjust the Annual Filing Date upon change of its fiscal year by providing written notice of such change and the new Annual Filing Date to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent, Trustee (if any) and the MSRB, provided that the period between the existing Annual Filing Date and new Annual Filing Date shall not exceed one year.

(g) Any Information received by the Disclosure Dissemination Agent before 6:00 p.m. Eastern time on any business day that it is required to file with the MSRB pursuant to the terms of this Disclosure Agreement and that is accompanied by a Certification and all other information required by the terms of this Disclosure Agreement will be filed by the Disclosure Dissemination Agent with the MSRB no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern time on the same business day; provided, however, the Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall have no liability for any delay in filing with the MSRB if such delay is caused by a Force Majeure Event provided that the Disclosure Dissemination Agent uses reasonable efforts to make any such filing as soon as possible.

SECTION 3. Content of Annual Reports.

- (a) The Issuer’s Annual Report shall contain or include by reference the following:
- (i) The Issuer’s annual Annual Comprehensive Financial Report, which shall include the audited financial statements of the Issuer’s Light and Power Fund for the prior fiscal year, prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as promulgated to apply to governmental entities from time to time by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. If the Issuer’s audited financial statements are not available by the time the Annual Report is required to be filed pursuant to Section 2(a), the Annual Report shall contain unaudited financial statements in a format similar to the financial statements contained in the final Official Statement, and the audited financial statements shall be filed in the same manner as the Annual Report when they become available;
 - (ii) Pasadena Water and Power’s most recently published Annual Report, not previously filed with the MSRB;
 - (iii) Updated information comparable to the information in the following tables as they appear in the Official Statement relating to the Bonds:
 1. Table 2 entitled “TOTAL POWER GENERATED AND PURCHASED (MWh);”
 2. Table 3 entitled “POWER SUPPLY RESOURCES;”

3. Table 6 entitled “CUSTOMERS, ENERGY SALES AND REVENUES;”
4. Table 7 entitled “OUTSTANDING DEBT OF JOINT POWERS AGENCIES;”
5. Table 10 entitled “HISTORICAL OPERATING RESULTS AND DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE;” and
6. Table 11 entitled “ELECTRIC UTILITY FUND CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET.”

(b) Any or all of the items listed above may be included by specific reference from other documents, including official statements of debt issues with respect to which the Issuer is an Obligated Person, which have been previously filed with the MSRB or the Securities and Exchange Commission or available to the public on the MSRB Internet website. If the document incorporated by reference is a final official statement, it must be available from the MSRB. The Issuer will clearly identify each such document so incorporated by reference.

Any Annual Financial Information containing modified operating data or financial information is required to explain, in narrative form, the reasons for the modification and the impact of the change in the type of operating data or financial information being provided. The Issuer will reserve the right to modify from time to time the specific type of information provided or the format of the presentation of such information, to the extent necessary or appropriate in the judgment of the Issuer; provided that the Issuer will agree that any such modification will be done in a manner consistent with the Rule.

SECTION 4. Reporting of Notice Events.

(a) Reporting of Notice Events.

The occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds constitutes a Notice Event:

1. Principal and interest payment delinquencies;
2. Non-payment related defaults, if material;
3. Unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
4. Unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
5. Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;
6. Adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Bonds, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Bonds;
7. Modifications to rights of Bond holders, if material;
8. Bond calls, if material, and tender offers;

9. Defeasances;
10. Release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Bonds, if material;
11. Rating changes;
12. Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the Obligated Person;

Note to subsection (a)(12) of this Section 4: For the purposes of the event described in subsection (a)(12) of this Section 4, the event is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer for an Obligated Person in a proceeding under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Obligated Person, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Obligated Person.

13. The consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving an Obligated Person or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Obligated Person, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; and
14. Appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material.
15. Incurrence of a financial obligation of the Obligated Person, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a financial obligation of the Obligated Person, any of which affect security holders, if material.

Note to subsection (a)(15) of this Section 4: Financial obligation means a (A) debt obligation; (B) derivative instrument entered into in connection with, or pledged as security or a source of payment for, an existing or planned debt obligation; or (C) guarantee of (A) or (B). Financial obligation shall not include municipal securities as to which a final official statement has been provided to the MSRB consistent with the Rule.

16. Default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a financial obligation of the Obligated Person, any of which reflect financial difficulties.

The Issuer shall, in a timely manner not in excess of ten business days after its occurrence, notify the Disclosure Dissemination Agent in writing of the occurrence of a Notice Event. Such notice shall

instruct the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to report the occurrence pursuant to subsection (c) and shall be accompanied by a Certification. Such notice or Certification shall identify the Notice Event that has occurred (which shall be any of the categories set forth in Section 2(e)(iv) of this Disclosure Agreement), include the text of the disclosure that the Issuer desires to make, contain the written authorization of the Issuer for the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to disseminate such information, and identify the date the Issuer desires for the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to disseminate the information (provided that such date is not later than the tenth business day after the occurrence of the Notice Event).

(b) The Disclosure Dissemination Agent is under no obligation to notify the Issuer or the Disclosure Representative of an event that may constitute a Notice Event. In the event the Disclosure Dissemination Agent so notifies the Disclosure Representative, the Disclosure Representative will within two business days of receipt of such notice (but in any event not later than the tenth business day after the occurrence of the Notice Event, if the Issuer determines that a Notice Event has occurred), instruct the Disclosure Dissemination Agent that (i) a Notice Event has not occurred and no filing is to be made or (ii) a Notice Event has occurred and the Disclosure Dissemination Agent is to report the occurrence pursuant to subsection (c) of this Section 4, together with a Certification. Such Certification shall identify the Notice Event that has occurred (which shall be any of the categories set forth in Section 2(e)(iv) of this Disclosure Agreement), include the text of the disclosure that the Issuer desires to make, contain the written authorization of the Issuer for the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to disseminate such information, and identify the date the Issuer desires for the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to disseminate the information (provided that such date is not later than the tenth business day after the occurrence of the Notice Event).

(c) If the Disclosure Dissemination Agent has been instructed by the Issuer as prescribed in subsection (a) or (b)(ii) of this Section 4 to report the occurrence of a Notice Event, the Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall promptly file a notice of such occurrence with the MSRB in accordance with Section 2(e)(iv) hereof.

SECTION 5. CUSIP Numbers. Whenever providing information to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent, including but not limited to Annual Reports, documents incorporated by reference to the Annual Reports, Audited Financial Statements, Notice Event notices, Failure to File Event notices, Voluntary Event Disclosures and Voluntary Financial Disclosures, the Issuer shall indicate the full name of the Bonds and the 9-digit CUSIP numbers for the Bonds as to which the provided information relates.

SECTION 6. Additional Disclosure Obligations. The Issuer acknowledges and understands that other state and federal laws, including but not limited to the Securities Act of 1933 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, may apply to the Issuer, and that the failure of the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to so advise the Issuer shall not constitute a breach by the Disclosure Dissemination Agent of any of its duties and responsibilities under this Disclosure Agreement. The Issuer acknowledges and understands that the duties of the Disclosure Dissemination Agent relate exclusively to execution of the mechanical tasks of disseminating information as described in this Disclosure Agreement.

SECTION 7. Voluntary Filings.

(a) The Issuer may instruct the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to file a Voluntary Event Disclosure with the MSRB from time to time pursuant to a Certification of the Disclosure Representative. Such Certification shall identify the Voluntary Event Disclosure (which shall be any of the categories set forth in Section 2(e)(vi) of this Disclosure Agreement), include the text of the disclosure that the Issuer desires to make, contain the written authorization of the Issuer for the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to disseminate such information, and identify the date the Issuer desires for the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to disseminate the information. If the Disclosure Dissemination Agent has been instructed by the Issuer as prescribed in this Section 7(a) to file a Voluntary Event Disclosure, the Disclosure Dissemination

Agent shall promptly file such Voluntary Event Disclosure with the MSRB in accordance with Section 2(e)(vi) hereof.

(b) The Issuer may instruct the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to file a Voluntary Financial Disclosure with the MSRB from time to time pursuant to a Certification of the Disclosure Representative. Such Certification shall identify the Voluntary Financial Disclosure (which shall be any of the categories set forth in Section 2(e)(vii) of this Disclosure Agreement), include the text of the disclosure that the Issuer desires to make, contain the written authorization of the Issuer for the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to disseminate such information, and identify the date the Issuer desires for the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to disseminate the information. If the Disclosure Dissemination Agent has been instructed by the Issuer as prescribed in this Section 7(b) to file a Voluntary Financial Disclosure, the Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall promptly file such Voluntary Financial Disclosure with the MSRB in accordance with Section 2(e)(vii) hereof.

(c) The parties hereto acknowledge that the Issuer is not obligated pursuant to the terms of this Disclosure Agreement to file any Voluntary Event Disclosure pursuant to Section 7(a) hereof or any Voluntary Financial Disclosure pursuant to Section 7(b) hereof.

(d) Nothing in this Disclosure Agreement shall be deemed to prevent the Issuer from disseminating any other information through the Disclosure Dissemination Agent using the means of dissemination set forth in this Disclosure Agreement or including any other information in any Annual Report, Audited Financial Statements, Notice Event notice, Failure to File Event notice, Voluntary Event Disclosure or Voluntary Financial Disclosure, in addition to that required by this Disclosure Agreement. If the Issuer chooses to include any information in any Annual Report, Audited Financial Statements, Notice Event notice, Failure to File Event notice, Voluntary Event Disclosure or Voluntary Financial Disclosure in addition to that which is specifically required by this Disclosure Agreement, the Issuer shall have no obligation under this Disclosure Agreement to update such information or include it in any future Annual Report, Audited Financial Statements, Notice Event notice, Failure to File Event notice, Voluntary Event Disclosure or Voluntary Financial Disclosure.

SECTION 8. Termination of Reporting Obligation. The obligations of the Issuer and the Disclosure Dissemination Agent under this Disclosure Agreement shall terminate with respect to the Bonds upon the legal defeasance, prior redemption or payment in full of all of the Bonds, when the Issuer is no longer an obligated person with respect to the Bonds, or upon delivery by the Disclosure Representative to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent of an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel to the effect that continuing disclosure is no longer required.

SECTION 9. Disclosure Dissemination Agent. The Issuer has appointed Digital Assurance Certification, L.L.C. as exclusive Disclosure Dissemination Agent under this Disclosure Agreement. The Issuer may, upon thirty days written notice to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent and the Fiscal Agent, replace or appoint a successor Disclosure Dissemination Agent. Upon termination of DAC's services as Disclosure Dissemination Agent, whether by notice of the Issuer or DAC, the Issuer agrees to appoint a successor Disclosure Dissemination Agent or, alternately, agrees to assume all responsibilities of Disclosure Dissemination Agent under this Disclosure Agreement for the benefit of the Holders of the Bonds. Notwithstanding any replacement or appointment of a successor, the Issuer shall remain liable until payment in full for any and all sums owed and payable to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent may resign at any time by providing thirty days' prior written notice to the Issuer.

SECTION 10. Remedies in Event of Default. In the event of a failure of the Issuer or the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to comply with any provision of this Disclosure Agreement, the Holders'

rights to enforce the provisions of this Agreement shall be limited solely to a right, by action in mandamus or for specific performance, to compel performance of the parties' obligation under this Disclosure Agreement. Any failure by a party to perform in accordance with this Disclosure Agreement shall not constitute a default on the Bonds or under any other document relating to the Bonds, and all rights and remedies shall be limited to those expressly stated herein.

SECTION 11. Duties, Immunities and Liabilities of Disclosure Dissemination Agent.

(a) The Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall have only such duties as are specifically set forth in this Disclosure Agreement. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent's obligation to deliver the information at the times and with the contents described herein shall be limited to the extent the Issuer has provided such information to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent as required by this Disclosure Agreement. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall have no duty with respect to the content of any disclosures or notice made pursuant to the terms hereof. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall have no duty or obligation to review or verify any Information or any other information, disclosures or notices provided to it by the Issuer and shall not be deemed to be acting in any fiduciary capacity for the Issuer, the Holders of the Bonds or any other party. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall have no responsibility for the Issuer's failure to report to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent a Notice Event or a duty to determine the materiality thereof. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall have no duty to determine, or liability for failing to determine, whether the Issuer has complied with this Disclosure Agreement. The Disclosure Dissemination Agent may conclusively rely upon certifications of the Issuer at all times.

The obligations of the Issuer under this Section shall survive resignation or removal of the Disclosure Dissemination Agent and defeasance, redemption or payment of the Bonds.

(b) The Disclosure Dissemination Agent may, from time to time, consult with legal counsel (either in-house or external) of its own choosing in the event of any disagreement or controversy, or question or doubt as to the construction of any of the provisions hereof or its respective duties hereunder, and shall not incur any liability and shall be fully protected in acting in good faith upon the advice of such legal counsel. The fees and expenses of such counsel shall be payable by the Issuer.

(c) All documents, reports, notices, statements, information and other materials provided to the MSRB under this Disclosure Agreement shall be provided in an electronic format and accompanied by identifying information as prescribed by the MSRB.

SECTION 12. Amendment; Waiver. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Disclosure Agreement, the Issuer and the Disclosure Dissemination Agent may amend this Disclosure Agreement and any provision of this Disclosure Agreement may be waived, if such amendment or waiver is supported by an opinion of counsel expert in federal securities laws acceptable to both the Issuer and the Disclosure Dissemination Agent to the effect that such amendment or waiver does not materially impair the interests of Holders of the Bonds and would not, in and of itself, cause the undertakings herein to violate the Rule if such amendment or waiver had been effective on the date hereof but taking into account any subsequent change in or official interpretation of the Rule; provided neither the Issuer nor the Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall be obligated to agree to any amendment modifying their respective duties or obligations without their consent thereto.

Notwithstanding the preceding paragraph, the Disclosure Dissemination Agent shall have the right to adopt amendments to this Disclosure Agreement necessary to comply with modifications to and interpretations of the provisions of the Rule as announced by the Securities and Exchange Commission from time to time by giving not less than 20 days written notice of the intent to do so together with a copy of the proposed amendment to the Issuer. No such amendment shall become effective if the Issuer shall,

within 10 days following the giving of such notice, send a notice to the Disclosure Dissemination Agent in writing that it objects to such amendment.

SECTION 13. Beneficiaries. This Disclosure Agreement shall inure solely to the benefit of the Issuer, the Fiscal Agent of the Bonds, the Disclosure Dissemination Agent, the participating underwriter (as defined in the Rule), and the Holders from time to time of the Bonds, and shall create no rights in any other person or entity.

SECTION 14. Governing Law. This Disclosure Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California (other than with respect to conflicts of laws).

SECTION 15. Counterparts. This Disclosure Agreement may be executed in several counterparts, each of which shall be an original and all of which shall constitute but one and the same instrument.

(Signature page follows)

The Disclosure Dissemination Agent and the Issuer have caused this Continuing Disclosure Agreement to be executed, on the date first written above, by their respective officers duly authorized.

DIGITAL ASSURANCE CERTIFICATION, L.L.C.,
as Disclosure Dissemination Agent

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

CITY OF PASADENA, CALIFORNIA,
as Issuer

By: _____
Vicken Erganian
Deputy Director of Finance

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: _____
Michele Beal Bagneris
City Attorney

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: _____
Danny Kim, Partner
Best Best & Krieger LLP
Bond Counsel

*-Signature Page-
Continuing Disclosure Agreement*

EXHIBIT A

NAME AND CUSIP NUMBERS OF BONDS

Name of Issuer City of Pasadena, California

Obligated Person(s) City of Pasadena, California

Name of Bond Issue: \$_____ Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2026A Series

Date of Issuance: _____, 2026

Date of Official Statement: _____, 2026

CUSIP Number:

CUSIP Number:

CUSIP Number:

CUSIP Number:

CUSIP Number:

EXHIBIT B

NOTICE TO MSRB OF FAILURE TO FILE ANNUAL REPORT

Issuer: City of Pasadena, California
Obligated Person: City of Pasadena, California
Name of Bond Issue: \$_____ Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2026A Series
Date of Issuance: _____, 2026

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Issuer has not provided an Annual Report with respect to the above-named Bonds as required by the Continuing Disclosure Agreement, dated as of _____ 1, 2026, between the Issuer and Digital Assurance Certification, L.L.C., as Disclosure Dissemination Agent. The Issuer has notified the Disclosure Dissemination Agent that it anticipates that the Annual Report will be filed by_____.

Dated: _____

DIGITAL ASSURANCE CERTIFICATION, L.L.C.,
on behalf of the City of Pasadena, California

By: _____

cc: Director of Finance, City of Pasadena

APPENDIX F

PROPOSED FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL

Upon issuance of the 2026A Bonds, Best Best & Krieger LLP, Los Angeles, California, Bond Counsel, proposes to render its final opinion with respect to the 2026A Bonds in substantially the following form:

[CLOSING DATE]

City of Pasadena
Pasadena, California

\$ _____
City of Pasadena, California
Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2026A Series

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We have acted as bond counsel to the City of Pasadena, California (the “City”) in connection with the issuance of the City’s Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2026A Series (the “2026A Bonds”) in the aggregate principal amount of \$ _____. The 2026A Bonds are being issued pursuant to the Charter of the City, as amended (the “Charter”), including Article XIV thereof, Ordinance No. _____ (the “Ordinance”), adopted by the City Council of the City (the “Council”) on _____, 2026, and an Electric Revenue Bond Fiscal Agent Agreement, dated as of August 1, 1998, by and between the City and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (successor to BNY Western Trust Company), as fiscal agent (the “Fiscal Agent”), as amended and supplemented, including as amended and supplemented by a Twelfth Supplement to Electric Revenue Bond Fiscal Agent Agreement, dated as of _____ 1, 2026, each by and between the City and the Fiscal Agent (collectively, the “Fiscal Agent Agreement”).

In our capacity as bond counsel, we have reviewed the Charter, the Ordinance, resolutions adopted by the City Council, the Fiscal Agent Agreement, certifications of the City, the Fiscal Agent and others, opinions of counsel to the City and the Fiscal Agent, and such other documents, opinions and instruments as we deemed necessary to render the opinions set forth herein. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in the Fiscal Agent Agreement.

We have assumed the genuineness of all documents and signatures presented to us. We have not undertaken to verify independently, and have assumed, the accuracy of the factual matters represented, warranted or certified in such documents. Furthermore, we have assumed compliance with all covenants and agreements contained in the Fiscal Agent Agreement, including (without limitation) covenants and agreements compliance with which is necessary to assure that future actions, omissions or events will not cause interest on the 2026A Bonds to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes.

Based on and subject to the foregoing, and in reliance thereon, as of the date hereof, we are of the following opinions:

1. The City is authorized and empowered by law, including the Charter, to adopt the Ordinance, to execute and deliver the Fiscal Agent Agreement, to issue the 2026A Bonds, to use the proceeds from the sale thereof for the purposes stated in the Ordinance and the Fiscal Agent Agreement and to pledge the Net Income of the Electric System.

2. The Fiscal Agent Agreement has been, pursuant to law, including the Charter and the Ordinance, duly authorized, executed and delivered by, and constitutes the valid and binding obligation of, the City.

3. The 2026A Bonds are valid and binding special obligations of the City and are payable exclusively from the Light and Power Fund of the City's Water and Power Department and certain other funds as provided in the Fiscal Agent Agreement, and are secured by a pledge of and lien upon Net Income of the Electric System on a parity with other obligations of the Electric System payable from Net Income of the Electric System and issued from time to time pursuant to the Fiscal Agent Agreement. The general fund of the City is not liable for the payment of any 2026A Bonds, any premium thereon upon redemption prior to maturity or their interest, nor is the credit or taxing power of the City pledged for the payment of any 2026A Bonds, any premium thereon upon redemption prior to maturity or their interest. The Owner of any 2026A Bond may not compel the exercise of the taxing power by the City or the forfeiture of any of its property. The principal of and interest on any 2026A Bonds and any premiums upon the redemption of any thereof prior to maturity are not a debt of the City nor a legal or equitable pledge, charge, lien or encumbrance upon any of its property or upon any of its income, receipts or revenues, except the Net Income and other funds, security or assets which are pledged to the payment of the 2026A Bonds, interest thereon and any premiums upon redemption.

4. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") sets forth certain requirements which must be met subsequent to the issuance and delivery of the 2026A Bonds for interest thereon to be and remain excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Noncompliance with such requirements could cause the interest on the 2026A Bonds to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactive to the date of issue of the 2026A Bonds. Pursuant to the Fiscal Agent Agreement and the Tax Certificate, the City has covenanted to comply with the applicable requirements of the Code in order to maintain the exclusion of the interest on the 2026A Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 103 of the Code. In addition, the City has made certain representations and certifications in the Fiscal Agent Agreement and the Tax Certificate. We have not independently verified the accuracy of those certifications and representations.

Under existing law, assuming compliance with the tax covenants described herein and the accuracy of the aforementioned representations and certifications, interest on the Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Code. We are also of the opinion that such interest is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed under the Code.

Interest on the Bonds is exempt from personal income taxes of the State of California under present state law.

The opinions expressed in paragraphs 2 and 3 above are qualified to the extent that the enforceability of the 2026A Bonds and the Fiscal Agent Agreement may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, debt adjustment, reorganization, moratorium or similar laws or equitable principles relating to or limiting creditors' rights generally or as to the availability of any particular remedy. The enforceability of the 2026A Bonds and the Fiscal Agent Agreement is subject to the effect of general principles of equity, including, without limitation, concepts of materiality, reasonableness, good faith and fair dealing, to the possible unavailability of specific performance or injunctive relief, regardless of whether considered in a proceeding in equity or at law, and to the limitations on legal remedies against governmental entities in California (including, but not limited to, rights of indemnification).

In rendering the opinions set forth in paragraph 4 above, we are relying upon representations and covenants of the City in the Tax Certificate concerning the investment and use of 2026A Bond proceeds, the rebate to the federal government of certain earnings thereon, and the use of the property and facilities financed with the proceeds of the 2026A Bonds. In addition, we have assumed that all such representations are true and correct and

that the City will comply with such covenants. We express no opinion with respect to the exclusion of the interest on the 2026A Bonds from gross income under Section 103(a) of the Code in the event that any of such representations are untrue or the City fails to comply with such covenants, unless such failure to comply is based on our advice or opinion.

Except as stated in paragraph 4 above, we express no opinion as to any other federal, state or local tax consequences of the ownership or disposition of the 2026A Bonds. Furthermore, we express no opinion as to any federal, state or local tax law consequences with respect to the 2026A Bonds, or the interest thereon, if any action is taken with respect to the 2026A Bonds or the proceeds thereof upon the advice or approval of other counsel.

Our opinions are based on existing law, which is subject to change. Such opinions are further based on our knowledge of facts as of the date hereof. We assume no duty to update or supplement our opinions to reflect any facts or circumstances that may hereafter come to our attention or to reflect any changes in any law that may hereafter occur or become effective. Moreover, our opinions are not a guarantee of result and are not binding on the Internal Revenue Service; rather, such opinions represent our legal judgment based upon our review of existing law that we deem relevant to such opinions and in reliance upon the representations and covenants referenced above.

No opinion is expressed herein on the accuracy, completeness or sufficiency of the Official Statement or other offering material relating to the 2026A Bonds.

Very truly yours,