

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Ken Kules
Sent: Sunday, September 7, 2025 12:54 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Cc: Masuda, Gene
Subject: City Council Meeting 9-8-2025 Item 8: Adopt A Resolution To Allow The Upsizing Of New Solar Customer-Generator Systems Within Pasadena Water And Power's Service TerritoryY

[!] CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

The language in the proposed resolution clearly says that the proposed increase in sizing is applicable to "new solar systems." There was no discussion at the Municipal Services Committee that differentiated between new installations and upgrades to existing systems. I urge the City Council to amend the resolution to include eligibility of upgrades to existing systems for the 150% criteria.

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Cynthia Cannady <
Sent: Monday, September 8, 2025 4:19 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Cc: Reyes, David
Subject: Matters not on the agenda today: Optimized Strategic Plan

[!] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Dear City Councilmembers,

After 2.5 years of study and \$2.5 million in consulting fees, two technical commissions, much discussion at Council, and a tragic climate disaster, we are now waiting for a draft Optimized Strategic Plan.

The PASADENA 100 coalition calls your attention to the vital importance of an OSP that adopts the 100% carbon free hourly goal--as recommended by the MSC-- and contains specific actions, programs and policies to achieve the goal, with milestones and deliverables.

In other words, a plan.

As a member of the Technical Advisory Panel, I know that the consultants identified and modeled 4 critical elements for achieving 100% carbon free electricity by 2030, with numerical targets for each:

- 1.) imported carbon free electricity,
- 2.) local solar,
- 3.) local storage,
- 4.) demand response

The OSP must contain specific actions/programs/ policies to achieve each of those targets.

Without specifics and commitments, we will have spent millions of dollars--not to mention our time-- on a document that has little practical value.

With another not-a-plan plan like the IRP, the victims of the Eaton fire, who have suffered the terrible consequences of climate inaction, would have righteous cause for anger.

How many years must people wait for serious climate action? How much suffering must people endure before we stop burning fossil fuels?

How many dollars will we pay for consultants and for repairing the accident prone and toxic Glenarm plant, before we move into a clean energy future?

Many Pasadenans care deeply about climate change and consider it an emergency that deserves high priority from city staff. But it is hard for them to know what the City is doing to implement the political

mandate in Climate Emergency Resolution 9977. A plan that is clear, specific and public will build trust and decrease negative criticism.

Accomplishing our goal will facilitate coordination within the different departments of the city as well as other institutions (like PUSD). Moving towards a more distributed model of energy generation requires collaboration. A vague plan makes collaboration difficult.

A real plan will support much needed organizational alignment within PWP. The tasks and direction will be clearer.

A real plan will enable PWP to begin the overdue process of public education on local solar and storage that is essential for our changing power system.

A real plan permits both flexibility and accountability. When goals and actions are clear, everyone can understand why factors beyond staff members' control require changes.

We are proud to live in Pasadena, a city that doesn't just talk about climate action. We are a city that set a goal that will make a huge difference in our city and in our nation.

Please city leaders, require a real plan from PWP.

**Cynthia Cannady
Chairperson, PASADENA 100**

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Audrey Ma
Sent: Monday, September 8, 2025 4:55 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse; Reyes, David; Hampton, Tyron; Jones, Justin; Lyon, Jason; Masuda, Gene; Rivas, Jessica; Madison, Steve; Gordo, Victor; Cole, Rick
Subject: Support OSP with 100% hourly metric and remove solar size limits

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [learn why this is important](#)

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Dear Councilmembers,

Hi, my name is Audrey Ma and I am a student at Polytechnic School in Pasadena.

In moments of decision, cities like ours have a choice, lead with clarity and courage or wait. But Pasadena has never been a city that waits. From the labs at Caltech to the rallies on City Hall's steps, we are a community that innovates, organizes and moves!

A bold vision demands a clear road map. That's why I am asking you to support the hourly 100% carbon-free metric. I am also asking that you remove the artificial limits on solar installations on private property. There is no technical or logical reason to cap the sun. Voltage concerns are already addressed in the code and modern inverters and smart technologies provide even more solutions to voltage problems. With E3 models showing we need up to 100MW of solar by 2030, why are we placing barriers in the path of residents who want to help?

Yes, batteries are valuable and crucial to going carbon-free. But mandating them on private homes adds cost and discourages residents from adopting solar. Pasadena is already planning 125MW of battery storage, let's not shift the burden to the very people that are trying to help.

In the face of a warming world, let Pasadena be the city that says we don't just believe in clean energy, we will build systems, policies and plans to actually achieve it.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Audrey Ma