

# Agenda Report

September 8, 2025

TO:

Honorable Mayor and City Council

**THROUGH:** Finance Committee (May 12, 2025)

FROM:

Parks, Recreation and Community Services

SUBJECT: APPROVE THE ALLOWABLE USES AND CHARACTERISTICS OF

ENTITIES ALLOWED TO SUBMIT PROPOSALS FOR THE BUILDINGS

WITHIN HAHAMONGNA WATERSHED PARK ANNEX AREA

## RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council:

- 1. Find that the proposed action herein is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) (Common Sense Exemption);
- 2. Authorize potential demolition of the six buildings in the Hahamongna Annex area;
- 3. Approve, in concept, the allowable uses of the Hahamongna Annex buildings in accordance with the Hahamongna Watershed Park Master Plans and other existing legal restrictions on the property;
- 4. Authorize the issuance of a request for proposals to utilize the Hahamongna Annex area per the allowable and legal uses; and
- 5. Approve characteristics outlined in the body of the report, of entities allowed to submit proposals to demolish, rebuild and operate the area of the six vacant Hahamongna Annex buildings and the Oak Woodlands Natural Open Space.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

The City of Pasadena is considering the future use of the Hahamongna Watershed Park (HWP) Annex area, which contains six vacant and severely deteriorated former U.S. Forest Service buildings. These structures, vacant since 2004, pose significant safety hazards due to mold, asbestos, rodent infestations, and structural damage.

AGENDA ITEM NO.

Hahamongna Annex- Define Allowable Uses and Characteristics of Potential Proposers September 8, 2025 Page 2 of 9

Extensive community and City planning from 2006–2010 resulted in the adoption of the HWP Master Plan Addendum, outlining the vision and uses for the Annex area. These uses include educational, environmental, conservation, and equestrian-related programs. Staff recommends issuing A Request for Proposals (RFP) to select qualified non-profit organizations to redevelop and operate the site in alignment with a future vision that better serves public, environmental, and educational goals. This initiative represents a rare opportunity to revitalize a unique public space and invites qualified partners to help shape the next chapter of HWP through sustainable, educational, and community-focused use.

On September 12, 2022, staff presented a report to the Finance Committee outlining the framework and guidelines, as defined in the Master Plans, for utilizing the buildings within HWP Annex area. The Finance Committee directed staff to take the report to the HWP Advisory Committee for their review and affirmation of the allowable uses. On September 27, 2022, staff presented the same report to the HWP Advisory Committee. The Committee unanimously confirmed the allowable uses listed in the report were appropriate and consistent with the HWP Master Plans.

On May 12, 2025, staff returned to the Finance Committee. The Committee directed staff to explore any legal restrictions on the property uses under the terms of the 2005 purchase agreement (including the feasibility to amend the deed restrictions and the resolution adopted by the City Council for the use of open space). The Committee voted to send this matter to the full City Council, recommending potential demolition of the buildings and expediting the release of the RFP.

# **BACKGROUND**:

In 1993, HWP was established when the park's ownership, maintenance, and operations reverted back to the City of Pasadena from Los Angeles County. The City, in turn, agreed to sell 30 acres of the land to the Metropolitan Water District (MWD) for the price of \$430,000 for the intended purpose of a water treatment plant installation. However, MWD decided not to build the water treatment plant.

In March 2005, the City re-acquired the 30-acre property from the MWD for a purchase price of \$1.2 million under the California Surplus Lands Act. When the City Council approved the purchase of the property and adopted a resolution to use the land for recreation and open space purposes. Please see the City Council Agenda Report and Resolution 8454 dated March 21, 2005 attached as Attachment A.

Attachment B shows the general Hahamongna Annex area and the occupying tenants, with areas A and B being the subject of this report. Today, this area includes five tenants, a vacant area, and the Oak Woodlands natural open space.

- A. Vacant (Former U.S. Forest Service Buildings)
- B. Oak Woodlands natural open space
- C. Tom Sawyer Camp equestrian operation

Hahamongna Annex- Define Allowable Uses and Characteristics of Potential Proposers September 8, 2025 Page 3 of 9

- D. Arroyo Seco Foundation native plant nursery
- E. Ride On Therapeutic Horsemanship equestrian operation
- F. Rose Bowl Riders equestrian operation
- G. Los Angeles Fire Camp 2

The vacant area was previously used by the U.S. Forest Service and contains six buildings. Attachment C shows the building locations and their square footage.

In 2006, the Department of Public Works began a public planning process to determine the best uses for the Hahamongna Annex. This process included community outreach and the assistance of the National Park Service: Rivers, Trails, and Conservation Assistance Program.

The following timeline outlines the various milestones of the HWP Annex planning process:

- In 2006, City staff hosted the HWP Annex Celebration and Open House, developed and disseminated a questionnaire for existing tenants, and hosted three community workshops that focused on developing a vision statement, hearing issues and concerns from the public, and developing goals and objectives.
- In 2007, the City began discussions with the tenants regarding future leases, and the City Council conceptually approved the Proposed Amendments to the Goals and Objectives of the HWP Master Plan.
- In 2008, the City completed a Capacity Analysis to assist in the effort to find the optimum carrying capacity of specific use areas within the Annex property and held one additional community meeting. Also, a preliminary evaluation of existing structures was completed by Public Works to determine the extent of repairs needed to bring the buildings up to code.
- These outreach meetings and discussions led to the adoption by the City Council of the HWP Master Plan Addendum on February 1, 2010.

The six former U.S. Forest Service buildings have been vacant since 2004 and have fallen into disrepair. On Tuesday, April 1, 2025, the City's Safety Officer conducted a visual inspection of the interior and exterior of Buildings 1 through 6. The current conditions of the existing buildings include collapsed roofs, dismantled cabinetry, presence of black mold, rodent infestation as well as the absence of operable utilities including plumbing and electrical. All building materials showed signs of deterioration and were in poor condition. Please see Attachment D for pictures of the buildings.

The following include, but are not limited to, major safety issues found to be present:

- Majority of the roofs are in poor condition to non-existent in some structures.
- There is major water intrusion damage throughout the site with visible mold on the building materials.

Hahamongna Annex- Define Allowable Uses and Characteristics of Potential Proposers September 8, 2025

Page 4 of 9

- Exterior paint is in poor condition throughout with peeling paint on multiple surfaces.
- Friable building materials, for example insulation and acoustic ceilings, are damaged throughout the site.
- Rat infestation evident throughout the site.

The Safety Officer recommended the following:

- Due to the poor condition of building materials, the current rat infestation, and the presence of mold, an N-95 mask must be worn by anyone entering the interior of the buildings.
- All thermal system insulation and surfacing material must be presumed asbestos-containing material.
- Materials such as, but not limited to roofing, ceiling tiles, joint compound, and flooring components should be treated as suspect asbestos-containing material until proven otherwise.
- Based on the age of the buildings, existence of lead-based paint should be presumed on components until proven otherwise.

The 2010 HWP Master Plan Addendum recommends the adaptive reuse of the existing vacant buildings and to minimize demolition where possible. The estimated cost to rehabilitate the six buildings for occupancy (totaling 14,389 square feet) is approximately \$9.73 million, an average of \$676 per square foot with an annual cost escalation of 7%, excluding soft costs.

The process of rehabilitating the dilapidated mid-century block building would include:

- Asbestos and hazardous material abatement
- · Restoring mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems
- Finished drywall
- Watertight roof and windows
- · New interior and exterior doors with security locks
- Exterior paint and façade repairs
- ADA and code compliance
- Tenant improvements
- Exterior utility service work

Given the current condition of the buildings and the recent assessment by the City's Safety Officer, staff recommends demolition of the six buildings.

Demolishing all buildings can create an opportunity for a new design that would better serve the proposed uses for this area, as outlined in the HWP Master Plan Addendum. It would further eliminate programming constraints by the current layout of the buildings. The cost estimate to demolish the six buildings is \$442,000, excluding soft costs, and would include site clearance, preparation and disposal, hazardous materials removal,

Hahamongna Annex- Define Allowable Uses and Characteristics of Potential Proposers September 8, 2025

Page 5 of 9

general condition/requirements, contingency, as well as storm water protection measures.

The cost to construct new building(s) will depend on a number of variables, including site conditions, building size, building uses, materials and finishes, and utility requirements.

Staff estimates a per square foot cost range of \$600-\$800 for commercial construction, for a total replacement cost estimated between \$8.63 million - \$11.51 million, excluding soft costs, if the same number of square feet are constructed. If fewer square feet are constructed, the replacement cost would be less. New buildings would almost certainly suit future needs better than the functional, dimensional, and structural restrictions of the existing buildings.

The table below summarizes the costs for rehabilitation, demolition and new construction.

Building	Square Footage	Rehab Cost (\$676/sq. ft.)	<u>Demo Cost</u>	Replacement Cost (\$600-\$800/sq. ft.)
1	1,388	\$938,288	\$15,268	\$832,800 - \$1.1M
2	1,686	\$1,139,736	\$102,730	\$1.01M - \$1.35M
3	1,687	\$1,140,412	\$18,557	\$1.01M - \$1.35M
4	1,687	\$1,140,412	\$102,785	\$1.01M - \$1.35M
5	2,369	\$1,601,444	\$140,295	\$1.58M - \$1.90M
6	5,572	\$3,766,672	\$62,292	\$3.34M - \$4.46M
Totals	14,389	\$9,726,964	\$441,927	\$8.63M - \$11.51M

### No Historic Significance

Planning and Community Development Department staff visited the site to assess the potential historical significance of the Hahamongna Annex buildings. Staff concluded that the site does not appear to be associated with any significant historical events or development trends with the context of Civic and Institutional Development, as defined in the draft *Historic Places Pasadena Historic Context Statement*. Additionally, no significant individuals have been directly tied to the site through research. Architecturally, the buildings at the site are utilitarian in nature, lacking distinctive design or craftsmanship that would confer architectural significance.

#### Allowable Uses

Allowable uses of the Annex are limited by the Surplus Land Statute, as referenced in the 2005 City Council resolution, which requires the area to be used for park and recreation purposes. Proposed uses must support environmental education, open space conservation, habitat restoration, or related outdoor activities. Uses outside these parameters would conflict with the Surplus Land Statute, the resolution, and the Master Plan.

Hahamongna Annex- Define Allowable Uses and Characteristics of Potential Proposers September 8, 2025 Page 6 of 9

The 2010 master plan process that led to the adoption of the 2010 HWP Master Plan Addendum, defined the following uses:

- Nature and natural resources
- Watershed management
- Native American history
- Outdoor experiential education
- Water resources and conservation
- Habitat restoration, enhancement, and/or preservation
- Ecosystems
- Sustainability
- Open space conservation
- Horsemanship
- Enjoyment of the outdoors

The Adopted 2010 HWP Master Plan Addendum further adopted definitions include:

<u>Environmental Education Center (EEC)</u>: A complex with both indoor and outdoor facilities that will serve as a premier learning, conference and community center with an emphasis on environmental education of the Arroyo Seco watershed. The EEC will strive to adaptively re-use the existing vacant buildings and will include the following primary facilities: environmental classrooms, dining hall, outdoor classrooms, garden area, picnic, environmental vocation center, and nursery co-op.

<u>Natural Open Space</u>: The natural open space area is intended to seamlessly blend with the surrounding natural open space at its edges and the larger park. These areas: a) restore sectors of the Annex that are within a predominant plant community, b) restore areas that are currently dominated by ruderal vegetation and that are suitable for restoration, or c) suggest specific usage of a space as general open space.

At their September 27, 2022 meeting, the HWP Advisory Committee confirmed the above listed uses are appropriate and consistent with the HWP Master Plan and Addendum.

At the Finance Committee meeting on May 12, 2025, staff received direction to examine the property deed, covenants, and restrictions to verify that the proposed site uses were permissible. In response, staff conducted a thorough review of both the deed and the Preliminary Title Report (PTR), included in Attachment A, to confirm that any future Request for Proposals (RFP) and subsequent site utilization would align with all relevant legal requirements, deed provisions, and policy obligations. Following this review, staff determined that no existing restrictions would impede the advancement of the RFP as outlined in this report.

Hahamongna Annex- Define Allowable Uses and Characteristics of Potential Proposers September 8, 2025 Page 7 of 9

# Request For Proposals and Characteristics of Potential Proposers

Due to other higher priorities, staff has not identified funding to rehabilitate or demolish and rebuild these buildings. Given the lack of available City funds, Council may wish to direct staff to issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) to an outside entity to rehabilitate or to rebuild and operate the buildings. This is a rare opportunity to shape the use of public land, thus, Council may want to limit the types of entities eligible to submit proposals. This unique public land cannot be used for commercial purposes; for-profit entities should be excluded. The potential uses would best be performed by a non-profit organization or a group of organizations whose mission and purpose aligns with the uses described above.

Staff recommends that prospective proposers possess, not limited to, the following characteristics:

- Non-profit organization or group of organizations;
- Proven track record of providing intended services in the public sector;
- Fiscally sound with adequate financial resources to perform the terms of the contract;
- Ability to operate and maintain the public land and buildings to City standards at no cost to the City;
- Demonstrate ability to perform desired improvements without cost to the City;
- Willing to commit to a long-term lease and operating agreement of 15-20 years;
- The entity's mission statement/business plan falls within one or more of the allowable uses listed above;
- Have an understanding and appreciation of the Hahamongna area and be good stewards of the land;
- Provide a quantifiable public benefit that serves Pasadena residents, particularly youth; and
- Provide direct public services and won't utilize the area and buildings solely for office or administration purposes.

In addition, the successful proposer(s) would have to complete all requirements of the Planning and Community Development Department, including obtaining a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) and any environmental review that might be required by the California Environmental Quality Act. Further, as a condition of a grant award in 2019 by the Los Angeles County Regional Park and Open Space District (RPOSD) for habitat restoration, RPOSD shall review and approve any successful proposer(s) that operate at the Hahamongna Watershed Park Oak Grove Area Improvement Project site.

Staff contacted the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) to inquire about reporting requirements under the Surplus Lands Act (SLA). According to Government Code section 54221, land that is being used—or is planned to be used—for government-related work can be considered "agency use." After reviewing the required documents, HCD determined that the City's intent to release a Request for

Hahamongna Annex- Define Allowable Uses and Characteristics of Potential Proposers September 8, 2025 Page 8 of 9

Proposals and select a non-profit organization to renovate and operate the property qualifies as "agency use", thus making the property exempt from the usual public noticing requirements under the SLA. The HCD's exemption email is attached to this report as Attachment E.

A tentative timeline for the RFP process is estimated to be one year including: drafting of the RFP with all exhibits, conferring with RPOSD, sample contract development, inter-departmental review, and release of RFP (6 months); and advertisement, proposal review/interviews, contract award and execution (6 months).

# **COUNCIL POLICY CONSIDERATION:**

This action is consistent with the City Council's goals to improve, maintain, and enhance public facilities and infrastructure, and to support and promote the quality of life and the local economy.

# **ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:**

This action is exempt from the CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3), the Common Sense Exemption (formerly the general rule) that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. The actions proposed herein are limited to establishing the procedures for selecting potential future operators of the Hahamongna Annex. These actions do not commit the City to approving any future use of the Hahamongna Annex, nor do they commit the City to approving or carrying out any improvements to the existing facilities in the Annex or any other physical changes to the environment. Such specific users and potential improvements would be subject to CEQA review at the time the City considers entering into an arrangement(s) with such users

Hahamongna Annex- Define Allowable Uses and Characteristics of Potential Proposers September 8, 2025 Page 9 of 9

# FISCAL IMPACT:

The estimated cost to rehabilitate the six buildings is \$9.73 million. Alternatively, existing buildings can be demolished for \$442,000 and a plan for rebuilding can be developed. Construction costs will depend on the new conceptual design, at an estimated cost of \$8.63 million - \$11.51 million. If all 14,389 square feet are re-built constructing fewer sq ft will proportionally reduce the building costs. These estimates exclude soft costs. Selected entities would be responsible to fund all improvements necessary for occupancy, as well as the ongoing maintenance and operations.

Anticipated City costs to develop and complete the RFP process for the aforementioned services are estimated to be approximately \$20,000. This projected expense includes staff time required to develop the specifications through the execution of the operator agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

**KOKO PANOSSIAN** 

Director of Parks, Recreation and

Community Services

Prepared by:

Jose Mireles

Park Superintendent

Approved by:

MIGUEL MÁRQUEZ

City Manager

Attachments:

Attachment A: City Council Agenda Report March 21, 2005

Attachment B: Hahamongna Annex Area

Attachment C: Annex Buildings and Boundaries

Attachment D: Forest Service Buildings

Attachment E: HCD Letter