

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From: Kathy Berlin
Sent: Saturday, May 4, 2024 12:25 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Agenda item #18 5/6/24 city council meeting

Some people who received this message don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[⚠] CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0010263" on the DoIT portal.

Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

In response to the staff recommending the purchase of 3 fossil-fuel powered trucks for the police department, please consider the following:

1. In the report it is stated that in 2021, areas were evaluated for installation of "essential infrastructure of EV chargers. No suitable locations were located." "A comprehensive plan for EV infrastructure ...is an essential foundation to transition to ...EV vehicles."

What has Pasadena been doing for 3 years? We must have this plan ASAP. We cannot wait any longer to make this transition to EV police and all municipal vehicles.

Why can't Pasadena use the charging facility at Glenarm?

Your decision on this agenda item must require that Pasadena find locations for police and other municipal vehicle charging stations ASAP and begin building them.

2. The report states that the police department needs vehicles that idle for hours. Idling produces 20 times the amount of emissions as driving a vehicle. That makes it all the more urgent that these vehicles be zero emissions. We all have to adapt to the changes required to keep Pasadena and our earth sustainable. If it requires the officers to

"manually override" the automatic powering off of the vehicles, that seems a small effort to substantially reduce Pasadena's GHG emissions!

" An idling vehicle emits 20 times more pollutants than one traveling at 30 miles per hour." https://hopewellvalleygreenteam.org/stop_idling

3. The report states that General Motors will have an EV police pursuit vehicle soon. Let's wait for it. And build our infrastructure now so we'll be ready.

4. These fossil-fueled powered vehicles will have "longer vehicle life" according to the report. That's an unacceptable amount of GHG emissions, long past our goal of 2030 to have our electricity production carbon free.

5. We need to think in a new way. We must make zero emissions a priority NOW. Let's work to find ways to make a carbon free Pasadena a reality before it is too late.

Thank you for your consideration,
Kathy Berlin
Pasadena resident

Kenebrew, Jerice

From: Stevenson, Garrett
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 7:46 AM
To: Kenebrew, Jerice
Subject: FW: Reject the staff proposal for more gas powered vehicles

From: Cynthia Cannady
Sent: Saturday, May 4, 2024 4:39 PM
To: Gordo, Victor <vgordo@cityofpasadena.net>; Harvey-Williams, Brenda <bharvey-williams@cityofpasadena.net>; Stevenson, Garrett <gstevenson@cityofpasadena.net>
Subject: Reject the staff proposal for more gas powered vehicles

Some people who received this message don't often get email from

[1. Learn why this is important](#)

[⚠] CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0010263" on the DoIT portal.

Mayor Gordo and Members of the City Council,

As a Pasadena resident concerned about the climate emergency, I am disappointed in the staff proposal to purchase 3 fossil-fuel powered trucks for the police department and urge you to reject the proposal.

I understand that one reason for failing to propose EVs is the supposed absence of charging stations, but the residents of Pasadena have been hearing this for at least 2 years now. Why hasn't the city built a charging station? Surely 3 trucks can use existing charging stations until a larger solution can be built? The staff report merely says: "No suitable locations were located."

Your decision on this agenda item must require that Pasadena find locations for police and other municipal vehicle charging stations ASAP and complete installation by a certain date, as well as budget allocation for that work.

I was shocked that the staff report states that the police department needs vehicles that idle for hours, without any proposal for addressing the greenhouse gas emissions from this practice.

The report states that General Motors will have an EV police pursuit vehicle soon. I urge you to decline the staff request at this time and wait until these vehicles or other suitable vehicles are ready.

The staff report provides no explanation of why competitive bidding is not required.

Thank you for your consideration,
Cynthia Cannady
Pasadena district 6 resident