

PROVIDE DIRECTION FOR RETURNING TO IN-PERSON PUBLIC MEETINGS OF CITY LEGISLATIVE BODIES

Department of Information Technology

November 21, 2022





PART I – Councilmember Remote Participation

- > Returning to In-Person Meetings
- > Brown Act Rules & Changes
- No Council Action Needed

PART II – Public Comment (In-Person & Remote)

- > Discuss Options
- > Council to Provide Direction to Staff





When will in-person meetings resume?

Department of Information Technology

- 1. If Council does <u>not</u> adopt an AB 361 compliant resolution Agenda Item #16
 - > In-person meetings return November 23
- 2. Governor ends the COVID-19 State of Emergency
 - > Anticipated to end on February 28, 2023
 - > In-person meetings return March 1, 2023
- 3. AB 361 sunsets on January 1, 2024
 - > In-person meetings return January 2, 2024
 - AB 361 suspended the Brown Act rules for remote participation
- Zoom, or equivalent, will remain available for Council remote participation, with advanced notice ADENA

3



Brief Summary of Brown Act Rules

	Brown Act	AB 2449 – NEW Effective 1/1/2023
	(Pre-Pandemic)	
Member Remote Rules	 Physical location of remote member noted on meeting agenda Remote location must be made accessible to the public Public comment must be allowed from remote location Meeting agendas must be posted at remote location 	 Two circumstances allow a remote member to not follow Brown Act (Pre-Pandemic) remote meeting rules, subject to additional requirements and limitations: Just cause - Defined as caregiving, contagious illness, disability, or travel for state/local agency business Emergency circumstances Use of "emergency circumstances" requires member to make request and the legislative body to take action to approve the request Remote member must participate using both audio and video Before any action taken, remote member must publicly disclose whether anyone over 18 years old is in the room and relationship with that person



Brief Summary of Brown Act Rules

	Brown Act (Pre-Pandemic)	AB 2449 – NEW Effective 1/1/2023
Other Requirements	 Quorum of body must participate from within City limits 	 Quorum of members must be physically present in a singular location Public comment must be allowed remotely
Limitations		 "Just cause" limit of two occasions per year "Emergency circumstances" limit of three consecutive months or 20% of regular meetings per year AB 2449 sunsets 1/1/2026
Other Impacts		The legislative body cannot take any action if remote public participation is interrupted, e.g. technology issue





Overlapping Brown Act Rules

- 1. Until January 1, 2023
 - a. Pre-Pandemic Brown Act Rules
 - b. AB 361 (resolution required)
- 2. January 1, 2023 to January 1, 2024
 - a. Pre-Pandemic Brown Act Rules
 - AB 361 (resolution required; will be inapplicable when/if Governor terminates COVID-19 state of emergency)
 - c. AB 2449 (just cause or emergency circumstances required)
- 3. January 1, 2024 to January 1, 2026
 - a. Pre-Pandemic Brown Act Rules
 - b. AB 2449 (just cause or emergency circumstances required)
- 4. January 1, 2026 and going forward
 - a. Pre-Pandemic Brown Act Rules only



- Effective engagement and deliberations of Council and other legislative City bodies
- Robust local public participation from throughout the community
- Complexity of meeting management due to process and technology requirements



Public Comment History

- In-Person Meetings (prior to March 2020)
 - > Written Correspondence
 - > <u>In-Person Only</u> Public Speakers
- Fully Remote Meetings (March 2020-Today)
 - > Written Correspondence
 - > Remote Only Public Speakers
- In-Person Meetings (Going Forward/TBD)
 - > Written Correspondence
 - > For Council Discussion:
 - Public Speakers: In-person only or offer remote option?
 - Remote option for City Council? Council Committees? Other bodies?





Background Included in Agenda Report

- Six months of public comment statistics of all legislative bodies
- Survey of meeting formats of LA County cities
 - Notable results: City of Long Beach & City of Santa Monica provide in-person public comment only
- Summary of costs and complexity of running remote meetings
 - Current annual support costs approximately \$200,000





Staff Recommendation

- Recommend Options 1 through 4, in order:
 - Least to most complex to implement and manage
 - > Lowest to highest cost to support
 - Over a 10-year horizon estimated costs \$2.5 \$7 million
- Recommend taking incremental steps and revisit impact, effectiveness, and costs over time
- Recommend Council consider how best to apply public comment options across all legislative bodies





Council Ad Hoc Committee Review

- Council Ad Hoc Committee to Review Return to In-person Meetings convened on November 18th
- Committee Recommendation:
 - > Option 1 Return to In-Person Public Comment Only
 - > Revisit expanding public comment options in the future
 - Approve AB 361 resolution (Agenda Item #16) to extend remote meetings 30 days (through December 21) to allow for other legislative bodies time to prepare for return to in-person meetings
 - > Staff to provide information on operational readiness to resume in-person on December 5th.





Staff Recommendation

1. In-person public comment only (Remote public comment required when using AB 2449 circumstances)	2. Remote public comment at City Council only	3. Remote public comment at City Council & Council Committees	4. Remote public comment for all bodies	
Cost Reduction: \$200,000 Annually			Estimated Cost: \$700,000 Annually	
10-Year Cost: N/A	10-Year Cost: \$2.5M	10-Year Cost: \$3M	10-Year Cost: \$7M \$200,000 Technology Upgrades	
Ready to Implement	Ready to Implement	Ready to Implement	Available early CY 2023	



- Staff Recommendation Detail
- Brown Act Change History & Overlap
- Remote Meeting Analysis Detail



Suggested Meetings Options

- Return to all in-person meetings (Pre-Pandemic)
 - Public comment available in-person only and through written correspondence
 - City Council meetings will be broadcast live through KPAS and online streaming only
 - Cost Reduction \$200,000; NET Decrease \$200,000
 - Ready to Implement





Suggested Meetings Options

- 2. Remote public comment at City Council only
 - City Council meetings broadcast live through Zoom, or similar virtual meeting technology (in addition to KPAS and online streaming).
 - Increased staff and technology required to support simultaneous inperson and remote meeting
 - Estimated Cost: \$250,000; NET increase \$50,000
 - Ready to Implement

	Total # of Public Speakers					
	April May June July Aug Sep			Sept		
Body	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022
City Council	158	66	30	72	91	79





Suggested Meetings Options

- 3. Remote public comment at City Council & Council Committees
 - > City Council and Council Committee meetings broadcast live through Zoom, or similar virtual meeting technology (in addition to KPAS and online streaming).
 - > Estimated Cost: \$300,000; NET Increase \$100,000
 - Ready to Implement

	Total # of Public Speakers					
Body	April 2022	May 2022	June 2022	July 2022	Aug 2022	Sept 2022
EdTech	0	4	7	0	8	0
Finance	2	0	7	0	0	2
Legislative Policy	1	0	3	0	0	0
Municipal Services	1	3	0	6	5	29
Public Safety	0	20	2	7	7	3

SU SU

Suggested Meetings Options

Department of Information Technology

4. Remote public comment for all bodies

- > Boards and commission meetings broadcast live through Zoom, or similar virtual meeting technology.
- City Council and Council Committee meetings broadcast live through Zoom, or similar virtual meeting technology (in addition to KPAS and online streaming).
- Estimated Cost: \$700,000; NET Increase \$500,000
- > One-time Technology Investment: \$200,000
- > Ready early CY 2023

	Total # of Public Speakers					
Body	April 2022	May 2022	June 2022	July 2022	Aug 2022	Sept 2022
Community Police Oversight	8	13	3	1	0	8
Design	6	20	1	2	9	7
Planning	40	14	29	72	17	6
Transportation Advisory	3 7	0	14	5	22	5



Staff Recommendation

- Recommend Options 1 through 4, in order:
 - Least to most complex to implement and manage
 - > Lowest to highest cost to support
 - Over a 10-year horizon estimated costs \$2.5 \$7 million
- Recommend taking incremental steps and revisit impact, effectiveness, and costs over time
- Recommend Council consider how best to apply public comment options across all legislative bodies





Staff Recommendation Summary

1. In-person public comment only (Remote public comment required when using AB 2449 circumstances)	2. Remote public comment at City Council only	3. Remote public comment at City Council & Council Committees	4. Remote public comment for all bodies
Cost Reduction: \$200,000 Annually	Estimated Cost: \$250,000 Annually	Estimated Cost: \$300,000 Annually	Estimated Cost: \$700,000 Annually
10-Year Cost: N/A	10-Year Cost: \$2.5M	10-Year Cost: \$3M	10-Year Cost: \$7M \$200,000 Technology Upgrades
Ready to Implement	Ready to Implement	Ready to Implement	Available early CY 2023





Brown Act Change History

- Pre-March 2020
 - > Brown Act Teleconferencing Rules (Pre-Pandemic)
- March 2020
 - > Executive Order N-29-20 suspended remote meeting requirements at the outset of COVID-19 pandemic
 - > Council and all other legislative bodies may participate remotely
- September 2021
 - > AB 361 to supersede Executive Orders through January 1, 2024, or if Governor terminates COVID-19 state of emergency
 - Requires Council to adopt resolution every 30 days to continue remote meetings
- September 2022
 - > AB 2449 goes in effect on January 1, 2023 through January 1, 2026, updating Brown Act remote rules





	Total # of Public Speakers					
Body	April 2022	May 2022	June 2022	July 2022	Aug 2022	Sept 2022
City Council	158	66	30	72	91	79
Council Committees (All)						
EdTech	0	4	7	0	8	0
Finance	2	0	7	0	0	2
Legislative Policy	1	0	3	0	0	0
Municipal Services	1	3	0	6	5	29
Public Safety	0	20	2	7	7	3
Commissions (Partial List)						
Community Police Oversight	8	13	3	1	0	8
Design	6	20	1	2	9	7
Historic Preservation	0	11	3	7	0	6
Planning	40	14	29	72	17	6
Transportation Advisory	3	0	14	5	22	5





Survey of Public Meeting Format

Department of Information Technology

- Survey of LA County Cities
 - > 27 cities are conducting in-person meetings
 - > 24 cities are allowing a mix of in-person and remote options (labeled as hybrid)
 - > 6 cities are still fully remote
 - Notable results City Council meetings in Santa Monica, Long Beach and Los Angeles are all in-person at the time of the survey

Source: City of Calabasas Initiated Survey (September 2022)





Supporting Remote Meetings

- Supporting remote meetings requires additional resources, technology skills, and capabilities.
- Summary of resources increased/added since March 2020 to support fully remote meetings:
 - Virtual Meeting Technology (Zoom)
 - City Clerk Staff Increased overtime
 - DolT Staff Added two DolT staff to run virtual meeting technology
 - > KPAS Broadcast support for Council Committees
 - > Equipment laptops, cameras, headsets, etc.
 - On-demand tech support for remote participants
 - > Language translation services
 - Estimated costs: \$200,000 Annually

