RECEIVED

2022 MAR 14 AM 9: 55

Linda Vista-Annandale Association Pasadena, CA

CITY OF FALL DEMA

March 13, 2022

Pasadena City Council

Re: Appeal BZA Decision Re HDP #6838; 1820 Linda Vista Ave.; Council Hearing 3/14/2022; Agenda Item 17

Mayor Gordo and Councilmembers,:

The Linda Vista-Annandale Association (LVAA) has reviewed the proposed project in the context of this latest Appeal to the Council and has the following comments.

Good Aspects of the Proposed Revised Project. The proposed Project, as revised, incorporates several good features that we have encouraged on other hillside sites, including: leaving the existing house in its original site location, thus preserving the existing Front Yard setback and rhythm of the block face; placing the bulk of new square footage in a manner that preserves the perception from Linda Vista Ave. that the residence remains a one-story house that "reads" as horizontal in design; and, and proposing new square footage in a manner to avoid adding on a typical second story which adds mass and bulk.

Good BZA Decision on Remand. On Remand, the BZA improved the revised proposed Project as follows: the request by the applicant to modify the Neighborhood Compatibility Analysis was denied and two additional conditions of approval were included. The first condition required the size of the addition be reduced by 37 square feet to 1,364 square-feet as the request to modify the Neighborhood Compatibility Analysis was not approved. The second condition required the height of the garage roofline to be lowered by 18 inches, apparently to improve the neighbors' Views

LVAA generally supports these actions by the BZA on Remand, as well as Staff recommended Condition 7 of Approval. However, as to recommended Condition 8 of Approval, lowering the garage roofline by 18 inches, please see the discussion below on protected View rights.

Continuing Concerns Regarding View Protection. As LVAA has stated before, View protection is of paramount importance to us. LVAA worked very hard to have the current View protection provisions included in the Hillside Overlay Ordinance after several terrible examples of loss of Views of the San Gabriel Mountains. These provisions must be administered and enforced in such a manner as to protect the View rights of adjacent neighbors. The applicable View protection provisions of the Hillside Overlay Ordinance are attached hereto and highlighted in yellow.

As to this case, it appears that the revised proposed Project continues to block protected Views. In this context, one issue remains unresolved: whether lowering the garage roofline by 18 inches resolves the View protection issue. At the BZA Remand Hearing, the 18 inch "solution" was proposed and then adopted by the BZA with practically no discussion or analysis. No evidence, substantial or otherwise, was presented, analyzed, or discussed as to what is accomplished by lowering the garage roof by 18 inches. Without fact-based evidence in the Record as to required View protection, it appears that there is no basis (again) to approve the requested Hillside Development Permit.

<u>"Serial Permit"</u> Issues and Whole of the Project; Slope and ADU Issues. LVAA appreciates the fact that the "whole" proposed revised Project "scope" apparently is before the Council, as the "whole" of the proposed revised Project "scope" was before the BZA on Remand, for comprehensive review. The scope of the revised project includes the HDP proposal, the ADU, and the Pool/Deck, and the "whole" of the proposed revised Project is in stark contrast to the current Planning practice of issuing "serial permits."

So, what happened to the balance of the "excess" square footage for this proposed revised Project from the first BZA review? Apparently, it no longer "counts" for HDP purposes because it has been transferred into and included in an attached ADU. This seems quite "clever" but should not succeed because this "solution" to avoid full and adequate HDP review, which, apparently, staff is recommending to other developers, violates CEQA principals of environmental review of the "whole" of the project, and violates Pasadena Codes in that this manipulation undermines the purposes and intent of Hillside development review including correct and effective application and administration of the Hillside Overlay Ordinance.

In the CEQA context, the proposed revised Project's entire scope must be considered as a "whole," and the entire scope of the proposed revised Project must receive environmental analysis and review beginning with an Initial Study. We continue to be concerned about the potential significant impacts from this whole proposed revised Project with respect to Hillside topography and grading. Preservation of the natural topography in the Hillsides and minimal grading in connection with Hillside projects are foundational principles of the Hillside development review. This whole proposed revised Project is in an "environmentally sensitive" area and, therefore, environmental review should be required, particularly since environmental equilibrium and preservation also are important Hillside development and Ordinance principles.

No ADUs in the Hillsides. LVAA's hillsides typically include open canyons throughout our area, dry vegetation and other drought conditions, high wind events, and, significantly, narrow, often steep, winding hillside roads that impede ingress and egress. There is often only one way in and one way out for a significant portion of LVAA;s hillside neighborhood areas. As shown on the attached Wildfire Severity map provided to us by the Pasadena Fire Department, the LVAA area, including Linda Vista Ave., constitutes an overall Very High Wildfire Hazard Severity Zone.

Pasadena must immediately determine and implement best practices in limiting additional development density, population, and traffic in LVAA's hillsides to the maximum extent feasible under applicable State law, including prohibiting ADUs and SB 9 development.

Additional hillsides development density, population, traffic, and parking demand in LVAA's and Pasadena's hillsides all create significant public safety impacts which must be addressed and controlled as soon as possible. Considering the imminent danger of a significant Wildfire similar to the Oakland Hills Wildfire disaster, the ADU proposed for this Project should NOT be ministerially approved, and instead Findings should be adopted denying the proposed ADU on the basis of obvious and urgent public safety considerations.

Thank you for considering and responding to our comments and concerns.

Sincerely,

Nína Chomsky

Nina Chomsky, LVAA President

cc: LVAA Board of Directors

- b. Any alteration of the roofline resulting in an increase in height above the highest point of the existing roof.
- F. **Neighborhood Compatibility.** New houses and additions subject to a Hillside Development Permit shall be designed with consideration of the character and scale of the existing development in the vicinity. Through the Hillside Development Permit process, compatibility will be determined following a review of existing site conditions, visibility of the site, and the size, scale, and character of existing development within 500 feet of the site. Dependent on existing conditions, the review authority may modify the 500-foot radius requirement to include a larger neighborhood when there are fewer than five developed lots within the 500-foot radius area, or when the character of the neighborhood is defined by existing features (e.g., canyon, street, etc.).

The 500-foot 'neighborhood' may be modified by the review authority, based on any or all of the following criteria:

- a. Properties within 500 feet are not in the City of Pasadena;
- b. Properties within 500 feet are not in Hillside Overlay district;
- c. Properties within 500 feet are not in RS district, or are in a different RS district; and
- d. Properties within 500 feet are separated by a significant manmade structure (e.g. freeway) or a significant natural feature (e.g. canyon, ridge, etc.) that, to the extent determinable by staff, is not the result of grading or other man-made alteration of the natural terrain.

In addition to the floor area ratio requirements of Section 17.29.060.A, the allowable floor area of the house shall not be greater than 35 percent above the median floor area of the existing houses within the established radius (excluding garages and other accessory structures). Floor area shall be determined using primary residence data from the Los Angeles County Assessor.

For lots larger than 20,000 square feet in size, the review authority may approve additional floor area if it does not exceed the average FAR of the neighborhood after first making the findings in Section 17.29.080.G following a review of site conditions and compliance with the remainder of the Hillside District standards.

- G. View protection. A proposed structure shall be designed and located so that it avoids blocking views from surrounding properties to the maximum extent feasible, as determined by the review authority, and including, but not limited to, consideration of the following:
 - 1. The feasibility of relocating the proposed structure to another part of the site;
 - 2. The feasibility of modifying the massing of the proposed structure such that views from surrounding properties would not be impacted; and
 - 3. The feasibility of minimizing architectural features that may intrude upon views from surrounding properties.

See Figure 2. For purposes of this Chapter, "surrounding" properties refers to all abutting properties as well as properties directly across a street from the subject property.

- 1. New structures and tall landscaping shall not be centered directly in the view of any room of a primary structure on a neighboring parcel. Views shall be considered from windows of any room in the primary structure. New structures shall avoid blocking the following from any room of a main dwelling on a neighboring property:
 - 1. Culturally significant structures such as the Rose Bowl, Colorado Street Bridge, City Hall, etc.;
 - Downslope views of the valley floor;
 - 3. Prominent ridgelines; and/or
 - 4. The horizon line.

- Views of open sky, existing foliage, private yards, and existing structures on surrounding properties shall not be taken into consideration by the review authority.
- 2. Mechanical equipment other than vents or solar panels shall be placed on a rooftop or below a deck only if the equipment is not visible from off the site. This equipment shall also comply with the height limits in Subsection B. above.






Figure 2-7—Example of Preferred Locations of a Structure to Preserve Views

(Ord. No. 7310, § 2 (Exh. 1), 9-25-2017)



Pasadena FHSZ Map

Fire Department



Jomsky, Mark

From:

Anita Red <_

Sent:

Monday, March 14, 2022 11:07 AM

To:

Jomsky, Mark

Subject:

1820 Linda Vista Avenue, 91103

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. <u>Learn more...</u>.

March 14, 2022

Re: 1820 Linda Vista Avenue, 91103

To Mr. Mark Jomsky and To Whom It May Concern:

As a Pasadena resident and medical practice business owner, I reside, along with my husband and family, in a neighborhood close to the proposed project at 1820 Linda Vista Ave.

The proposal is beautiful. It conforms with the neighborhood, and will bring value to the area.

I attended the appeal hearing in November 2021. It was interesting to hear of the overwhelming support of this project, and continue to encourage its approval as well.

Sincerely, Anita Red, M.D.

Anita Red, M.D. Child, Adolescent, and General Psychiatry

Pasadena, CA 91106

The information contained in this message is privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, or responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message and communication in error, please reply to this message and delete it from your computer.



From:

Matthew Feldhaus

Sent:

Monday, March 14, 2022 10:32 AM

To:

Jomsky, Mark

Cc:

'Richard McDonald'; Moran, Katherine

Subject:

1820 Linda Vista Ave HDP #6838 - Letter from Neighbor

Attachments:

Hollopeter Letter (1840 Linda Vista).pdf

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. <u>Learn more...</u>.

Hello Mr. Jomsky,

The attached letter was sent by snail mail to the City Planning address. I also received a copy but I wanted to make sure this is part of the correspondence record for tonight's hearing since this letter is from my direct neighbor to the north, in support of our project. Let me know if you have any questions. Thank you.

MATTHEW FELDHAUS, ARCHITECT, CCM, LEED AP President

139 HERMOSA AVE HERMOSA BEACH, CA 90254 626.888.9411

WWW.RWBID.COM

... BECAUSE ROME WASN'T BUILT IN A DAY EITHER.

CLAYTON HOLLOPETER

1840 Linda Vista Avenue Pasadena, CA 91103

March 10, 2022

Planning & Community Development Department Planning Division, 2nd Floor – City Hall 175 North Garfield Street Pasadena, Ca 91101

RE: HDP #6838 - 1820 Linda Vista Avenue

My name is Clayton Hollopeter. I live at 1840 Linda Vista Avenue in the city of Pasadena. I have lived at this address for at least 20 years. My home is immediately North of M€ Feldhaus' property. We share a common property line.

I have absolutely no objections to the additions and construction that Mr. Feldhaus proposes for his home. Over the years I have visited the home many times. I agree that renovations are in order and are well justified to modernize the home and make it more comfortable for the residents.

I do not see any affect that the proposed construction and additions would have on the neighborhood as little or none of it will be visible from Linda Vista Avenue.

I urge that Mr. Feldhaus' application be approved immediately.

Clay Hollopeter

Jomsky, Mark

From:

Derryk Ly

Sent:

Friday, March 11, 2022 8:53 AM

To:

Jomsky, Mark

Subject:

1820 Linda Vista Avenue

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. <u>Learn more...</u>.

Dear Mayor and City Council:

Hi my name is Derryk Ly and I am a nearby resident of the City of Pasadena and a Professional Civil Engineer. I am writing in support of the project at 1820 Linda Vista Ave. I believe it is a good addition for the community and will improve the neighborhood. I attended the last Board of Zoning Appeals hearing and I would like to say the City Planning Department did an exceptional job. They were thorough and comprehensive with their investigation and assessment of the project from both property owner's perspectives. They recommended approval of this project. To my surprise the adjacent property owner who opposes the project did not cooperate with the Planning Department during the investigation period and provided claims without much evidence. I would like to thank the Planning Department, who I believe is the subject matter expert for the City, for their hard work. Please approve this project once again.

Sent from my iPad

McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)

From:

Jomsky, Mark

Sent:

Monday, March 14, 2022 4:12 PM

To:

Official Records - City Clerk

Subject:

Fwd: 1820 Linda Vista - Public Comment

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Matthew Feldhaus

Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 3:57:16 PM

To: Jomsky, Mark

Cc: 'Richard McDonald' >; Moran, Katherine

Subject: 1820 Linda Vista - Public Comment

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. <u>Learn more...</u>.

Mr. Jomsky,

The correspondence below from Liz Huston was provided by the former homeowner of 1812 Linda Vista. Please include the email with the correspondence for the record tonight. Thank you.

MATTHEW FELDHAUS, ARCHITECT, CCM, LEED AP President



139 HERMOSA AVE HERMOSA BEACH, CA 90254 626.888.9411 WWW.RWBID.COM

... BECAUSE ROME WASN'T BUILT IN A DAY EITHER.

From: Lisa Johnson

Sent: Friday, December 10, 2021 4:05 PM

To: Matthew Feldhaus Subject: Fwd: View

Hi Matthew,

Here is a simple recollection of the views from Liz Houston. She has lived in that home for 48 years and her grandfather is the one who built the home.

Thanks, Lisa Johnson ----- Forwarded message -----

From: Elizabeth Huston

Date: Fri, Dec 10, 2021 at 3:43 PM

Subject: View

To:

The view in the kitchen from 1812 was of an avocado tree growing up. When it was taken out we could the driveway and carport all at eye level. The dining room view was when you walked in and you could see the golf course. When sitting facing 1820 in 1812 dining room all you could see was the carport and the garage and side of the driveway. The view of the mountains is upstairs in the purple bathroom between two bedrooms and both bedrooms has the Mountain View. I hope this helps and is my recollection of the house I lived in for 48 years. Liz Huston

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Matthew Feldhaus .

Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 6:12:53 PM

To: Moran, Katherine < kmoran@cityofpasadena.net >; Jomsky, Mark < mjomsky@cityofpasadena.net >

Cc: 'Richard McDonald' <:

Subject: FW: 1820 Linda Vista Ave. Letter of support

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. <u>Learn more...</u>.

Hi Katherine and Mark,

Please see the attached letter from the previous homeowner of 1820 Linda Vista Ave, Lisa Johnson, for the record at tonight's hearing. Thank you.

MATTHEW FELDHAUS, ARCHITECT, CCM, LEED AP

President

Cell: 562.477.7609



139 HERMOSA AVE HERMOSA BEACH, CA 90254 626.888.9411 www.rwbid.com

...BECAUSE ROME WASN'T BUILT IN A DAY EITHER.

From: Lisa Johnson < johnson12233@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 6:05 PM

To: mjomsky@cityofpasadena.net

Cc: Matthew Feldhaus < matthew@rwbid.com > Subject: 1820 Linda Vista Ave. Letter of support

Please see attached letter of support for Matthew.

Let me know if you have any issues opening it.

Thank you,

RE; Project at 1820 Linda Vista Ave., Pasadena, CA 93065

To Whom It May Concern:

I am Lisa Johnson and the former owner of 1820 Linda Vista Ave. Pasadena, CA, as my grandparents were before me. I have grown up at 1820 Linda Vista Ave. Pasadena, CA, for the last 46 years. The new residents that reside at 1812 Linda Vista Ave., Pasadena, CA are new residents to that home and had just purchased the residence a few months before Matthew Feldhaus had purchased our residence at 1820 Linda Vista Ave., Pasadena, CA. I have had the privilege of having been in both residence all these years up until 2019 when they were both sold within months of each other. When council presented video evidence of the camera angle to show the view from the side windows, looking into the side yard of the 1820 Linda Vista Ave., Pasadena property, the camera was depicted as being a person's head and coming to the top of the windows. This was an exaggerated angle and is not the viewpoint if you are walking through the home. In reality, it would be a much lower angle and the view is from the back of the home only. From the inside bottom windows of 1812 Linda Vista Ave. you could see the 1820 carport, roof and breezeway area as the main view. I know this as I have been in every single room in the 1812 Linda Vista home and know the view. I have discussed this with Liz Houston, who was the former owner of 1812 Linda Vista Ave., as her grandfather had actually built that residence. Liz and I concurred that there was no view from the side bottom windows and that is why they entertained out back as that was the view over the Rosebowl and golf course.

The main reason we chose to sell to Matthew Feldhaus is that he was so respectful of the home and neighbors, while also keeping us updated as to what his plans for remodeling the home and the look of the home. He has kept us in the loop and even sent us the blueprints. It was amazing how he could incorporate the new additions while still having the home appear as it was from the street view. He respected the views of the neighbors and instead added down. To me, this is a very respectful project and keeps the neighbors views in mind. My family belonged also to the Linda Vistans Group and respect that they want to keep the integrity of the views for the Linda Vista area, but really in this case, this project should move forward for Matthew Feldhaus to continue building as this is the a very respectful design of both the neighbors and the area.

I am rather disappointed in the disapproval of the minimal inconvenience that the residents at 1812 Linda Vista Ave. are having with this project, since we were so accommodating to them as they were doing their own renovations with no objections from us. Also, this residence remodel would enhance the value of the Linda Vista Area, while still being respectful to the neighbors, views and land structure.

Please let this project more forward.

Thank you, Lisa Johnson Former owner of 1820 Linda Vista Ave., Pasadena, CA. 818-359-7187