

Attachment A

Scoring Evaluation Summary for PM and QA Services for CMMS

Phase I RFP Scoring Criteria

Evaluation Criteria	Points Available	Scoring Category	Perfect Score	West Advanced Technologies, Inc.	TMG Consulting	Raffellis Financial Consultants, Inc.	Business Advantage Consulting (BAC)	GHD
Total Points Awarded	100			25	83	88	49	78
Project Staffing	25		30	12	27	29	18	26
		Proposed staffing structure for services under this RFP, including detailed narrative information on the proposed roles, responsibilities, qualifications and educational background of specific individual/s who will be assigned to this engagement	4	1.4	3.8	4	2.2	3.6
		Vendor's ability to maintain the same project team through completion of project	4	1.8	3.2	3.8	3.2	3.2
		Key individual assigned experience, must have worked on at least three projects for a utility in his/her current position						
		Project Manager	4	1.8	3.8	4	2.8	3.8
		Quality Assurance Manager	4	1.6	3.6	3.8	2.2	3.6
		Business Systems Analyst	4	1.6	3.8	3.8	2	3.2
		Test Lead	4	1.6	3.4	3.8	2.2	3.4
		Tester	4	1.6	3.6	3.6	2.2	3.4
			28	11.4	25.2	26.8	16.8	24.2
Project Experience	25		25	1	23	25	11	21
		Review of complete list of all projects and clients over the past five (5) years. Proposer's demonstrated record of success on similar work previously performed for other municipal utilities or enterprises.	4	0.2	3.6	4	1.8	3.4
			4	0.2	3.6	4	1.8	3.4
Company Experience and Expertise	20		20	3	19	19	11	17
		Vendor's ability to effectively perform the specific tasks outlined in this RFP	4	0.8	3.8	4	2.2	3.6
		Vendor's technical expertise	4	0.6	4	3.6	2.8	3.6
		Specific industry experience (electric, water, wastewater, solid waste) in providing CMMS support consulting services	4	0.6	3.6	3.8	2	3.2
		Enhancements to California based municipal utilities, within the last five (5) years	4	0.8	3.6	4	1.8	3.4
		Review of complete list of all projects and clients over the past five (5) years and Proposer's demonstrated record of success on similar work previously performed for other municipal utilities or enterprises	4	0.6	3.6	3.6	2	3.6
			20	3.4	18.6	19	10.8	17.4

Methodology and Scope of Work	20		15	4	14	15	9	14
		Description of the Vendor's approach to providing consulting services, and the specific method and techniques to be employed to provide the services outlined in this RFP or a detailed explanation on the steps to be used to accomplish the scope of work.	4	1.2	3.6	4	2.8	3.8
		Understanding of the unique needs of Pasadena	4	0.8	4	4	2	3.4
			8	2	7.6	8	4.8	7.2
Local Pasadena Business	5		5					
Small or Micro-Business	5		5	5				

Phase II RFP Scoring Criteria

	Phase-I Score	Interview	Cost	Local Business	Small and Micro Business	Total
TMG Consulting	83	50	29.18	0	0	162
Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc.	88	50	40.00	0	0	178
GHD	78	50	27.12	0	0	155