

PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT STAFF REPORT

DATE: MARCH 18, 2021

TO: BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

FROM: LUIS ROCHA, ZONING ADMINISTRATOR

SUBJECT: APPEAL OF HEARING OFFICER'S DECISION

HILLSIDE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT #6837

801 SOUTH SAN RAFAEL AVENUE

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Board of Zoning Appeals:

- 1. Adopt the Environmental Determination. project is exe review pursuant to the guidelings of the California Environmental y Act (Public Resources Code §21080(b ministr**a**ive Code, Title oter 3, 15303, Class 3, New Construction or nall Structures nd §153 1, Class 1, Existing Facilities). There are no s that distinguish this pro hers in the exempt ct from class; therefore, the specifically exempts the construction exempts the minor alteration of existing aligible or no expansion of private structures, existing or former us. The project involve add ion of two new 600 square-foot ructures and the modification ion on he existing residence to create dre, and involves a negligible or no are bot, detached accessory ie existing single-famil use; and
- 2. Uph ld the Heaving Officer's decision at approve Hillside Development Permit #6837.



A summary of development standards is provided in Table A below to show complete with It of the applicable zoning and Hillside Overlay development standards.

able A Development Feature	Required	Propued		Analysis
	rict Development Standards		7	
	et (based on signed topographica	ls vey)		
Maximum Floor Area Ratio	9,090 square feet (25 percent, plus 500 square feet - slope reduction)	5,838 sur le fee	t	Complies
Maximum Lot Coverage	12,026 square feet (35 percent)	4, % square fee (1 percent)	t	Complies
Neighborhood Compatibility	n/a	n/a		n/a
Minimum Parking	2 covered paces	3-car garage		Complies
Guest Parking	Four spaces	Four spaces		Complies
Accessory Structure(s)				
Maximum Individual Accessory Structure Size- Accessory Structure 1 Accessory Structure 2 Accessory Structure 3	or 0 square feet	600 square feet 600 square feet 262 square feet		Complies
Maximum Aggregate Structure Size	6% of the lot size (2,062 square feet) or 600 square feet, whichever is greater	2,062 square fee (four structures)	et	Complies
Minimus Side Se back Northwest A cesso Structure 1 Accusory Structure 2 Accessory Structure 3 Suthea Cessory Structure 1 Accessory Structure 2 Accessory Structure 2 Accessory Structure 3	2 feet for the initial length of 22 feet, 5 feet thereafter.	12'-6" 40'-1 1/4" 67'-2 1/4" 36' 10' 10'		Complies
Minimum Rear Setback Accessory Structure 1 Accessory Structure 2 Accessory Structure 3	25'	30' 53' 242'		Complies
Maximum Allowable Overall Height Accessory Structure 1 Accessory Structure 2 Accessory Structure 3	15'	15' 15' 12'-9"		Complies
Maximum Allowable top plate height- Accessory Structure 1 Accessory Structure 2 Accessory Structure 3	9'	9' 9' 7'-8"		Complies



PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

STAFF REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW:

This project has been determined to be exempt from environmental review pursuant to the guidelines of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code §21080(b)(9); Administrative Code, Title 14, Chapter 3, §15303, Class CNL w Construction or Conversion of Small Structures and §15301, Class 1, Existing Figure There are no features that distinguish this project from others in the exempt class; perefore, there are no unusual circumstances. Section 15303 specifically exempts the construction of accessory structures, a single-family residence and multi-family residential structures totaling no more than four or six dwelling units. Section 15301 exempts the minor alternation of existing public or private structures, involving negligible or no expansion of existing or former use.

The project involves the addition of wo new 600 square-foot accessory structures and the modification of a portion of the existing reliable accessory structure, and involves a naticible or no expansion of the existing single-family residential use. The project does not include the tyres that would preclude the project from qualifying for a categorical exemption under V.QA. The staff of the Design and Historic Preservation Section of the Planning Division reviews an application for the landmark designation of the property at 801 South San Rafael Avenue. In November 30, 2020, after reviewing the information submitted with xtensive photographs of the building, and researching information the application, in uding / der and its former occupants, staff has determined that the property did about the kallding. (teria for designation as a landmark (Attachment F). In reaching this conclusion, Iff applied by methodology for evaluating the significance of historic properties in guidelines of the National Register of Historic Places, published by the National Park Service, and the criteria asad ha Municipal Code. Furthermore, even if the residence did meet the criteria for landmark designation, the proposed project (detached accessory structures at the rear of the site) would not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. In addition, the "cumulative" impact of the ministerial and discretionary projects was considered and it was determined that the totality would be exempt from environmental review pursuant to the Class 1 and 3 Categorical Exemptions. The ministerially approved first floor addition and detached garage, along with the requested accessory structures through this discretionary process, are typical improvements that are commonly approved for single-family residences. These types of improvements do not result in cumulative impacts that are significant. Therefore, the proposal is exempt from environmental review.



PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT STAFF REPORT

CONCLUSION:

g the Hillside Development Permit It is staff's assessment that the findings necessary for a provi to allow the construction of two new detached accessory structures, and conversion of a portion of the residence into a partially open cabana, on a specify currently developed with an existing single-family residence and a detached, three can be made (Attachment A). The proposed project meets all applicable development standards required by the Zoning Code for the RS-4 zoning district, for accessory starctures and the additional development standards required within the Hillside Overlay Di architecture incorporates features present in the neighborhood and the location of the improvements are set a significant distance from the rightof-way and abutting properties. Moreo , the roposed size, design, materials, and color palette are consistent with the appr cab de ign criteria (architectural features) for the Hillside Development Overlay and properties within the neighborhood. Existing views and privacy would yiect It is anticipated that the proposed location would not be be maintained after the to su founding properties or improvements. Therefore, based on staff's detrimental or injurious analysis of the issues. construction of the proposed three accessory structures, as compa conditioned, would bble with the adjacent land uses and would not result in any adverse area with the recommended conditions of approval. impacts to the surr uno

Staff finds that the findings necessary for approving Hillside Development Permit #6837 to allow for the construction of the proposed accessory structures can be made. Therefore, staff recommends that the Board of Zoning Appeals uphold the Hearing Officer's January 6, 2021 decision, and approve Hillside Development Permit #6837 subject to the findings in Attachment A and recommended conditions of approval in Attachment B.



PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PLANNING DIVISION

November 30, 2020

Pasadena Heritage Attn: Andrew Salimian 651 S. St. John Ave. Pasadena, CA 91105

Via email: preservation@pasadenaheritage.org

NOTICE OF INELIGIBILITY Application for Designation as a Landmark 801 S. San Rafael Ave.

Case # DHP2020-10023

Dear Mr. Salimian:

Council District 6

The staff of the Design & Historic Preservation 2 ation of the Planning Division has reviewed your application for the landmark design tion of the poperty at 801 S. San Rafael Ave. After reviewing the information submitted with our application, including extensive photographs of the building, and researching information about the building, its builder and its former occupants, staff has determined that the roperty does not meet the criteria for designation as a landmark.

In reaching this conclusion, the standardied the methodology for evaluating the significance of historic properties in guide lies of the National Register of Historic Places, published by the National Park Selvice, and the crueria in the Pasadena Municipal Code.

Section17. Society of the Yoning Code sets forth the eligibility criteria for landmark designation and states:

"A landmark have be the best representation in the City of a type of historic resource or it may be one of several historic resources in the City that have common architectural attributes that represent a particular type of historic resource. A landmark shall meet one or more of the following criteria:"

- A. It is associated with <u>events</u> that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history of the city, region or state.
- B. It is associated with the lives of <u>persons</u> who are significant in the history of the city, region or state.



April 21, 2021 ob Number: 2607-001 Firstorical Evaluation for asadena, California 91105

This historical evaluation was prepared for the property owner to determine whether the subject property is considered a "Historical Reso (ce" as defined (p Section 15064.5(a) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guitalines. Sapphor Environmental, Inc. conducted a site visit to document the buildings and conducted research for the purposes of evaluating whether the property meets the criteria for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register), the California Register of Historical Resources (California Register), or for designation as a City of Pasadena Historic Languagia (City Languagia).

801 S. San Rafael Avenu

This MFR includes a summary of the property's setting; the findings of the field survey; and an assessment of the property's eligibility for listing in federal, state, and/or local registers. Sapphos Environmental, Inc. 2 has that the buildings located at 601 S. San Rafael Avenue does not meet the criteria for listing in the National Register, the California Register, or for listing as a City Landmark.

CONCLUSION

801 S. San Rafael Avenue is ineligible for listing in the National Register, the California Register, or for designation as a City Landmark. The property does not meet the criteria listed in federal, state, or local regulations to merit listing in national, state, or local registers and therefore, does not constitute as a "Historical Resource" as defined in Section 15064.5(a) of the CEQA Guidelines. The proposed project would not result in a substantial adverse change to a historical resource pursuant to Section 15064.5(b) of the CEQA Guidelines.



April 22, 2021 Project No.: 7082

Michael Rachlin, Partner Rachlin Partners 8640 National Boulevard Culver City, CA 90232 **VIA EMAIL**

Telephone: 949.788.4900

Website: www.ultrasystems.com

Facsimile: 949.788.4901

RE: CEQA Requirements for Categorical Exemption
Construction of Accessory Structures at 801 for th San Rafael Avenue in Pasadena

Dear Mr. Rachlin,

Regarding the appeal of approval of a Hills to Development Permit (LDP) for construction of two accessory structures and a modification to the Listing maintees accessory project") at 101 Journ San Rafael Street in the City of Pasadena, the letter addresses seven Lassertions made by the appellants regarding the applicability of two cates prical exemptions to the Cantornia Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) invoked by the City of Rasalana for the project.

Background

The project applicant wner of a singleresidence at 801 Sou San Rafael Street in the City of Pasadena, s t Permit (HDP) for construction an application feet each, in the rea (west) and of the property, one as a of two accesso home office age use; and modification of an dence to form a 262-square-foot veloped with a 4,706-square-foot, twoguare-foot garage. The City determined that the acilities) categorical exemption (CE) for (New Construction or Conversion of Small playroom a ares) CE for construction of the two accessory structures.

The Hearing Officer approved the HIP on January 6, 2021. A neighbor appealed approval of the HDP permit. The neighbor's objection are set form in a letter from the Silverstein Law Firm to Paul Novak and Jennifer Driver regarding Objections to Hillside Development Permit #6837 dated January 6, 2021 (henceforth referred to be the dilverstein Letter). Among the neighbor's claims is that the hearing officer erred in better mining that the project is categorically exempt from environmental review under CEQA.

The City of Pasadon. Planking and Development Department issued a Staff Report on March 18, 2021 (henceforth referred to as Staff Report) recommending that the Board of Zoning Appeals adopt the environmental determination that the project (subject to HDP #6837) is exempt from CEQA under Class 1 and class 3 CEs; and uphold the Hearing Officer's decision and approve Hillside Development Permit #6837.