

Martinez, Ruben

From: Diane Wagner <d - 1>
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 3:00 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Save the Trout!!!

I think is so exciting to restore our rivers and save native species...including the trout!!!!

Diane Wagner
90004

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Dan Silver <
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 3:40 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Save the trout in the Arroyo Seco

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

Gentlepersons:

Endangered Habitats League believes the Arroyo Seco Canyon Project and Pasadena's 25-year Water Plan, the Water System and Resources Plan, will have detrimental impacts on the habitat, wildlife and water resources in Hahamongna and the Arroyo Seco. We urge the City of Pasadena to protect the natural character of our region's greatest environmental treasure by:

1. using a living stream to capture storm flows and protect precious habitat;
2. ensuring an adequate environmental flow for fish and wildlife during the dry season; and
3. committing to a plan to stabilize and replenish the Raymond Groundwater Basin.

We ask you to save the endangered trout.

Sincerely,
Dan

Dan Silver, Executive Director
Endangered Habitats League
Los Angeles, CA 90069-4267

<https://ehleague.org>

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Dare Ku <
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 4:01 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Re the Arroyo
Attachments: IMG_2073 1.jpg; IMG_1853.JPG; IMG_2731.JPG

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

Hello, my name is Darrell Kunitomi, a resident of Los Angeles and longtime angler in the Transverse Range. I've fished from the San Bernardinos to the Los Padres for decades, through thick and thin, high and low water. This is a particularly dire year for our streams, and consequently it's bleak for all aquatic and avian and terrestrial life that depend on our streams.

The Arroyo Seco was an excellent fishery, giving up fine rainbow trout not far from the JPL parking lot. I am glad they still survive in there despite the low water. My best wild trout there in the 90s was a very good 12", strong and feisty, and released with little harm. It's always fascinating to me how busy the canyon can be: horse riders, walkers and joggers, bikers, birders and anglers like myself who can drive 20 minutes and be in a wilderness setting. The canyon is an outstanding resource. But it all starts with the rains. Without water all comes to a halt.

I was fishing the North Fork of the San Gabriel just last Sunday, and as I stepped through the alders I could hear the rush. When I saw the flow I exclaimed -- it is flowing nicely, owing to the natural seepage from above (the mountain that holds Crystal Lake, up Hwy 39). And stepping into the water was a revelation, it was cold water. A very, very pleasant and surprising thing given the exceedingly low flow of the Arroyo Seco, and the even lower trickle of nearby Millard Canyon. Millard may go dry in August, it is that bad in there. I hope the Arroyo Seco can recover. Beneath a bridge are painted markers that start with "100 year floor." The actual flow today is about 3"--4" there.

I would hope that the Arroyo's flow may continue as much as possible as it supports trout (I have caught and released five this season, both wild and transplants from the East Fork with the clipped adipose fin), and of course all the recreational users who love the place.

Attached are two Arroyo Seco rainbows, caught, photographed and released; the flood year markers.

respectfully,
Darrell Kunitomi
Los Angeles, Ca.

07/19/2021
Item 13







Martinez, Ruben

From: elizabeth
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 4:28 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Hahamonga

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

Dear City of Pasadena,

I am a homeowner/taxpayer writing in support of developing and supporting a natural stream in the Hahamonga basin. Every bit of research shows that keeping streams natural, or creating streams based on natural ecology and systems is a much better way to conserve water and restrict flooding and keep nature in balance than the spreading basins.

The spreading basins may be good ideas for other areas, but it is not a good idea for the Raymond basin.

It is amazing that fish were found in the stream. We could heal this area and make it a showpiece of ecology.

I spend a lot time hiking and running the Gabrielino trail--this is a spectacular resource that could be better.

Yes to a living river.
No to spreading basins!

Thank You,
Elizabeth Tremante

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Steve Messer <[redacted]>
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 4:41 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Board meeting 7/19, agenda item 13, Arroyo Seco Canyon Project

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

The Arroyo Seco Canyon Project's EIR does not adequately provide for the protection of nor increase the resilience of native trout in the Arroyo Seco canyon. CORBA, the organization I represent, has done extensive trail restoration work in the Arroyo Seco Canyon, including the Gabrielino, El Prieto and Ken Burton trails on Forest Service lands, in an effort to both improve recreational access, and reduce impacts to the Arroyo Seco stream and the ecosystem it supports. We've seen first-hand the slow but steady recovery of riparian areas heavily impacted by the Station Fire in 2009. We urge Pasadena City Council to stop the depletion of the Raymond Basin, as the current EIR would enable and encourage. We would strongly prefer protections and mitigations for native fish and wildlife in the Arroyo Seco Canyon and a management strategy that prioritizes natural habitat, a free-flowing natural streambed, natural aquifer replenishment and stewardship of the Arroyo Seco's natural resources, instead of the exploitation of those resources for short-term benefit. We encourage the City of Pasadena to implement a more wildlife-, fish- and climate-friendly option for restoration of the Arroyo Seco Canyon and the ecosystem support, and water resources it provides in our ever-changing climate.

Steve Messer
President
Concerned Off-Road Bicyclists Association (CORBA)
<http://www.corbamtba.com>

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Roland Trevino <r
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 5:28 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Please save the Arroyo Seco Rainbow Trout

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

The Arroyo Seco Rainbow Trout are a precious natural resource that must be saved. I have experienced the magic of seeing these beautiful creatures jumping in the pools and watching juvenile trout and trout fry swimming in the shallows. I remember these trout from when I was a youth hiking these canyons and fly fishing - but they have been here long before that and deserve to continue existing in our Arroyo Seco as they have for thousands of years. Please do not promote any short-sighted plans that do not account for the continued safety and welfare of this vulnerable population of Arroyo Seco Rainbow Trout. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Roland R. Trevino, Esq.

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Christine Palette <[redacted]>
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 5:14 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Stop Depleting the Raymond Basin/ Preserve Hahamongna

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

To whom it may concern,

I want to register my opposition to the Canyon project. Pasadena is a more beautiful and special place to live because of the Hahamongna watershed. Please act to preserve the river and the living things that depend on it.

Sincerely,
Christine

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Lisa Opsal <lisa.opsal@pasadenawater.com>
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 5:56 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Say no to ASCP

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

Dear City Members representing the Community and its Constituents interests,

I live in neighboring District 14 right at the edge of Pasadena, Eagle Rock & Highland Park. My husband and I have been considering a move to Pasadena because of the emphasis on preserving and protecting nature. The Arroyo and it's Basins serve as important spots in our Community and ensuring that it is viable and restored for future generations is important to myself, family members and friends that live in Los Angeles and Pasadena. I urge you to consider better ways to conserve stream flow in the Hahamongna & Raymond basins. This water source is important for existing life that has found refuge from fire stricken areas just to the West and for life that has been there for a millenia. We need to leave unpaved areas of these sites and restore as much as possible due to the Climate change our Community is experiencing and will be experiencing in the near future.

Please listen to the members of the community that are asking for the basin to be healed and restored for the resilience of coming needs. Our future depends on it. This is not just about a few trout that may be living there... this is much much bigger. I watched an entire hillside disappear over the summer and the way the water runs down into the canyon and feeds the trees and life below... I do not want to live in a place that does not value Trees and water. Please heed the members of the Communities voices over Pasadena Water & Power.

Community over Commodity.

Thank you,
Lisa Opsal

North Ave 57

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Lorna Brosio <[lorna.brosio@pasadenawater.com](#)>
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 5:59 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Arroyo

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

- We love Hahamongna. Hahamongna is sacred. Don't degrade it further.
- Stop the depletion of the Raymond Basin. Heal the Basin.
- Protect the native fish and wildlife in the Arroyo.
- Let the River Flow. A living, natural stream is a better way to conserve water than artificial spreading basins.
- Pasadena Water & Power should be a steward of the natural resources it exploits.
- Pasadena Water & Power should listen to the community.

Sent from my iPhone

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Elisa Read <
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 6:22 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Hahamongna

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

Dear City of Pasadena,

I would love to share this podcast with you. Please listen to it, specifically the portion of river management and watershed restoration

The Tribe that's Moving Earth (and Water) to Solve the Climate Crisis
by HOW TO SAVE A PLANET

<https://gimletmedia.com/shows/howtosaveaplanet/5whko6o/the-tribe-thats-moving-earth-and-water>

I would like you to reflect that onto the possibility you have to improve (not degrade) the heart of the water shed for Los Angeles basin. A water shed that is not only vital for steelhead trout but for all of our LA County dwellers.

Please work with nature, please listen to those raising voices, and be the change to heal Hahamongna

Sincerely

Elisa Read (she/her/ella)
Horticulture Specialist

RIOS

11, Los Angeles, CA 90018

[@rios.imagines](#) / [@rioshome](#)

RIOS | [Imagining Defensible Space](#)

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Joshua Chiang
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 10:10 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Arroyo Basin

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

Hi,

I'm a concerned member of the community. I urge we take care of our ecosystems and fund a better way of water management.

- **We love Hahamongna. Hahamongna is sacred. Don't degrade it further.**
- **Stop the depletion of the Raymond Basin. Heal the Basin.**
- **Protect the native fish and wildlife in the Arroyo.**
- **Let the River Flow. A living, natural stream is a better way to conserve water than artificial spreading basins.**
- **Pasadena Water & Power should be a steward of the natural resources for the people and the wildlife.**
- **ASCP efforts should instead focus on a resilient plan for the future through groundwater revitalization and ecosystem restoration. Help the Earth do what it does best!**

Thank you,

Josh Chiang

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Eloise Kaeck <
Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2021 10:54 AM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: PWP water plan

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

I am not in favor of the current PWP plan because it is not the best way to replenish or use the Raymond Basin. Leave the living stream, please.

eloise kaeck
Dist. 3

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: CJ Miller <cj.miller@cityofpasadena.net>
Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2021 1:48 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Please preserve the natural waters of Arroyo Seco

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

To whom it may concern

As a long time resident of north east Los Angeles and a person who spends a great deal of time and money in Pasadena, I want you to hear my feelings on the Arroyo Seco.

It is with all my heart and a thorough understanding of the proposed project I beg of you to please take action to PRESERVE the arroyo Seco and protect the wildlife that DEPENDS on the flowing waters. The presence of the trout alone should be enough to persuade you to protect the natural flow of the arroyo Seco. However so many important minds and indigenous leadership are also in opposition to the proposed city project of diversion and draining of this precious water source. We need to work harder together to build a sustainable future and an essential part of that is preserving our ever disappearing natural water ways and precious wild lands. Without these things... our world and any proposed city project will collapse. If the city officials decide to move forward with project and further draining of the arroyo Seco I will be devastated and will spend far less of my time and money in the city of Pasadena knowing that we do not have an even remotely aligned system of values.

Please think of the future

CJ Miller

--

CJ Miller
(she/her/they/them)
(*curious about pronouns?*)
<http://soyouthink.org/>

07/19/2021

Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Hugh Bowles <hsbowles@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2021 3:58 PM
To: Hampton, Tyron; Kennedy, John J.; Masuda, Gene; Gordo, Victor; Madison, Steve; Wilson, Andy; Ventura, Elisa; Lori Paul; Marietta Kruells; Ann Scheid; bourel; Tim Brick; Tim Wendler; Darren Dowell; Laura Garrett; Cindy Clark-schnuelle; Shackelford

Subject: Arroyo Seco Canyon Project appeal to Council, 7/19/21. Where things stand:the citizen view.

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

This e-mail is addressed to the Mayor and Members of the City Council, Trustee Agencies for the Arroyo Seco Canyon Project ASCP, and members of the public who have commented on and followed the ASCP.

On June 7th, the Appeal hearing for the PWP Arroyo Seco Canyon Project was "continued" (postponed) to July 12th. The July 12th hearing was "continued" to July 19th. It is now Agenda Item #13 on Monday, July 19th 2021:

CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING: APPEAL OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS' DECISION ON MODIFICATION TO CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 6222 REGARDING THE PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 3420 AND 3500 N. ARROYO BLVD (ARROYO SECO CANYON PROJECT).

The reason for the initial continuation was a communication from PWP to the Appellants that PWP was willing to meet with the Appellants to hear their concerns.

Two meetings were held at the PWP offices and with the City's attorney via Zoom. The first meeting, held on June 16th, was mostly in person, all parties vaccinated, masks worn. Participants included the Appellants, PWP's General Manager, and two water employees, and the Deputy City Attorney.

At the first meeting, the Appellants requested that minutes of the meeting be taken for the record.

This request was turned down by PWP management and the City Attorney.

PWP General Manager Gucharan Bawa asked: "What are you concerned about, that we will agree on something and then deny it later?"

One of the Appellants said: "There have been numerous examples over the years where encouraging comments have been made 'off the record' and then never admitted or reflected publicly or in documentation."

The goal of this e-mail is to report to the Mayor and City Council, the public and Trustee Agencies the arc of those meetings and where things lie between the Appellants and PWP. It is important that this background is available prior to the Appeal Hearing.

The Appellants consist of the Arroyo Seco Foundation represented by Tim Brick, Pasadena Audubon represented by Laura Solomon and Mark Hunter, Ken Kules a former MWD water engineer, and Hugh Bowles a local resident living adjacent to Hahamongna. All are PWP customers.

As Appellants, we had to make clear that we were not affiliated with a single organization and we covered a broad range of interests relating to Hahamongna. However, we have common cause on the issues of water management, protection of the aquifer as an essential resource for the City, and protection of the natural environment through sound stewardship.

Underlying this common interest is a sense the City of Pasadena must commit, in deed, to the intent of its re-establishment of the area's Native American name: Hahamongna — flowing water, fruitful valley. This name is never used by PWP or the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works.

The Appellants have been clear that the ASCP EIR needs to be returned with instruction to include an "environmentally superior alternative" (required under CEQA) that adheres to the conditions of approval outlined by the Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA).

The BZA conditions include:

1. Measuring the percolation capacity of the stream; maximizing that percolation and working to receive pumping credit for flows absorbed by the stream.
2. Providing for fish passage around or through structures in the stream in line with California Department of Fish and Wildlife codes.
3. Looking at ways to arrest the decline in our local aquifer.

The first meeting opened with discussion on the need to provide for fish passage from the outset of the project. It is well known now, and reported in the LA Times, that fish live in the Arroyo. This is contrary to the claim in the EIR that there are no fish.

The PWP position is that the project is better for fish than the current conditions. The project will have a grill to prevent fish being sucked into the diversion pipe; fish will be able to migrate upstream when the dam gate is down.

The Appellants pointed out that the new dam gate will only be down when flows exceed 25 cubic feet per second (cfs). This condition occurs only during, or shortly after, storm events. These high flows, compared to the norm, are not conducive to fish migration. Fish will migrate during spring and fall when flows are closer to 5 to 10 cfs. Under the project, the dam gate will be up at these rates, all flows will be diverted, no water will pass below the dam.

The Appellants clarified their position that provision for fish passage around or through any structure in the stream needs to be present right from the start of the project.

With this explanation, PWP engineer Brad Boman stated: "I apologize, the project does not provide for fish passage."

We spent time re-working the language in the fish mitigation measure of the EIR to what appeared to be a satisfactory outcome.

A second area of discussion at this first meeting focused on the ability of the natural processes in the basin to enhance percolation and help shore up the declining aquifer.

It was pointed out to PWP that a study they commissioned from Converse Consultants West, as long ago as 1995, found the spreading basin percolation rate to be "by orders of magnitude" worse than the rest of the basin.

Also, in 2000, the City of Pasadena commissioned Philip Williams and Associates (PWA) to look at options for water features to include in the Hahamongna Park Plan. The Williams Study, using the earlier Converse Study as a base, concluded the best water feature was to restore natural flows to the stream and hold water intermittently behind the dam. They estimated this strategy could improve aquifer re-charge by 160% in a normal rainfall year.

With this, PWP was asked how they could be so "incurious" about these scientific studies that the City paid for. The EIR shows percolation rates in the old JPL parking lot are worse than most of the spreading basins.

The Appellants pointed out that the Hahamongna Basin was a natural spreading basin... an alluvial fan — "fan", in this context, synonymous with "spread."

PWP and the City Attorney agreed that they should not be "incurious" about the science.

We discussed the need to measure the percolation capacity of the stream. The Appellants made clear the goal was for PWP to obtain pumping credit for flows percolated through the stream.

PWP expressed concern that the Raymond Basin Management Board (RBMB) might not approve pumping credit for natural percolation.

The Appellants pointed out that with clear measurement, the Appellants and the public can help support a PWP proposal to obtain pumping credit for stream percolation.

The RBMB is chartered to "ensure the sustainability of supply and protection from drought." There is a strong case to maximize the high percolation rate of the stream compared to the spreading basins.

The first meeting was productive, leaving the Appellants hopeful that progress had been made.

A week later, the Appellants received revised language from PWP based on the discussion. While language was changed on fish passage, much of the old contentious language remained. The changes represented no commitment that the project would provide for fish passage from the start. The plan to manually rescue stranded fish when the dam gates were up remained. There was still emphasis on "future" vs "current" fish in relation to steelhead trout. There was no acknowledgement that there are fish living in the Arroyo today.

Language on the measurement of stream percolation was inserted as an aside with no commitment that this should be a key part of the project.

The second meeting, held on July 1, was contentious.

The Appellants pointed out that the language provided by the City failed to reflect the tenor of the constructive first meeting.

David Reyes, City Planning Director, who was not present at the first meeting, stated that there was clearly no agreement and this should be allowed to go to litigation.

This was unhelpful. First, it presumes City Council will certify the EIR without question. It also relies on a public agency having tax payer funded attorneys to represent their position against the public. It shows unwillingness on behalf of the Lead Agent to follow the intent of CEQA and address issues with "meaningful public engagement".

Progress that seemed to have been made in the first meeting reverted back to an impasse.

PWP asked the Appellants to lay out in bullet points what they were looking for. The Appellants pointed out that this had already been done through memos and public comment.

However, after the second meeting, the Appellants submitted a document outlining what they felt needs to occur — including the provision for fish passage, measurement of stream percolation, and plans on how to arrest the decline in the aquifer. PWP informed the Appellants they could not support these requests.

PWP then asked for another continuation and the hearing was moved from July 12 to July 19. PWP wanted this time to "prepare."

We anticipate that PWP will urge the City Council on July 19 to certify the EIR. There will likely be a claim that new language buried in the Mitigation Plan meets the Appellants concerns. It does not.

The EIR must be returned to allow the CEQA process to continue in the public eye. A proper "environmentally superior alternative" must be considered. The Appellants' requests, and the BZA conditions, provide the framework for that alternative. PWP may claim that they are going to follow through, but CEQA requires "meaningful public engagement." Following through on these issues with no public review renders the process worthless.

There was agreement in the meetings that the CA Department of Fish and Wildlife will likely have requests that need to be met before permits can be issued. The Appellants' position is that the Department's Code requires provision for fish passage from the outset and the project design should be changed publicly to reflect that.

PWP would prefer to negotiate with CA Department Fish and Wildlife privately, out of the public gaze, no doubt with a City Attorney present; this allows PWP to apply maximum pressure on CA Department of Fish and Wildlife to assent to the current design. There will be promises to rescue fish stranded by their operations and build fish passage when "future" steelhead return to the Arroyo.

Similarly, measurement of the percolation capacity will be done privately by PWP. Perhaps by the same engineers who declared publicly that measuring stream percolation was "irrelevant."

The Appellants' position is that these activities should be conducted through the public CEQA process. The environmentally superior alternative should be circulated for public comment and review.

PWP may claim that further delay will damage the local water supply, or public grant funds might be lost due to delays. The fact is, PWP have yet to provide one scrap of science to support their claim that the project will "improve aquifer re-charge."

Sadly, too, the science that opened the window to a water conservation strategy 20 years ago has been ignored. Had there been the slightest curiosity about those studies we could have been banking water underground over the last two decades rather than letting it rot in surface ponds.

There is one tantalizing uptick in the graph marking the steady decline of our aquifer. This was over the 2009/10 rain season. That year there was no diversion because of the high debris flows after the Station Fire. Water flowed down to the dam and was held there for short periods. Wells up and down the Hahamongna basin rose by up to 20 feet and by 40 feet below the dam. The following year (2010/11) the wells dropped by an equivalent amount. Per the RBMB Annual Report for 2010/11 there was a 30% increase in precipitation that year, just a 4% increase in extraction, but a 70% increase in diversion. Water flowing in the stream in 2009/10 was diverted in 2010/11.

When confronted with this data in 2015, PWP responded that in 2009/10 the wells were all offline. However, the RBMB Annual Report for 2009/10 hints that if the stream is allowed to flow, and water is held for short periods behind the dam, the science from 1995 and 2000 appears to hold true. To the curious, this could be a path forward to slowing the decline in the aquifer.

Under the Williams Study, there was also provision for debris removal that could have provided an environmentally acceptable framework for removal of debris from the Station Fire.

Council needs to return this EIR with clear direction to develop an environmentally superior alternative. This alternative should be developed with "meaningful public engagement" and then re-circulated for public comment and review.

Certifying the EIR shuts the public out.

The public know the high stakes of this project: the protection and stewardship of our most valuable local resource, water.

Regards,

Hugh Bowles

> Please feel free to forward this e-mail to any interested parties.

> This e-mail is also submitted to the City Council "correspondence" e-mail to be included in the Council package for Agenda Item 13 on July 19, 2021.

Martinez, Ruben

From: Paulett Liewer ✓
Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2021 5:23 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Please stop the Pasadena Water & Power plan

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. Learn more...<https://mydoit.cityofpasadena.net/sp?id=kb_article_view&sysparm_article=KB0010263>.

Please do not approve the Pasadena Water & Power plan to take water more water from the Arroyo Seco stream. Much money has been spent on restoring the riparian habitat around the "Blg Dig" and the diversion will adversely affect the wildlife in Hahamonga region and downstream. This habitat is an important resource for the surround communities for hiking, biking, picnicing etc. and just enjoying the somewhat natural environment. Please don't let them degrade in further.

Paulett Liewer
La Canada

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

Subject: FW: Arroyo Seco Canyon Project

From: Petrea Burchard <

Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2021 12:12:04 PM

To: Gordo, Victor <vgordo@cityofpasadena.net>; Jomsky, Mark <mjomsky@cityofpasadena.net>; Williams, Felicia <fwilliams@cityofpasadena.net>; Kennedy, John J. <JohnJKennedy@cityofpasadena.net>; Hampton, Tyron <THampton@cityofpasadena.net>; Madison, Steve <smadison@cityofpasadena.net>; Wilson, Andy <awilson@cityofpasadena.net>; Masuda, Gene <gmasuda@cityofpasadena.net>; Rivas, Jessica <jerivas@cityofpasadena.net>

Subject: Arroyo Seco Canyon Project

<p>CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you <i>know</i> the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. Learn more...</p>
--

Dear Mayor and members of the City Council,

I understand you've been told there are no trout in the Arroyo. If that's so, why do I see guys with fishing poles heading up the Gabrielino Trail?

Climate change is real and we must take it very seriously if our children and grandchildren are going to survive. My friends in Oregon are feeling it. My friends in Seattle, Chicago, New York, France, England and Germany are feeling it. With communities in our own state already having to truck in water, we have an extremely valuable resource in the Arroyo. That value could be in water for our community, or water we could sell to other communities.

I know you're busy, but I recommend a hike up the trail. The stream is flowing and the fish are jumping. I'm sending a google link to a video I took of the stream on May 18th of this year, just about a mile past JPL. Our stream, and the Raymond Basin, are assets to be treasured and protected.

 [_arroyo stream.MOV](#)

Thank you.

Petrea Burchard Sandel

District 3

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: Susan Campo <1>
Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2021 7:19 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: RE: Item 13, CUP 6222 Arroyo Seco Canyon Project

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. Learn more...<https://mydoit.cityofpasadena.net/sp?id=kb_article_view&sysparm_article=KB0010263>.

Dear City Council Members,

I oppose the Arroyo Seco Canyon Project because..... a natural stream is better habitat for birds and wildlife. The Basin is big enough as it is for flood control. Thank you, Susan Campo and DIANE ZAEPFEL.

Sent from my iPhone

07/19/2021
Item 13

Martinez, Ruben

From: paula kelly <paula.kelly@cityofpaloalto.org>
Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2021 7:27 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: RE: Item 13, CUP 6222 Arroyo Seco Canyon Project

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

Dear City Council Members,

I oppose the Arroyo Seco Canyon Project because.....

- have you no concern for the future and the future of your children???
- you don't appear to be at all concerned about climate change: IGNORE IT AT YOUR PERIL!!!
- protect our living natural stream and save the native fish and wildlife!!!

Paula Kelly
District 1

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

07/19/2021
Item 13