

Martinez, Ruben

From: pasadenacivilrights <pasadenacivilrights@protonmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 26, 2021 1:16 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Public Comment on Matters not on the Agenda

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

Re: The matter of City Clerk Misconduct (which is not on the Agenda)

As of 1:16 pm on Monday, April 26, 2021 the City Clerk Mark has failed to post online the email reproduced below sent April 24 regarding today's Agenda Item 12 even though the City Clerk has posted correspondence received on April 26 for other agenda items. The City Clerk is therefore not fairly and dispassionately posting public comment on Agenda items by order received but is instead acting to delay and/or completely suppress public comment that is critical of his job performance.

----- Original Message -----

On Saturday, April 24, 2021 12:29 PM, pasadenacivilrights <pasadenacivilrights@protonmail.com> wrote:

This public hearing was ordered to be held on April 26, 2021 at 4:30 pm by a unanimous vote of the City Council taken on April 19, 2021. Once established by vote of the Council, a public hearing must take place and can only be cancelled by another vote of the City Council.

City staff or the City Manager (or anyone else) do not have the legal power to cancel City Council hearings established by City Council vote.

Only during the hearing itself, sometimes acting on a request or a recommendation by the City Manager and acting on a motion and a 2nd, can a hearing be cancelled by vote of the City Council.

This agenda item which is legally defective, grossly unlawful and incompetently prepared is part of a longstanding pattern of serious misconduct by city staff relating to cannabis matters which has included flatly refusing to provide cannabis related documents relating to Integral to Councilmember Felicia Williams when she requested them in her role as Chair of the Planning Commission.

Because the City Clerk has produced an illegal and incompetently prepared public notice of a cancellation and stated the matter "will not be discussed" I demand that this Council find his actions to be improper and that this hearing be held forthwith after proper notice is

given. At this point, thanks to the incompetence of the City Clerk, not only can't this hearing legally take place, you can't even take action to reschedule it on Monday.

This series of defiant and unlawful acts involving cannabis are not accidents.

City staff are spending hundreds of thousands if not millions of dollars and now openly defying Council actions in a corrupt attempt to suppress any discussion of their illegal and incompetent performance as it relates to cannabis matters, particularly regarding the illegal scoring of applications.

The City Clerk should be forced to publicly explain why he believes the City Manager (or anyone for that matter) can preemptively cancel public hearings established by vote of the City Council and if he intends to now allow third parties to overturn and cancel City Council actions.

Martinez, Ruben

From: pasadenacivilrights <[redacted]>
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 12:30 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: public comment - april 26, 2021 meeting agenda item 12

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you **know** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

This public hearing was ordered to be held on April 26, 2021 at 4:30 pm by a unanimous vote of the City Council taken on April 19, 2021. Once established by vote of the Council, a public hearing must take place and can only be cancelled by another vote of the City Council.

City staff or the City Manager (or anyone else) do not have the legal power to cancel City Council hearings established by City Council vote.

Only during the hearing itself, sometimes acting on a request or a recommendation by the City Manager and acting on a motion and a 2nd, can a hearing be cancelled by vote of the City Council.

This agenda item which is legally defective, grossly unlawful and incompetently prepared is part of a longstanding pattern of serious misconduct by city staff relating to cannabis matters which has included flatly refusing to provide cannabis related documents relating to Integral to Councilmember Felicia Williams when she requested them in her role as Chair of the Planning Commission.

Because the City Clerk has produced an illegal and incompetently prepared public notice of a cancellation and stated the matter "will not be discussed" I demand that this Council find his actions to be improper and that this hearing be held forthwith after proper notice is given. At this point, thanks to the incompetence of the City Clerk, not only can't this hearing legally take place, you can't even take action to reschedule it on Monday.

This series of defiant and unlawful acts involving cannabis are not accidents.

City staff are spending hundreds of thousands if not millions of dollars and now openly defying Council actions in a corrupt attempt to suppress any discussion of their illegal and incompetent performance as it relates to cannabis matters, particularly regarding the illegal scoring of applications.

The City Clerk should be forced to publicly explain why he believes the City Manager (or anyone for that matter) can preemptively cancel public hearings established by vote of the City Council and if he intends to now allow third parties to overturn and cancel City Council actions.

**04/26/2021
Item 12**