

**Martinez, Ruben**

---

**From:** City Web  
**Sent:** Monday, November 16, 2020 12:43 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Public Comment for Meeting on November 16, 2020 about Agenda Item 10.  
PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPTED SPEED HUMP POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

## **Public Comment for Meeting on November 16, 2020 about Agenda Item 10. PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPTED SPEED HUMP POLICIES AND PROCEDURES**

**Name:** Sven    **Address:** Pasadena, CA 91103

---

### **Comments:**

Before recommending the revised speed hump policy, please consider that while humps slow some traffic, they also increase wear&tear on vehicles and increase vehicle emissions. Living in front of a speed hump I can tell you from experience that they slow those doing 5-over the limit to 5-under, but people doing 40 hit the bumps without a care in the world. The constant sounds of vehicles braking and accelerating, as well as the scrapes of body panels hitting the bump are not a great tradeoff for slowing some speeders. In response to public comment at the transportation advisory commission staff stated that although speed humps are just one tool in their tool chest and not always the preferred solution, it is the only traffic calming measure that residents have a defined process/budget for requesting. Instead of just increasing the streets eligible for speed humps I request that you also consider expanding the allowed requests to other traffic calming measures. Chicanes, lateral shifts, corner extensions, and chokers can also effectively slow traffic without the negative effects of humps. They can also increase urban greenspace/forest, storm water infiltration, and beautify a neighborhood. Please see the emailed comment for more clarification. Thank You

---

**Consent given to read my comments out loud:** Yes

**Martinez, Ruben**

---

**From:** City Web  
**Sent:** Monday, November 16, 2020 3:32 PM  
**To:** PublicComment-AutoResponse  
**Subject:** Public Comment for Meeting on November 16, 2020 about Agenda Item 10 & 11

## **Public Comment for Meeting on November 16, 2020 about Agenda Item 10 & 11**

**Name:** j    **Address:** Pasadena, CA 91107

---

### **Comments:**

I'm a long time resident of Pasadena. I have found that the recent last minute packing of important items such as PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPTED SPEED HUMP POLICIES AND PROCEDURES & UPDATE TO CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) TRANSPORTATION PERFORMANCE THRESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE without informing city residents of their outsize importance relative other agenda items to be an egregious lack of transparency on the part of Pasadena City Hall. Until more time is allowed to allow fellow residents to respond, you should be ashamed of yourselves for trying to ram through further development at our expense.

---

**Consent given to read my comments out loud: Yes**

11/16/2020  
Item 10 & 11