

**ATTACHMENT B: SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING HISTORIC PRESERVATION
ORDINANCE AMENDMENT REVIEW PROCESS**

- Realtors should be required to prominently disclose historic resources in advertisements, provide information about restrictions and complete disclosure forms, with repercussions if not followed.
- Certificate of Appropriateness decisions for projects in landmark districts with Conservation Plans should be appealable by anyone.
- Surrounding property owners should be notified of Certificate of Appropriateness decisions.
- There should be a process to re-designate non-contributing structures as contributing structures following restoration.
- The Ordinance should provide for review of paint colors if they are found to be inappropriate, or an incentive to consult with staff to determine appropriate paint colors.
- Structures in landmark districts that were determined to be non-contributing due to more recent construction should become contributing when they reach 50 years of age.
- Owners of non-contributing structures in landmark districts should be encouraged or required to restore them to become contributing.
- The process for amending the text of Conservation Plans should be simplified in a way that does not require signatures from a majority of property owners in the district.
- The definition of demolition (removal of more than 50% of exterior walls) should be changed to include removal of both wall framing and the exterior wall surface.
- There should be increased monetary penalties for violating the Ordinance. Other penalties suggested by the public include restoration of original conditions, void current permits, or penalize contractor and/or architect.
- The Ordinance should address the issuance of multiple permits resulting in demolition.
- The Ordinance should provide remedies for construction projects that do not follow conditions of approval.
- The HPC chair should be briefed on staff decisions and have authority to call them for review.
- HPC members should meet minimum qualifications.
- In eligible districts that are being surveyed, the HPC should have the ability to recommend to the City Council a temporary moratorium on development.
- The current 180-day delay of demolition [for eligible, undesignated historic resources] should be increased to one year.
- Projects removing more than 25% of a façade should not be allowed.

- There should be a staff review of all projects for properties of a certain age (50 years or less).
- There should be stronger language for protection of Greene & Greene structures.
- There should be an “altered contributor” designation that encourages restoration.
- There should be a designation category for Historic Interiors.
- Cultural landscapes should be recognized through designation.
- There should be a Conservation Overlay Zone designation that would be less restrictive than landmark district status.
- There should be archaeological/prehistoric survey and review requirements.
- SB18 (Tribal Cultural Resources) should be recognized in the Ordinance.
- Pasadena’s historic communities of color should be recognized.
- When demolition of historic resources is approved, appropriate mitigation measures should be required (documentation, interpretive signage, salvage).
- There should be a standard for landmark recognition plaques/signage.
- There should be incentives for projects that provide affordable housing through adaptive reuse.
- Demolition of garages should be changed from a minor project to a major project.
- Amendments to Conservation Plans should be allowed to be inconsistent with the Ordinance.
- The major project definitions related to alterations should require review of major changes to any façades that are visible from the street.
- The proposed minimum maintenance requirements should include language about landscape maintenance and removal of plant materials that may have detrimental effects on historic resources.
- The proposed requirement for an evaluation should exempt properties that have been evaluated or surveyed in the last 20 years rather than 5 years as proposed.