

Martinez, Ruben

Subject: FW: City Council Meeting, 27 August 2017, Agenda item 20

From: B Sword <bjsword@earthlink.net>
Date: August 27, 2018 at 4:57:39 PM PDT
To: Mark Jomsky <mjomsky@cityofpasadena.net>
Subject: City Council Meeting, 27 August 2017, Agenda item 20
Reply-To: B Sword <bjsword@earthlink.net>

Mr. Jomsky, I would appreciate it if you could distribute the following to the City Council.
Thanks

RE: COUNCIL ITEM 20 - Advancement/Repayment of Residential Impact Fees between park districts

The proposed transfer of funds assumes there are surplus funds in the Central District that aren't needed now. Washington Park urgently needs improved restroom facilities.

I'm writing to urge you to consider the transfer of funds for a new restroom facility for Washington Park.

The current Washington Park restroom discourages park users. It is physically frightening to some. It is much older than restrooms in other City Parks, and is long overdue for replacement. During summer camp, a port-o-potty is brought in so children will not have to use the existing structure. On occasions I have witnessed City Staff leaving the park and going to City Yards to use the facility there, rather than using the one in Washington Park.

The need to encourage families to recreate in Washington Park has been mentioned in many community meetings as central to improving the park. A new restroom would definitely help in that endeavor.

A new restroom/community house has been in the Master Plan design for Washington Park for decades. It's past time to commence work on it.

Sincerely,
Betty Sword, President
Friends of Washington Park

08/27/2018
Item 20

Jomsky, Mark

From: Suzuki, Takako
Sent: Monday, August 27, 2018 4:08 PM
To: Jomsky, Mark
Subject: FW: bath house at desiderio - Both Polsbys vote no on this project

Please provide copies to each of the councilmembers at the meeting where Desiderio restroom is agendized.

>> On Aug 27, 2018, at 11:03 AM, jill <polsby@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>

>> I am totally against the construction of a toilet house in Desiderio

>> Park. There is absolutely no reason to provide a public toilet where people are now living. I think the argument of suicides is a fallacious argument, one that doesn't really hold true, but to build a public toilet house is a ridiculous expenditure of public monies. No body walking or running or driving on S. Arroyo Blvd. has ever demanded a public toilet. If they did, the toilets are down below on the arroyo level.

>>

>> My vote is no.

08/27/2018

Item 20