**Attachment 2** 

# CITY OF PASADENA 175 NORTH GARFIELD AVENUE PASADENA, CA 91101-1704

#### **INITIAL STUDY**

In accordance with the Environmental Policy Guidelines of the City of Pasadena, this analysis, the associated "Master Application Form," and/or Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) and supporting data constitute the Initial Study for the subject project. This Initial Study provides the assessment for a determination whether the project may have a significant effect on the environment.

#### SECTION I - PROJECT INFORMATION

- 1. Project Title:
- 2. Lead Agency Name and Address:
- 3. Contact Person and Phone Number:
- 4. Project Location:
- 5. Project Sponsor's Name and Address:
- 6. General Plan Designation:
- 7. Zoning:
- 8. Description of the Project:
- 9. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: (Briefly describe the project's surroundings):
- 10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g. permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Aesthetics	Geology and Soils	Population and Housing
Agricultural Resources	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	Public Services
Air Quality	Hydrology and Water Quality	Recreation
Biological Resources	Land Use and Planning	Transportation/Traffic

Cultural Resources	Mineral Resources	Utilities and Service Systems
Energy	Noise	Mandatory Findings of Significance

**DETERMINATION:** (to be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

Negative Declaration/Mitigated Negative De  Adoption attested to by: Printed name/Sig		
Printed Name	Printed Name	
Prepared By/Date	Reviewed By/Date	
potentially significant effects (a) have been and DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, a	ve a significant effect on the environment, because all alyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that ng revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed d.	
mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least document pursuant to applicable legal standards,	tially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures thed sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT remain to be addressed.	
I find that the proposed project MAY have a significa ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.	ant effect on the environment, and an	
	a significant effect on the environment, there will not be in measures described on an attached sheet have been CLARATION will be prepared.	<u></u>
I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a DECLARATION will be prepared.	significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE	

#### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "

Potentially Significant Impact' is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect is significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.

- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less than Significant Impact." The Lead Agency must describe the mitigation measures and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 21, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. See CEQA Guidelines Section 15063( c)(3)(D). Earlier analyses are discussed in Section 21 at the end of the checklist.
  - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier documents and the extent to which address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b) The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significant

### **SECTION II - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM**

BACKGROUND

•	Date checklist submitted Department requiring che Case Manager:						
2.	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	. (explanations of	all answers are req	uired):			
		Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
3.	AESTHETICS. Would the pro	ject:					
	a. Have a substantial adverse	e effect on a scen	ic vista?				
WHY	· /?						
	b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?						
WHY	<b>(?</b>						
	c. Substantially degrade the	existing visual ch	aracter or quality of	the site and its su	rroundings?		
WHY	<b>(?</b>						
	d. Create a new source of s views in the area?	substantial light of	r glare which would	d adversely affect	day or nighttime		
WHY	′?						

- 4. AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project.
  - a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?

WHY?					
b.	Conflict with existing zoning for	or agricultural u	se, or a Williamso	n Act contract?	
WHY?					
C.	Involve other changes in the result in conversion of Farmlai			ue to their location	or nature, could
WHY?					
manage	R QUALITY. Where available ement or air pollution control of the project:				
a.	Conflict with or obstruct implen	nentation of the	applicable air qu	ality plan?	
WHY?					
b.	Violate any air quality standard	d or contribute t	o an existing or p	rojected air quality v	violation?
		П			
WHY?	•		_	_	<del></del>
******					
C.	Result in a cumulatively cons region is non-attainment un- (including releasing emissions	der an applica	able federal or s	state ambient air (	quality standard
WHY?					
d.	Expose sensitive receptors to s	substantial pollu	ıtant concentratio	ns?	

	е.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?					
WHY	/?						
6.	BI	OLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would	the project:				
	a.	Have a substantial adverse effective dentified as a candidate, sensitive regulations, or by the California D	∕e, or special sta	atus species in local	or regional plans,	policies, or	
WHY	<b>/</b> ?						
	b.	Have a substantial adverse effer identified in local or regional pla Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and	nns, policies, an	d regulations or by			
WHY	<b>/</b> ?						
	C.	Have a substantial adverse effect Clean Water Act (including, but removal, filling, hydrological interi	not limited to,	marsh, vernal pool,			
WHY	<b>/</b> ?						
	d.	Interfere substantially with the moor with established native residuildlife nursery sites?					
WHY	<b>/</b> ?						
	e.	Conflict with any local policies preservation policy or ordinance?		protecting biologica	l resources, such	as a tree	
WHY	<b>/?</b>						

f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP), Natural Community Conservation Plan (NCCP), or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?

WH	Y?				
7.	CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would	I the project:			
	a. Cause a substantial adverse of CEQA Guidelines Section 15064		nificance of a histo	orical resource as	defined in
WH	Y?				
	b. Cause a substantial adverse ch Section 15064.5?	ange in the signific	cance of an archae	eological resource	pursuant to
WH	Υ?				
	c. Directly or indirectly destroy a ur	nique paleontologio	cal resource or site	or unique geologic	c feature?
WH	Y?				
	d. Disturb any human remains, incl	uding those interre	d outside of formal	l ceremonies?	
WH	Υ?				
8.	ENERGY. Would the proposal:				
	a. Conflict with adopted energy con	nservation plans?			
WH	<b>Y?</b> b. Use non-renewable resources ir	n a wasteful and inc	efficient manner?		
		П		П	

## Why?

9.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:							
		kpose people or structure iury, or death involving:	s to potential su	ubstantial adverse	e effects, including	the risk of loss,		
	i.	Rupture of a known e Earthquake Fault Zoning substantial evidence of Publication 42.	g Map issued by	the State Geolo	gist for the area o	r based on othei		
WH'	Y?							
	ii.	Strong seismic ground si	haking?					
WH'	<b>Y</b> ?							
	iii.	Hazards Zones Map iss	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction as delineated on the most recent Seismic Hazards Zones Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantia evidence of known areas of liquefaction?					
WH	Y?							
	iv.	Landslides as delineated Geologist for the area or						
WH.	<b>Y</b> ?							
	b. Re	esult in substantial soil ero	sion or the loss o	of topsoil?				
WH	Y?							

	C.	Be located on a geologic unit of the project, and potentially liquefaction or collapse?				
WHY?	<b>&gt;</b>					
	d.	Be located on expansive soil, a creating substantial risks to life		e 18-1-B of the U	niform Building Co	de (1994),
WHY?	?					
(		Have soils incapable of adequat disposal systems where sewers a				/astewater
WHY?	?					
10.	GF	REENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	. Would the projec	et:		
ć		Generate greenhouse gas emissi mpact on the environment?	ions, either directly	or indirectly, that i	may have a signific	eant
WHY?	?					
,		Conflict with any applicable plan, reducing the emissions of greenh		n of an agency add	opted for the purpos	se of
WHY?	•					
11. H	IAZ	ARDS AND HAZARDOUS MAT	ERIALS. Would the	he project:		
Ċ		Oreate a significant hazard to the disposal of hazardous materials?		onment through th	e routine transport,	use or

d.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?					
WHY?						
e.	Emit hazardous emissions or waste within one-quarter mile o		•	ous materials, sub	stances, or	
WHY?						
f.	Be located on a site which is in Government Code Section 65 public or the environment?					
WHY?						
g.	For a project located within an within two miles of a public airp for people residing or working it	port or public use a	airport, would the p			
WHY?						
h.	For a project within the vicinity people residing or working in th		ip, would the proje	ct result in a safety	hazard for	
WHY?						
i.	Impair implementation of or permentation plan?	physically interfere	with an adopted	emergency respon	se plan or	
WHY?						

J.	Expose people or structure including where wildlands ar wildlands?						
WHY?							
<b>12.</b>	HYDROLOGY AND WATER	<b>QUALITY.</b> Wo	uld the project:				
a.	Violate any water quality sta	andards or was	te discharge requi	rements?			
	]						
WHY?							
b.	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?						
WHY?							
<b>C.</b>	Substantially alter the existing of the course of a stream or on-or off-site?						
WHY?							
d.	Substantially alter the existing of the course of a stream or manner, which would result	river, or subst	antially increase th				
WHY?							
e.	Create or contribute runof stormwater drainage system						
WHY?							
f. (	Otherwise substantially degrad	de water qualit	y?				

WHY?				·		
g.	Place housing within a 100-y Boundary or Flood Insurance F adopted Safety Element of the	Rate Map or dam in	undation area as s	hown in the City of	<sup>f</sup> Pasadena	
WHY?						
h.	Place within a 100-year flood h	azard area structur	es, which would im	pede or redirect flo	ood flows	
<b>WHY?</b> i. <i>l</i>	Expose people or structures to a flooding as a result of the failur			h involving flooding	g, including	
WHY?						
j. <i>1</i>	nundation by seiche, tsunami, or	mudflow?				
WHY?						
13. I	LAND USE AND PLANNING. V	Vould the project:				
a. <i>I</i>	Physically divide an existing com	munity?				
WHY?						
F	b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?					
WHY?						

c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan (HCP) or natural community conservation plan (NCCP)?

	·					
WHY?	•					
14.	MINERAL RESOURCES.	Would the project:				
a.	Result in the loss of available the residents of the state?	oility of a known min	eral resource tha	t would be of value	to the region and	
WHY?	•					
b.	Result in the loss of availal local general plan, specific			source recovery site	e delineated on a	
WHY?	•					
15.	NOISE. Will the project res	sult in:				
a.	a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					
WHY?						
b.	Exposure of persons to o levels?	r generation of exc	essive groundbo	rne vibration or gro	oundborne noise	
WHY?	•					
C.	A substantial permanent in without the project?	crease in ambient n	oise levels in the	project vicinity abo	ve levels existing	
WHY?	•					
d.	A substantial temporary or levels existing without the p		in ambient noise	levels in the proje	ect vicinity above	
WHY?	•					

e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

WHY?	•				
f.	For a project within the vici working in the project area to			e project expose pe	eople residing or
WHY?	•				
<b>16.</b> a.	POPULATION AND HOUSING Induce substantial population homes and businesses) of infrastructure)?	n growth in an a	area, either direct		
WHY?	•				
b.	Displace substantial number housing elsewhere?	rs of existing hou	using, necessitatii	ng the construction	of replacement
WHY?	•				
C.	Displace substantial numbe elsewhere?	rs of people, ned	cessitating the co	onstruction of repla	cement housing
WHY?					
govern	PUBLIC SERVICES. Will the rovision of new or physically nmental facilities, the construction acceptable service ratios, es:	altered governn tion of which coul	nental facilities, r d cause significar	need for new or p nt environmental imp	hysically altered pacts, in order to
a.	Fire Protection?				
WHY?					
b.	Libraries?				

C.	Parks?			
WHY?				
d.	Police Protection?			
WHY?	?			
e.	Schools?			
WHY?	•			
f.	Other public facilities?			
WHY?	?			
18.	RECREATION.			
a.	Would the project increase the u			
WHY?	•			
b.	Does the project include recreational facilities, which migh			
WHY?				
19.	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.	Would the proje	ect:	

a. Cause an increase in traffic that is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?

WHY?	?				
b.	Exceed, either individually congestion management age				hed by the county
WHY?	?				
C.	Result in a change in air tra location that results in substa			rease in traffic lev	vels or a change in
WHY?	?				
d.	Substantially increase haz intersections) or incompatible			(e.g., sharp curv	ves or dangerous
WHY?	?				
e.	Result in inadequate emerge	ency access?			
WHY	?				
f.	Result in inadequate parking	capacity?			
WHY?	?				
g.	Conflict with adopted polici turnouts, bicycle racks)?	es, plans, or p	rograms supporting	alternative trans	portation (e.g. bus
WHY?	?				

20.

UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:

a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?

WHY?	•				
b.	Require or result in the construction existing facilities, the construction				pansion of
WHY?	•				
C.	Require or result in the construction facilities, the construction of which				of existing
WHY?	•				
d.	Have sufficient water supplies a resources, or are new or expanded			n existing entitlen	nents and
WHY?	•				
e.	Result in a determination by the project that it has adequate capa provider's existing commitments?				
WHY?	•				
<b>f.</b>	Be served by a landfill with suffici disposal needs?	ent permitted capa	acity to accommod	late the project's s	olid waste
WHY?	•				
g.	Comply with federal, state, and loc	al statutes and reg	ulations related to	solid waste?	
WHY?	•				

A 4				1/010
71.	FAR	III-K	ANAI	YSIS.

Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. See CEQA Guidelines Section 15063(c)(3)(D).

22.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF	SIGNIFICANCE	•			
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?					
WHY?	•					
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future project?)					
WHY?						
c.	Does the project have environ beings, either directly or indire		which will cause s	ubstantial adverse	effects on human	
		П	П		П	