	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
b. Result in substantial soil e	rosion or the loss	s of topsoil?			
				\boxtimes	
WHY? No construction or physica and the proposed municipal code re erosion afforded by the Clean Wat code revisions would not result in so	evisions would no er Act or SCAQ	ot affect grading re MD Rule 403. A	equirements or pro	tections against	
 c. Be located on a geologi result of the project, ar subsidence, liquefaction 	nd potentially re				
				\boxtimes	
WHY? No construction or physical and the proposed municipal code approval of the code revision would liquefaction or collapse. Therefore geologic units or soils. d. Be located on expansive (1994), creating substantial	revisions would not cause on- o , the proposed p re soil, as define	not affect building or off-site landslid project would have ed in Table 18-1	ng code requiremes, lateral spreading relations in the spread relations rela	ents. As such, ng, subsidence, ated to unstable	
				\boxtimes	
WHY? According to the City's Ge primarily by alluvial material from the gravel and is in the low to moderate consists of a revision to the City's expansive soil-related impacts are a	ne San Gabriel Ne range for expa s municipal codenticipated.	Mountains. This s insion potential. e that governs to	soil consists prima Regardless, the p elecommunications	rily of sand and roposed project s facilities. No	
e. Have soils incapable of wastewater disposal syster					
				\boxtimes	
WHY? The City is served by a sewer system and all development projects are required to connect to this system. Therefore, soil suitability for septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems is not applicable in this case, and the proposed project would have no associated impacts.					

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

10.	HA	ZARDS AND HAZARDOUS	MATERIALS. Wo	uld the project:		
	a.	Create a significant hazard to or disposal of hazardous ma		environment throu	gh the routine tran	sport, use
						\boxtimes
	b.	Create a significant hazard upset and accident conditentionment?				
						\boxtimes
	C.	Emit hazardous emissions o or waste within one-quarter r				ubstances,
	d.	Be located on a site which is to Government Code Section the public or the environment	n 65962.5 and, as			
	-					\boxtimes
main the p regul relate the re	tena prop latio ed to elea ols;	A - D) The proposed modificance of telecommunications faces of project and the proposed in a governing hazardous materials; the transport, use, or disposed of hazardous materials; the or hazardous materials sites of the transport of hazardous materials. For a project located within	acilities. No physic ed PMC modification erials. Therefore, sal of hazardous mand the emission or har compiled pursuant	cal changes to the ons would not affe the proposed pro- naterials; upset or ndling of hazardou to Government Co	environment are inct any local, state, ject would cause in accident conditions us materials in the code Section 65962.	ncluded in or federal to impacts is involving vicinity of 5;
		adopted, within two miles of a safety hazard for people	of a public airport o	or public use airpo	rt, would the projec	
						\boxtimes
	f.	For a project within the vicinit for people residing or working			ect result in a safet	y hazard
						\boxtimes

WHY? (E – F) The proposed modifications to the City's municipal code apply to the installation and maintenance of telecommunications facilities. There are no public or private airports in or within two miles of the City of Pasadena and no portions of the City are within an airport land use plan. Therefore, the proposed code revisions would cause no hazards related to placing people or structures within the vicinity of an airport, airport land use plan, or private airstrip.

Significant Significant Mitigation is **Impact Impact** Incorporated g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? \boxtimes П WHY? The proposed modifications to the City's municipal code apply to the installation and maintenance of telecommunications facilities. No changes to the City's emergency response plans or policies are proposed. Therefore, the proposed revisions to the PMC would not impair implementation of any emergency response or evacuation plans. h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands? \boxtimes WHY? The proposed modifications to the City's municipal code apply to the installation and maintenance of telecommunications facilities. No physical changes to the environment are included in the proposed project and the proposed PMC modifications would not affect any fire codes or other regulations intended to reduce the risk of wildfire. Therefore, the proposed municipal code revisions would not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, and the project would have no related impacts. 11. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project: a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements? \boxtimes b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? \boxtimes c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner, which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on-or off-site?

Significant

Unless

Less Than

No Impact

Potentially

 \boxtimes

			Significant Impact	Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Significant Impact	No Impact
	d.	Substantially alter the exi alteration of the course of surface runoff in a manner,	a stream or r	iver, or substantially	y increase the ra	
						\boxtimes
	e.	Create or contribute runoi stormwater drainage system				
						\boxtimes
	f.	Otherwise substantially deg	grade water qu	ality?		
						\boxtimes
maint the p policie Pollut Plan munic discha	ena rop es, ant (Sl cipa arg	A – F) The proposed moderance of telecommunications osed project and the proportion or rules protecting water. Discharge Elimination SysusMP) ordinance, and stoletone requirements; 2) deplete nage patterns; 4) create run	facilities. No osed PMC mo quality or dra stem (NPDES) orm water en ot 1) cause a groundwater	physical changes to diffications would no inage, such as the , the City's Standar gineering requirement violation of any w supplies or interfere	o the environmen ot affect any coo Clean Water A od Urban Storm \ ents. Therefore ater quality stan	t are included in les, regulations, ct, the National Water Mitigation , the proposed dards or waste
	g.	Place housing within a 10 Boundary or Flood Insura Pasadena adopted Safety map?	nce Rate Ma _l	o or dam inundatio	n area as show	n in the City of
		·				\boxtimes
	h.	Place within a 100-year flows?	ood hazard ar	ea structures, which	n would impede	or redirect flood
						\boxtimes
	i.	Expose people or structur including flooding as a resu			jury or death inv	olving flooding,
						\boxtimes

Significant Unless

Less Than

Potentially

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

WHY? (G - I) No portions of the City of Pasadena are within a 100-year floodplain identified by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). As shown on FEMA map Community Number 065050, the entire City is in Zone D, for which no floodplain management regulations are required. The City's General Plan identifies dam inundation zones for Devil's Gate Dam and Eaton Wash Dam. No changes to floodplain regulations or dam inundation policies are proposed. No flooding or floodplain-related impacts would result from approval of the proposed modifications to the PMC.

j.	Inundation by seiche, tsunar	ni, or mudflow? ()		
					\boxtimes
Ocean t	The City of Pasadena is not loo be inundated by either a se iii and iv regarding seismic ha	eiche or tsunami.	For mudflow see r	responses to 9. Ge	
12. LA	AND USE AND PLANNING.	Would the project:			
a.	Physically divide an existing	community?			
					\boxtimes
mainten: expected	The proposed modifications ance of telecommunications d to result from the proposed would cause no impacts rela	facilities. No cold municipal code	mmunity barriers modifications. T	are proposed and herefore, the propo	none are
b.	Conflict with any applicable over the project (including, ordinance) adopted for the p	but not limited	to the general pl	lan, specific plan,	or zoning
					\boxtimes

WHY? The proposed project is a modification of the City's municipal code. In summary, the proposed PMC amendments are intended to improve the regulation of the installation and maintenance of telecommunication facilities and equipment throughout the City. The proposed code amendments would not lessen any portions of the PMC that are intended to avoid or mitigate an environmental effect. Conversely, the proposed amendments would improve height restrictions, design criteria, and other requirements in the PMC that protect visual character and quality and would further encourage a more organized and deliberate approach to installing and screening utility features. The proposed PMC amendments are consistent with the goals and policies of the City's General Plan, and the proposed project would not conflict with any land use plans, policies, or regulations adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect.

			Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	C.	Conflict with any applic conservation plan (NCCP)		conservation plar	n (HCP) or	natural community
						\boxtimes
	in th	Currently, there are no adopt ne City of Pasadena. There				
13.	MI	NERAL RESOURCES. Wo	ould the proje	ct:		
	a.	Result in the loss of avail region and the residents of		nown mineral resou	rce that would	be of value to the
						\boxtimes
	b.	Result in the loss of availal on a local general plan, spe	•			very site delineated
mair the	nten prop	The proposed modification ance of telecommunications posed project and no minical code revisions would not reserved.	facilities. Nong operations	o physical changes to s exist in the Pasa	o the environn idena. There	nent are included in fore, the proposed
14.	NC	DISE. Will the project result	in:			
	a.	Exposure of persons to or local general plan or noise				
						\boxtimes
	b.	Exposure of persons to o noise levels?	r generation	of excessive groun	dborne vibrati	on or groundborne
						\boxtimes
	C.	A substantial permanent in existing without the project		nbient noise levels ii	n the project v	ricinity above levels
						\boxtimes

	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d. A substantial temporary of above levels existing witho			noise levels in t	he project vicinity
				\boxtimes
WHY? (A – D) The proposed more maintenance of telecommunications the proposed project and the proposed that deal with noise, including Se Conversely, the proposed code a comply with the City's noise ordinar expose persons to, or generate noi vibrations or generate vibrations; 3 periodically substantially increase at	s facilities. No psed PMC modestion 9.36 "Ne amendments series. Therefore se levels in extentially substantially	physical changes diffications would not observe Restrictions" specifically required, the proposed muccess of established increase ambient	to the environment of change the poor (i.e., the City's entered telecommunical inicipal code revised standards; 2) entered telecommunical code revised standards; 2) entered telecommunical standards; 2) entered telecommunical code revised standards; 2) entered telecommunical code revised telecommunications and code revised telecommunications are considered telecommunications.	ent are included in ortions of the PMC noise ordinance). ations facilities to sions would not 1) expose persons to
e. For a project located with adopted, within two miles people residing or working	of a public ail	rport or public use	airport, would ti	
				\boxtimes
f. For a project within the vice or working in the project ar			e project expose	people residing
				\boxtimes
WHY? (E - F) There are no public on portions of the City are within a revisions would cause no noise impairport, airport land use plan, or private	n airport land pacts related to	use plan. Theref	fore, the propose	ed municipal code
15. POPULATION AND HOUSING	3. Would the ր	project:		
 a. Induce substantial populating homes and businesses) infrastructure)? 				
				\boxtimes
WHY? The proposed modification maintenance of telecommunications the proposed project and the propavailable for residential, commercial induce population growth and would	facilities. No posed PMC m al, or industria	physical changes nodifications would I uses. Therefore	to the environme I not change the	ent are included in a mount of land
 b. Displace substantial num replacement housing elsev 		sting housing, n	ecessitating the	construction of
•				\boxtimes

Significant

			impact	Incorporated	impact	
	C.	Displace substantial rehousing elsewhere?	numbers of people,	necessitating	the construction	of replacement
						\boxtimes
main the	itena prop	B and C) The proposed ance of telecommunicationsed project and the performance for residential use. No	ons facilities. No phoroposed PMC mod	ysical changes t ifications would	o the environment of change the	it are included in amount of land
16.	wit alte imp	BLIC SERVICES. Will he the provision of new of pred governmental facilities acts, in order to maint ectives for any of the puller.	or physically altered of ties, the construction ain acceptable serv	governmental fac n of which could	cilities, need for n d cause significar	new or physically nt environmental
	a.	Fire Protection?				
						\boxtimes
	b.	Libraries?				
						\boxtimes
	C.	Parks?				
						\boxtimes
	d.	Police Protection?				
						\boxtimes
	e.	Schools?				
						\boxtimes
	f.	Other public facilities?				
						\boxtimes

Significant

Unless

Mitigation is

Less Than

Significant

Impact

No Impact

Potentially

Significant

Impact

WHY? (A – F) The proposed municipal code revisions would not physically interfere with any public services and would not increase the demand for any public services in a manner that would require new or physically altered governmental facilities. The proposed modifications to the City's municipal code apply to the installation and maintenance of telecommunications facilities. No physical changes to the environment are included in the proposed project and the proposed PMC modifications are not

17. RECREATION.

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

expected to affect the City's growth rate. Therefore, the proposed municipal code amendments would have no impact on fire protection, libraries, parks, police protection, schools, or other public facilities.

a. Would the project increase recreational facilities such to be accelerated?	e the use of hat substantia	existing neighborho al physical deteriora	ood and region tion of the facili	al parks or other ity would occur or
				\boxtimes
WHY? The proposed modifications maintenance of telecommunications increase the use of any recreational Telecommunication facilities are not allowed with the proposed code ame existing recreational facilities would on	facilities. Tacilities. Pub facilities. Pub currently allo endment. The	The proposed code olic parks in Pasade owed in the OS zor	e revisions are na are zoned C ne and would c	not expected to Open Space (OS). ontinue to not be
 b. Does the project include r recreational facilities, which 				
				\boxtimes
WHY? The proposed project consis cabinets. No new or expanded recre				it relates to utility
18. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC	. Would the	oroject:		
a. Cause an increase in traff capacity of the street syste vehicle trips, the volume to of	em (i.e., resu	lt in a substantial i	ncrease in eith	er the number of
				\boxtimes
b. Exceed, either individually county congestion manager		•		stablished by the
				\boxtimes
WHY? The proposed project consist elecommunications infrastructure. generate, or lead to the generation impact on the traffic load or capacity any roadways.	The propose of, any traffic	ed municipal code c. Therefore, the p	revisions are proposed projec	not expected to ct would have no

Potentially Less Than Unless Significant Significant No Impact Mitigation is **Impact** Impact Incorporated c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks? \boxtimes \Box WHY? The proposed modifications to the City's zoning code apply to the installation and maintenance of telecommunications facilities. The proposed code revisions would not affect the City's growth rate and there are no airports in or within two miles of the City of Pasadena. Consequently, the proposed project would not affect any airport facilities and would not cause a change in the directional patterns of aircraft. Therefore, the proposed municipal code revisions would have no impact on air traffic patterns. d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? \boxtimes \Box e. Result in inadequate emergency access? \boxtimes Result in inadequate parking capacity? \boxtimes WHY? (D - F) The proposed modifications to the City's municipal code apply to the installation and maintenance of telecommunications facilities. The proposed municipal code revisions would not affect roadway design requirements, access requirements, or parking standards. In addition, the proposed amendment of Title 12 includes a section titled "Dangerous condition or obstruction", which addresses pedestrian and vehicular safety. Therefore, the proposed municipal code revisions would not 1) increase hazards due to a design feature; 2) result in inadequate emergency access; or 3) result in inadequate parking capacity.

Significant

g. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

 \boxtimes

WHY? The proposed municipal code revisions are unrelated to transportation policies, plans, or programs and all such existing policies, plans, and programs would remain in place. Therefore, the project would have no impacts related to conflicts with policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation.

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

19.	UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS.	Would the	project:
-----	---------------------------------------	-----------	----------

a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				
					\boxtimes
b.	Require or result in the expansion of existing facili effects?				
					\boxtimes
C.	Require or result in the c existing facilities, the const				
					\boxtimes
d.	Have sufficient water suppressurces, or are new or e			ct from existing e	entitlements and
					\boxtimes
e.	Result in a determination the project that it has adeq the provider's existing com	uate capacity to			
					\boxtimes
f.	Be served by a landfill win waste disposal needs?	th sufficient peri	mitted capacity to	accommodate th	e project's solid
					\boxtimes

WHY? (A - F) The proposed municipal code amendments would not affect any utilities (other than the subject telecommunications facilities) or service systems and would not significantly increase the demand for any utilities or service systems. The proposed modifications to the City's municipal code apply to the installation and maintenance of telecommunications facilities. No physical changes to the environment are included in the proposed project and the proposed PMC modifications would not affect the City's growth rate in a manner that would require expanded or improve utility infrastructure. Therefore, the proposed project would have no impacts related to wastewater treatment requirements, water or wastewater treatment facilities, storm water drainage facilities, water supply, wastewater treatment capacity, or landfill capacity.

			Potentially Significant	Significant Unless	Less Than Significant	No Impact
			Impact	Mitigation is Incorporated	Impact	-
	g.	Comply with federal, state,	and local statute	es and regulations	related to solid wa	ste?
	,					\boxtimes
Calif bette Pasa describer Dem Sect. The facilii Dem	orni er di ader ribe rsior olition prop ties olitio	n 1992, the City adopted to a Integrated Waste Managoversion rate for solid waste ma Municipal Code, which exist in Section 8.61.175, each rate of 50% on both a motion Ordinance (PMC Section 17.64.240). Dosed municipal code amend No revisions to the City on Ordinance, or design revould have no impacts related	ement Act. This The City imple stablishes the Cit ich franchisee is nthly basis and a on 8.62) and desendments would rous "Solid Waste quirements for re	s Act requires that ments this requirer ty's "Solid Waste Control of the responsible for the sign requirements be collection Franciefuge storage area	i jurisdictions main ment through Section Franchise meeting the minin City also has a Confor refuge storage it relates to telecohise System", Coras are proposed.	ntain a 50% of the solution 8.61 of the e System". As num recycling instruction and e areas (PMC) ommunications instruction and
20.	Ea:	RLIER ANALYSIS. rlier analysis may be use cess, an effect has been a QA Guidelines Section 150	dequately analyz		. •	
	a)	Earlier Analysis Used. (Ide EIR, tiering, or other proce	•	_		•
	b)	Impacts Adequately Address the scope of and adequate standards, and state whether the earlier analysis.) None.	tely analyzed in her such effects	an earlier docume	ent pursuant to ap	oplicable legal
	c)	Mitigation Measures. (For Incorporated," describe the earlier documents and the None.	e mitigation meas	sures, which were	incorporated or ref	fined from the

21. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.

a.	self-sustaining levels, or restrict the range of	re the potential to deg a fish or wildlife specie , threaten to eliminate of a rare or endangere of California history or	es, cause a fish a plant or animed plant or anim	or wildlife populati nal community, red	ion to drop below duce the numbe
					\boxtimes

WHY? As discussed in Sections 3 and 5 of this document, the proposed project would have no impact on Aesthetic or Air Quality. Also, as discussed in Section 6 and 11 of this document, the proposed project would have no impact on special status species, stream habitat, or wildlife dispersal and Furthermore, the proposed project would not affect the local, regional, or national Initial Study

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

populations or ranges of any plant or animal species and would not threaten any plant communities. Similarly, as discussed in Section 7 of this document, the proposed project would have no impact on historical, archaeological, or paleontological resources and, thus, would not eliminate any important examples of California history or prehistory. As discussed in Sections 11, 13, and 14 of this document, the proposed project would have no impact on water quality, Mineral Resources, or Noise. Therefore, the project will not degrade the quality of the land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, noise, or objects of historic or aesthetic significance, and the project would not result in a Mandatory Finding of Significance due to degradation of the environment.

b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future project?				
					\boxtimes
WHY? The proposed project would not cause any impacts that are cumulatively considerable. The proposed modifications to the City's municipal code apply to the installation and maintenance of telecommunications facilities. No physical changes to the environment are included in the proposed project and the proposed changes to the municipal code are not expected to contribute to any cumulative impacts. Therefore, the proposed project does not have a Mandatory Finding of Significance due to cumulative impacts.					
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?					
				. 🗆	\boxtimes
		40 44 140	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , ,	.4 1.1 4

WHY? As discussed in Sections 5, 9, 10, 11, and 18 of this document, the proposed project would not expose persons to the hazards of toxic air emissions, geologic hazards, chemical or explosive materials, flooding, or transportation hazards. In addition, as discussed in Sections 3 Aesthetics, 12 Land Use and Planning, 14 Noise, 15 Population and Housing, 16 Public Services, 17 Recreation, 18 Transportation/Traffic, and 19 Utilities and Service Systems the project would not indirectly cause substantial adverse effects on humans. Therefore, the proposed project would not have a Mandatory Finding of Significance due to environmental effects that could cause substantial adverse effects on humans.

INITIAL STUDY REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

Document

- 1 Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act, California Public Resources Code, revised January 1, 1994 official Mt. Wilson, Los Angeles and Pasadena quadrant maps were released March 25, 1999.
- 2 CEQA Air Quality Handbook, South Coast Air Quality Management District, revised 1993
- 3 East Pasadena Specific Plan Overlay District, City of Pasadena Planning and Development Department, codified 2001
- 4 Energy Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 1983
- 5 Fair Oaks/Orange Grove Specific Plan Overlay District, City of Pasadena Planning and Development Department codified 2002
- 6 Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) Land Use and Mobility Elements of the General Plan, Zoning Code Revisions, and Central District Specific Plan, City of Pasadena, certified 2004
- 7 2000-2005 Housing Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 2002.
- 8 Inclusionary Housing Ordinance Pasadena Municipal Code Chapter 17.71 Ordinance #6868
- 9 Land Use Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 2004
- 10 Mobility Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 2004
- 11 Noise Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 2002
- 12 Noise Protection Ordinance Pasadena Municipal Code Chapter 9.36 Ordinances # 5118, 6132, 6227, 6594 and 6854
- 13 North Lake Specific Plan Overlay District, City of Pasadena Planning and Development Department, Codified 1997
- 14 Pasadena Municipal Code, as amended
- 15 Recommendations On Siting New Sensitive Land Uses, California Air Resources Board, May 2005
- 16 Regional Comprehensive Plan and Guide, "Growth Management Chapter," Southern California Association of Governments, June 1994
- 17 Safety Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 2002
- 18 Scenic Highways Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 1975
- 19 Seismic Hazard Maps, California Department of Conservation, official Mt. Wilson, Los Angeles and Pasadena quadrant maps were released March 25, 1999. The preliminary map for Condor Peak was released in 2002.
- 20 South Fair Oaks Specific Plan Overlay District Planning and Development, codified 1998
- 21 State of California "Aggregate Resource in the Los Angeles Metropolitan Area" by David J. Beeby, Russell V. Miller, Robert L. Hill, and Robert E. Grunwald, Miscellaneous map no. .010, copyright 1999, California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology
- 22 Storm Water and Urban Runoff Control Regulations Pasadena Municipal Code Chapter 8.70 Ordinance #6837
- 23 Transportation Impact Review Current Practice and Guidelines, City of Pasadena, August, 2005
- 24 Tree Protection Ordinance Pasadena Municipal Code Chapter 8.52 Ordinance # 6896
- 25 West Gateway Specific Plan Overlay District, City of Pasadena Planning and Development Department codified 2001
- 26 Zoning Code, Chapters 12 and 17 of the Pasadena Municipal Code