APPEAL AND CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FOR COUNCIL APPEAL HEARING



REQUEST FOR APPEAL **APPLICATION INFORMATION** Hasadena Project Address: __(o) N Kowmonc 28102 Case Type (MCUP, TTM, etc.) and Number: Hearing Date: <u>0.3/05/08</u> Appeal Deadline: **APPELLANT INFORMATION** APPELLANT: Telephone: Fax: City: Email: **APPLICANT (IF DIFFERENT):** I hereby appeal the decision of the: Hearing Officer Zoning Administrate: Design Commission Director of Planning and Development Historic Preservation Film Liaison **REASON FOR APPEAL** The decision maker failed to comply with the provisions of the Zoning Code, General Plan or other applicable plans in the following manner (use additional sheets if necessary): Signature of Appellant · OFFICE USE ONLY CASE# PRJ#

APPEAL FEES: 5

APP-RFA Rev: 1/18/07

RECEIVED BY

■ PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CURRENT PLANNING SECTION

DESCRIPTION

DATE APPEAL RECEIVED

175 NORTH GARFIELD AVENUE PASADENA CA 81101 T 626-744-4009 F 626-744-4785

RECEIVED

"08 APR 16 P2:21

CITY CLERG CITY OF PASADENA

City Clerk's Office 100 N. Garfield Ave. Room S228 Pasadena, Ca. 91101

Subject: 61 N. Raymond Avenue, Appeal of Expressive Use Permit #4955

To Whom It May Concern:

The following is a summary of my comments concerning this appeal process for the Expressive Use Permit #4955.

I agree with the original conclusion of the Hearing Officer's March 5 disapproval of Expressive Use Permit #4955 for the existing restaurant (Vive). I agree that the Vive Restaurant should not have the right to change the dance floor or to serve alcohol for extended hours on Sunday through Thursday. By definition, Vive is a restaurant/bar which is not a dance hall and bar. On weekends, this establishment can draw large crowds which results in excessive local noise from the dance music and then the departing crowd at 1-2 am in the morning. I do not want to see that same activity happen throughout the week.

Thank you for your attention to these comments.

Sincerely,

Bruce A. Huebner 80 N. Raymond Ave. Pasadena, Ca. 91103



April 17, 2008

Dear Mr. Jason Mikaelian,

Regarding our hearing taking place on April 21, 2008, we would like to postpone our hearing until we receive all the information we have asked for. If you have any questions please feel free to call me at 626-705-4979. Thank you for your time.

John Pena President





April 18, 2008

Mr. Mark Jomsky.

This is John Pena agreeing with the hearing to be postponed until June 9th. Thank you for your time.

John Pena

04/21/2008 6.D.

'08 APR 18 P1:48

April 17, 2008

City Clerk's Office 100 N. Garfield Ave. Room S228 Pasadena, Ca. 91101 CITY OF PASADLE

Subject: 61 N. Raymond Avenue, Appeal of Expressive Use Permit #4955

To Whom It May Concern:

I wish to clarify my position from my previous letter concerning this Expressive Use Permit #4955.

I am not in favor of a dance floor in this facility period! If there is a dance floor it should be located in the most shielded area of the restaurant which will minimize noise in the community. Finally I am not in favor of extending the hours of service of alcohol on Sunday through Thursday as requested in the appeal.

Thank you for your attention to these comments.

Sincerely,

Bruce A. Huebner 80 N. Raymond Ave. Pasadena, Ca. 91103

RECEIVED

*08 APR 21 P5:38

CITY CALL CITY OF PASAGENE

Jomsky, Mark

From: Mikaelian, Jason

Sent: Monday, April 21, 2008 5:33 PM

To: Jomsky, Mark
Cc: Jim Plotkin

Subject: Public Comments for Vive...

TO: Mark Jomsky, Assistant City Clerk

Please see the public comment below re: Vive. Thanks, Jason

Jason C. Mikaelian, AICP
Senior Planner
Planning and Development Department
City of Pasadena
(626) 744-6754 voice
(626) 396-7614 fax
imikaelian@cityofpasadena.net

From: James Plotkin [mailto:jplotkin@pacbell.net]

Sent: Monday, April 21, 2008 5:29 PM

To: Mikaelian, Jason

Subject: Re: Information about Monday's Vive Hearing

I'm writing this because of the continuation of the Vive hearing before City Council this evening makes it again more difficult for me and the public to attend this hearing. The reason given this time, for the continuation, is that the Police Department cannot have a full report until sometime next month.

It is inconceivable that the police department needs more time to assemble the reports necessary for an effective and complete presentation to the applicant and the Council, as well as the public.

In addition to the Police report, there are a few issues that really need to be addressed by staff and the community at large. The first is when a business and its landlord openly violate city codes for a period of time, then applies for a permit to allow the "illegal" use is wrong on its face. I think that complying and operating within the code should be done first, and then apply for a modification. What happened is just the opposite. The illegally performing business applied for a modification, and through the public hearing process was denied. It was pointed out that the illegal use has been ongoing. Was or is there a fine, notice, penalty, or any other kind of administrative reprimand for past behavior? Additionally, after the hearing, in which the non permitted use was denied, the applicant continues to operate in an illegal manner. Isn't the obligation of the city staff to enforce the code by issuing citations, notices, revocations, etc.? Protecting the citizens of the community by enforcing the codes should be primary, especially in this situation. The failure of the City to act does all of us a disservice! How can we improve and change this behavior?

I look forward to your response concerning this issue.

Respectfully,

Jim Plotkin 12 S. Raymond Ave Suite D Pasadena, CA 91105

04/21/2008 6.D. 7:30 P.M.