

# Agenda Report

TO: CITY COUNCIL

DATE: OCTOBER 9, 2006

FROM: CYNTHIA J. KURTZ, CITY MANAGER

**SUBJECT:** PREDEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW FOR THE PROPOSED REHABILITATION OF THE LIVINGSTONE HOTEL AT 139 SOUTH LOS ROBLES AVENUE INTO 34 RESIDENTIAL UNITS AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF A 34 UNIT MIXED-USE PROJECT AT 123 SOUTH LOS ROBLES AVENUE

#### RECOMMENDATION

This report is for information only.

## BACKGROUND

This project summary is being presented to the City Council per Preliminary Plan Review (PPR) guidelines, which call for staff to present projects of community-wide significance to the City Council for informational purposes. American General Constructors has submitted a proposal for the construction of a mixed use project at 123 and 139 South Los Robles Avenue.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project site consists of two parcels at 123 and 139 South Los Robles Avenue. 123 South Los Robles contains a one-story office building and parking lot. The project proposes to demolish these uses and construct a 6-story mixed-use building with 1,715 square feet of retail space on the ground floor and 34 residential units over 2 levels of subterranean parking providing 98 parking spaces for both parcels.

139 South Los Robles contains the Livingstone Hotel, which may be eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. The project proposes to renovate the Livingstone Hotel, convert the existing restaurant to office and reduce the existing 43 apartment units to 34 new condominium units incorporating a more efficient floor plan and enlarging the penthouse to create two top floor units. Existing tenants will be relocated as required by the condominium conversion ordinance. The project requests a density bonus and concession allowing for a greater F.A.R. in exchange for providing 10% of the units as moderate income affordable units.

The height of the new building (123 Los Robles) ranges from 55 to 65 feet. The Central District Zoning allows 60 feet in height with up to 30% of the building up to 75 feet, if the overall average does not exceed 60 feet. The height averaging is subject to approval by the Design Commission.

#### **REVIEW OF DISCRETIONARY ACTIONS**

The purpose of the PPR process is to identify site-specific requirements from the various City departments to give applicants direction regarding their projects. This report is not intended to represent a staff recommendation. Staff reviewed the proposed project and determined that the following actions would be necessary:

<u>Environmental Review:</u> The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review of the project will address traffic, air quality, aesthetics, historic preservation, and other issues. A full traffic impact and parking analysis study will be prepared for the project.

<u>Affordable Housing Concession Permit:</u> The project proposes a floor area ratio (FAR) that exceeds the allowable 2.25 FAR specified by the Central District Specific Plan in order to achieve the moderate income affordable units. The Affordable Housing Concession permit process was established with Hearing Officer review of concession requests.

<u>Design Review:</u> The project requires design review, with the Design Commission being the reviewing authority. Design Review is a 3-4 step process: 1) Preliminary meeting with staff; 2) Concept (schematic-level) design review; and 3) Final Design Review. The Design Commission has the option of requiring a 50% advisory review between concept and final review.

Date	Activity
October 9, 2006	Preliminary Plan Review presentation to City Council
October/November 2006	Preparation of Initial Environmental Study and determination of environmental decision required
November 2006	If Environmental Impact Report (EIR) not required, Hearing Officer decision on the Affordable Housing Concession Permit
Late 2006	Design Commission – Concept Design Review and Review of Height Averaging
First quarter 2007	50% Design Review, if required by the Design Commission
First quarter 2007	Final Design Review

<u>Timeline:</u> The following timeline outlines the major steps in the process:

#### FISCAL IMPACT

The applicant will be required to pay a fee for Design Review, which covers staff time. There is no fee for the processing of the Affordable Housing Concession Permit and the processing of environmental documents for the project. The project will also generate plan check and permit fees, in an amount that cannot be determined at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

JTHIA J RT7

CITY MANÁGER

Prepared by:

'a F

Senior Planner

Attachment: Proposed Plans

Approved by:

Richard J/Bruckner Director of Planning and Development





