Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

WHY? This Project is not a use known to create significant health hazards. The site contains no underground storage tanks, standing ponds of still water or infestations of disease carrying vectors such as rats.

As discussed, the Project does involve the use or storage of hazardous substances for refrigeration/coolant purposes. The Project must adhere to applicable zoning and fire regulations regarding the use and storage of any hazardous substances, as well as the regulations of applicable state and federal regulatory agencies, including the U.S. and California EPA. Further, the Project plans must comply with existing Building, Health and Fire Safety Codes. Additionally, Mitigation Measure 10-1 requires the selected refrigerants to meet the criteria noted below as part of project implementation process. *The refrigerant/coolant materials selected for use in the proposed Ice Rink shall meet the following criterion:* 

For areas where refrigerants are handled (for example, mechanical and refrigeration equipment room): Routine releases shall not exceed the applicable Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) established by state and federal regulation, or other relevant and applicable regulation, and shall be confined to the area or room of release. Accidental or upset releases shall not exceed the applicable Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health (IDLH) concentration, as established by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH);

For public areas within the building or on the property: Accidental or upset releases shall not exceed the current Toxic Endpoint (TE) as specified in Appendix B of the "California Accidental Release Prevention (CalARP) Program, Administering Agency Guidance" (January 2005), or successor guidance. If no TE is listed in Appendix B, a TE shall be developed, consistent with the methodology indicated in Appendix B, and approved by the Pasadena Fire Department; and,

For areas beyond the boundary line of the project: Accidental or upset releases shall not exceed the odor threshold of the refrigerant or the TE, which ever is less. For general safety purposes, fencing along the western boundary of the Project will protect individuals from entering the flood control channel. Further, additional fencing, climbing discouragers and posted warning signs (stating electrical hazards) will provide a barrier to prevent access to the Southern California Edison's towers.

The occupation and use of the proposed Project is not a significant threat to public health. Therefore, there is no significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions, which could release hazardous material.

## Mitigation Measure

Mitigation Measure 10-1, as shown in the attached MMRP, is hereby incorporated into the project.

C.	Emit hazardous emission within one-quarter mile of		dous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or v posed school? ( )					
			$\boxtimes$					
WHY? T	The Project could emit haz:	ardous emissions h	andle hazardous d	or acutely hazardou	ıs materials			

WHY? The Project could emit hazardous emissions, handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substance, or waste, other than the potential use of hazardous coolant materials and is within one-quarter mile of an existing school. The only school in the project vicinity is the Pasadena City College Community (PCC)

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

Community Education Center located southeast of the Project site. However, the Project must adhere to applicable regulations regarding the use and storage of any hazardous substances. Further, the Project plans must comply with existing Building, Health, Zoning and Fire Safety Codes and the mitigation measures incorporated herein. Compliance with these regulations and the mitigation criteria indicated in the response to 10b would limit the potential impact of these activities to an insignificant level.

In addition, a Summary of the Soil Vapor Survey Report (September 1, 2004) has been prepared by Leighton Consulting, Inc. for this Project to preliminarily evaluate the presence of methane at the site. Based on the findings contained in this report, there were no volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that are present in the soil vapor beneath the site. However, the organic fill material under the Project site may produce methane gas as it decomposes. The presence of methane gas was found within the lower portion of the site to portions just north of the center of the site.

To alleviate any potential adverse effects caused by methane vapors, Mitigation Measure 10-2 requires the project's building plans to incorporate design techniques that limit methane intrusions; such techniques may include cut and fill, vapor barriers, passive or active venting and methane monitoring. As a condition of approval, the City shall submit a grading plan for review and approval by the Building Division and the Public Works Department prior to the issuance of a building permit. All methane control measures shall be designed by and constructed under the observation of a State of California Registered Civil Engineer (RCE) familiar with such measures.

A Phase One Environmental Site Assessment report (dated July 14, 2004) has been prepared by Leighton and Associates for the Eaton Wash Master Plan area. Based on the findings contained in this report, there is a potential for residual agricultural chemicals to exist near the surface of the site. Some traces of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in the crude/waste oil carbon range have been identified in the western portion of the site. The Eaton Wash Master Plan IS/MND incorporated a mitigation measure that requires the City to characterize, and if necessary, remediate any remnant agricultural chemicals onsite, including pesticides and THP. This mitigation measure is re-incorporated into this project as Mitigation Measure 10-3.

## **Mitigation Measures**

Mitigation Measures 10-2 and 10-3, as shown in the attached MMRP, is hereby
---

Be located on a site v Government Code Sec or the environment? (x	tion 65962.5 and, as		
			$\boxtimes$
ne Project site is not loo lished by California Env			stances Sites List o

e. For a Project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the Project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the Project area? ( )

		Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
					$\boxtimes$
<b>WHY?</b> Ti airport.	he Project site is not within	an airport land us	e plan or within two	miles of a public	airport or public use
	For a Project within the vicion people residing or working			oject result in a sa	afety hazard for
					$\boxtimes$
<b>WHY?</b> T Pasaden	he Project site is not within a.	the vicinity of a p	rivate airstrip. Ther	e are no private a	airstrips in the City of
g.	Impair implementation of or emergency evacuation plan	· •	re with an adopted	emergency respo	onse plan or
					$\boxtimes$
the area	he Project is located within  To ensure compliance wate plans for plan review print that the Project will not have	with zoning, build for to the issuance	ling and fire codes e of a building perr	s, the City will be nit. Adherence to	e required to submit these requirements
major dis maintain	of Pasadena maintains a c saster (e.g., a major eartho s the disaster plan. In cas d the Pasadena Police Dep gency.	quake). The Fire se of a disaster, t	Chief and/or the he Fire Departmen	Emergency Mana nt is responsible f	gement Coordinator for implementing the
Wash, a	has pre-planned evacuation nd the Jones Reservoir. A lite is within the Eaton Was on plans.	According to the	adopted 2002 Safe	ety Element of th	e General Plan, the
	re no areas in the City of ment Administration (FEMA)		igible for flood in	surance by the	Federal Emergency
h.	Expose people or structure including where wildlands wildlands? ( )	_		•	· · ·
					$\boxtimes$

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than **Significant Impact** 

No Impact

WHY? According to the 2002 adopted Safety Element as shown on Plate 4-2, Wildfire Hazard Map, the Project site is in an area of low fire hazard.

11.	ΗY	DROLOGY AND WATE	R QUALITY. Would ti	ne Project:						
	a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements? ( )									
						$\boxtimes$				
must	co nati	The Project will not viola mply with the Federal ' on System (NPDES) po ons.	Water Pollution Conf	trol Act (Clean V	Vater Act) Nationa	al Pollution Disposal				
Proje Coun	ct. ty F	re no bodies of water no However, if there is wa Flood Control Channels significant body of fresh	iter runoff from the s in Eaton Wash and t	ite, this runoff m	ay be discharged	via the Los Angeles				
imple plans	me an	ect will be subject to the nt the National Pollutant d adherence to all stand r waste discharge as a re	Discharge Elimination dards of the SUSMP	n System (NPDES	S). Based on the re	quirements for these				
	b.	Substantially deplete graph that there would be a reference (e.g., the production rate existing land uses or place)	net deficit in aquifer v te of pre-existing nea	olume or a lower rby wells would o	ing of the local gro drop to a level which	oundwater table level				
						$\boxtimes$				
and I	Pov	The Project will use the ever and the existing sever	wer system provided	by the Public V	Vorks Department.	Any irrigation may				

V а incrementally add water to the Raymond Ground water basin. However, there will be no direct additions or withdrawals from the ground waters. Moreover, there is no known aquifer condition in the Project site or in the surrounding area, which could be intercepted by excavation for the Project.

The City must submit plans to the Water and Power Department and Building Division for review and approval through the City's Preliminary Plan Review (PPR) and CUP processes to determine if the existing infrastructure can serve the Project. If it is found that the existing infrastructure is not sufficient, the City is responsible for providing infrastructure upgrades for the Project.

During drought conditions, the Project must comply with the Water Shortage Procedures Ordinance (Chapter 13 of the Pasadena Municipal Code) and the Project shall only consume 90% of expected consumption. To ensure compliance with this ordinance, the City shall submit a water conservation plan limiting the Project's water consumption to 90% of expected consumption. This plan shall be submitted to and approved by the

1

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

City's Water and Power Department and the Building Division prior to the issuance of a building permit. The City's irrigation and plumbing plans shall comply with the approved water conservation plan.

As part of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) signed between the City of Pasadena and the State Water Conservation Coalition in 1991, the City through its Department of Water and Power has agreed to implement certain water conservation measures known as "Best Management Practices" (BMP).

In the 1994 adopted Land Use Element, Policy 9.5 Stewardship of Natural Environment requires water conservation through encouragement of native, water conserving and regionally appropriate landscaping. This will be done through review and approval of the Project's landscape plan.

<i>C</i> .	Substantially alter the existing the course of a stream or rive or off-site? ( )				
					$\boxtimes$
will inclu Increase will incre the Projecontrol of approval Due to there will According	The Project's building footprint ude landscaped areas that we'd paving or building footprint we'ase storm and irrigation wate ect will be controlled by build channels, storm drains and call by the Building Division and the existing building regulations. I be no significant impact from the to the 2002 adopted Safety es in the City are not normally as might be subject to flooding.	ill be pervious. vill reduce water r flowing into st ing regulations atch basins. The the Public Work s and the subm surface runoff.  r Element of the y subject to the	Storm and other percolating into a torm drain facilities and directed tower City shall submoss Department principles on, approval a city of Pasader	er water runoff will the soil to replenishes. The drainage owards the City's enit a site drainage ior to the issuance and implementation a Comprehensive	therefore increase. If the water table and If surface water from It wisting streets, flood It plan for review and It of a building permit. If of a drainage plan, If General Plan, most
the site	ject site is currently vacant, ar must comply with al applicabl site will be substantially impacto	e regulations in	cluding SUSMP		
d.	Substantially alter the existing the course of a stream or riv manner, which would result in	er, or substant	ially increase the		
					$\boxtimes$

WHY? See response 11c. The City of Pasadena contains two streams, the Arroyo Seco and Eaton Creek. The Project is located near the Eaton Creek stream. The Project site does not include any discernable drainage features. The concrete-lined Eaton Canyon flood control channel is located just west of the Project site. The proposed Project would not alter the bed, bank, or flows of this channel.

According to the Flood and Fire Hazard Map of the adopted 2002 Safety Element of the City's adopted General Plan, the Project is located in the Eaton Dam ten-minute inundation area, however water is not usually

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

stored behind the dam. The Project will not substantially alter the course of these streams or any ravines or gullies on the site.

e.	e. Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? ( )								
					$\boxtimes$				
WHY? As discussed in Section 11.c above, the Project would decrease the permeability of the Project site. The City may be required to complete drainage and hydrology studies and shall submit a drainage plan for review and approval by the Building Division and the Public Works Department prior to the issuance of a building permit. Due to the existing building regulations and the submission, approval and implementation of a drainage plan, the Project would not significantly affect the amount of surface water in any body of water including the Eaton Wash Flood Control Channel.									
In addition, the Public Works and Water Departments will review the proposed Project through the City's PPR and CUP processes to determine if existing infrastructure can adequately serve the Project. If the existing infrastructure is not sufficient, the City will be responsible for upgrading the infrastructure for the Project prior to the issuance of the building permit.									
f.	Otherwise substantially degrad	e water quality?()	)						
				$\boxtimes$					
controlle the exist This Pro Flood Co	The Project will not substantially ed during construction using requing water, sewer and storm drapject would not affect the currecontrol Channels in Eaton Wash as adversely impact surface water	uired Best Manage ain systems so the nt pattern of disch and then into the Sa	ement Practices. The will be no direct arging surface run an Pedro Bay. The	The Project will be impact on ground off via the Los An re is a potential for	connected to water quality. In geles County				
Act, the Mitigation approve	As a condition of approval to the CUP for the Project and in accordance with Section 402 of the Clean Water Act, the Project is required to comply with the NPDES and the corresponding Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP). Plans to ensure compliance with these regulations must be submitted to and approved by the Building Division and Public Works Department. Compliance with these requirements will ensure the Project would not significantly impact water quality.								
g.	Place housing within a 100-yea or Flood Insurance Rate Map Safety Element of the General I	or dam inundation	area as shown in	the City of Pasa					
					$\boxtimes$				
MUV2 /	According to the Dam Failure In	undation Man. Dist	o 2 1 in the Task	minal Dankawa	Damant of th				

WHY? According to the Dam Failure Inundation Map, Plate 3-1, in the Technical Background Report of the adopted 2002 Safety Element of the City's General Plan, the Project is located in the Eaton Dam ten-minute

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

inundation area, however, the dam is used for emergency storage of stormwater to prevent downstream flooding. Although remote, there is always the potential for catastrophic dam failure. In such a case, the City's existing emergency evacuation plans reduce the risks of dam inundation.

The Project would not affect the current pattern of discharging storm water runoff to designated flood control facilities. The City is not within any 100-year flood hazard zone. Further, the proposed Project consists of an Ice Rink Facility with a small retail shop and a small restaurant – there is no housing proposed for the site. See also response to 11.h.

h. Place within a 100-year flood h ()	azard area struc	tures, which would	l impede or redir	ect flood flows?
				$\boxtimes$
WHY? The entire City of Pasadena is (FEMA) map Community Number 0650 plain management regulations. See ressuch as liquefaction and landslides and landslides and landslides.	50. In Flood Zo sponses to 9 Geo	ne D, the City is a cology and Soils a.	not required to in iii and iv regard	mplement any flood
<ol> <li>Expose people or structures to flooding as a result of the failure</li> </ol>			r death involving	g flooding, including
				$\boxtimes$
WHY? The Project site does not include flood control channel is located just west bed, bank, or flows of this channel. The marine water. According to the Dam Faithe adopted 2002 Safety Element of the minute inundation area. However, the downstream flooding. Although remote, case, the City's existing emergency evanot affect the current pattern of dischargements.	t of the Project si e Project is not lilure Inundation M ne City's Genera e dam is used there is always acuation plans re	ite. However, the located near any some Map, Plate 3-1, in some Project for emergency the potential for educe the risks of sections.	proposed Project significant stand the Technical Batt is located in the storage of storage damainundation.	et would not alter the ing body of fresh or ackground Report of the Eaton Dam ten- rmwater to prevent in failure. In such a The Project would
There are no significant bodies of water tidal waves. An on-site drainage system				
j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami,	or mudflow? ( )			
				$\boxtimes$
WHY? The City of Pasadena is not loca				

Wash Dam. However, this potential is minimal since the dam rarely holds a near-capacity volume of water, which would be required to cause seiche during an earthquake. For mudflow see responses to 9. Geology

and Soils a. iii and iv regarding seismic hazards such as liquefaction and landslides.

				Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
12.	LA	ND USE AND PL	ANNING. W	ould the Project:					
	a.	Physically divide	an existing c	ommunity?()					
Proje	<b>WHY?</b> The Project will not disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community. The Project site is presently vacant and is located in a developed residential area. The construction of the proposed Project will not alter the development pattern of the surrounding area.								
	b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the Project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? ( )								
							$\boxtimes$		
feet	and						than 25,000 square e Zoning District is		
Use calls The of p addi by n and own Policimpr pres	The Project is consistent with the Open Space General Plan Land Use Designation in the adopted 1994 Land Use Element, and its designation as dedicated parkland. The General Plan Land Use Designation Objective 9, calls for preserving and acquiring open space in Pasadena in order to enhance the quality of Pasadena life. The proposed project is consistent with Land Use Element Policy 17.3 by promoting the equitable distribution of public and private recreation facilities throughout the City, as a function of population distribution. In addition, the proposed project is consistent with Open Space Objective 2 by increasing recreational resources by multi-purpose uses of existing open spaces. Furthermore, the proposed project is consistent with Cultural and Recreational Element Objective 1.3 by encouraging the expanded use of private resources and resources owned or controlled by public entities other than the City which are suitable for recreational purposes, and Policy 40.1 by actively seeking the cooperation of private and other public entities in order to maximize and improve the recreational opportunities which are available to the public. Landscaping of the site includes preservation of the site's native oaks, and planting of additional native trees and shrubs. The Project also supports Objective 17 by increasing recreation opportunities for residents of the City.								
and	The Project is also consistent with the Final Environmental Impact Report, certified in 1994 for the Land Use and Mobility Elements of City's General Plan, and the regional growth forecast for Pasadena identified in Southern California 2020 - A Preliminary Growth Forecast: Regional Overview (Working Draft May 1995).								
	C.	Conflict with any (NCCP)? ( )	⁄ applicable h	abitat conservati	on plan (HCP) o	r natural communit	y conservation plan		
							$\boxtimes$		

WHY? As of February 2004, there were no Habitat Conservation or Natural Community Conservation Plans in

Pasadena Ice Rink Facility – Initial Study FINAL DRAFT (04.20.05)

Pasadena.

		Impact	Mitigation is Incorporated	Impact	No impact	
13. MIN	ERAL RESOURCES.	Would the Project:				
	Result in the loss of av	•	nineral resource th	at would be of val	lue to the region an	a
					$\boxtimes$	
hat there Eaton Wa where the concrete. and sand b.	ne Final Environmental e are two areas in Pasash and Devil's Gate Fore are significant mine. The Project will result in will be replaced and was a local general plan, specifical plan, specifica	sadena, which may of Reservoir. The Project ral deposits of sand ar in soil or gravel being ill have no impact on a vailability of a locally-i	contain mineral restants is site is located with and gravel used in not removed from the any valuable mineral rimportant mineral r	ources of sand, ghin the Eaton Can naking asphalt and Project area. How al resources in the	gravel, and stone inyon Wash, an ared sand used to make ever, the soil, grave state and region.	r
					$\boxtimes$	
Jse Elen here are Seco, the mined. T The 1999	nere are no locally imponent of the Compreher two areas within Pasa e other in Eaton Canyo here are no mineral-rese "Aggregate Resourcent of Conservation, Dia.	nsive General Plan. dena which contain a n. These areas are a source recovery sites es in the Los Angele	The 1994 certified aggregate for making zoned for Open Spanown in the Hahales Metropolitan Ar	final EIR for this age Portland cement oace uses and are mongna Watersherea" map publisherea"	element states than to one in the Arroy one in the Arroy on not currently bein and Park Master Plared by the Californi	ai c g

Significant

Unless

Less Than

**Significant** 

 $\boxtimes$ 

No Impact

**Potentially** 

**Significant** 

WHY? The Project itself will not lead to a significant increase in ambient noise. Noise generated by construction activities may have a short-term impact and noise from air conditioning and heating systems and outside human activities, may increase the existing level of ambient noise after construction. The Project will adhere to City regulations governing hours of construction, noise levels generated by construction and mechanical equipment, and the allowed level of ambient noise (Chapter 9.36 of the Pasadena Municipal Code). Regulations in the Municipal Code regarding ambient noise levels apply to stationary noise sources. The Noise Restrictions Ordinance does not regulate traffic noise.

a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local

general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? ( )

The impact from construction noise will be short-term and limited to normal working hours (7 a.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Friday and 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturday in or within 500 feet of a residential area) in

NOISE. Will the Project result in:

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

accordance with City regulations. Mitigation Measure 14-1 has been added to ensure that a construction related traffic plan would be submitted and must be reviewed and approved prior to the issuance of a grading permit. This plan should show the location of any construction equipment and how the noise from this equipment will be mitigated by such methods as: temporary noise attenuation barriers; preferential location of equipment; and use of current technology and noise suppression equipment. In addition, the plan is required to ensure that truck routes for transportation of materials and equipment are established with consideration for sensitive uses in the neighborhood. A traffic and parking plan for the construction phase will also be submitted for approval by the Building Division and Public Works and Transportation Department.

The Project must comply with the City's Noise Restrictions Ordinance (Chapter 9.36 of the Pasadena Municipal Code) and the California Sound Transmission Control Standards (CAC, Title 24, building Standards, Chapter 12 Appendix Section 1208A). Construction generated noise could potentially impact neighboring properties if it occurs during nighttime and /or early morning hours. All equipment shall be maintained in accordance with manufacturer specifications and shall be equipped with mufflers or other sound control devices as needed.

According to the Noise Restrictions Ordinance, the allowed ambient noise level is 50 dBA during the day (6a.m.-11 p.m.) and 40 dBA at night (11 p.m. to 6 a.m.). Noise generated by construction equipment shall be 85 dBA or less within a 100 foot radius of the equipment. Construction hours are limited to between the hours of 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Friday and 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturday.

The 2002 adopted Noise Element of the Comprehensive General Plan contains objectives and policies to help minimize the effects of noise from different sources. According to Figure 1, Guidelines for Noise Compatible Land Use, of this element this Ice Rink Facility Project is located in an area with a normally acceptable ambient noise range of 40-50 dBA. Land uses that are considered to be noise sensitive include but are not limited to: residences, hotels, single room occupancy buildings, group care and convalescent homes, schools, churches, libraries, performance halls, parks and hospitals.

However, the Project is in the preliminary design stage, and the Project's construction details, operation, maintenance, and vehicular traffic along the access road and within the parking area have not been determined, some of which may affect the allowed ambient noise level in the area. As such, to ensure that the proposed Project would not exceed the allowed ambient noise level, a mitigation measure has been included that will require the City to complete a Noise Study for the Project prior to the issuance of a building permit. The Project's Noise Study will measure the noise levels generated by the Project. In addition, if the Project's Noise Study reveals any potential project exceedances of the City's Noise Ordinance thresholds of significance, the City shall incorporate attenuation measures to lessen the noise impact.

## Mitigation Measures

b.	Exposure of levels?()	persons	to or	generation	of	excessive	groundborne	vibration	or	groundborne	noise
						Г	1	$\bowtie$			

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

**WHY?** The Metro Gold Line operates along the median of the 210 San Bernardino Freeway located west and south of the Project site, more than 1,000 feet away. The Project will be constructed to meet or exceed all applicable building code requirements, which will limit the exposure of people to excessive vibration or groundborne noise level.

c. A substantial permanent without the Project? ( )	increase in ambient	noise levels in t	he Project vicinity a	bove levels existing
				$\boxtimes$
WHY? See response to 14.a. The sets the allowed ambient noise lead would be indoor, and are not expert 3 requires the final design of the I crowd noises are contained within	evel. The majority of cted to alter the amb ce Rink facility to be	f the activities the ient noise in the analyzed by an	nat will occur at the project vicinity. Mit	e proposed Ice Rink tigation Measure 14-
The project would also increase as be generated by the proposed I maintenance, heating and cooling would normally be minor.	ce Rink. Such acti	vities include u	se of exterior park	king lots, deliveries,
The Project itself will not lead to preliminary design stage, and the along the access road and within allowed ambient noise level in the allowed ambient noise level, a min Noise Study for the Project prior to the noise levels generated by the exceedances of the City's Noise measures may be necessary to less than the project prior to the noise levels generated by the exceedances of the City's Noise measures may be necessary to less than the project prior to the noise levels generated by the exceedances of the City's Noise measures may be necessary to less than the project prior to the noise levels generated by the exceedances of the City's Noise measures may be necessary to less than the project prior to the noise levels generated by the exceedances of the City's Noise measures may be necessary to less than the project prior to the noise levels generated by the exceedances of the City's Noise measures may be necessary to less than the noise levels generated by the exceedances of the City's Noise measures may be necessary to less than the necessary the necessary to less than the necessary the necessary to less than the necessary than the necessary than the necessary than the necessary the necessary than the n	Project's construction the parking area has a area. As such, to distinguish the issuance of a bearing the issuance of a bearing the insulance thresholds.	on details, opera we not been det ensure that the s been included uilding permit. if the Project's N s of significance	ition, maintenance, ermined, some of v proposed Project w that will require the The Project's Noise loise Study reveals	and vehicular traffice which may affect the vould not exceed the e City to complete a e Study will measure any potential project
Mitigation Measures				
Mitigation Measure 14-3, as show	n in the attached MM	RP, are hereby	incorporated into the	e project.
d. A substantial temporary levels existing without the		e in ambient no	ise levels in the P	roject vicinity above
		$\boxtimes$		

WHY? Construction of the Project may cause a substantial temporary increase in ambient noise. Hours of construction and level of construction equipment noise are controlled by the Noise Restriction Ordinance (PMC) Chapter 9.36. A Mitigation Measure requiring a Construction Related Noise Plan and a Construction Staging Plan for the Project is included in this analysis, and these plans will be made conditions of approval for

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

the Project. A mitigation measure has been included that will require the City to complete a Noise Study for the Project prior to the issuance of a building permit. The Project's Noise Study will measure the noise levels generated by the Project. In addition, if the Project's Noise Study reveals any potential project exceedances of the City's Noise Ordinance thresholds of significance, the City shall incorporate attenuation measures may be necessary to lessen the noise impact. With the mitigation measures, the impacts from construction and operation of the Project will be reduced to a less than significant level.

## **Mitigation Measures**

Mitig	atio	on Measure 14-1, as shown in t	the attached MM	IRP, are hereby i	ncorporated into the	e project.
	<b>e</b> .	For a Project located within within two miles of a public a working in the Project area to	irport or public	use airport, would		
						$\boxtimes$
		As of July 2003, there were no f the Burbank, Glendale Pasac				
	f.	For a Project within the vicinit working in the Project area to			Project expose peo	ple residing or
						$\boxtimes$
WH)	Y? T	Γhe Project is not within the vic	inity of the Polic	e Heliport or the F	Fire Camp in the Ar	rroyo Seco.
15.	PC	PULATION AND HOUSING.	Would the Proje	ect:		
	a.	Induce substantial population and businesses) or indirectly				
						$\boxtimes$

WHY? The Project is in a developed area where all major infrastructure is in place. If the Project were to result in necessary improvements to connect the Project to the existing infrastructure, it will be the responsibility of the City. The project involves the relocation of an existing Ice Rink Facility from Central Pasadena to the eastern area of the City. The relocation does not involve the demolition or addition of any housing units, and if new employees are needed to operate the relocated facility, the number of employees would be too small to induce a significant population increase and demand for housing and infrastructure.

 $\Box$ 

b.	Displace	substantial	numbers	of	existing	housing,	necessitating	the	construction	of	replacement
	housing e	elsewhere? (	( )								

П

 $\boxtimes$ 

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

**WHY?** See response 15a. The Project does not involve the demolition of housing units or the construction of new housing units.

	C.	Displace substantial numbers elsewhere? ( )	of people,	necessitating the	construction of r	eplacement housing
						$\boxtimes$
and over	withi the	he proposed Project would not in a developed residential area existing population base if new e to 15a.	ı. The implei	mentation of this Pro	oject may result in	a negligible change
16.	pro gov to	BLIC SERVICES. Will the Proposition of new or physically a vernmental facilities, the construmaintain acceptable service rapilic services:	altered gove uction of whi	ernmental facilities, ch could cause sign	need for new o	or physically altered ntal impacts, in order
	a.	Fire Protection? ( )				
						$\boxtimes$
the 2 near Proje appr infra	2002 est f ect, oval struc	he Project site is located in a log Safety Element of the City's Gire station (Fire Station 37 locate the City must submit plans to the City must submit plans to the City's Preliminary cture can serve the Project. If ble for providing infrastructure up	Seneral Plan ted at 3430 he Water ar Plan Revie it is found t	. The Project is loc East Foothill Boulev nd Power Departme w (PPR) and CUP hat the existing infr	cated approximate ard). As a condition ant and Building O processes to dete	ly 0.5 miles from the on of approval for the fficial for review and ermine if the existing
	b.	Libraries? ( )				
						$\boxtimes$
Libra	ary l	he Project is located approximated at 3325 East Orange Con (Library) System.				
	C.	Parks? ( )				

WHY? The Project is located on dedicated parkland immediately south of the proposed Eaton Wash Park and Off-Leash Dog Park. The Project increases the City's park inventory and active recreational opportunities,

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

particularly in East Pasadena. The proposed Project will provide an active, all season commercial recreational facility for residents. According to Parks and Natural Resources staff, the City as a whole had 1.6 acres of parkland per 1,000 residents in May 2002. The state standard in the Quimby Act is 3.0 acres per 1,000 residents.

d.	Police Protection? ( )							
					$\boxtimes$			
burglar enforce must s Review person neighbe the Cit commu parking	The proposed site is in an any statistics. In order to ement/security services to the pubmit plans to the Police Dev (PPR) and CUP processes. The land level of police protecting communities. If it is found y is responsible for providing unities. This may include an outdoor space attion with the Police Department.	ensure that to proposed Ice Riperpartment for restriction in the vicing that the existing increased level perator-maintainces. However,	he Police Departure, and as a conditional content and approvation of the Project g personnel and legarity of police protection and private security	tment can provion of approval for approval for the Cite partment will detect the can serve well of police protect for the Project of force for the Ice	ride adequate law the Project, the City y's Preliminary Plan ermine if the existing the Project and the ction is not sufficient, and the neighboring Rink and associated			
е	. Schools? ( )							
					$\boxtimes$			
	The project is required to pay		•	-	square foot. These			
f.	Other public facilities? ( )							
					$\boxtimes$			
WHY? The Project's development may result in additional maintenance of public facilities. Any costs associated with the Project's development, maintenance and operation is the City's responsibility. Several development impact fees are collected at or prior to the issuance of a building permit for the project. The City will be required to pay all fees and will therefore offset any potential impacts to public facilities.								
17. R	ECREATION.							
а	Would the Project increase facilities such that substantia							
					$\boxtimes$			

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

WHY? The proposed Project includes new construction of an ice rink facility with a surface parking area of approximately 143 parking spaces. The Project will increase the City's park inventory and recreational opportunities, particularly in East Pasadena. The Project site is located on dedicated parkland immediately south of the proposed Eaton Wash Park and Off-Leash Dog Park. The Project will provide active recreational opportunities (year round) for the citizens. There are no residential units proposed as a result of the Project that may increase the demand for neighborhood parks and other recreation services. Also, see responses to 15a and 15c.

b. Does the Project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? ( )						
				$\boxtimes$		
WHY? The Project involves the relocation the eastern area of the City. The existinvolves the construction of a new Ice recreational facilities available to the C	ing Facility, Pas Rink Facility th	sadena Ice Skating at will contain two	Center, has only	one rink. The Project		
There are no residential units proponeighborhood parks and other recreati		sult of the Project	that may increa	ase the demand for		
18. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.	Would the Pro	oject:				
<ul> <li>Cause an increase in traffic t street system (i.e., result in a capacity ratio on roads, or co</li> </ul>	substantial ind	crease in either the				
·				$\boxtimes$		
WHY? The Project site is located nor Arterial and a Principal Multimodal C		•	•			

Arterial and a Principal Multimodal Corridor according to the approved 2004 Mobility Element of the City's General Plan. To the north is Orange Grove Boulevard, a west-east thoroughfare identified as a Minor Arterial and a Principal Multimodal Corridor. Sierra Madre Villa is a north-south route within the vicinity of the project area to the east, and is designated as a Minor Arterial and a Principal Multimodal Corridor according to the approved 2004 Mobility Element of the City's General Plan.

A Traffic Impact Study was required by the Transportation Department in accordance with the City's Traffic Impact Report Preparation Guidelines. The Study was prepared by Linscott, Law & Greenspan Engineers (July 30, 2004) for the Project and six study intersections were analyzed for potential impacts related to the proposed Project. The traffic study finds the proposed Project is not expected to create significant traffic impacts at any of the six study intersections, particularly along Foothill Boulevard and Maple Street.

The Project would generate a net increase of 60 vehicle trips (27 inbound and 33 outbound) during the weekday PM peak commuter hour. Over a 24-hour period, the Project is forecast to generate a net increase of 630 weekday daily trip ends (approximately 315 inbound and 315 outbound).

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

The Project is expected to generate a net increase of 192 vehicle trips (147 inbound and 45 outbound) during the weekend mid-day peak hour. Over a 24-hour period, the Project is forecast to generate 868 weekend daily trip ends assuming a sold out performance and a hockey tournament (approximately 434 inbound and 434 outbound). This condition is only anticipated to occur once or twice a year during a weekend.

According to the Traffic Impact Study, this increase will not significantly impact the level of service (LOS) at nearby local intersections. Because there are no significant traffic impacts, the traffic study finds that no traffic mitigation measures are required at any of the study intersections.

<ul> <li>b. Exceed, either individually or congestion management agent</li> </ul>				ished by the county
				$\boxtimes$
WHY? The Traffic Study conducted for this Guidelines and the Los Angeles County Corfound that the traffic generated by the Projecthresholds of significance. There are no mproposed project.	ngestion Managet will not exce	gement Program. The Ted the regional Conge	Traffic Study cond stion Management	ucted for the Project Plan (CMP)
<ul> <li>Result in a change in air traffic location that results in substant</li> </ul>	patterns, incl tial safety risk	uding either an incre s? ( )	ease in traffic leve	els or a change in
				$\boxtimes$
WHY? The Project site is not within an airport. The nearest public use airport representatives from the Cities of Burba buildings for evacuating occupants in ca of the Arroyo Seco near the City's border	t is in Burbar ank, Glendale ase of an eme	nk, which is operate and Pasadena. He rgency. The police	ed by a Joint P lipads are requir heliport is locate	owers Authority with ed on many high-rise d at the eastern edge
d. Substantially increase hazar intersections) or incompatible t		_	(e.g., sharp cu	urves or dangerous
			$\boxtimes$	
WHY? Access to the proposed Ice currently serves the PCC Community E proposed ice rink parking area would located east of the Project site. The Project site is a server of the project site.	Education Cer be through th	nter (located souther le existing PCC par	ast of the Projec king areas and	t site). Access to the SCE-owned property

signalized as part of the Project in order to accommodate left-turn and right-turn ingress and egress turning movements and to provide safer movements at the intersection. Operation of this proposed traffic signal will be coordinated with existing and future signals along the East Foothill Boulevard corridor.

The Traffic Study for this Project has been reviewed and accepted by the Transportation Department. The Project trip generation and design will not to be hazardous to traffic circulation on the Project site or in the vicinity of the Project. The perimeter of the Project site will be fenced and gated.

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

There may be a temporary increase in traffic hazards to motorists, bicyclists or pedestrians during construction. This will be mitigated to a level of insignificance through compliance with the construction staging plan and the Noise Ordinance. The construction plan contains approved transportation routes for construction traffic that are deemed to be the least disruptive by the Public Works Department and the Transportation Department. The Noise Ordinance limits the hours of construction primarily to daytime hours to control the level of noise. There will not be significant Project-related impacts on traffic hazards to motorists, bicyclists or pedestrians based on the Project's compliance with all applicable codes and regulations which will be reviewed by the Public Works and Transportation Departments.

rubiic vvoiks and Transportation Depai	uncilo.			
e. Result in inadequate emergen	ncy access? (	)		
				$\boxtimes$
WHY? The ingress and egress for the adequate for emergency access or a located through Alameda Street and SC of the proposed Eaton Wash Park loca and Safety Codes and plans are subject Departments, the Building Division and project.	ccess to nea CE-owned pro ted north of P ect to review a	orby uses. Addition operty east of the Pro Project site. The Pro and approval by the	nal emergency a oject site and thro ject must comply Public Works ar	occess entrances are ough the parking area with all Building, Fire nd the Transportation
f. Result in inadequate parking o	capacity? ( )			
				$\boxtimes$
WHY? Due to the increased intensity of by the City's Zoning Code, the proposed free of charge to Facility employees Boulevard. The Project will comply with number of required parking and loading significant impacts to parking. Additionatimes, such as during a tournament or occur only once or twice per year. Significant impact. Furthermore, the Cisuch events, which the City intends to put the Project are anticipated to be available from the parking on the SCE easemed documentation is required.  g. Conflict with adopted policies turnouts, bicycle racks)? ( )	ed Project will and visitors the condition of spaces and parking spaces and parking spaces and parking event. Thus, this occity will be responded at exist ble through a period or options in the control of spacific spaces of spaces of spaces.	I include a parking a Vehicular access ons under the CUP dequate to serve the aces may be neede. Tournaments an ecasional overage of ponsible for providir shared-parking agreemplemented, the Cith a shuttle service ment. If the Ice Riwill revisit this Initial	to the Project for approval white project. There do to serve the local other major ever of onsite parkinging the needed over acilities. Addition element with exist the will seek additional study to determine the study to determin	143 parking spaces, will be from Foothill ch will determine the fore there will be note Rink at peak usage ents are expected to is not considered a perflow parking during hal parking spaces for ing parking facilities in the Ice Rink facility is revised to include mine if further CEQA
				$\boxtimes$

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

WHY? The Project is consistent with the Mobility Element of the City's General Plan. The Project is near several multimodal corridors according to the 2004 adopted Mobility Element of the General Plan. The Project is located near bus routes on Orange Grove, Foothill, and Sierra Madre Villa, and near the light rail Gold Line Station (located at Sierra Madre Villa and Foothill Blvd) that provide local and regional service to/from Pasadena. The Project will include provisions for the use of bicycles in accordance with the Zoning Code.

The Project is subject to the Trip Reduction Ordinance (Ordinance No. 6172). The Project Is required to implement a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) that has been reviewed and approved by the Department of Transportation. The TDM Plan includes a number of measures to reduce vehicular trips generated by the Project, including designated parking for carpools/vanpools, transit passes, bicycle amenities, etc.

19.	19. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the Project:							
	a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?  ( )							
						$\boxtimes$		
Contr subje Ange	ol l ct t les	The Project will not exceed waste Board, Los Angeles Region. Lo to a Los Angeles County fee wl County Sanitation District 16. T ed by L.A. County Sanitation Dis	s Angeles County nen the Project is l here are not unusu	treats the City's w nooked up to a se	astewater, individua wer line. The City	l Projects are is within Los		
	<ul> <li>Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? ( )</li> </ul>							
						$\boxtimes$		
expai treatr Ange revier requi infras	nsid ner les w t red stru	The Project will not result in ton of existing facilities. The City of facilities. Los Angeles County County fee when the project is the Project through the PPR at If the Project were to result cture or result in new constructions.	y's Water and Pow treats the City's v s hooked up to a and CUP processe in necessary imp	ver Department is vastewater, individually sewer line. The Ves and will determine to controlly to the version of the version o	responsible for wat lual projects are sub later and Power De nine if any new inf anect the Project to	ter and water oject to a Los epartment will rastructure is the existing		
	C.	Require or result in the constr facilities, the construction of wh				on of existing		

WHY? The Project will not require the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or the expansion of existing facilities. The Project is located in a developed residential area where storm drainage is provided by

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

existing streets, storm drains, flood control channels, and catch basins. The Project development will not result in the need for a new or substantial alteration to the existing drainage system. Further, the Project must have an on-site drainage plan approved by the Building Official and the Public Works Department prior to the issuance of any building permits. Any on-site improvements needed to provide drainage or to connect the Project with the existing City drainage system are the responsibility of the City.

The Project does meet a standard for review of drainage plans for compliance with the Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) Ordinance. When a project meets a standard for review, drainage plans will be reviewed by the Building Division of the Planning and Development Department by Public Works Department.

The City of Pasadena through Ordinance 6837 adopted the Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan recommended by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region. This ordinance enables the City to be part of the municipal storm sewer permit issued by the Los Angeles Region to the County of Los Angeles. The City Council is committed to adopting any changes made to the Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region.

	J	,	J		, ,	J	
d.			olies available to se ntitlements needed'		om existing entitlen	nents and resource	S,
					$\boxtimes$		
water s supply i experie infrastru	upplies availa is a potential nce periods ucture is not s	able to serve the problem for all of drought and	ision of the Pasad e Project from exist new development I needs a long-teri ity is responsible fo	ing entitlements since the Southe n reliable water	and resources. Th rn California regior supply. If it is fou	e adequacy of waten n has been known t and that the existin	er to
drought of land Pasade	i, thereby red use. The im na Water an	ucing monthly was pact will be red d Power Depar	nply with the City's \ water consumption duced to a level th tment has reviewed ne local groundwate	to 90 percent of at is not significant this Project and	the expected cons ant. Further, the V d determined that t	umption for this typ Vater Division of th	e ie
e.	Project tha		by the wastewate ate capacity to se ments? ( )				
						$\boxtimes$	
WHY?	See response	es to 19 a. and	b.				
f.	Be served disposal ne		th sufficient permit	ted capacity to a	nccommodate the I	Project's solid wasi	t <b>e</b>
						$\boxtimes$	

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

WHY? The Project can be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the Project's solid waste disposal needs. The City of Pasadena is served primarily by Scholl Canyon landfill, which as of July 2003 has a 22-year capacity, and secondarily by Puente Hills, which was repermitted in 2003 for 10 years.

The Project is located in a developed residential area and within the City's refuse collection area. The Project will not result in the need for a new or in substantial alteration to the existing system of solid waste collection and disposal.

g.	Comply with rederal, state, a	na local statutes t	and regulations re	eialed to solid was	te? ( )			
require This pr	The Project will comply with ap d to submit a program to the P ogram must be approved by th m must contain recycling for off	ublic Works Depa e Solid Waste Di	artment's Solid W vision prior to the	aste Division for r issuance of any l	ecycling solid waste. building permits. The			
8.62 of	In addition, prior to construction and in accordance with the Construction and Demolition Ordinance (Chapter 8.62 of the Pasadena Municipal Code), the City must submit a Construction Waste Management Plan and the Project will comply with all Federal, State and local regulations related to solid waste.							
20. M	IANDATORY FINDINGS OF S	IGNIFICANCE.						
a.	a. Does the Project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? ( )							
					$\boxtimes$			
WHY2	The proposed Project is locate	ed on a vacant sit	te within a fully da	weloned urbanizo	d area. There are no			

WHY? The proposed Project is located on a vacant site within a fully developed urbanized area. There are no sensitive plant or wildlife species on the site, and the proposal is not expected to involve the removal of any protected trees. As a condition of approval for the Project and in accordance with the Ordinance, prior to the issuance of a building permit, the City must submit complete landscape, irrigation and tree protection plans for review and approval by the Zoning Administrator, Design Commission, and Urban Forestry Advisory Committee (UFAC), and grading plans to the Building Official and the Public Works Department for review and approval. If found during the course of project review and site layout that a tree must be removed, the City must follow the regulations set forth in the City's Tree Protection Ordinance, which includes review and approval by UFAC for each tree proposed for relocation or removal. Based on the fact that all the requirements of the Ordinance must be met, there will be no significant impacts caused by the proposed Project.

The proposed Project does not have the potential to impact important examples of a major period of California history (See response to question 7 Cultural Resources.).

Mitigation is Impact Impact Incorporated b. Does the Project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a Project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past Projects, the effects of other current Projects, and the effects of probable future Project? ( ) 冈 WHY?. According to the City of Pasadena listing of Projects of Community-wide Significance, dated January 1, 2004, there are three (3) Projects of community-wide significance within 1/2 mile of the proposed Project. The probability of cumulative impacts is minimal. In addition, the Project is only required to mitigate its' own impacts or contribute its fair share towards alleviating potentially significant cumulative impacts. See response 21c below. c. Does the Project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? ( )

Significant

Unless

**Potentially** 

Significant

Less Than

Significant

No Impact

WHY? During project construction, additional short-term air quality impact may result from worker travel, construction equipment emissions, and dust from excavation activities. In addition, construction activities may result in adverse noise, traffic, pedestrian and parking effects. As a condition of approval for the Project, prior to the issuance of a building permit, the City must submit complete building, grading, and construction staging plans for review and approval to the Building Division and the Public Works and Transportation Departments.

It is anticipated that there may be short-term impacts from noise as a result of the Project. A Mitigation Measure requiring a Construction Related Noise Plan and a Construction Staging Plan for the Project is included in this analysis, and these plans will be made conditions of approval for the Project. Further, the Project must comply with the Noise Ordinance. With Mitigation Measures, the impacts will be reduced to a less than significant level.

Since the Project is in the preliminary design stage, and the Project's construction details, operation, maintenance, and vehicular traffic along the access road and within the parking area have not been determined, some of which may affect the allowed ambient noise level in the area. As such, to ensure that the proposed Project would not exceed the allowed ambient noise level, a mitigation measure has been included that will require the City to complete a Noise Study for the Project prior to the issuance of a building permit. The Project's Noise Study will measure the noise levels generated by the Project. In addition, if the Project's Noise Study reveals any potential project exceedances of the City's Noise Ordinance thresholds of significance, the City shall incorporate attenuation measures may be necessary to lessen the noise impact.

Due to the significant amount of yard waste and organic material found within the Project site and the Project potentially involving a large amount of grading, as a condition of approval for the Project and prior to the issuance of a building permit, the City must submit complete grading plans for review and approval by the Building Division. All methane related control measures will be required to be designed by and constructed under the observation of a State of California Registered Civil Engineer (RCE) familiar with such measures. With Mitigation Measures, the impacts will be reduced to a less than significant level. An Air Quality Study will also be required to ensure that the project's short-term generation of air pollutants related to construction

Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated

Less Than Significant Impact

No Impact

activity does not exceed the SCAQMD's thresholds of significance. If generation of air pollutants in excess of the SCAQMD's thresholds of significance are identified they will be required to be reduced as conditions of approval to the CUP application, and must be completed prior to the issuance of a building permit for the project.

As another condition of approval to the CUP, and in accordance with City regulations, complete building plans must be submitted to Building, Fire, Health and Public Works Departments for review and approval prior to issuance of a building permit. Review and approval of the plans will ensure that the transportation, storage, use and disposal of refrigerants and/or cooling agents will comply with local, state, EPA, and federal regulations. The Project must adhere to applicable zoning and fire regulations regarding the use and storage of any hazardous substances.

There are no situations or components of the Project that would cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly, and that cannot be mitigated to a level that is not significant by the measures outlined in this study. Some areas of potential impact will be subject to the City's mitigation-monitoring program which will inspect, monitor and ensure that all mitigation measures are being adhered to.