Martinez, Ruben

From:

City Web

Sent:

Monday, March 08, 2021 11:02 AM PublicComment-AutoResponse

To: Subject:

Public Comment for Meeting on March 8, 2021 about Agenda Item 9 -public hearing

california/fair oaks development

Public Comment for Meeting on March 8, 2021 about Agenda Item 9 -public hearing california/fair oaks development

Name: Thomas Address: Pasadena, CA 91103

Comments:

While I agree with the concerns of increasing traffic on California, I think this project will be great for the area, and a big step up from the current parking lot and dated buildings. I especially appreciate the increased sidewalk setback to make pedestrian use more friendly, along with new street trees. I would ask that the street lighting also be improved between fair oaks and raymond and alley lighting required. I disagree with the proposal to require a no left turn sign into the alley if the traffic analysis fails. I do think the traffic analysis after CofO should be performed, but the door should be left open for other mitigation options. There is already a dual turn pocket on California and Fair Oaks, keep clear/do not block striping could increase usability during heavy traffic for both alley and parking access. The project's main access for parking and pedestrians is from Fair Oaks, the only access to the rear is rideshare drop off and deliveries. Rideshare drop off access should be as easy as possible (please add signage on the front of the building) to disincentive stopping on California or Fair Oaks.

Consent given to read my comments out loud: Yes

Entry Submitted: March 8, 2021 at 11:02 am

03/08/2021 Item 9

Martinez, Ruben

From:

City Web

Sent:

Monday, March 08, 2021 1:42 PM

To:

PublicComment-AutoResponse

Subject:

Public Comment for Meeting on March 8, 2021 about Agenda Item 9. CUP/Amendment

South Fair Oaks Specific Plan

Public Comment for Meeting on March 8, 2021 about Agenda Item 9. CUP/Amendment South Fair Oaks Specific Plan

Name: Nina

Email:

Phone:

Address:

Chomsky

Pasadena, CA 91103

Comments:

I write individually to OPPOSE a Zoning text amendment to the current South Fair Oaks Specific Plan, and related Zoning Code amendment, to allow 62 feet in height for this one, specific site. Pasadena supposedly values public participation in the General Plan and Specific Plan processes. Currently, the South Fair Oaks Specific Plan is being updated. Further, Pasadena supposedly no longer "plans" by project, that is, approves projects by amending plans and zoning to fit the project, as opposed to approving projects that are consistent with adopted Plans and zoning. And "spot zoning" is not legal. The text and Specific Plan amendments are not consistent with General Plan goals, policies and objectives, and other City policies. The required Finding cannot be made.

Near by on Fair Oaks is a similar proposed medical project that complies with the current Specific Plan. The difference appears to be location on Fair Oaks. This proposed project also should be required to comply with the current Specific Plan and redesigned to comply with the current Specific Plan maximum height standard for this part of the Specific Plan area.

Consent given to read my comments out loud: Yes

Entry Submitted: March 8, 2021 at 1:42 pm

03/08/2021 Item 9

Martinez, Ruben

From:

City Web

Sent:

Monday, March 08, 2021 3:18 PM

To:

PublicComment-AutoResponse

Subject:

Public Comment for Meeting on March 8, 2021 about Agenda Item 9

Public Comment for Meeting on March 8, 2021 about Agenda Item 9

Name: Carol

Email:

Phone:

Address:

D. Hernandez

Pasadena, CA 91104

._ ._ . . . , ,

Comments:

I have no problem with the project nor its height. My concern is with the lack of an exhibit showing the map amendment to the 1998 South Fair Oaks Specific Plan and the exact language being added to the zoning code and how it differentiates from the existing. In addition I have a question regarding the EIR. On page 54 there a reference to the project requiring a variance to the Design Commission provided to the Planning Commission vs. a Specific Plan amendment to the City Council. Just a point of clarification on how that change took place.

Consent given to read my comments out loud: Yes

Entry Submitted: March 8, 2021 at 3:18 pm

03/08/2021 Item 9