

**Martinez, Ruben**

---

**From:** City Web  
**Sent:** Monday, June 01, 2020 12:28 PM  
**To:** Public Comment; City\_Council  
**Subject:** Public Comment for Meeting on June 1, 2020 about Agenda Item 6 - ADOPTION OF FISCAL YEAR 2021 RECOMMENDED GENERAL FEE SCHEDULE

## **Public Comment for Meeting on June 1, 2020 about Agenda Item 6 - ADOPTION OF FISCAL YEAR 2021 RECOMMENDED GENERAL FEE SCHEDULE**

**Name:** Sven    **Address:** Pasadena, CA 91103

---

### **Comments:**

For your information the cost of service analysis is 7 years old and recommended renewal every 3-5 years.

Comments regarding the fees on our recent bath renovation:

1. There is a processing fee on each permit for plumbing, electrical, mechanical, etc. Each of these is charged the processing fee but they are processed as a single application, with one plan, approval, and payment. The cost of services analysis associated no cost per unit amount to this fee item.
2. The most expensive permit charge on our remodel was Administrative review of Construction and Demolition Recycling Plan at around \$300. This was increased in 2018 from \$66. Per the staff report in 2018 it takes 5.3 staff hours to review this report, and it takes the same amount of time to review a small residential remodel recycling plan as it does a commercial or multi residential plan. Note that the extent of our "recycling plan" was to use a city approved hauler & facility that diverts the required amount from landfills.

The recycling plan review fee is too high. For one \$80 dump trip, I paid FOUR TIMES that amount in fees PLUS a \$1000 deposit. Fees should match the service they are providing.

**Martinez, Ruben**

---

**From:** City Web  
**Sent:** Monday, June 01, 2020 3:17 PM  
**To:** Public Comment; City\_Council  
**Subject:** Public Comment for Meeting on June 1, 2020 about Agenda Item 6

## Public Comment for Meeting on June 1, 2020 about Agenda Item 6

<b>Name:</b> Jill Suzanne Shook	<b>Email:</b>	<b>Phone:</b>	<b>Address:</b> Pasadena, CA, Pasadena, CA
---------------------------------------	---------------	---------------	--

---

### Comments:

Dear City Council,

We request that you forgo the following and other fees related to any affordable ADUs and affordable units: the \$234 for sprinklers if needed (the State requires sprinklers if the primary home has them); the \$1,315 for a fire pump; the \$630.00 for Hood and Duct; the \$893.00 for the installation of a carbon dioxide devise (which costs only \$50) and other fees that may apply such as fire marshal and plan checkers. The site review cost of \$208.00 we feel is reasonable and should be kept. We need to do all we can to encourage and incentivize affordable housing and ADUs. This is especially true with the anticipation of a 45% increase in homelessness because of pandemic. We need all housing solutions possible. Thank you for considering these changes in the fee schedule.

Respectfully,

Jill Shook, ED, MHCH

---

**Consent given to read my comments out loud: Yes**

06/01/2020  
Item 6