Martinez, Ruben

From:

G Wester < gwester@ieee.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 01, 2020 10:32 AM

To:

Tornek, Terry; Mermell, Steve; Jomsky, Mark; Public Comment; McAustin, Margaret

Cc:

Morales, Margo; Harvey-Williams, Brenda; Perez, John Eduardo; Frieders, Bryan; Cornejo,

Laura

Subject:

6/1/20 Council Meeting, Item 21B: I Support a Car-Free Rose-Bowl Loop

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Dear Mayor Tornek and City Council,

Please keep the Rose Bowl loop closed to vehicular traffic. The Rose Bowl and the Arroyo Seco are regional treasures most suited for recreation purposes. With social distancing guidelines expected to stay in effect for the foreseeable future, and residents facing limited opportunities for exercise, Pasadena needs to make space for people to safely spread out along the Rose Bowl Loop.

The decision to re-open it to vehicular traffic after only 5 days was premature. Local residents and drive-through motorists will quickly notice road accessibility changes, but the majority of Pasadena residents have been conditioned NOT to use parks or other recreational facilities to help contain the coronavirus pandemic, and they rely on effective public information sources to learn of changes. The City must aggressively and effectively announce re-opening of the Rose Bowl Loop, noting specifically that motor vehicles are excluded in the loop so the entire roadway can be used for safe recreation. The City must also allow sufficient time for news to trickle throughout the community before success of the program can be evaluated. To paraphrase a line from "Field of Dreams" -- if you allow it (car-free recreational use), and if we know about it, we will come!

It has been said "those who want to do something will find a way, those who don't will find an excuse." To the typical Pasadena resident who desperately needs places to exercise safely, the reasons given for opening the Rose Bowl Loop to vehicles seem like flimsy excuses. Constituents find it hard to believe the cost claims made at the previous Council meeting. Carried to the logical conclusion, they infer that allocating the entire roadway for self-propelled activity is prohibitively more expensive than allocating part of the roadway for always-dangerous, frequently-speeding motor vehicles and limiting humans to the small remaining part of the roadway, for the same safety level. Furthermore, we do not believe that 24x7 surveillance is required when the loop is closed to vehicles, if it is not required when vehicles are allowed in the loop. Please be creative, put on your thinking caps, and find a way to make the Rose-Bowl Loop car-free. Our health and safety depend on it.

06/01/2020 Item 21B Thanks for your time,

Gene Wester, PhD CD2 homeowner, ZIP 91104

Martinez, Ruben

From: Sent:

То:	Public Comment
Subject:	Item 21.B
CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.	
Hello distinguished servants of	the city and people,
community. At this point in time, every other providing the community with a As you know, recently the CDG of a controlled environment, and This is why disc golf is perfect thus keeping the 6 foot social dand we can all adhere to not to know we are going to pass or go courses I have visited, and peoply the other players following the Hopefully these words find your provided in the community of the community.	u well and help move forward in opening, what has been and is a sacred historical land
•	as been there for decades, serving as a THE pilgrimage place for the greatest disc golfers vers from the surrounding cities.
Your humble citizen,	
Mike	

Mike Lerma <mikelerma82@gmail.com> Monday, June 01, 2020 2:32 PM

> 06/01/2020 Item 21 B