contraction (John to the Principle Cale April 40 April 40 April 61 April 61

September 16, 2019

City Council c/o Mark Jomsky City Clerk 100 North Garfield Ave. Pasadena, CA 91101

Re: 127-141 North Madison Avenue

Dear Mayor Torneck and City Council:

We were happy to learn that the proposed project at 127-141 North Madison Avenue has undergone meaningful changes by the developer, and that the Planning Department has provided for specific project conditions. We hope that these changes have resulted in a project that is more in harmony with the neighborhood, and which better seeks to protect the adjacent historic resources. Specifically, we understand that the revised project has the same increased FAR and housing units, but the massing, bulk, parking, and setbacks are more in line with what is in the neighborhood. Also, the setbacks of this project now will allow replacement trees to be planted in soil where they can grow to be mature trees that provide a shade canopy. This is potentially a good example of a developer, the City, and the community working together to find a better balance between the desire to develop and provide for housing, while at the same time protecting important historical buildings and green spaces.

Tonight, we are writing to urge the City Council to hold the proposed revised project to a CEQA review. There is no final design for the project. And although the proposed changes seem like good ones, the only way to know if they are sufficient, and if the proposed protections for the historical building are adequate, is to do a CEQA review. Any proposed projects that are to be located adjacent to historic buildings or districts should not qualify for a CEQA exemption. We need to be sure that we have all the facts before we make decisions to approve projects.

Additionally, we agree with Pasadena Heritage that this proposed project should go back to a preliminary design review. The public should be allowed the opportunity to review the proposed changes and to make any comments. Overall for all projects, a design review should happen earlier in our process. This will help ensure more successful project outcomes, both for developers and for the community.

Finally, we would like to emphasize the importance of protecting our diminishing tree canopy and green spaces. We are sad to hear that the three protected trees in the front of the project still are slated for removal. We urge the city to protect our remaining tree canopy by requiring developers to design around protected trees. No tree removal and replacement permits should be allowed unless the replacement trees would have sufficient setbacks to enable mature trees to thrive. The developer should consider integrating design changes to protect these trees. The setbacks allowing trees to be planted in soil is a great place to start. But the existing protected trees deserve our protections and planning as well.

Thank you,

-Rick and Megan Foker

On behalf of Livable Pasadena

We come mappy a translate supplied that the property and and the least the least to least the least translate and and and and the constant property in a supplied to the substant property in a substant property in a substant property of the substant and and and also the substant least translated to the substant of the substant in a substant least translated to the substant property in a substant least translated to the substant least translated property in a substant least translated translated translated property in the substant least translated property in the substant least translated translated

Passement CA 98101

STREET OF THE STREET OF STREET AND THE STREET

第一的人工 O TO TO A 大学的第三人称单位 1000年

് ഇന്റെ പ്രത്യായ പ്രവാധ വര്യ പ്രത്യായി പ്രത്യായി പ്രത്യായി പ്രത്യായി പ്രത്യായി പ്രത്യായില് പ്രത്യത്ത്രവയ് പ്രത്യായില് പ്രത്യത്ത്യായില് പ്രത്യായില് പ്രത്യവ