

Jomsky, Mark

From: Paula Jane Hamilton <paulajaneca@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, September 06, 2019 2:53 PM
To: Jomsky, Mark
Subject: Appeal of 127-141 N. Madison

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

I fully support Pasadena's Heritage's appeal and request that the City Council not approve the project at 127-141 N. Madison as proposed. I believe a full environmental review is needed to fully evaluate the project and to ensure compatibility with adjacent historic resources. Pasadena is a city that people all over L.A, the U.S and internationally see as having beautiful historic buildings with the mountains as a backdrop. Please reconsider this project and lets make sure that it works cohesively with the city.

Paula Jane
PASADENA Resident & homeowner

Sent from my iPad

Jomsky, Mark

From: lhuntstewa@aol.com
Sent: Friday, September 06, 2019 3:13 PM
To: Jomsky, Mark
Subject: Appeal of 127-141 N. Madison

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello Mr. Jomsky,

As a longstanding member of Pasadena Heritage and a true believer in its principles, I support Pasadena's Heritage's appeal and request that the City Council not approve the project at 127-141 N. Madison as proposed. A full environmental review is needed to fully evaluate the project and to ensure compatibility with adjacent historic resources. Let's keep a balance between old and new.

Linnea Hunt-Stewart

Jomsky, Mark

From: Graeme Cox <graeme.cox@disasterscope.com>
Sent: Friday, September 06, 2019 3:13 PM
To: Jomsky, Mark
Cc: Morales, Margo; Diane Cox; McAustin, Margaret
Subject: Appeal of 127-141 N. Madison

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark,

I agree with Diane's comments below.

In addition, any development that doesn't clearly and intentionally take into account the impacts higher density will have on the already strained public infrastructure (streets and roads, parking, water supply, electrical service, etc.) as well as the increased heat density and increased complexities of disaster response (whether for a small, local event like a structure fire or a large regional event like an earthquake) should not be approved without full investigation, review and mitigation of those impacts.

As well, making exceptions to the currently approved general plan and in-place design guidelines for such an uninspiring and unnecessary building (presumably only for the benefit of the developer's investment) sets an unwise (read: foolish) precedent and demonstrates a lack of genuine leadership for our city.

I support Pasadena Heritage's appeal and request the City Council deny approval of the project.

Graeme

Graeme R. Cox, P.E.
Graeme.Cox@DisasterScope.com
www.DisasterScope.com
626-797-8439



This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

On Sep 6, 2019, at 2:30 PM, Diane Cox <diane.cox@disasterscope.com> wrote:

Mark,

My husband and I have lived in Pasadena since we were kids. We've been doing disaster recovery work (advising FEMA) across the country since Katrina and before that worked on the Northridge Earthquake recovery since 1994 for the governor's OES. Our background gives us unique experience and many reasons to be very concerned about the development happening in Pasadena these last years.

Please tell the City Council there are layers of reasons to ***at the very least follow Pasadena's General Plan, Zoning Codes and Design Guidelines***. We've been witness to countless outcomes that are persuasive to adhering to policies like these. It's important to our city's well-being and resilience that these standards are upheld. Usually, even these policies developed by cities for protection of residents, preservation of history and community aesthetic have been the result of compromise between best practice and developers' financial interests. Please don't compromise Pasadena further.

Thank you,

Diane

Diane Burden Cox
Diane.Cox@DisasterScope.com
(626)797-8439 office

<DSI Logo.jpg>

This communication, along with any attachments, is covered by federal and state law governing electronic communications and may contain confidential and legally privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this in error, please reply immediately to the sender and delete this message. Thank you.

Jomsky, Mark

From: ahandel@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Friday, September 06, 2019 3:36 PM
To: Jomsky, Mark
Subject: Appeal of 127-141 N. Madison

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

I support Pasadena's Heritage's appeal and request that the City Council not approve the project at 127-141 N. Madison as proposed.

A full environmental review is needed to fully evaluate the project and to ensure compatibility with adjacent historic resources.

Andrea Handel
427 Virginia Ave
Pasadena CA 91107