### ATTACHMENT E FINANCIAL ANALYSIS MEMORANDUM



#### **MEMORANDUM**

ADVISORS IN: Real Estate

Redevelopment Affordable Housing **Economic Development** 

Talyn Mirzakhanian, Senior Planner To:

City of Pasadena

BERKELEY

A. Jerry Keyser Timothy C. Kelly Debbie M. Kern David Doezema **Kevin Feeney** 

Kathleen Head From:

August 30, 2019 Date:

LOS ANGELES

Kathleen H. Head James A. Rabe Gregory D. Soo-Hoo Kevin E. Engstrom Julie L. Romey Tim R. Bretz

Subject: 127-141 Madison Avenue Updated Density Bonus Analysis

SAN DIEGO Paul C. Marra

At your request, Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. (KMA) evaluated the revised development scope submitted by Balian Investments, LLC (Applicant) for the 32,000 square foot property located at 127-141 North Madison Avenue (Site). The purpose of this analysis is to identify the financial impacts the revised development scope has on the project's financial characteristics.

#### **BACKGROUND STATEMENT**

In an analysis dated March 25, 2019 KMA analyzed the Applicant's proposal to use the City of Pasadena (City) Density Bonus Ordinance. This Ordinance was enacted to comply with the requirements imposed by California Government Code Sections 65915 – 65918 (Section 65915).

Based on both the "Originally Proposed Project" and the "Currently Proposed Project", the Applicant is entitled to a 35% density bonus. This allows for the development of 49 units on the Site. The Applicant is also entitled to request two incentives or concessions. The development standards the Applicant is proposing to modify are:

1. The maximum building height is 50 feet (and up to 65 feet using height averaging). The Applicant is requesting a "Height Concession".

2. The maximum allowable floor area ratio (FAR) is 1.5:1. The Applicant is requesting a "FAR Concession".

The key modifications to the development scope can be described as follows:

Developme	Development Scope Comparison							
	Originally Proposed Project	Currently Proposed Project						
Residential Component								
One-Bedroom Units	15 Units / 1,038 SF	17 Units / 1,081 SF						
Two-Bedroom Units	28 Units / 1,259 SF	32 Units / 1,520 SF						
Three-Bedroom Units	6 Units / 2,051 SF	NA						
Total Units / Average Unit Size	49 Units / 1,288 SF	49 Units / 1,368 SF						
Commercial Component	4,210 Net SF	2,500 Net SF						
Total Gross Building Area (GBA)	72,000 SF	72,000 SF						
Parking Spaces								
Surface	20	NA						
1 <sup>st</sup> Level Subterranean	81	73						
2 <sup>nd</sup> Level Subterranean	NA	14						
Total Parking Spaces	101	87						
Density Bonus & Concessions								
Density Bonus	35%	35%						
Height Concession	50 Feet to 62 Feet	50 Feet to 60 Feet						
FAR Concession	1.5 to 2.25	1.5 to 2.25						

#### **COMPARATIVE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**

The assumptions and conclusions of the KMA financial analysis are presented in Tables and 1 and 2 that follow this memorandum. These Tables are supported by the following Attachments:

Attachment I: Current Base Case: 36 Market Rate Units & 4,210 Net

Square Feet of Commercial Space

Attachment II: Currently Proposed Project: 45 Market Rate Units, Four

Very-Low Income Rental Units & 2,500 Net Square Feet

of Commercial Space

Attachment III: Affordability Gap Analysis

#### **Development Cost Analysis**

#### **Current Base Case**

The commercial component that was originally included in the development scope did not vary between the Base Case and Proposed Project scenarios. To isolate the impact created by the residential component, in the March 25, 2019 density bonus analysis KMA held all the allocated costs constant between the Base Case and Proposed Project scenarios.

In the Currently Proposed Project the size of the commercial component has been reduced. Since the commercial component's size now varies between the Base Case and Proposed Project scenarios, it has become appropriate to pro rate allocated costs using the relative square footages.

As shown on Table 1A, the identified modifications result in a net increase in the development costs for the Current Base Case scenario. The net increase in costs is estimated at \$21,000.

#### **Currently Proposed Project**

The modifications to the development scope that impact the estimated development costs are:

- 1. The number of parking spaces has been reduced from 101 spaces to 87 spaces. However, a second subterranean parking level has been added to the development scope. The net impact is that the parking construction costs are estimated to increase by \$290,000.
- The total GBA for the project remains unchanged at 72,000 square feet, but the allocation between the residential and commercial components has changed.
   This results in an estimated \$13,000 decrease to the building, contractor and contingency allowance costs.

The development cost estimates are presented in Table 1B. The net increase in development costs is estimated at \$253,000.

#### **Net Revenue / Value**

#### **Current Base Case**

In this August 30, 2019 analysis the Base Case scenario's unit mix, and commercial square footage remains unchanged from the scope evaluated in the March 25, 2019 analysis. For the Base Case analysis, KMA did not make any modifications to the projected market rate sales prices for the residential units or to the rents applied to the commercial component.

#### **Currently Proposed Project**

A comparison of the revenue projections for the residential units and the rents for the commercial component are presented in the following table:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The currently proposed parking count complies with the standards imposed by Section 65915 (p) (1).

Comparative Revenue Analysis							
	Originally Proposed Project	Currently Proposed Project					
Residential Component							
Market Rate Units							
One-Bedroom Units	13 Units / \$657,600	15 Units / \$685,200					
Two-Bedroom Units	26 Units / \$697,100	30 Units / \$842,000					
Three-Bedroom Units	6 Units / \$1,173,400	NA					
Total Units / Average Price	45 Units / \$749,200	45 Units / \$789,700					
Very-Low Income Units							
One-Bedroom Units	2 Units / \$20,900	2 Units / \$24,000					
Two-Bedroom Units	2 Units / \$26,200	2 Units / \$29,000					
Commercial Component	4,210 Net SF / \$2.75	2,500 Net SF / \$2.75					

As shown in Table 1B, the modifications to the revenue projections generate the following results:

- 1. Residential Component:
  - a. The increase in the Currently Proposed Project's residential square footage increases the projected net revenue by \$1.73 million; and
  - b. The residential component's profit is projected to increase from 8.9% to 10.8%.
- 2. The reduction in the Currently Proposed Project's commercial square footage decreases the projected net value by \$1.54 million.

#### **Affordability Gap Analysis**

The Affordability Gap analysis that was used to estimate the net cost to provide four very-low income units was updated with the following information:

- The 2019 household income schedule was published by the California
   Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) on May 6, 2019;
- 2. The utilities allowances were published by the Los Angeles County Development Authority (LACDA) on July 1, 2019;<sup>2</sup> and
- 3. The projected values for the one- and two-bedroom units included in the Currently Proposed Project.

Based on the updated assumptions, the net cost to provide four very-low income units is estimated at \$2,947,000. This represents a \$332,000 increase over the net cost estimated in the March 25, 2019 analysis.

#### **SUMMARY**

The key modifications to the March 25, 2019 analysis can be summarized as follows:

- 1. The development cost estimate or the Currently Proposed Project increased by \$253,000.
- 2. The projected net revenue for the residential component in the Currently Proposed Project increased by \$1.73 million.
- 3. The estimated developer profit for the residential component in the Currently Proposed Project increased from 8.9% to 10.8%.
- 4. The net value of the commercial component in the Currently Proposed Project decreased by \$1.54 million.
- 5. The net cost to provide four very-low income units in the Currently Propose Project increased by \$332,000.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

KMA performed the following two analyses to evaluate the 35% density bonus and Height and FAR Concessions that are included in the Currently Proposed Project:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> LACDA was formerly named the Housing Authority of Los Angeles County (HACoLA).

- 1. KMA determined whether the proposed concessions result in identifiable and actual cost reductions; and
- 2. KMA compared the net cost to provide four very-low income units to the benefits generated by the proposed density bonus and concessions.

The results of these two analysis are summarized in the following tables:

8/30/19 Development Cost Analysis						
Estimated Development Costs	Base Case	Proposed Project	Percentage Decrease			
Total	\$25,985,000	\$32,245,000				
Per Square Foot of GBA	\$543	\$448	17.6%			
Per Unit	\$721,800	\$658,100	8.8%			

8/30/19 Effective Surplus/(Cost to Provide Four Very-Low Inco	me Units
Net Cost to Provide Four Very-Low Income Units	\$2,947,000
Value of Density Bonus & Concessions	\$2,977,000
Effective Surplus/(Cost) to Provide Four Very-Low Income Units	\$30,000

The value created by the proposed density bonus and the requested concessions is estimated to exceed the net cost associated with providing four very low income units by \$30,000. This can essentially be considered a breakeven scenario.

It is the KMA conclusion that the proposed concessions result in identifiable and actual cost reductions, and the benefits provided by the density bonus and requested concessions are equivalent to the net cost to provide four very-low income units. Therefore, it is KMA's opinion that there is not sufficient evidence to justify a denial of the currently proposed Height and FAR Concessions.

It is important to remember that Section 65915 (d) (3) and Section 65915 (e) (1) provide Applicants with the right to pursue legal action if the City denies a requested density bonus, concession or incentive, and/or development standards relief. If the court finds against the City, the City would be required to pay the Applicant's reasonable attorney's fees and the costs associated with the lawsuit.

TABLE 1A

DEVELOPMENT COMPARISON
BASE CASE SCENARIOS
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE

DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

		0	RIGINAL BASE CAS	E	8	3/30/19 BASE CASI	<u> </u>	
		36 MARKET	4,210 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA	TOTAL	36 MARKET RATE UNITS	4,210 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA	TOTAL	DIFFERENCE
ı.	<b>Development Costs</b>							
	<b>Property Acquisition Costs</b>	\$5,963,000	\$542,000	\$6,505,000	\$5,689,000	\$816,000	\$6,505,000	\$0
	Direct Costs  Demo & Site Work  Parking  Building Costs  Contractor/Contingency	\$2,617,000 1,760,000 5,438,000 1,963,000	\$238,000 50,000 927,000 243,000	\$2,855,000 1,810,000 6,365,000 2,206,000	\$2,497,000 1,760,000 5,438,000 1,939,000	\$358,000 \$50,000 927,000 267,000	\$2,855,000 1,810,000 6,365,000 2,206,000	\$0 0 0 0
	<b>Total Direct Costs</b>	\$11,778,000	\$1,458,000	\$13,236,000	\$11,634,000	\$1,602,000	\$13,236,000	\$0
	Indirect Costs General Indirect Costs Developer Fee Total Indirect Costs Financing Costs	\$3,605,000 797,000 \$4,402,000 \$1,162,000	\$351,000 44,000 \$395,000 \$264,000	\$3,956,000 841,000 \$4,797,000 \$1,426,000	\$3,589,000 797,000 \$4,386,000 \$1,130,000	\$367,000 48,000 \$415,000 \$313,000	\$3,956,000 845,000 \$4,801,000 \$1,443,000	\$0 4,000 \$4,000 \$17,000
	Total Development Cost	\$23,305,000	\$2,659,000	\$25,964,000	\$22,839,000	\$3,146,000	\$25,985,000	\$21,000
II.	Net Revenue/Value							
	Residential Market Rate Units Very-Low Income Units	\$25,106,000 NA			\$25,106,000 NA			
	Net Residential Value	\$25,106,000			\$25,106,000			
Ш	Developer Profit Total As a % of Costs	\$1,801,000 7.7%			\$2,267,000 9.9%			
	Commercial		\$3,779,000			\$3,779,000		
	Total Net Revenue/Value			\$28,885,000			\$28,885,000	\$0

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates
File name: 127\_141 N. Madison DB 8 30 19; Comp

TABLE 1B
DEVELOPMENT COMPARISON
PROPOSED PROJECT SCENARIOS
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

		ORIGIN	ALLY PROPOSED P	ROJECT	8/30/	19 PROPOSED PRO	DJECT	
		45 MARKET RATE & 4 VL	4,210 NET SF COMMERCIAL	TOTAL	45 MARKET RATE & 4 VL	2,500 NET SF COMMERCIAL	TOTAL	DIFFERENCE
ı.	Development Costs	INC UNITS	AREA	TOTAL	INC UNITS	AREA	TOTAL	DIFFERENCE
	Property Acquisition Costs	\$5,963,000	\$542,000	\$6,505,000	\$6,175,000	\$330,000	\$6,505,000	\$0
	Direct Costs  Demo & Site Work  Parking  Building Costs	\$2,617,000 2,480,000 8,580,000	\$238,000 50,000 927,000	\$2,855,000 2,530,000 9,507,000	\$2,710,000 2,640,000 8,886,000	\$145,000 180,000 562,000	\$2,855,000 2,820,000 9,448,000	\$0 290,000 (59,000)
	Contractor/Contingency	2,735,000	243,000	2,978,000	2,847,000	177,000	3,024,000	46,000
	<b>Total Direct Costs</b>	\$16,412,000	\$1,458,000	\$17,870,000	\$17,083,000	\$1,064,000	\$18,147,000	\$277,000
	Indirect Costs General Indirect Costs Developer Fee	\$4,455,000 1,085,000	\$351,000 44,000	\$4,806,000 1,129,000	\$4,594,000 1,085,000	\$234,000 32,000	\$4,828,000 1,117,000	\$22,000 (12,000)
	<b>Total Indirect Costs</b>	\$5,540,000	\$395,000	\$5,935,000	\$5,679,000	\$266,000	\$5,945,000	\$10,000
	Financing Costs	\$1,418,000	\$264,000	\$1,682,000	\$1,465,000	183,000	\$1,648,000	(\$34,000)
	<b>Total Development Cost</b>	\$29,333,000	\$2,659,000	\$31,992,000	\$30,402,000	\$1,843,000	\$32,245,000	\$253,000
II.	Net Revenue/Value							
A.	Residential Market Rate Units Very-Low Income Units	\$31,860,000 89,000			\$33,583,000 100,000			
	Net Residential Value	\$31,949,000			\$33,683,000			\$1,734,000
В.	Commercial		\$3,779,000			\$2,243,000		(\$1,536,000)
III.	Cost to Provide Aff Units	\$2,615,000			\$2,947,000			\$332,000
III.	Net Surplus/(Cost)							
A.	Residential							
	Actual Profit As a % of Costs	\$2,616,000 8.9%			\$3,281,000 10.8%			
	Threshold Profit <sup>1</sup>	\$2,267,000			\$3,018,000			
	Surplus/(Shortfall)	\$349,000			\$263,000			(\$86,000)
В.	Commercial <sup>2</sup> Proposed Project Base Case		\$1,120,000 1,120,000			\$400,000 633,000		
	Surplus/(Shortfall)		\$0			(\$233,000)		(\$233,000)
	Net Surplus/(Shortfall)			\$349,000			\$30,000	(\$319,000)

The threshold profit is set at the percentage achieved in the Base Case Scenarios. The thresold is 7.7% for the ORIGINAL BASE CASE and 9.9% for the 8/30/19 BASE CASE.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates
File name: 127\_141 N. Madison DB 8 30 19; Comp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Surplus/(Shortfall) is equal to the difference between the Total Development Cost and the Net Value of each Commercial component.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS 127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

		8/30/19 BASE CASE	8/30/19 PROPOSED PROJECT
		36 MARKET RATE UNITS & 4,210 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA	45 MARKET RATE UNITS & 4 VERY-LOW INCOME RENTAL UNITS & 2,500 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA
ı.	Total Development Costs	\$25,985,000 1	\$32,245,000 2
	Per Square Foot of GBA	\$543	\$448
	Per Unit	\$721,800	\$658,100
II.	Net Revenue	\$29,214,000 3	\$36,121,000 4
III.	Net Cost to Provide 4 Very-Low Income Units		\$2,947,000 5
IV.	Net Surplus/(Cost) of the Density Bonus & Two Concession	S	
	Proposed Residential Component	_	\$263,000 6
	Commercial Component: Difference Between Base Case	and Proposed Project	(233,000) 7
	Net Surplus/(Cost) of the Density Bonus & Two Concession	\$30,000	
٧.	Value of the Density Bonus and Two Concessions		\$2,977,000 8

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates

File name: 127\_141 N. Madison DB 8 30 19; Fin Sum

See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1 and ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1 and ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2 and ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2.

See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2 and ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2.

See ATTACHMENT III - TABLE 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 3.

See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 3 and ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 3.

Equal to the Difference between the Net Cost to Provide 4 Very-Low Income Units and the Net Surplus/(Cost) of the Density Bonus & Two Concessions.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS
8/30/19 BASE CASE: 36 MARKET RATE UNITS
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

#### **ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1**

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS
8/30/19 BASE CASE: 36 MARKET RATE UNITS
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

ı.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	87%	Site Area				\$5,689,000
II.	Direct Costs							
	Demolition	2	87%	Site Area			\$1,898,000	
	Grading / Landscaping	2	87%	Site Area			599,000	
	Parking							
	Surface		10	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	50,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		57	Spaces	\$30,000	/Space	1,710,000	
	Building Costs		41,828	Sf of GBA	\$130	/Sf of GBA	5,438,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cos	its		1,939,000	
	Total Direct Costs		41,828	Sf of GBA	\$278	/Sf of GBA		\$11,634,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		8.0%	Direct Costs			\$931,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	2	41,828	Sf of GBA	\$45.90	/Sf of GBA	1,920,000	
	Inclusionary Housing In-Lieu Fee	3	39,445	Sf of NSA	\$0.00	/Sf of NSA	0	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3.0%	Direct Costs			349,000	
	Marketing		36	Units	\$5,000	/Unit	180,000	
	Developer Fee		3.0%	Gross Sales Reve	enue		797,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5.0%	Other Indirect C	osts		209,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$4,386,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction	4					\$869,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60.0%	Loan to Cost	2.0	Points	261,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$1,130,000
v.	Total Construction Cost		36	Units	\$476,000	/Unit		\$17,150,000
	Total Development Cost		36	Units	\$634,000	/Unit		\$22,839,000

The costs are pro rated based on the GBA's of the residential and office components. The costs are based on the Applicant's stated acquisition costs, as verified using LA County Assessor's records. The total acquisition costs equal \$6.5 million.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on the estimates provided by the Applicant. The costs are pro rated based on the GBA's of the residential and office components.

For analysis purposes, the Base Case does not include an Inclusionary in-lieu fee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A 5.0% interest cost for debt; an 18 month construction period; an 8 month absorption period; 30% of the units are presold and close during first month after completion; and 2.0 points for loan origination fees.

#### **ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2**

PROJECTED NET REVENUE
8/30/19 BASE CASE: 36 MARKET RATE UNITS
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

I.	Gross Sales Revenue							
Α	. Market Rate Units	1						
	One-Bdrm Units		11	Units @	\$657,600	/Unit	\$7,234,000	
	Two-Bdrm Units		21	Units @	\$697,100	/Unit	14,639,000	
	Three-Bdrm Units		4	Units @	\$1,173,400	/Unit	4,694,000	
В	. Very-Low Income Units							
	One-Bdrm Units		0	Units @	\$24,000	/Unit	0	
	Two-Bdrm Units		0	Units @	\$29,000	/Unit	0	
	Total Gross Sales Revenue							\$26,567,000
II.	Cost of Sales							
	Commissions	3	3.0%	Gross Sales	Revenue		\$797,000	
	Closing	2	2.0%	Gross Sales	Revenue		531,000	
	Warranty	(	0.5%	Gross Sales	Revenue		133,000	
	Total Cost of Sales							(\$1,461,000)
III.	Net Revenue		36	Units	\$697,000	/Unit		\$25,106,000

Based in part on sales comparable data researched by KMA. The projected market rate sales prices include a premium for new construction. The weighted average price equates to \$674 per square foot of saleable area.

#### **ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 3**

PROJECTED PROFIT

8/30/19 BASE CASE: 36 MARKET RATE UNITS

127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE

DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS

PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

I. Net Revenue See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2 \$25,106,000

II. Total Development Cost See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1 \$22,839,000

III. Developer Profit 9.9% Total Development Cost \$2,267,000

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS

8/30/19 BASE CASE: 4,210 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

#### **ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1**

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS
8/30/19 BASE CASE: 4,210 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

l.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	13% S	Site Area				\$816,000
II.	<u>Direct Costs</u>							
	Demolition	2	13% S	Site Area			\$272,000	
	Grading / Landscaping	2	13% S	Site Area			86,000	
	Parking							
	Surface		10 S	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	50,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0 S	Spaces	\$30,000	/Space	0	
	Commercial Building Costs	3	6,002 S	of GBA	\$130	/Sf of GBA	780,000	
	Commercial Tenant Improvements		4,210 S	of NLA	\$35	/Sf of NLA	147,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20% (	Other Direct Co	sts		267,000	
	Total Direct Costs							\$1,602,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		8.0% [	Direct Costs			\$128,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	6,002 S	of GBA	\$25	/Sf of GBA	150,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3.0% E	Direct Costs			48,000	
	Marketing		4,210 S	of NLA	\$5.00	/Sf of NLA	21,000	
	Developer Fee		3.0% E	Direct Costs	·	•	48,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5.0% C	Other Indirect (	Costs		20,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$415,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$816.000 P	Property Acqui	sition Costs		\$71,000	
	Construction	6		Total Construct			204,000	
	Loan Origination Fees			oan to Cost		Points	38,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$313,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		4.210 S	of of GBA	\$550	/Sf		\$2,330,000
	Total Development Cost		•	of GBA	\$750			\$3,146,000

The costs are pro rated based on the GBA's of the residential and office components. The costs are based on the Applicant's stated acquisition costs, as verified using LA County Assessor's records. The total acquisition costs equal \$6.5 million.

Based on the estimates provided by the Applicant. The costs are pro rated based on the GBA's of the residential and office components.

Includes a bicycle parking room, a community room, and storage space.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Based on estimates prepared for other projects within the City.

A 5.0% interest cost for debt; a 18 month construction period; a 3 month absorption period; and a 100% average outstanding balance.

A 5.0% interest cost for debt; a 18 month construction period; a 3 month absorption period; a 60% average outstanding balance during construction; and a 100% outstanding balance during absorption.

#### **ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2**

PROJECTED NET REVENUE
8/30/19 BASE CASE: 4,210 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

I.	<u>Income</u>	1					
	Office	4,210	Sf of NLA	\$2.75	/Sf of NLA	\$138,900	
	Restaurant	0	Sf of NLA	\$3.50	/Sf of NLA	0	
	Gross Income					\$138,900	
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance	10%	6 Gross Income			(13,900)	
	Effective Gross Income						\$263,900
II.	Operating Expenses						
	Management	3.5%	6 Effective Gross In	come		\$9,200	
	Reserve for Capital Repairs	4,210	Sf of NLA	\$0.15	/Sf	600	
	Total Operating Expenses						(\$9,800)
III.	Stabilized Net Operating Income						\$254,100
IV.	Net Revenue						
	Estimated Value	6.0%	6 Capitalization Rat	e		\$4,235,000	
	(Less) Cost of Sale	3.0%	6 Estimated Value			(127,000)	
V.	Net Revenue						\$4,108,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The rent is projected on a triple net basis.

#### **ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 3**

ESTIMATED VALUE/(COST) GENERATED BY THE COMMERCIAL COMPONENT 8/30/19 BASE CASE: 4,210 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA 127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

I.	Funds Available for Development Costs			
	Net Revenue	See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2	\$4,108,000	
	(Less) Threshold Developer Profit	8.0% Net Revenue	(329,000)	
	Total Funds Available for Development	\$3,779,000		
II.	Total Development Cost	See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1	\$3,146,000	
III.	Net Value/(Cost)		\$633,000	

# ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT A PRO FORMA ANALYSIS 8/30/19 PROPOSED PROJECT: 45 MARKET RATE UNITS & 4 VERY-LOW INCOME RENTAL UNITS 127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

#### **ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1**

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

8/30/19 PROPOSED PROJECT: 45 MARKET RATE UNITS & 4 VERY-LOW INCOME RENTAL UNITS

127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE

DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS

PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

I.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	95%	Site Area				\$6,175,000
II.	Direct Costs							
	Demolition	2	95%	Site Area			\$2,060,000	
	Grading / Landscaping	2	95%	Site Area			650,000	
	Parking							
	1st Level Subterranean		67	Spaces	\$30,000	/Space	2,010,000	
	2nd Level Subterranean		14	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	630,000	
	Building Costs		68,353	Sf of GBA	\$130	/Sf of GBA	8,886,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cos	sts		2,847,000	
	Total Direct Costs		68,353	Sf of GBA	\$250	/Sf of GBA		\$17,083,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		8.0%	Direct Costs			\$1,367,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	2	68,353	Sf of GBA	\$32	/Sf of GBA	2,200,000	
	Inclusionary Housing In-Lieu Fee	3	67,024	Sf of NSA	\$0	/Sf of NSA	0	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3.0%	Direct Costs			512,000	
	Marketing		49	Units	\$5,000	/Unit	245,000	
	Developer Fee	4	49	Units	\$22,139	/Unit	1,085,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5.0%	Other Indirect C	osts		270,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$5,679,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction	5					\$1,118,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60.0%	Loan to Cost	2.0	Points	347,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$1,465,000
٧.	Total Construction Cost		49	Units	\$494,000	/Unit		\$24,227,000
••	Total Development Cost		• • •	Units	\$620,000	•		\$30,402,000

The costs are pro rated based on the GBA's of the residential and office components. The costs are based on the Applicant's stated acquisition costs, as verified using LA County Assessor's records. The total acquisition costs equal \$6.5 million.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on the estimates provided by the Applicant. The costs are pro rated based on the GBA's of the residential and office components.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The proposed very-low income units fulfill the City's on-site inclusionary housing requirement. No in-lieu fee is due.

Based on the Developer Fee per unit generated by the 8/30/19 BASE CASE: 36 MARKET RATE UNITS.

A 5.0% interest cost for debt; a 18 month construction period; a 9 month absorption period; 30% of the units are presold and close during first month after completion; and 2.0 points for loan origination fees.

#### **ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2**

PROJECTED NET REVENUE
8/30/19 PROPOSED PROJECT: 45 MARKET RATE UNITS & 4 VERY-LOW INCOME RENTAL UNITS
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

l.	Net Revenue - Market Rate Units						
A	. Gross Sales Revenue - Market Rate Units <sup>1</sup>						
	One-Bdrm Units	15	Units @	\$685,200	/Unit	\$10,278,000	
	Two-Bdrm Units	30	Units @	\$842,000	/Unit	25,260,000	
	Three-Bdrm Units	0	Units @	\$0	/Unit	0	
	Gross Sales Revenue - Market Rate Units						\$35,538,000
В	. Cost of Sales - Market Rate Units						
	Commissions	3.0%	Gross Sales F	Revenue		\$1,066,000	
	Closing	2.0%	Gross Sales F	Revenue		711,000	
	Warranty	0.5%	Gross Sales F	Revenue		178,000	
	Total Cost of Sales - Market Rate Units						(\$1,955,000)
c	. Net Revenue - Market Rate Units						\$33,583,000
II.	Net Revenue - Very-Low Income Units 2						
A	. Gross Sales Revenue - Very-Low Income Units						
	One-Bdrm Units	2	Units @	\$24,000	/Unit	48,000	
	Two-Bdrm Units	2	Units @	\$29,000	/Unit	58,000	
	Gross Sales Revenue - Very-Low Income Units						\$106,000
В	. Cost of Sales - Very-Low Income Units						
	Commissions	3.0%	Gross Sales F	Revenue		\$3,000	
	Closing	2.0%	Gross Sales F	Revenue		2,000	
	Warranty	0.5%	Gross Sales F	Revenue		1,000	
	Total Cost of Sales - Very-Low Income Units						(\$6,000)
C	. Net Revenue - Very-Low Income Units						\$100,000
III.	Net Revenue	49	Units	\$687,000	/Unit		\$33,683,000

Based in part on sales comparable data researched by KMA. The projected market rate sales prices include a premium for new construction. The weighted average price equates to \$575 per square foot of saleable area.

See ATTACHMENT III - TABLE 1.

#### **ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 3**

NET SURPLUS/(COST)
8/30/19 PROPOSED PROJECT: 45 MARKET RATE UNITS & 4 VERY-LOW INCOME RENTAL UNITS
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

I.	Net Revenue	C. ATTACHMENT II EVIUDIT A TADI F 2		
	8/30/19 Proposed Project Market Rate Units Very-Low Income Units	See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2	\$33,583,000 100,000	
	Net Revenue - 8/30/19 Proposed Project		\$33,683,000	
	Original Base Case	ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2	25,106,000	
	Increase/(Decrease) in Net Revenue			\$8,577,000
II.	Total Development Cost			
	8/30/19 Proposed Project	See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1	\$30,402,000	
	Original Base Case	ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1	22,839,000	
	Increase/(Decrease) in Total Developmen	t Cost		\$7,563,000
III.	Threshold Developer Profit	9.9% Total Development Cost		
	8/30/19 Proposed Project		\$3,018,000	
	Original Base Case	_	2,267,000	
	Increase/(Decrease) in Threshold Develop	er Profit		\$751,000
III.	Net Surplus/(Cost)	1		\$263,000

Based on the profit as a percentage of Total Development Cost estimated to be generated by the 8/30/19 BASE CASE: 36 MARKET RATE UNITS.

The Net Surplus/(Cost) is equal to the Increase/(Decrease) in Net Revenue minus the sum of the Increase/(Decrease) in Total Development Cost and the Increase/(Decrease) in Threshold Developer Profit.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS

8/30/19 PROPOSED PROJECT: 2,500 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

#### **ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1**

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS
8/30/19 PROPOSED PROJECT: 2,500 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

ı.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	5%	Site Area				\$330,000
II.	Direct Costs							
	Demolition	2	5%	Site Area			\$110,000	
	Grading / Landscaping	2	5%	Site Area			35,000	
	Parking							
	Surface		0	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	0	
	1st Level Subterranean		6	Spaces	\$30,000	/Space	180,000	
	Commercial Building Costs	3	3,647	Sf of GBA	\$130	/Sf of GBA	474,000	
	Commercial Tenant Improvements		2,500	Sf of NLA	\$35	/Sf of NLA	88,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Co	sts		177,000	
	Total Direct Costs							\$1,064,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		8.0%	Direct Costs			\$85,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	3		Sf of GBA	\$25	/Sf of GBA	91,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3.0%	Direct Costs	•	•	32,000	
	Marketing		2,500	Sf of NLA	\$5.00	/Sf of NLA	13,000	
	Developer Fee		3.0%	Direct Costs	•	•	32,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5.0%	Other Indirect C	osts		13,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$266,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	4	\$330,000	Property Acquis	ition Costs		\$29,000	
	Construction	5		Total Constructi			132,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60.0%	Loan to Cost	2.0	Points	22,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$183,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		2.500	Sf of GBA	\$610	/Sf		\$1,513,000
	Total Development Cost			Sf of GBA	\$740			\$1,843,000

The costs are pro rated based on the GBA's of the residential and office components. The costs are based on the Applicant's stated acquisition costs, as verified using LA County Assessor's records. The total acquisition costs equal \$6.5 million.

Based on the estimates provided by the Applicant. The costs are pro rated based on the GBA's of the residential and office components.

Includes a bicycle parking room, a community room, and storage space.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Based on estimates prepared for other projects within the City.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A 5.0% interest cost for debt; a 18 month construction period; a 3 month absorption period; and a 100% average outstanding balance.

A 5.0% interest cost for debt; a 18 month construction period; a 3 month absorption period; a 60% average outstanding balance during construction; and a 100% outstanding balance during absorption.

#### **ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2**

PROJECTED NET REVENUE
8/30/19 PROPOSED PROJECT: 2,500 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

ı.	<u>Income</u>	1				
	Office	2,500	Sf of NLA	\$2.75 /Sf of NLA	\$82,500	
	Restaurant	0	Sf of NLA	\$3.50 /Sf of NLA	0	
	Gross Income				\$82,500	
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance	10%	% Gross Income		(8,300)	
	Effective Gross Income					\$156,700
II.	Operating Expenses					
	Management	3.5%	% Effective Gross I	ncome	\$5,500	
	Reserve for Capital Repairs	2,500	Sf of NLA	\$0.15 /Sf	400	
	Total Operating Expenses					(\$5,900)
III.	Stabilized Net Operating Income					\$150,800
IV.	Net Revenue					
	Estimated Value	6.0%	% Capitalization Ra	ate	\$2,513,000	
	(Less) Cost of Sale	3.0%	% Estimated Value		(75,000)	
V.	Net Revenue					\$2,438,000

The rent is projected on a triple net basis.

#### **ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 3**

ESTIMATED VALUE/(COST) GENERATED BY THE COMMERCIAL COMPONENT 8/30/19 PROPOSED PROJECT: 2,500 NET SF COMMERCIAL AREA 127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

ı.	Funds Available for Development Costs			
	Net Revenue	See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2	\$2,438,000	
	(Less) Threshold Developer Profit	8.0% Net Revenue	(195,000)	
	Total Funds Available for Development (	Costs		\$2,243,000
II.	Total Development Cost	See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1		\$1,843,000
III.	Net Value/(Cost)			\$400,000

## ATTACHMENT III AFFORDABILITY GAP ANALYSIS 127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

#### **ATTACHMENT III - TABLE 1**

AFFORDABILITY GAP CALCULATIONS - RENTAL UNITS
VERY-LOW INCOME HOUSEHOLDS - 2019 INCOME STANDARDS
127-141 NORTH MADISON AVENUE
DENSITY BONUS ANALYSIS
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

		One-Bdrm Units	Two-Bdrm Units	
I. <u>Gross Rent</u>				
Household Income @ 50% Median		\$29,250	\$32,900	
Maximum Monthly Rent @ 30% of Househld Income		\$730	\$820	
Gross Annual Rent Income Per Affordable Unit	1	\$8,760	\$9,840	
II. Ongoing Expenses				
Annual Utilities	2	\$1,716	\$1,932	
HOA Fees (Maintenance & Insurance)		4,200	4,500	
Management @ 5% Gross Rent Income		438	492	
Property Taxes @ 1.11% of Restricted Value		1,200	1,450	
Total Ongoing Expenses		\$7,554	\$8,374	
III. Net Operating Income		\$1,206	\$1,466	
V. Capitalization Rate		5.0%	5.0%	
V. Value Per Affordable Unit		\$24,120	\$29,320	
VI. Projected Market Rate Price		\$685,200	\$842,000	
VII. Affordability Gap Per Unit		\$661,080	\$812,680	
VIII. <u>Total Affordability Gap</u>				
Number of Very-Low Income Units		2	2	
Total Affordability Gap		\$1,322,000	\$1,625,000	\$2,947

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates

File name: 127\_141 N. Madison DB 8 30 19; Aff Gap Calcs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assumes that the landlord pays all utilities costs.

Utilities costs are based on based on LACDA allowances effective as of July 1, 2019. Assumes gas heating, cooking, water heating; basic electric; air conditioning; water; and trash.