

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Remy Traglio <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 8:23 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSql4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?

- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.

- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.

- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.

- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Remy Traglio  
remytraglio@gmail.com

Pasadena, California 91106

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Marissa Traglio <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 8:22 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSql4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?
- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.
- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.
- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.
- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Marissa Traglio  
mtraglio@gmail.com

Pasadena, California 91106

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Anthony Traglio <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 8:20 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSql4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?

- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.

- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.

- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.

- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Anthony Traglio  
tatraglia@msn.com

Pasadena , California 91106

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Patricia Traglio <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 8:19 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSql4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?

- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.

- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.

- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.

- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Patricia Traglio  
ptraglio@msn.com

Pasadena, California 91106

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Sally Loose <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 8:10 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSql4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?

- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.

- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.

- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.

- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Sally Loose

sallyloose@yahoo.com

San Marino, California 91108

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Alida DeBoer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 8:05 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSql4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?
- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.
- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.
- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.
- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Alida DeBoer

alidadeboer1@gmail.com

Pasadena, California 91105

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Sheri Sicka <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 8:02 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSqL4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?
- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.
- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.
- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.
- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Sheri Sicka

sherisicka@gmail.com

Pasadena, California 91105

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Christina Hoffman <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 7:58 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSql4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?
- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.
- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.
- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.
- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Christina Hoffman

christina.hoffman@me.com

Pasadena, California 91106

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Patricia Jameson <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 7:56 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSqL4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?
- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.
- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.
- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.
- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Patricia Jameson  
jamo1980@aol.com

Pasadena, California 91105

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Amanda Holdsworth <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 7:46 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSqL4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?

- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.

- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.

- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.

- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Amanda Holdsworth  
ellievecaroline@gmail.com

San Marino, California 91108

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Victoria Waite <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 7:25 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSqL4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?

- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.

- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.

- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.

- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Victoria Waite

coreynbuzzy@aol.com

pasadena, California 91105

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Nicole Wood <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 7:08 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSqL4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?

- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.

- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.

- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.

- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Nicole Wood

nicole.celeste.wood@gmail.com

Pasadena, California 91107

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Megan Foker <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 7:04 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSql4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?

- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.

- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.

- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.

- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Megan Foker  
foker@sbcglobal.net

Pasadena, California 91106

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Celeste Wood <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 6:56 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSql4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?

- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.

- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.

- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.

- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Celeste Wood  
jcsnwood@aol.com

Pasadena, California 91107

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Celeste Wood <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 6:52 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSql4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?

- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.

- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.

- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.

- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Celeste Wood  
jcsnwood@aol.com

Pasadena, California 91107

## Jomsky, Mark

---

**From:** Tracy Grohs <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 12, 2019 6:44 PM  
**To:** Jomsky, Mark  
**Subject:** No Retail Cannabis on Colorado Blvd in Old Pasadena!

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mark Jomsky,

As a Pasadena resident I strongly oppose the request for a conditional use permit #6757 to allow the retail sales of cannabis at 169 West Colorado Boulevard. I do not agree that the City can find the necessary consistency with the General Plan. I also disagree with the specific findings for the conditional use permit on the following grounds:

- The proposed use of this location is not in conformance with the goals, policies and objectives of the General Plan. The location at issue here is one of prominence on Colorado Boulevard in Old Town. The location at 169 West Colorado is a Central District Gateway as directed in Sub-district Map 1: Old Pasadena Linkage Concept and should be treated as such. According to the Specific Plan for this area, "the streets and alleys in Old Pasadena serve as much more than thoroughfares; they are highly social places where people participate in the life of the community." A cannabis dispensary does not reflect this vision. A significant percentage of the population will avoid an area in which a cannabis dispensary is located, and thus would not be able to participate in the life of the community along that portion of Colorado Boulevard. This area is meant to attract people, not repel them. Colorado Boulevard should remain a social gathering place for the whole community envisioned by the Specific Plan.

- Marijuana is considered a "gateway" drug and it seems we are sending the incorrect message to our youth putting a dispensary in a city gateway location. The committee of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine concluded there is "moderate evidence to suggest that there is a link between cannabis use and the development of substance dependence and/or a substance abuse disorder for substances including alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs."

<http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=24625&fbclid=IwAR3XxUFpNveMqka-6ygNEEkQN5-tobLy627auQau1tLtQHgHsSqL4XxvqZo>

- The dispensary as described and conditionally approved, would be detrimental or injurious to the neighborhood and welfare of the City. As described above, this is an area that is frequented by families and young children. The proposed business would be detrimental to current businesses and the long term welfare

of this important commercial corridor. It is very likely, and foreseeable, that parents will no longer allow their teens to be unaccompanied in the area to shop and browse at stores like Urban Outfitters, Free People, and Apple knowing that marijuana is being sold nearby and potentially being consumed along Colorado Boulevard.

- By allowing a dispensary in this location, the city is building a "community brand" where economic growth is based on a federal illicit drug. If the gateway to Old Pasadena is a welcoming point for visitors entering the area, having a marijuana dispensary might deliver the wrong branding message, especially for stores like Tiffany, Crate and Barrel, and Pottery Barn. There also is an issue with having a uniformed licensed security personnel employed at the corner of the gateway access point to Old Pasadena. What branding message does having a high level security guard with a loaded gun and bullet proof vest send to visitors?
- Goal 20 of our General Plan requires all communities to be uniformly aware and participate in land use planning. The local retailers affected by this zoning such as Paper Source, Urban Outfitters, True Foods, and Sur la Table, were not notified with a public notice. Only the landowners received the postcard of the various hearings. Furthermore, although Maranatha High School is outside of the 600ft radius, the school should have been informed due to safety reasons and the purposed operating hours of the dispensary. A 6am available delivery time and an 8am storefront open time is something the school and the parents of the students attending that school would want to be aware of as the decisions regarding the granting of a conditional use permit are being made. All of these retailers, and the parents of the students at Maranatha should have been given notice of the conditional use permit application and should have the opportunity to be heard.
- The land uses and zones identified in the applicants location map did not include all sensitive receptors within the 600' boundaries of the proposed location. A youth- oriented facility is considered a sensitive receptor. The adjacent store, Paper Source, is a retailer of goods and toys marketed for kids. Paper Source carries stuffed animals, stickers, games and candy to be sold to kids ages 5 -18. They also host children's parties and events throughout the year. In addition, the store hosts youth-oriented summer camps. Paper Source should be considered a youth-oriented facility, and therefore a marijuana dispensary cannot be located within 600' of the store. See photos attached to this letter.
- From a General Plan perspective it would be more appropriate to locate a cannabis store on Green Street or Union or in another less conspicuous location because the applicant is operating in violation of federal laws.
- Finally, there are many issues that should be thought through carefully before this conditional use permit is granted. Will Pasadena create a safe zone for older kids and teens that want to visit Old Pasadena? How will the issue of loitering be addressed for code enforcement purposes? Will lines to enter the facility be allowed to form along Colorado Blvd. or Pasadena Ave? Will smoking of marijuana be tolerated along Colorado Blvd. even though it is illegal to do so?

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns especially for the safety and health of our Pasadena kids and teens.

Tracy Grohs  
tracygrohs@gmail.com

Pasadena, California 91106