Residential Impact Fee Allocation Method Options

	Sinveyio as the Airos of the Ai				
	of of states with the states of stat				
Pros/Benefits Cons/Issues	Sizeloral se ship of the Artologue of th			×	
	100/01 VIIVIA	i	×	×	×
	10 96 O 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			×	
	Having too reflects of the outer			×	
	Sanales fund balances pepeluates of shifter balances pepeluates fund for balances pepeluates fund balances pepeluates fund balances pepeluates fund balances fund for funding to solid peper for funding to solid for funding funding fund			×	
	Salew Salahola Salaho		×		×
	Solvings in the season of the		×		×
	Olimber of strict of the stric		×	×	×
	Mining of the part		×	×	
	Lough Projection of Stanford Private Month		×		×
	Ofe exp. Affect It of the other of the other of the other of the other o			×	
	All selection of the se		×		
	Allidixels of the first of the		×	×	×
	JOIG GUIDINI AI V.		×		
	Allolikal		×	×	
	Allocation Options		Option1: 20% Flexible Reserve - 20% of the total RIF collected would be set aside into a reserve that could be used to fund any project irrespective of the park district in which the fee originated.	Option 2: Parkland Acquisition or Projects of Citywide Significance – Current park district structure maintained, but acquisition of parkland or projects with citywide significance could receive up to 100% of the available RIF fund balance of all districts.	Option 3: Status Quo – No change to current RIF structure. 90% of the RIF would remain in the park district where the fee originated from and the remaining 10% set aside for citywide parks.