AAS A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Ordinanc	e Fact Sheet	
TO: CITY COUN	CIL DATI	E: March 10, 2008	
FROM: CITY ATTORNEY			
SUBJECT: AN ORDINA	NCE CREATING THE SE	WER FACILITY CHARGE	
TITLE OF PROPOSED O	RDINANCE		
CHARGE, AND TO AM		O CREATE A SEWER FACILITY AND FINANCE) TO ESTABLISH RGE"	
RESPONSES TO COUNC	IL QUESTIONS OF MARC	<u>CH 3, 2008</u>	
	lly presented for first readir to staff and the City Attorne	ng on March 3, 2008, at which time ey.	
1. The definition of	"discharge credit" in proposed	d Section 4.53.2.B was deleted.	
		ighest sewer discharge existing on a lot e years before the new development.	
additions to exist	Proposed Section 4.53.3.B has been revised to make it clear that new projects or additions to existing structures only pay a fee for the sewer demand added as a result of the new construction. The following changes were made:		
replacing <u>an</u>	existing structure, or increasin only apply to the net increase	rge credit <u>iIf</u> the new development is ng existing, demand on the system, <u>the</u> in the average daily flow attributable	
which is consister recent fees (ind transportation im	The exemption section includes projects that have submitted complete applications, which is consistent with Council's past direction to exempt such projects from other recent fees (including the residential impact fee and traffic reduction and transportation improvement fees). Previously, the Council seemed concerned about fairness to applicants in view of their reasonable expectations and having consistency		
		MEETING OF AGENDA ITEM NO	

regarding the rules once an application was complete. If Council desires, it can change its policy in this regard so that the trigger for an exemption is not a complete application, but rather a later point in the approval process. However, certain projects whose development rights were vested, either through a vesting tentative tract map or through more traditional vesting as set forth in legal case law, would remain exempt.

4. The segregation of the charge, and accounting and expenditure limits set forth in Section 4.53.4 are specifically required by California Government Code Section 66013. To fail to include this provision would likely result in the adoption of a facially invalid charge.

PURPOSE OF ORDINANCE

This ordinance implements the direction given by Council at its regular meeting on October 22, 2007. This ordinance adds a Sewer Facility Charge chapter to Title 4 (Revenue and Finance) of the Pasadena Municipal Code.

REASON WHY LEGISLATION IS NEEDED

This legislation gives the City the authority to charge a Sewer Facility Charge of \$6.19 per gallon per day on new development, as a one time charge for current and future infrastructure cost. The money collected through the charge will be set aside in a sewer facility charge fund, and will be spent on sewer operational and maintenance projects identified in the Master Sewer Plan as necessary to serve new development. Projects that have submitted complete building plans and paid all plan check fees prior to the effective date of the ordinance are exempt, as are projects subject to appropriate vesting tentative tract maps. Projects outside the City limits but served by the City system will be required to negotiate a charge directly with the City, to be approved by Council.

PROGRAMS, DEPARTMENTS OR GROUPS AFFECTED

The Public Works Department will be responsible for implementing the ordinance.

FISCAL IMPACT

Based on projections for the build-out of the City, and assuming a constant rate of development growth, the charge is projected to generate \$314,000 in the first year, and increase in subsequent years.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION

Council has already found that the adoption of the charge is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act and the State CEQA Guidelines pursuant to Section 15378(b)(4) of the State CEQA Guidelines as a funding mechanism which does not involve commitment to any specific project.

Respectfully submitted,

City Attorney

Prepared by:

Theresa E. Fuentes Assistant City Attorney

Concurred by:

fy. Bernard K. Melekian City Manager

0000059170C031