				PROPOSED ZONING ADJUSTMENTS
			INTERCRIPTION AND OVERVIEW	
			The Ambassador West project is designed under the basic premise that a carefully limited amount of new development, designed to conform to rigorous principles, can be leveraged so as to preserve and maintain for future generations the historical, landscape architectural and urban forest resources of the property – at no public expense. In accordance with this premise, only six of the sixteen parcels created to support the project are proposed for new construction. The balance of the parcels are sites where existing historic buildings and/or gardens are proposed to	
			construction must accommodate a sufficient amount and quality of development to offset the costs of preservation. For this "trade-off" of limited new development in exchange for historic preservation to succeed, the density allocated by the West Gateway Specific Plan to these six parcels must be achievable. At the same time, the proposed buildings must be as efficient and	Structures: Merritt Mansion, Terrace Villa, Mayfair Manor, Manor Del Mar, South Orange Grove Apartments, Rankin House, Grove Manor Apartments, Villa Francesca Apartments.
			to reflect the unique character of this property and the basic premise of the project. The zoning adjustments proposed herein are instrumental to achieving the careful balance of preservation	Gardens: Italian Garden, Merritt Mansion Gardens, The Great Lawn, Mayfair Stream and Gardens, Grove Walk and Doves Fountain, Grove Stream and Gardens, Lower Fowler Garden, Apartment Courtyard Gardens, Terrace Walk.
Code Section	Standard/Requirement	Proposed Design	Findings	
17.22.060 Table 2-4	Front Setback = 40'		edge of the parcel. Preserving the trees significantly reduces the buildable area of the parcel. Further, the improvements along the front edge of the parcel are defined by the preservation of the clinker brick wall at the back of sidewalk. The adjustment to the front yard setback dimension allows buildings to be arranged such that portions of the buildings are setback more than 40 ft.	The property line for properties on the north side of Del Mar, including lot 16, is set back 40 feet from the center line of the street. The property line for properties on the south side of Del Mar is set back 30 feet from the center line of the street. As the front yard setback is measured from the property line, the 23 ft. front yard dimension proposed herein can be expected to have the appearance of a 33 ft. setback when viewed in the context of the existing streetscape.
	Corner Side Setback = 30'		Consequently, the setback measurement of 22 ft. will have the appearance of a building setback of 32 ft. from back of sidewalk. Further, the Green Street edge at parcel 3 is defined by the	
	Rear Setback = 20'	provided	the Ambassador West project. The proposed building adjacent to the Merritt Mansion observes a 20' separation from the mansion, excluding one architectural projection.	By permitting the internal rear yard setback to be adjusted, the footprint of buildings can be more compact, contributing to the preservation of adjacent buildings and gardens. In each case, the adjustment to the setback dimension facilitates the preservation of protected trees while permittir the density allocated by the West Gateway Specific Plan to be achieved. In no case are proposed structures located less than 20 ft. from existing or proposed structures on adjacent parcels.
		Parcel 9 = 10' setback provided	The rear yard setback occurs at a location internal to the project site and does not effect properties external to the Ambassador West project.	
		17.22.060 Table 2-4 Front Setback = 40' Corner Side Setback = 30'	Code Section Standard/Requirement Proposed Design 17.22.060 Table 2-4 Front Setback = 40' Parcel 9 = 10' front (West) setback provided and 40' side (North) setback provided and 40' side (North) setback provided. Corner Side Setback = 30' Parcel 3 = 22' setback at Green St Rear Setback = 20' Parcel 5 = 10' setback provided Parcel 9 = 10' setback	of new development, designed to conform to rigorous principles, can be leveraged as as to preserve and materials for thus experience in historical, indexinge architectural and urban interest resources of the property – at no public experience, in accordance with this pereina, only as the interest resources of the property – at no public experience, in accordance with this pereina, only as the property of the pr

Adjust	Code Section	Standard/Requirement	Proposed Design	Findings	PROPOSED ZONING ADJUSTMENTS
No.			Parcel 16 = 5' setback provided	The rear yard setback abuts the Grove Manor apartment building. The building contains small, secondary windows facing parcel 16 and is screened by a row of existing trees to be preserved.	
1 (cont)	17.22.060 Table 2-4	No Setback/Zero Setback	Parcel 1 & 2:	The Senior Life/Care facility consist of two buildings situated on two parcels connected by a bridge. The bridge connection crosses the area over the two parcels providing no setback between parcels 1 & 2.	The distinction of the two legal lots was based on the original sale of the property. The Senior Life Care facility is considered one project including an upper & lower campus with an internal pedestrian walkway/bridge connection.
			under consideration as receiver sites for the proposed relocation of the Rankin		
		Maximum Site Coverage = 35%	Parcel 3 = 45%	The West Gateway Specific Plan allocates the maximum lot coverage of 35% to all properties in sub-area 1b (Upper Campus). Each parcel for which this adjustment is proposed is situated in the context of open space characteristic of the Upper Campus which is proposed to be preserved. Such preservation is permitted, in part, by the clustering of development on the subject parcels in accordance with the proposed plans. While such clustering results in the marginal increase in lot coverage addressed by this adjustment, the proposed plan for sub-area 1b in total achieves lot coverage less than the 35% regulation. Further, such clustering facilitates the preservation of protected trees and historic gardens adjacent to the subject parcels.	e existing and proposed buildings, is 30%.
			Parcel 5 = 44%		
			Parcel 9 = 35%		
			Parcel 16 = 43%		
		Maximum Density (RM-16-1) (14 du per acre)	du/acre	The proposed density to accommodate on-site inclusionary housing exceeds the 14 du per acre with the addition of 6 new units on an individual lot basis. The overall density within the WGSP-sub area 1b allows the distribution of other lots with less density to be utilized in parcel 7 to meet the allowable 14 units per acre.	See attached Density Calcuation by Lot (WGSP Sub-Area 1B). "In order to provide inclusionary housing within the project in compliance with the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance, 6 dwelling units have been added to Parcel 7 within the existing building(s). The additional units result from dividing large single apartments into two smaller units. This means of providing affordable housing results in the 14 du/ac density limit to be exceeded slightly on Parcel 7 which would have a maximum density of 18.62 with the added affordable units. While the density limit for the individual lot is exceeded the extensive areas of preserved open space within WGSP sub-area 1b ensure that the overall density within the sub-area is 10.8 du/ac. Thus, the overall density of sub-area 1b is less than 80% of that allocated by the WGSP."

						AMBAGGABGIT WEGT
						Adjustment Permit
_						PROPOSED ZONING ADJUSTMENTS
	Adjust	Code Section	Standard/Requirement	Proposed Design	Findings	
	No.					
			Maximum Density (RM-16-1) (14 du per acre)	Parcel 13: Density=23.33	The proposed density to accommodate on-site inclusionary housing exceeds the 14 du per acre	See attached Density Calcuation by Lot (WGSP Sub-Area 1B). "In order to provide inclusional

Adjust	Code Section	Standard/Requirement	Proposed Design	Findings	
NO.		Maximum Density (RM-16-1) (14 du per acre)	Parcel 13: Density=23.33 du/acre	The proposed density to accommodate on-site inclusionary housing exceeds the 14 du per acre with the addition of 5 new units. The overall density within the WGSP-sub area 1b allows the distribution of other lots with less density to be utilized in parcel 13 to meet the allowable 14 units per acre.	See attached Density Calcuation by Lot (WGSP Sub-Area 1B). "In order to provide inclusionary housing within the project in compliance with the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance, 5 dwelling units have been added to Parcel 13 within the existing building(s). The additional units result from dividing large single apartments into two smaller units. This means of providing affordable housing results in the 14 du/ac density limit to be exceeded slightly on Parcel 13 which would have a maximum density of 23.33 with the added affordable units. While the density limit for the individual lot is exceeded the extensive areas of preserved open space within WGSP sub-area 1b ensure that the overall density within the sub-area is 10.8 du/ac. Thus, the overall density of sub-area 1b is less than 80% of that allocated by the WGSP."
		City of Gardens -Development Standards	Parcel 2: City of Gardens regulations would apply to a portion of a building.	The proposed zoning adjustment is intended to exempt a small portion of the parcel 2 building from the City of Gardens regulations. The Sunrise Building on Parcel two straddles the 1A/1B zoning line within the WGSP. Three units are partially within the 1B area, and would thus, be subject to the City of Gardens Standards for a portion of the building. The adjustment is necessary because it allows a comprehensive design of the building to be achieved since the bulk of the building falls within the 1A (RM-48) zoning area. To move down to City of Gardens standards for portions of the 3 impacted units would impose unwieldy design restrictions on the parcel 2 bldg.	Permitting the deviation from the City of Gardens Standard will allow for the project be designed in such a way that it is compatable in scale with the lower portion of the building and with the adjacent Merritt Mansion.
	17.22.070.				
	E.1.a.	No façade along the street may be longer than 60'	Parcel 3: Orange Grove BI. = Approx. 138.5 ft. Green St.: Building A = Approx. 121 ft., Building B = Approx. 143 ft.	The architectural articulation is such that the building façades move toward and away from the street in a manner that creates distinct building forms or components as shown on attached Design Study exhibits. The building forms created by this articulation do not exceed 60 ft. in length thus complying with the spirit of the subject regulation.	
			Parcel 5 = approx 118' along Orange Grove	The architectural articulation is such that the building façades move toward and away from the street in a manner that creates distinct building forms or components as shown on attached Design Study exhibits. The building forms created by this articulation do not exceed 60 ft. in length thus complying with the spirit of the subject regulation.	
	E.4.b	Street facades shall have entrances to units, clusters of units or common lobbies.	Parcels 3 and 5: Residential entries are off of the central garden, rather than the street, to preserve the existing perimeter wall.	means unit entries will be off of gardens rather than street. Creating breaks in the existing	Permitting this adjustment will retain the historical integrity of the perimeter of the site. Pedestrian access from the buildings to the street is provided via exit points on Green and Orange Grove.
	E.5.a	In RM-16 Districts, entrances from the outdoors in the form of porches, stairs or alcoves in buildings should serve no more than two units.	Parcel 3: Eight stacked flats on floors 2 and 3 of Building A are accessed by 3 ground floor entrances.	The proposed adjustment allows a fractional increase in the number of units accessed from a single porch, stair or alcove. The adjustment allows the density permitted by the West Gateway Specific Plan to be achieved.	
3	F.1.d	When multiple lots have been consolidated to form a single building site with a combined front street frontage of more than 160 feet, the height limit shall be two stories over the entire site.	Parcel 3 and 5: Over 160' frontages and up to 3 stories tall	The adjustment would permit buildings with roof lines of greater variation and architectural interest. With respect to parcels 3 and 5, limited three story building elements are proposed at the rear of the parcels, well removed from Orange Grove Blvd. A portion of Parcel 3 lies within WGSP Sub-area 1A which has a building height limit of 70 ft. No adjustment permit is needed or requested for that portion of Parcel 3.	The proposed adjustment would allow three story building elements at a height and scale that is compatible with the adjacent historic structures (Merritt House, Terrace Villa, Mayfair Manor) which are preserved by the project, and are as shown on attached Cross Section exhibits.

Aultona	On to On attack	Otan In I/Dami'aan aa	Dunas and Danies	PROPOSED ZONING ADJUSTMENTS
Adjust	Code Section	Standard/Requirement	Proposed Design	Findings
No.			Parcel 9	With respect to parcel 9, the parcel is situated in the center of the campus property, is not visible from adjacent streets and is well-screened by mature, protected trees which are preserved on-site and adjacent to the parcel. Proposed buildings are similar in height to the library building presently occupying the site and are compatible with the adjacent Mayfair Manor. The proposed adjustment would allow three story building elements at a height and scale that is compatible with the adjacent historic structures (Merritt House, Terrace Villa, Mayfair Manor) which are preserved by the project, and are as shown on attached Cross Section exhibits.
	F.1.h	In the RM-16-1, the maximum heightof structures is 36 feet to the highest ridgeline. No maximum top plate height is applicable.	Parcel 3: Maximum height to ridgeline is 47'-3".	Permitting the adjustment will eliminate the forcing of a uniform two-story building height. Such buildings would lack the vertical and horizontal articulation of the proposed buildings and achieve less architectural interest and character. A portion of Parcel 3 lies within WGSP Sub-area 1A which has a building height limit of 70 ft. No adjustment permit is needed or requested for that portion of Parcel 3.
3 (cont)			Parcel 5: Maximum height to ridgeline is 47'-5".	
			Parcel 9: Maximum height to ridgeline is 46'-2"	
			Parcel 16: Maximum height to ridgeline is 38'-11".	
			Parcel 2: over 72' to ridgeline	The Adjustment Permit Sunrise is seeking for an increase in height is due, in part, to the location of the project. Parcel two contains one building, which will contain apartments restricted to use by seniors. The building height increase is necessary because the building will be connecting with the adjacent historical Merritt Mansion and needs to be of a corresponding scale to meet with both the Merritt mansion and the lower Sunrise building below. An increase over the 72' permitted height will allow SR as applicant to respond to design requests for additional height variation while maintaining the program needs of the applicant. The reason an adjustment is needed in the first place is because the Sunrise building straddles two zoning districts, (WPSP 1A and 1B). Granting the adjustment permit will permit the flexibility to provide a better architectural solution to the attachment to the existing historical structure, and relate to the rest of the building that has already been designed to preserve many historical features. The building height remains compatible with the Merritt Mansion height. The adjustment for height will allow a smaller building footprint, which in time will permit the project to retain many historical elements on the property including fences, walls, gates and fountains.
	F.2.b.(1), (2)	b. Corner Lots. Building heights on corner lots are limited as follows. (1) In the RM-16-1 district, buildings in the front 60% of the site along each street frontage are limited to two stories. Buildings or portions of buildings in the remaining interior 16 percent of the site may be three stories. (2) Where the lot is 60 feet or more in width, buildings in the front 60 percent of the site along each street frontage are limited to two stories. Buildings or portions of buildings in the remaining interior 16 percent of the site may be three stories.	Parcel 3: portion of Green Street frontage is 3 story.	The height of the proposed building is compatible with the height and scale of the adjacent, preserved historic building (Merritt House), and of the proposed Sunrise Senior Living Building to the East as shown on attached Cross Section Exhibits. In addition, the dividing line between the WGSP areas 1a and 1b runs through the 3 story portion of the building. Strict compliance with the 2 story regulation would result in buildings of a uniform two-story height. Such building design would lack the vertical and horizontal articulation of the proposed building and achieve less architectural interest and character. The height is required to achieve the permitted density within a more compact building footprint. Any attempt to recoup the permitted units would likely impact historical buildings, gardens and/or retected trees avoidance of which has been a primary design criteria of the proposed plan. Articulation of the 3-story building facades serves to soften the appearance of the proposed buildings. (See discussion under Section 17.22.070.E.1.a) building facades serves to soften the appearance of the proposed buildings. (See discussion under Section 17.22.070.E.1.a)
4	H.3	The height of a wall or fence in a front yard or corner yard shall not exceed four feet if it is 50% open and two feet if the wall or fence is solid. The wall or fence height shall be measured from existing grae and shall be setback 18 inches from the front property line.		The proposed open fencing would constitute an extension to the existing historic wrought iron fence preserved to the west of the subject location.

ljust	Code Section	Standard/Requirement	Proposed Design	Findings	PROPOSED ZONING ADJUSTMENTS
No.			_	While the wall exceeds the standard, it spans a very small area and is not visible from a public street.	
	17.22.080 A.2	Minimum Main Garden Area = 20% of each parcel in a basic rectangular shape.	Parcel 3 = Main Garden - 4%, Ancillary Garden-5%, Total Landscape Area-54%	The Main Garden area standard cannot be met if the new development is to achieve the density allocated by the West Gateway Specific Plan. Preservation of the adjacent Italian Garden meets the spirit of the main garden requirement.	The Ambassador West project preserves more than 4.36 acres of mature gardens, lawns, fountains and streams in addition to the proposed main gardens.
			Parcel 5 = Main Garden-9%, Ancillary Garden-8%, Total Landscape Area-60%.	The Main Garden area standard cannot be met if the new development is to achieve the density allocated by the West Gateway Specific Plan. Preservation of the adjacent Italian Garden meets the spirit of the main garden requirement.	The Ambassador West project preserves more than 4.36 acres of mature gardens, lawns, fountains and streams in addition to the proposed main gardens.
			Parcel 9 = Main Garden-6%, Ancillary Garden-9%, Total Landscape Area-63%.	The Main Garden area standard cannot be met if the new development is to achieve the density allocated by the West Gateway Specific Plan. Preservation of the adjacent Great Lawn meets the spirit of the main garden requirement.	The Ambassador West project preserves more than 4.36 acres of mature gardens, lawns, fountains and streams in addition to the proposed main gardens.
			Parcel 16 = Main Garden-7%, Ancillary Garden-4%, Total Landscape Area-56%.	The Main Garden area standard cannot be met if the new development is to achieve the density allocated by the West Gateway Specific Plan. Preservation of the adjacent Rose Garden meets the spirit of the main garden requirement.	The Ambassador West project preserves more than 4.36 acres of mature gardens, lawns, fountains and streams in addition to the proposed main gardens.
	A.5	Main Garden Visibility. On lots with more than 60 feet of street frontage, an opening at least 10 feet wide and 10 feet high shall provide a view to the main garden from the street. All fencing across the opening shall be partially open or perforated; fence or wall openings shall constitute a minimum of 80 percent of the screening surface and be evenly distributed throughout the fencing or wall. Gates, fences and landscaping across the opening shall not exceed 6 feet in height.	Parcel 3: There is no opening in the building facade at the Orange Grove Bl. frontage.	The Orange Grove frontage for which the adjustment is requested is adjacent to the preserved Italian Garden which provides a 140 ftwide opening across the Garden, between the buildings on parcels 3 and 5.	The adjustment regarding the Orange Grove frontage opening at parcel 3 is consistent with the intent of this regulation in the context of the significant opening of the Italian Garden to public view.
	A.6.a (1)	At least 50% of the main garden shall be planted	Parcel 3 Main Garden Planted = 26%. Parcel 5 main garden planted = 41%.	While the planted portions of the main gardens are less than the 50% regulation, the fact that the ancillary gardens for each parcel are heavily planted (66% and 37%, respectively) is a mitigating factor. It is also true that each subject parcel is situated adjacent to the Italian Garden which provides significant landscape architectural reliefIn this context, the main garden planting is consistent with the intent, if not the letter, of the zoning regulation.	
	A.6.a (2)	A main garden with a required area of 1,500 square feet or more shall have at least one canopy tree with a mature height of 35 feet or more. When the main garden is over subterranean parking, for each canopy tree required, a tree with a mature height of 25 feet shall extend down to natural soil. An additional canopy tree of this size shall be included for each additional 1,000 square feet of required area. Minimum tree size at planting shal be 15 gallons.		The proposed schematic landscape design includes the required number of trees with mature heights of 25 ft. or more, which are integrated into the main garden and other garden space above the subterranean parking structure using 36 in. high planters. Mature trees providing shade and character to the main garden can be achieved using such planters as indicated in the attached images illustrating tree cover achieved on similar projects using the same methodology. Even though the requirement of extending to natural soil is not met, the shade and character goals underlying that requirement can be achieved within the Main Garden using the proposed planters.	
					Parcels 2, 3, 5, 9, 16

					PROPOSED ZONING ADJUSTMENTS
Adjust	Code Section	Standard/Requirement	Proposed Design	Findings	
No.					
			Parcel 5: The required trees	The proposed schematic landscape design of the main garden includes preservation of a mature	
			are provided in 36" deep	Oak tree with a height of 30' and a canopy of 50' diameter. Further, the proposed schematic	
			planters. The proposed	landscape design includes more than the required number of trees with mature heights of 25 ft. or	
			adjustment would delete the	more. Mature trees providing shade and character to the main garden can be created using such	
			code requirement that trees	planters as indicated in the attached images illustrating tree cover achieved on similar projects	
			extend down to natural soil.	using the same methodology. Even though the requirement of extending to natural soil is not met,	
				the shade and character goals underlying that requirement can be achieved within the Main	
				Garden using the proposed planters.	
_					
(Cont)					
(Cont)			Parcel 9: The required trees	The proposed schematic landscape design of the main garden includes preservation of a mature	
			are provided in 36" deep	podocarpus tree with a height of 45' and a canopy of 60' diameter. Further, the proposed	
			planters. The proposed	schematic landscape design of the main garden includes the required number of trees with	
			adjustment would delete the	mature heights of 25 ft. or more, which are integrated into the landscape of the main garden and	
			code requirement that trees	other garden space above the subterranean parking structure. Mature trees providing shade and	
			extend down to natural soil.	character to the main garden can be created using such planters as indicated in the attached	
				images illustrating tree cover achieved on similar projects using the same methodology. Even	
				though the requirement of extending to natural soil is not met, the shade and character goals	
				underlying that requirement can be achieved within the Main Garden using the proposed planters.	
			Parcel 16: The required trees	The proposed schematic landscape design of the main garden includes the preservation of three	
			are provided in 36" deep	mature pine trees with heights of 65' and canopies of 30' diameter. Further, the required number	
			planters. The proposed	of trees with mature heights of 25 ft. or more are integrated into the landacape of the main garden	
			adjustment would delete the	and other garden space above the subterranean parking structure. Mature trees providing shade	
			code requirement that trees	and character to the main garden can be created using such planters as indicated in the attached	
			extend down to natural soil.	images illustrating tree cover achieved on similar projects using the same methodology. Even	
				though the requirement of extending to natural soil is not met, the shade and character goals	
				underlying that requirement can be achieved within the Main Garden using the proposed planters.	
	A O I: (4)	Disaffer shall be at fished as decade	Denote O. Die denote Ile diffic	The about a death is a consequence of the translation and but the Oile of Ocade or	
	A.6.b (1)	Planting shall be at finished grade or in	Parcel 3: Planter walls within	The planter depth is necessary to support the tree planting goals of the City of Gardens	
		permanent planters. The top of walls of such	the main garden and other	regulations in a manner that is consistent with feasible subterranean parking garage design. (See	
		planters may be no more than 18 inches above the finished grade at the main garden.	landscape areas are proposed at a height of 36 inches.	J Section A.o.a(2)), above.	
		Planter walls may step up. Where aesthetic	at a neight of 36 inches.		
		considerations warrant an alternative to this			
		requirement, the applicant may request			
		Planning Director approval of this alternative.			
		rianning birector approval of this alternative.			
			Porcel 5 Pleater wells with the	The planter depth is present to support the tree planting goals of the City of Cardena	
			Parcel 5 Planter walls within	The planter depth is necessary to support the tree planting goals of the City of Gardens	
			the main garden and other	regulations in a manner that is consistent with feasible subterranean parking garage design. (See	
				Section A.6.a(2), above. The attached images illustrate the design and aesthetics of equivalent	
			at a height of 36 inches.	planter design in similar projects.	
			Parcel 9 Planter walls within	The planter depth is necessary to support the tree planting goals of the City of Gardens	
			the main garden and other	regulations in a manner that is consistent with feasible subterranean parking garage design. (See	
			landscape areas are proposed		
			at a height of 36 inches.	planter design in similar projects.	
			Ĭ Š		
			Darcol 16 Diantar walls within	The planter depth is processory to support the tree planting goals of the City of Cordens	
				The planter depth is necessary to support the tree planting goals of the City of Gardens regulations in a manner that is consistent with feasible subterranean parking garage design. (See	
			the main garden and other	Section A.6.a(2), above. The attached images illustrate the design and aesthetics of equivalent	
			at a height of 36 inches.	planter design in similar projects.	
			at a neight of 30 mones.	piantei designini sinillai piojecis.	
	1				

					PROPOSED ZONING ADJUSTMENTS
Adjust No	Code Section	Standard/Requirement	Proposed Design	Findings	
No.	A.6.b (2)	For each canopy tree required, one tree well extending down through the parking structure shall be provided. Tree wells shall have a minimum inside diameter of six feet. A tree well area shall be counted as part of the required planting area.	Parcel 3	See Section A.6.a(2)	
			Parcel 5	See Section A.6.a(2)	
5 (Cont)					
. ,			Parcel 9	See Section A.6.a(2)	
			Darrol 16	Con Continu A Co (2)	
	D.4.a	Front Yard Encroachments: the maximum	Parcel 16	See Section A.6.a(2)	
	D.4.a	height of wall or other elements separating such space from the rest of the front yard shall	open rail fence over 2' solid	The proposed open fencing would constitute an extension to the existing historic wrought iron fence preserved to the west of the subject location.	
		be two fee or less in height if opaque and	on Green St. adjacent to the		
		three fee six inches or less in height if it allows 50% visibility	easterly building.		
			Parcel 9:A 5 ft.high solid wall	While the wall exceeds the standard, it spans a very small area and is not visible from a public	
			is required to provide privacy to a single unit at the	street.	
			southwest corner of the parce		
			where the project abuts a non		
			residential use.		ļ
6	17.40.050	Flag Lot Development Standards: Flag Lots are not permitted except for property within the			Approval of a zoning adjustment for the purpose of maintaining the quality and character of the campus is consistent with and will promote the achievement of the project's historic and urban
		RS zone that is also located within the Hillside		existing driveways which have served the Ambassador West campus for many years. Retaining	forest preservation objectives.
		Development Overlay District.	to the Ambassador West	circulation is consistent with the overally objective of minimizing the impact of new development	
			property and constitute flag lo conditions.	t on the character and quality of the Ambassador West campus. To require these lots to be served by public or private streets would involve a significant impact to existing buildings and trees that	
			Conditions.	would be inconsistent with the project objectives. Lot 11 and Lots B and C are open space lots	
				which do not require vehicular access and, thus, should not be subject to the flag lot	
				requirements.	