

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments as described on page 1 are not site specific thus it is not possible to determine if the amendments will result in a substantial alteration of the existing drainage patterns. Any project that requires a building permit will be reviewed to determine if there is an alteration of the existing drainage patterns. The proposed amendments themselves would have no impact on drainage patterns.

- e. *Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?* ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments do not propose any new development. Projects are required to comply with the City's SUSMP ordinance, which ensures that post-development peak storm water runoff rates not exceed pre-development peak storm water runoff rates. This ensures subsequent development projects would not exceed the City's existing storm drain system.

Similarly, any future project would generate only typical, non-point source, urban stormwater pollutants. These pollutants are covered by the County-wide MS4 permit, and the project, through the City's SUSMP ordinance, is required to implement BMPs to reduce stormwater pollutants to the maximum extent practicable. Therefore, the proposed project would not create runoff that would exceed the capacity of the storm drain system and would not provide a substantial additional source of polluted runoff.

- f. *Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?* ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? As discussed above, any development proposed because of these zoning code amendments will not be a point-source generator of water pollutants. The only long-term water pollutants expected to be generated onsite are typical urban stormwater pollutants. Compliance with the City's SUSMP ordinance will ensure these stormwater pollutants would not substantially degrade water quality. The proposed amendments would not change the applicability or substance of these requirements, and would have no impact to water quality.

- g. *Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or dam inundation area as shown in the City of Pasadena adopted Safety Element of the General Plan or other flood or inundation delineation map?* ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document. Any proposed housing in the Hillside Overlay Districts will continue to be evaluated for proximity to areas prone to flooding. Therefore, the project would not place housing within a flood hazard area or dam inundation area, and the project would have no related impacts.

- h. *Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures, which would impede or redirect flood flows?*
()

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

WHY? No portions of the City of Pasadena are within a 100-year floodplain identified by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). As shown on FEMA map Community Number 065050, the entire City is in Zone D, for which no floodplain management regulations are required. Therefore, the proposed project would not place structures within the flow of the 100-year flood, and the project would have no related impacts.

i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam? ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? No portions of the City of Pasadena are within a 100-year floodplain identified by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). As shown on FEMA map Community Number 065050, the entire City is in Zone D, for which no floodplain management regulations are required. There are two dams with inundation zones in the City, the Devils Gate Dam and the Eaton Canyon Dam.

The proposed amendments themselves would not expose people or structures to flooding risks. In addition, the proposed amendments would not change the way future projects are reviewed for potential flooding constraints. Therefore, the approval of the proposed amendments would not result in any impacts related to the risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding.

j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow? ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? The City of Pasadena is not located near enough to any inland bodies of water or the Pacific Ocean to be inundated by either a seiche or tsunami. For mudflow see responses to 9. Geology and Soils a. iii and iv regarding seismic hazards such as liquefaction and landslides.

12. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:

a. Physically divide an existing community? ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? Because these are minor changes to the Zoning Code, they will not physically divide an existing community. There is no development proposed as part of the code amendments. No adverse impact will result.

b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document. Any

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

amendments to the Zoning Code require that the City Council adopt a finding that the proposed amendments are consistent with the City's General Plan.

- c. *Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan (HCP) or natural community conservation plan (NCCP)? ()*

WHY? Currently, there is no adopted Habitat Conservation or Natural Community Conservation Plans within the City of Pasadena. There are also no approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plans.

13. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

- a. *Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? ()*

WHY? No active mining operations exist in the City of Pasadena. There are two areas in Pasadena that may contain mineral resources. These two areas are Eaton Wash, which, was formerly mined for sand and gravel, and Devils Gate Reservoir, which was formerly mined for cement concrete aggregate. There is no new development proposed as part of the Zoning Code Amendments.

- b. *Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? ()*

WHY? The City's 2004 General Plan Land Use Element does not identify any mineral recovery sites within the City. Furthermore, there are no mineral-resource recovery sites shown in the Hahamongna Watershed Park Master Plan; or the 1999 "Aggregate Resources in the Los Angeles Metropolitan Area" map published by the California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology. No active mining operations exist in the City of Pasadena and mining is not currently allowed within any of the City's designated land uses. Therefore, the proposed project would not have significant impacts from the loss of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site. See also Section 13.a. of this document.

14. NOISE. Will the project result in:

- a. *Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? ()*

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments are not site specific therefore it is not possible to identify specific noise impacts. However, there is no development proposed, only technical and procedural amendments to the Zoning Code. Future development projects may generate short-term noise due to construction activities. However, construction activities must adhere to City regulations governing hours of construction, noise levels generated by construction and mechanical equipment, and the allowed level of

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

ambient noise (Chapter 9.36 of the Pasadena Municipal Code). In accordance with these regulations, construction noise will be limited to normal working hours (7 a.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Saturday, in or within 500 feet of a residential area). A construction related traffic plan is also required to ensure that truck routes for transportation of materials and equipment are established with consideration for sensitive uses in the neighborhood. A traffic and parking plan for the construction phase will be submitted for approval to the Traffic Engineer in the Transportation Department and to the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of any permits. Therefore, adhering to established City regulations will ensure that the project would not generate noise levels in excess of standards.

The proposed amendments would also not expose persons to excessive noise. The 2002 adopted Noise Element of the Comprehensive General Plan contains objectives and policies to help minimize the effects of noise from different sources.

b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? ()

WHY? The proposed amendments are minor in nature and propose no new development. The amendments will not result in a generation of excessive groundborne vibration or noise levels.

c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? ()

WHY? See response to 14.a. In Pasadena many urban environment noises, such as leaf-blowing and amplified sounds, are subject to restrictions by Chapter 9.36 of the Pasadena Municipal Code.

d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? ()

WHY? While this project consists of Citywide Zoning Code amendments, there is no new development proposed with the amendments. Adhering to established City regulations will ensure that any project constructed as a result of these amendments will not generate noise levels in excess of standards.

e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? ()

WHY? There are no airports or airport land-use plans in the City of Pasadena. The closest airport is the Bob Hope Airport (formerly the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport), which is located more than 10 miles from Pasadena in the City of Burbank. Therefore, the proposed project would not expose people to excessive airport related noise and would have no associated impacts.

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? ()

WHY? There are no private-use airports or airstrips within or near the City of Pasadena.

15. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:

a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? ()

WHY? The proposed amendments are minor and propose no new development that would induce substantial population growth, and would have no related significant impacts.

b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? ()

WHY? The proposed amendments are minor and propose no new development that would displace existing housing or necessitate the construction of replacement housing.

c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? ()

WHY? The proposed amendments are minor and would not displace residents or induce substantial population growth, and would have no related significant impacts.

16. PUBLIC SERVICES. Will the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

a. Fire Protection? ()

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

WHY? The project consists of amendments to the Zoning Code. These amendments are guidelines and clarifications and do not induce any growth by changing the density or other development standards. Any future project applicants are required to pay the City's development fees, which are established to offset incremental increases to fire service demand. Therefore, the proposed project would not impact fire protection services. See also Section 10.h) of this document for wildfire-related impacts.

b. Libraries? ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? The City as a whole is well served by its Public Information (library) System; and the project would not impact library services. See response 16 a.

c. Parks? ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document that do not induce increases in the need for park services. Future projects subject to the Hillside Development Ordinance would remain subject to the City's park fees. As such, the proposed amendments would have no impacts on parks.

d. Police Protection? ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document. Furthermore, applicants for future projects are required to pay the City's development fees, which are established to offset incremental increases to police service demand and mitigate any potential impact. Therefore, the proposed project would not impact police protection services.

e. Schools? ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document that do not induce increases in the demand for schools. Future projects subject to the Hillside Development Ordinance would remain subject to the school impact fees. As such, the proposed amendments would have no impact on schools.

f. Other public facilities? ()

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document and do not

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

induce further construction and development. Approval of the proposed amendments would not directly or indirectly impact public services.

17. RECREATION.

- a. *Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?* ()

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document and do not induce an increase in population or workforce employees. As such, the proposed amendments would not directly impact recreation. Any future projects subject to the Hillside District Ordinance would remain subject to the City park impact fees. Therefore, the project would not indirectly will not lead to substantial physical deterioration of any recreational facilities, and would have no related impacts.

- b. *Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?* ()

WHY? The proposed amendments will not include recreational facilities and will not require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities. Therefore, the proposed project and future related projects will not involve the development of recreational facilities that would have an adverse effect on the environment, and would have no associated impacts.

18. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:

- a. *Cause an increase in traffic that is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?* ()

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document and are not related to a specific project. There is no development proposed as part of the amendments. Any individual project will be reviewed to determine its impacts on existing traffic load and street capacity.

- b. *Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?* ()

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document and are not related to an individual project. There is no development proposed as part of the amendments. Individual projects will be reviewed to determine any impact on the level of services.

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
---	--	---	------------------

c. *Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks? ()*

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? The City of Pasadena is not within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public airport or public use airport. Consequently, the proposed project would not affect any airport facilities and would not cause a change in the directional patterns of aircraft. Therefore, the proposed project and any future related projects would have no impact to air traffic patterns.

d. *Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? ()*

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document and are not related to a specific project that will have design features that will result in an increase in hazards. No changes to the City's development standards for roadways, parking lots, or other transportation facilities are proposed.

e. *Result in inadequate emergency access? ()*

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document and are not related to a specific project that will have design features that will result in inadequate emergency access.

f. *Result in inadequate parking capacity? ()*

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? When an applicant applies to construct any building the project will need to comply with the number of parking and loading spaces required by the Zoning Code. There are no changes proposed that would affect parking or the number of spaces required for future development projects.

g. *Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks)? ()*

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document. These amendments are only applicable to development of single-family housing.

19. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
---	--	---	------------------

a. *Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? ()*

WHY? The project, by itself, would not generate wastewater. The project does not involve the release of unique or unusual sewage into the wastewater treatment system. Therefore, the project would not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board, and would have no associated impacts.

b. *Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? ()*

WHY? The proposed project does not create any further demand on wastewater treatment facilities. Therefore, the proposed project would not require or result in the construction or expansion of new water or wastewater treatment facilities off-site, and the project would have no associated impacts.

c. *Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? ()*

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code Amendments will not require the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or the expansion of existing facilities. Regardless, any future project applicant must submit and implement an on-site drainage plan that meets the approval of the Building Official and the Public Works Department; and the City's SUSMP ordinance requires post-development peak storm water runoff rates to not exceed pre-development peak storm water runoff rates.

d. *Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? ()*

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code amendments include a variety of guidelines and clarifications for the implementation of the Hillside District Ordinance as described on Page 1 of this document and do not propose new development that could increase the need for water supplies. Any subsequent project proposed because of this amendment will be examined for its impact on the water supply in accordance with the City's standard development review procedures.

e. *Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? ()*

WHY? The proposed project consists of Zoning Code amendments and will not result in an increase in the demand for wastewater treatment. Any subsequent project proposed because of this amendment will be

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
---	--	---	------------------

examined for its impact on wastewater treatment service in accordance with the City's standard development review procedures. Therefore, the project would not result in insufficient wastewater service, and would cause no related impacts.

f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? ()

WHY? The proposed Zoning Code Amendments would not necessarily require any additional solid waste disposal needs. The City of Pasadena is served primarily by Scholl Canyon landfill, which is permitted through 2025, and secondarily by Puente Hills, which was re-permitted in 2003 for 10 years. All subsequent projects will be located in a developed urban area and within the City's refuse collection area. They will not result in the need for a new or in substantial alteration to the existing system of solid waste collection and disposal. Therefore, this project and all subsequent projects would cause no impacts under this topic.

g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? ()

WHY? In 1992, the City adopted the "Source Reduction and Recycling Element" to comply with the California Integrated Waste Management Act. This Act requires that jurisdictions maintain a 50% or better diversion rate for solid waste. The City implements this requirement through Section 8.61 of the Pasadena Municipal Code, which establishes the City's "Solid Waste Collection Franchise System". As described in Section 8.61.175, each franchisee is responsible for meeting the minimum recycling diversion rate of 50% on both a monthly basis and annual basis. The project, by itself, will have no impact on solid waste. Subsequent projects will be required to comply with the applicable solid waste franchise's recycling system, and thus, will meet Pasadena's and California's solid waste diversion regulations. In addition, subsequent projects will need to comply with the City's Construction and Demolition Ordinance (PMC Section 8.62) and design requirements for refuse storage areas (PMC Section 17.64.240). Therefore, this project and subsequent projects would not cause any impacts from conflicting with statutes or regulations related to solid waste.

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

20. EARLEIR ANALYSIS.

Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. See CEQA Guidelines Section 15063(c)(3)(D).

a) The following document was used for analysis of the project's environmental effects:

- *General Plan and Final Program EIR*

These documents are available for review at the Permit Center, 175 North Garfield Avenue between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. on Monday through Thursday and from 8:00-12:00 p.m. every Friday and the City Clerk's Office Monday through Thursday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. and every other Friday during the same hours.

b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. (Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.) None.

c) Mitigation Measures. None.

21. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.

a. *Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? ()*

WHY? The proposed amendments to the Hillside District section of the Zoning Code will not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory because the proposed amendments are not site specific but Citywide. No specific project is part of the proposed amendments and no new development is proposed.

Therefore, the project will not substantially degrade the quality of the land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, noise and objects of historic or aesthetic significance.

b. *Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future project? ()*

Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Unless Mitigation is Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------

WHY? The project, by itself, does not involve any new construction. The project consists of amendments that are minor. Regardless, the proposed Zoning Code Amendments will not contribute to any cumulative impacts.

c. *Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? ()*

WHY? As discussed in Sections 5, 10, 11, and 18 of this document, the proposed project would not expose persons to the hazards of toxic air emissions, chemical or explosive materials, flooding, or transportation hazards. Section 9 of this document explains that although residents of the proposed would be exposed to typical southern California earthquake hazards, modern engineering practices would ensure that geologic and seismic conditions would not directly cause substantial adverse effects on humans. In addition, as discussed in Sections 3 Aesthetics, 12 Land Use and Planning, 14 Noise, 15 Population and Housing, 16 Public Services, 17 Recreation, 18 Transportation/Traffic and 19 Utilities and Service Systems the project would not indirectly cause substantial adverse effects on humans. Therefore, the proposed project would not have a Mandatory Finding of Significance due to environmental effects that could cause substantial adverse effects on humans.

INITIAL STUDY REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

- | # | Document |
|----|--|
| 1 | Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act, California Public Resources Code, revised January 1, 1994 official Mt. Wilson, Los Angeles and Pasadena quadrant maps were released March 25, 1999. |
| 2 | CEQA Air Quality Handbook, South Coast Air Quality Management District, revised 1993 |
| 3 | East Pasadena Specific Plan Overlay District, City of Pasadena Planning and Development Department, codified 2001 |
| 4 | Energy Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 1983 |
| 5 | Fair Oaks/Orange Grove Specific Plan Overlay District, City of Pasadena Planning and Development Department codified 2002 |
| 6 | Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) Land Use and Mobility Elements of the General Plan, Zoning Code Revisions, and Central District Specific Plan, City of Pasadena, certified 2004 |
| 7 | 2000-2005 Housing Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 2002. |
| 8 | Inclusionary Housing Ordinance Pasadena Municipal Code Chapter 17.71 Ordinance #6868 |
| 9 | Land Use Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 2004 |
| 10 | Mobility Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 2004 |
| 11 | Noise Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 2002 |
| 12 | Noise Protection Ordinance Pasadena Municipal Code Chapter 9.36 Ordinances # 5118, 6132, 6227, 6594 and 6854 |
| 13 | North Lake Specific Plan Overlay District, City of Pasadena Planning and Development Department, Codified 1997 |
| 14 | Pasadena Municipal Code, as amended |
| 15 | Recommendations On Siting New Sensitive Land Uses, California Air Resources Board, May 2005 |
| 16 | Regional Comprehensive Plan and Guide, "Growth Management Chapter," Southern California Association of Governments, June 1994 |
| 17 | Safety Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 2002 |
| 18 | Scenic Highways Element of the General Plan, City of Pasadena, adopted 1975 |
| 19 | Seismic Hazard Maps, California Department of Conservation, official Mt. Wilson, Los Angeles and Pasadena quadrant maps were released March 25, 1999. The preliminary map for Condor Peak was released in 2002. |
| 20 | South Fair Oaks Specific Plan Overlay District Planning and Development, codified 1998 |
| 21 | State of California "Aggregate Resource in the Los Angeles Metropolitan Area" by David J. Beeby, Russell V. Miller, Robert L. Hill, and Robert E. Grunwald, Miscellaneous map no. .010, copyright 1999, California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology |
| 22 | Storm Water and Urban Runoff Control Regulations Pasadena Municipal Code Chapter 8.70 Ordinance #6837 |
| 23 | Transportation Impact Review Current Practice and Guidelines, City of Pasadena, August, 2005 |
| 24 | Tree Protection Ordinance Pasadena Municipal Code Chapter 8.52 Ordinance # 6896 |
| 25 | West Gateway Specific Plan Overlay District, City of Pasadena Planning and Development Department codified 2001 |
| 26 | Zoning Code, Chapter 17 of the Pasadena Municipal Code |

CITY OF PASADENA

Planning & Development Department
175 N. Garfield Ave.
Pasadena, California 91101-1704

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PROJECT TITLE: **Zoning Code Amendments – Hillside Overlay Districts (2006)**

PROJECT APPLICANT: **City of Pasadena**

PROJECT CONTACT PERSON: **David Sinclair
Associate Planner
175 N. Garfield Ave.
Pasadena, CA 91109-7215**

TELEPHONE: **(626) 744-6766**

PROJECT LOCATION: **City of Pasadena
County of Los Angeles
State of California**

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The City of Pasadena has prepared proposed revisions to the Hillside Development Overlay Districts (Chapter 17.29 of the Zoning Code). These revisions include guidelines for the implementation of the 'Neighborhood Compatibility' calculation and other minor corrections and clarifications.

FINDING

On the basis of the initial study on file in the Current Planning Office:

X	The proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment
	The proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment; there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in the Mitigation Monitoring Program on file in the Current Planning Office were adopted to reduce the potential impact to a level insignificance.
	The proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

Completed by: David Sinclair
Title: Associate Planner
Date: May 24, 2006

Determination Approved: Denver Miller
Title: Environmental Administrator
Date: May 24, 2006

PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD: May 24, 2006 to June 14, 2006
COMMENTS RECEIVED ON DRAFT: ____ Yes ____ No
INITIAL STUDY REVISED: ____ Yes ____ No